

FEDERAL AND STATE AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

March 6, 1969

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman who announced that the Senate has passed liquor by the drink; that he was not going to suggest to any member how to vote, but that everyone should follow his own conscience. He stated that everyone knew his stand on the issue and that he did not want to be accused of lobbying; that no public hearings would be held but that action would probably be taken before too much time elapsed to avoid pressure on members of the committee.

The Chairman stated that the Judiciary Committee had a bill dealing with Notaries Public, the same subject covered in HB 1133; that the sponsors were agreeable to having this bill disposed of. Mr. Andrews moved that the bill be reported adversely. Motion was seconded by Mr. Woodward and carried unanimously.

The Chairman stated that H.B. 1520 is a rather long bill but one that would simply permit the interchange of employees between agencies--federal, state, county and others; that it will be a very vital bill if the Meat Inspection bill passes and that in fact it has been needed for a long time. Mr. Ungerer moved that it be recommended for passage. Mr. Buck seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

The Chairman stated that H.B. 1576 is another of the retirement bills, applying only to one person. Mr. Andrews moved that it be recommended for passage. Motion was seconded by Mr. Buchele and carried unanimously.

Mr. Andrews inquired if consideration could be given to SB 52 since he had been receiving so much pressure; that so far as he knew there was no opposition; that it applies to blind people with seeing eye dogs, because some places denied service to people accompanied by animals. Mr. McCray moved that the bill be recommended favorably. Motion was seconded by Mr. Andrews and carried unanimously.

Mr. Turner discussed H.B. 1473, stating that the subcommittee had drafted an amendment which clarified what the psychologists wishes to do. He stated that the Committee should not take this as an indication of their support of the bill. He then moved that the amendment be adopted. Motion was seconded by Mr. Andrews and carried unanimously. Thereupon, Mr. Buck moved that the bill be recommended for passage as amended. Mr. Andrews seconded the bill, which carried without dissent.

Mr. Turner discussed H.B. 1293 further. He stated that it is difficult to draft the bill to do exactly what he wants. The Chairman inquired if he would be willing to hold this bill over and Mr. Turner stated that this would be agreeable.

Mr. Turner further discussed H.B. 1322, stating that it simply would require banks to pay the same interest rate as treasury bonds would pay. He stated that we have been in effect subsidizing banks to a huge extent. Mr. Turner moved the favorable passage of this bill, which motion was seconded by Mr. Buchele. Mr. Brokaw stated that he had listened to testimony and was convinced that it would take money out of Kansas that should be used in Kansas business; that he believed it would indeed make loans harder to get and more expensive to the borrower. Mr. Turner stated that he had studied the pamphlet prepared by Dr. Daicoff at KU and that he didn't feel it was an entirely true picture. Mr. Everett stated that his delegation wanted him to support the bill and that he would do so but that he sometimes felt that the schools think they are running the state, and that instead he felt it would be better if the excess money went into the general fund for the benefit of the taxpayers rather than a slush fund for the schools to invest. After a great deal of discussion on the part of the committee, Mr. Turner renewed his motion, which failed to pass by a vote of 4 yes to 15 no. Thereupon, Mr. Mikesic moved that the bill be reported adversely. Motion was seconded by Mr. Ungerer and carried by a vote of 13 yes and no dissents.

The Chairman stated that HB 1319 would allow school districts and others to invest funds under the present law the same way that cities are allowed to do now. Mr. Buchele moved to amend the bill for clarification by adding "quasi-municipal corporations, in appropriate places and where they are spelled out, to add "hospital districts". Motion was seconded and carried unanimously. Mr. Ungerer then moved that the bill as amended be recommended for passage. Motion was seconded by Mr. Andrews and carried unanimously.

Concerning H.B. 1132, Mr. Turner stated that he had another amendment that would include those people engaged in sub-dividing plats. Mr. Newcomer stated that his people had no objection to the other amendments previously passed but that there was a question that this overlapped with the surveyors, but that they would not oppose the bill at this time, as proposed to be amended. Mr. Turner stated that he had talked to Mr. Linde and that he had withdrawn his objection. He moved that the amendment be adopted. Upon second the motion carried unanimously. Mr. Turner then moved that the bill as amended, be recommended for passage. Motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

Mr. McGill announced that the sub-committee on H.B. 1466 would hold a meeting upon adjournment Monday.

The meeting was adjourned.

March 6

---

Session of 1969

---

## HOUSE BILL No. 1576

By Committee on Federal and State Affairs

3-3

---

AN ACT concerning certain county employees; providing for prior service credit with the Kansas public employees retirement system under certain circumstances and conditions.

*Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:*

1     Section 1. Notwithstanding the provisions of K. S. A. 1968 Supp.  
2     74-4913 to the contrary, a county employee who first became a  
3     member of the Kansas public employees retirement system on  
4     October 1, 1964, having been first employed on October 1, 1963,  
5     by a participating county employer which affiliated with the retire-  
6     ment system on January 1, 1962, having over fifteen (15) years  
7     prior service with an adjoining county which became a participating  
8     employer on January 1, 1968, shall receive prior service credit for  
9     employment with any participating employer for any employment  
10    performed before January 1, 1962, whether such employment was  
11    continuous or not. A prior service annual salary of four thousand  
12    two hundred dollars (\$4,200) shall be used in computing the prior  
13    service benefit.

14    Sec. 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after  
15    its publication in the statute book.

*March 6*

*return to  
mg for  
minutes*

March 4, 1969

Memorandum

To: James W. Bibb, Budget Director  
Department of Administration

From: John K. Corkhill, Executive Secretary  
Kansas Public Employees Retirement System

Re: Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1576.

House Bill No. 1576 provides for crediting of prior service with the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System for a qualifying county employee.

This bill would increase the member's annual retirement benefit by \$672 or his monthly benefit by \$56. It would require an additional reserve figure of \$7,425.

There are no fiscal administrative ramifications to this bill.

Very truly yours,

John K. Corkhill  
Executive Secretary

JKC:sk

Name Eugene S. Snell

Social Security Number 509-09-4328

Additional Annual Benefit \$672.00

Additional Monthly Benefit \$ 56.00

Present Monthly Benefit (if any) \* NONE

Additional Reserve Figure \$ 7,425.00

Comments: \* Member not presently retired.

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

## HOUSE BILL No. 1576

By Committee on Federal and State Affairs

3-3

---

AN ACT concerning certain county employees; providing for prior service credit with the Kansas public employees retirement system under certain circumstances and conditions.

*Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:*

1     Section 1. Notwithstanding the provisions of K. S. A. 1968 Supp.  
2     74-4913 to the contrary, a county employee who first became a  
3     member of the Kansas public employees retirement system on  
4     October 1, 1964, having been first employed on October 1, 1963,  
5     by a participating county employer which affiliated with the retire-  
6     ment system on January 1, 1962, having over fifteen (15) years  
7     prior service with an adjoining county which became a participating  
8     employer on January 1, 1968, shall receive prior service credit for  
9     employment with any participating employer for any employment  
10    performed before January 1, 1962, whether such employment was  
11    continuous or not. A prior service annual salary of four thousand  
12    two hundred dollars (\$4,200) shall be used in computing the prior  
13    service benefit.

14    Sec. 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after  
15    its publication in the statute book.