MINUTES OF THESENATE COMMITTEE ONJUDICIARY					
Held in Room 519 S, at the Statehouse at 11:00 a.m. Many on January 20, 19 78.					
All members were present except: Senators Steineger, Allegrucci, Gaar					
The next meeting of the Committee will be held at <u>ll:00</u> a.m./pxxx, on <u>January 24</u> , 19 78.					
XYSKHKKYKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK					

Chairman Ornlysy

The conferees appearing before the Committee were:

Senator Jan Meyers

Gwen Berghorn - Specialist & Consultant on Child Abuse and Neglect Barbara Sabol - Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services

Marion Vernon - Mental Health Association

Judy Weaver - Junior League of Topeka

Vincent DeCoursey - Kansas Catholic Conference

Judy Runnels - Kansas State Nurses Association

Henry L. Heibert - Kansas Magazine Wholesalers

Staff present:

Paul Purcell - Legislative Research Department Jerry Stevens - Legislative Research Department

<u>Senate Bill No. 587</u> - Crimes and punishments, sexual exploitation of a child.

Senator Meyers, the author of the bill, appeared in support of the She stated she became aware of the problem last summer from magazine and newspaper articles she read. The same concept has been considered at the federal level. From her reading, she became convinced that the problem is growing and is so widespread that 20 states have either introduced a bill or have considered one. She requested that subsection 2 of section 1 of the bill be deleted. Committee discussion with Senator Meyers followed, including discussion of the constitutionality of the bill, its relationship to federal obscenity law, and first amendment considerations. Other matters discussed included the situation of a person under 18 being married and the spouse taking nude photographs; and the possible relationship of this bill to Senate Bill 474, which deals with the issue of promoting obscenity to minors.

Gwen Berghorn spoke in support of the bill, as an individual, since the board of her organization had not taken a position. She stated the time has come to recognize responsibility to minors of the state and protect them to the best of our ability. She would like to see Judiciary

Senate Minutes of the .

Committee on .

January 20

SB 587 continued -

the language in subsection 2 deleted, and the bill passed this year. A copy of her statement is attached hereto. Committee discussion with her followed, including art work that might display children, and movies such as "The Excorcist."

Barbara Sabol, of the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services, testified in support of the bill. She gave some background statistics. She stated 6 states have a law prohibiting the use of children in production of pornography.

Marion Vernon, of the Kansas Mental Health Association, testified in support of the bill.

Judy Weaver, of the Junior League, appeared in support of the bill. She stated her organization is preparing a position paper on the subject.

Vincent Decoursey, of the Kansas Catholic Conference, spoke in support of the bill. A copy of his statement is attached hereto. He urged subsection 2 be deleted.

Judy Runnels, of the Kansas State Nurses Association, appeared in support of the bill.

Henry Heibert, appearing on behalf of the Kansas Magazine Wholesalers, stated he supported the concept of the bill. He stated that he feels there are certain problems in the bill with regard to the magazine He stated that subsection lc would cause particular problems for the magazine industry. He suggested that the bill be amended to protect the magazine industry. Reference was made by a committee member to the National Geographic and some of the pictures contained therein. Mr. Heibert commented that the Kansas obscenity law is one of the most clearly written statutes.

The chairman complimented the conferees on their concise and clear presentations to the committee. He announced that Senate Bill 474, dealing with the crime of promoting obscenity to minors, would be heard by the committee on January 26.

Senator Berman requested that the committee introduce a committee bill dealing with judicial review of orders of the Commission on Civil Rights. He stated that Senator McCray had requested the committee to introduce a bill; it would be identical to HB 2271, which was introduced last year, assigned to the House Judiciary Committee, but notacted upon. Senator Berman moved to introduce such a bill; Senator Simpson seconded the motion. The chairman commented that he had mixed feelings on the motion, because he had no objection to the committee introducing such a bill, but he did have a strong aversoon to duplicate items of proposed legislation. Senator Berman indicated that he agrees with not having duplicate pending legislation, Page 3

CONTINUATION SHEET

Minutes of the	Senate	Committee on .	Judiciary	January	20 197	78

and that he would suggest that if the committee introduced such a bill, that ${\tt HB}$ 2271 be reported adversely. Following committee discussion, the motion carried.

The meeting adjourned.

These minutes were read and approved by the committee on 2-7-78.

<u>GUESTS</u>

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

NAME	ADDRESS	ORGANIZATION
Elvonre Hughes	Leav. Ks.	STUDENT
	Leav. Ks Topeka	Student Mentel Health asin
Pat Mc Kinley)	. "	Mental Skeeth Cos.
Meeeon Cenon	Tajaeka	Premat Specialist
(Joen Dergharn	Laurencets	Consultant & Specialest Child abuse & Regard
gran White	Top.	An. League Topaka
Barbara Merdinger	Lageka	Ja Lugue Topela.
Beata Weiss	Topeha	ASC Ministries
Menor allowing	Alma Ex	House Cotholis Conference
Charles I. Samm	SRS-Topeka -	Stale off. Bldg
Charles V. Sammy Judy Weauck	Jopeka	J. Jeague - Topeka
Wendy W. Walker	Twin Falls, od.	
Grath & Runnels	Topeka	Lo State-Nures Amer
Muchelle Praniro	Leavenworth	Student Ist Many Rollege
& Dunne becke	71	allege 11
Callen Faerchild Dan Barrett	. (/	
Dan Barrett	RN#/Walcarusa 15	Student
"Klen Rechardson	KCSL - Lapekee	
Cellan A. Fagle H	104KPL Tawer	Nos magazine wholesalers.
Allan A. Hazlett	3.6	_1/ 16 1
Ja Remplex	1050 Republican	
Blabal	Pepeka	SRS
	V	

GUESTS

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

Bonita Yoder 1909 Vermont Hein's Legislative Intern
Randall Becker

Stave Stave Toxeka TP.D.

Why Make St Many College Leavenwalk

Mathew Bolson Hate Bly,

Mindy Bergner Toxeha Toxeha

Toxeha Cup Journal

Senate Judiciary Committee January 20, 1978 11:00 A.M. Room 519 - S

Re: Hearing on S.B. 587

I am here today to testify in support of Senate Bill 587 as an individual citizen of the State of Kansas. I am a licensed Master of Social Work employed as a Specialist and Consultant on Child Abuse and Neglect by the National Committee for Prevention of Child Abuse.

I am pleased that this piece of legislation has been introduced and comes before the 1978 Legislative Session. Sexual exploitation of children has occurred for many years and we, the citizenry have been unwilling to recognize that fact, and therefore put an end to these acts. I believe the time has come to recognize our responsibility to minors of the state and protect them to the best of our ability.

I am concerned about one aspect of the bill as it is now written. The Phrase "for a legitimate scientific or educational purpose" (line 0029) is, I believe vague. Who is to define "legitimate," "scientific," or "educational?" I would not like to see Senate Bill 587 kept from passing this session due to non-agreement over this phrase. Specific definition of these key words would, however, close a possible loophole in the bill which could render it ineffective should it become law.

Thank your for your time and attention.

Gwen Berghorn, LMSW

Specialist and Consultant on Child Abuse and Neglect

(from Berghorn

TESTIMONY: Vincent DeCoursey

Executive Director, Kansas Catholic Conference Senate Judiciary Committee, January 20, 1978

S. B. 587

I wish to thank the committee and Senator Meyers for the opportunity of testifying on Senate Bill 587: an act defining and classifying the crime of sexual exploitation of a child.

My first observation is the fact that such a law be necessary is a sad commentary on the state of morality in this nation of ours. Sexual conduct that has been considered criminal since the founding of our country is now seemingly either tacitly or explicitly approved by law. Only when the horror becomes outrageous, as in the case of sexual exploitation of a child for profit or for private amusement, does the necessity for legislation seemingly become persuasive.

We commend the passage of this act. We do however strongly urge that Section 2. be deleted. It is inconceivable that the photographing, filming, or displaying in any way of a child engaged in sexually explicit conduct could ever be for a legitimate scientific or educational purpose. In our judgment such language really places obstacles in the way of enforcement of the law, and is a loophole which will be taken full advantage of by all sorts of creatures who pander to the sexually deviant.

Elimination of Section 2. would strengthen the bill. With its removal we fully support S. B. 587.