KANSAS OFFICE of
REVISOR of STATUTES
LEGISLATURE of THE STATE of KANSAS
Legislative Attorneys transforming ideas into legislation.

300 SW TENTH AVENUE ▪ SUITE 24-E ▪ TOPEKA, KS 66612 ▪ (785) 296-2321

MEMORANDUM

To: Senate Committee on Judiciary
From: Office of Revisor of Statutes
Date: February 10, 2026
Subject: Bill Brief on SB 463

Senate Bill 463 prohibits certain persons from recovering damages in certain civil actions and creates requirements for actions involving negligent security.

Subsection (a) creates a new section of law providing that a person who engaged or participated in wrongful conduct or attempted to engage or participate in wrongful conduct shall not bring an action for negligence or collect damages for negligent conduct related to such wrongful conduct. The party against whom the negligence is alleged shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that a person was engaging or participating in wrongful conduct or attempting to engage or participate in wrongful conduct.

Subsection (b) provides that general damages, including damages for wages, shall not be awarded in an action for negligence arising from an automobile accident to a person who was an unauthorized alien at the time of the accident. A party who wishes to file such a claim shall provide documents showing that, at the time of the accident, the person was a citizen or lawful permanent resident and not an unauthorized alien. This subsection shall not apply to a claim made against an uninsured or underinsured motorist policy that names the unauthorized alien at the insured.

Subsection (c) provides that in an action for negligent security, an owner or occupier has no duty to protect an invitee or licensee from any type of wrongful conduct of a third person unless the owner or occupier has actual knowledge of previous occurrences of substantially similar wrongful conduct on the premises within the immediately preceding year. If the owner or occupier has such knowledge, they shall have a duty to take reasonable care under the circumstances. There shall be a presumption that such duty was met if the owner or occupier (1) requested the assistance of law enforcement and followed instructions given by law enforcement,

(2) followed the guidelines of a reputable party related to security and safety measures, or (3) hire a security contractor. An owner or occupier has no duty to protect an invitee or licensee from their own wrongful conduct or the wrongful conduct of a third person if the invitee or licensee is on the premises for the purpose of or in the course of engaging in wrongful conduct. A person who is committing criminal trespass or a person on the premises outside of posted business hours or during a period of time that the premises is not open to the public shall not maintain an action for negligent security. If an owner or occupier has engaged a security contractor, such contractor shall have the same duties as the owner or occupier under this section.

If the trier of fact finds that a party is liable in an action for negligent security, the trier of fact shall make an apportionment of fault and be instructed to apportion fault among the party bringing the claim, the owner or occupier, any governmental agency or unit of government that failed to enforce law or maintain order, any third person whose wrongful conduct or negligence contributed to the event giving rise to the cause of action and any other person deemed necessary, regardless of whether damages may be collected from those people. No party shall offer evidence or make argument concerning any criminal penalty that has been or could be imposed against any person for the wrongful conduct, the financial resources or ability to collect a judgment from a party or nonparty or the effect an apportionment of fault has on an award of damages. If the trier of fact fails to make such apportionment, the court shall set aside the verdict and order a retrial of liability and damages. There shall be a presumption that an apportionment of fault is unreasonable if the total percentage of fault apportioned to persons who engaged in wrongful conduct is less than the total percentage of fault apportioned to all other persons. Such apportionment shall be subject to appeal de novo. Nothing in this section shall prevent a victim of crime from receiving restitution. This section applies to claims that are pending or filed on or after July 1, 2026.

Subsection (f) provides the definitions of terms used throughout the section. Subsection (g) provides a severability clause.