BE IT REMEMBERED that commencing on the 17th day of February, 1966, the House Committee on Legislative Apportionment again met for public hearing; whereupon the following proceedings were had.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Members of the Committee,
I believe we will come to order now.

This afternoon we are supposed to consider the reapportionment plans of the Sedgwick County Delegation and I will turn the meeting over to Mr. Turner, who has a map, and I don't know whether he has got anything else or not, but he has a map.

MR. TURNER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee. We will refer to these maps as Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2. Exhibit 1 is the State picture and Exhibit 2 is the Sedgwick County picture. We will use Exhibit 1, the State picture, in order to show this little area in Sumner County that will be attached to Sedgwick County, apparently.

To begin with, Exhibit 2 is our map of the city and I will start with that. The different colored districts on Exhibit 2 indicate the legislative districts The largest district is 18,186, the smallest district is 17,223. That is just about all you can say. We haven't cut any precinct lines. Precinct lines remain intact.

We have a problem because some of our precincts are much larger than others. To give you an example, Precinct 38 of the 6th Ward is 3,500 people, whereas Precinct 18 of the 6th Ward is 10,056, so this is a problem we are faced with. These precincts again, as I have told you in prior committee meetings, are set on a volume of voters for the voting machines and not the area they encompass. Consequently, that is the reason for some of the odd shapes, but we haven't, as I said, haven't cut precinct lines.

This map shows 18 legislative districts totally within the county, and one joins Sumner County. That district of Sumner County has a total of 17,683 with about 10,000 from Sedgwick County and the remainder made up of Sumner County. Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Mr. Golden.

MR. GOLDEN: Jack, I was wondering why you had to cut the Sumner County line?

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: This was request from the area subcommittee that is working on the maps.

MR. GOLDEN: Is this down in that Mulvane territory?

MR. TURNER: Mulvane is a small town that lies on the border in Rockford Township. Part of Mulvane is in Sedgwick County, part of it is in Sumner County.

1		This particular map shows Mulvane together in one legis-
2		lative district.
3	ø	MR. GOLDEN: Thank you.
4	125	MR. VAN CLEAVE: Did you say that one
5		precinct had 10,000 people?
6		MR. TURNER: No, 3,500.
7		MR. VAN CLEAVE: I understood you to say
8		that next one up there had 10,000.
9		MR. TURNER: No, that has 1,056.
10		MR. VAN CLEAVE: I think you may have.
11		I didn't think it would be possible.
12		MR. FINNEY: Is this agreeable, at least
13		by majority vote with the delegation from Sedgwick County
14		MR. TURNER: According to my understanding
15		that is the situation. Mr. Griffith and Mr. Loux are
16		here and I believe will probably have something, and
17		I would yield to Mr. Griffith.
18		CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: We will hear from them
19		in a minute, Mr. Finney.
20		MR. CUBIT: As I understand, you had 18
21		representatives allotted to your county; is this right?
22		MR. TURNER: That is right.
23		MR. CUBIT: And when you took this part
24		of Sumner County, then you added one representative and
25		this came from the area that my subcommittee worked on;

is that right?

A

MR. TURNER: That was at the request of your subcommittee.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: You can either count in that yours or you can say Sedgwick has got 19 and you have got 1 less.

MR. CUBIT: We will count it in ours.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: That is right. There
is 18 besides that. Any other questions? Mr. Griffith,
could we hear from you?

MR. GRIFFITH: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, the cold and hot sides of the aisle have been discussing the general proposal of dividing up our county there, and being a populace area like this there was in my mind not a great deal that could be done as long as a person made the districts as compact and contiguous as possible and as long as you did not split precincts and as long as you didn't try to group different groups of people for various or political reasons. With these basic guide lines in mind, I think you can look at this map and see that it is fairly compact and contiguous.

In all sincerity, if I were drawing the map I would have probably changed a few of the boundary lines here and a few of the boundary lines there for one reason

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	A PART OF THE PART
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	4.
17	,
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	:
23	
24	L I
25	5

or the other, but I think the job they have done on it is acceptable. I think that they have cooperated with us to a large extent in drawing the boundary lines and I don't--as far as I am personally concerned and I think the majority of the members of our delegation feel the same way, that this map is acceptable to us as far as Sedgwick County is concerned. I think Jack and his side of the aisle might be somewhat commended on the fact that they did try to be fair within the limitations of what fairness might be. (Laughter)

But seriously I think they have done a fairly acceptable job on it. So that is our position.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Mr. Foster, any of you gentlemen back there want to expound on this explanation?

MR. FOSTER: Not as far as I am concerned.

Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Mr. Bell.

MR. BELL: No, the map is acceptable.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Mr. Coldsnow.

MR. COLDSNOW: I would second the other

gentleman.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Are there any other Sedgwick County people I have missed here now?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Are there any other

questions you would like to ask on this map as it has been presented here to us? I thought I would get Sedgwick County out of the way and then we would move to Sumner.

MR. SLOCOMBE: Mr. Chairman, I must have been looking out the window. How much did he say they averaged in these districts?

MR. VAN CLEAVE: He had 18,000 in one, 17,000 in two.

MR. TURNER: That is the widest, eighteen one and seventeen two.

would like to ask Mr. Turner? If not, now Mr. Turner told you there that the culmination of a portion of Sedgwick County and Sumner County has been put together to form one district, and Mr. Holt here from Sumner County would like to say something along this line.

Mr. Holt.

MR. HOLT: Mr. Chairman, my name is Holt from Sumner County. I did submit the original plan that you see on the map that considers Sumner County and portion of Sumner County that goes to Sedgwick County.

I like to think that we will still have two representatives but I am a little bit doubtful. Since submitting that original plan I have received a number of telegrams

and some calls and some letters from Sumner County objecting. I did submit an alternate but the Sedgwick County delegation hasn't had time to consider it. I just got it in today, and I respectfully request this committee to consider that alternate plan favorably. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Mr. Myers.

MR. MYERS: Elmer, how much does that differ in the population now of the two districts that we are talking about if we took your plan?

MR. HOLT: In the alternate plan it would give the east or the district that Wellington would be in 15,535. That would be closer than the original plan. The original plan was 15,683, I believe. I don't have the maps with me. The Sedgwick County delegation has them and I am reciting this from memory.

MR. FINNEY: I have a question. I don't have any population figures, papers, here with me.

The remainder of Sumner County on the basis of this plan has how much population?

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Do you know, Mr. Holt?

MR. HOLT: That is between 15,553 and

25,053.

MR. CUBIT: We calculated that this morning, Mr. Chairman, and the figure is on the map.

MR. TURNER: That would give us a district then, we would be taking what, 2000 more than we have now?

MR. HOLT: Approximately.

MR. TURNER: Take us up to 19,748.

MR. CUBIT: Mr. Holt, is there good reason to do this? Is this a different type of economy on this side of the county?

MR. HOLT: It would be more compatible with the economy in the west section of Sedgwick County. It would be all farm, approximately all farm land, ranch land, and I think that is what they have, with about the equal number of urban residents.

MR. TURNER: This area of Sedgwick County the City of Haysville which is in Salem Township, Ohio Township only has 417 people in it, it is basically a farming township, as is Salem Township. That is where our orchards are located.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Do you have any further questions? Mr. Finney?

MR. FINNEY: Have you figured out which one is the leading wheat producer in the Wichita area?

MR. TURNER: I am glad you asked that question, Mr. Finney. We have had a problem with the distinguished gentleman from McPherson and we have

4 5

undertaken to plant a number of back yards in hopes that we can get back up to No. 3 in wheat.

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Are there any other questions you would like to ask Mr. Holt or Mr. Turner? I understand Mr. Turner doesn't have his exact figures yet.

MR. TURNER: These maps are complete as to the districts. We are having the legals drawn now.

I will say this, this is a fantastic problem and it has taken the election commissioner and she has a sizeable portion of her staff drawing legal descriptions and it has taken her all week and it is quite an undertaking.

If we did this three or four times we would be here until July.

correct when he said it is a fantastic problem. If you will notice, Wyandotte was presented to us quite a few days ago and we just today got it wrote up. Now, the reviser of statutes, when they start working, will still have trouble because they will run into something that doesn't jibe so they have to communicate with the city to be sure they are correct, and these cities are a real problem when it comes to drawing lines for districts. Are there any other questions? If there are no further questions, we will adjourn for the day. Tomorrow we hope

to hear from Johnson County.

MR. MYERS: Mr. Chairman, will that be after lunch tomorrow or before?

CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: That will be after lunch,
1:30. We will stand adjourned if there are no further
questions.

* * *

BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 18th day of February, 1966, the House Committee on Legislative Apportionment again met for public hearing; whereupon the following proceedings were had.

I know that we all want to get home and this is going to be a brief meeting. So without further ado, you know the purpose of this meeting is to present the Johnson County maps. I will call on Mr. Ken Howard to present the map that he is interested in.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, I have here on the board one copy and another copy here of the map that was just completed last night by the delegation, and it corresponds with these figures. The district numbers aren't on this map but the population is. We go from a population of