MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON J	UDICIARY
Held in Room519 S_, at the Statehouse at11:00 a.m./pxxx, on	January 27 , 19 <sup>78</sup> .
All members were present except: Senators Mulich and Hein	
The next meeting of the Committee will be held at 11:00 a.m./xxxx.,	on

Cleverne Horneroy

The conferees appearing before the Committee were:

Joan Wagnon - YWCA
Susan Fisher - Attorney
Judy Teusink - Kansas Women's Political Caucus
Kathy Calvillo - Women's Center of Topeka
Francine Young - Private Citizen
Virgil T. Kelley - American Legion, Centralia
Joe Krahn - Department of Transportation
Senator Larry Rogers

## Staff present:

Art Griggs - Revisor of Statutes Paul Purcell - Legislative Research Department

Senate Bill No. 579 - Civil action to protect adults and children from abuse by persons residing with them.

Senator Parrish, co-author of the bill, explained the bill is copied from a bill passed in the state of Pennsylvania. He stated that abuse of family members is a growing problem that has not been properly recognized. Abuse is not necessarily always induced by alcohol. Abuse occurs in fifty to sixty percent of marriages. This bill seeks to provide some alternatives. He stated that the primary benefit of the bill is in providing emergency relief. He pointed out that lines 102 and 103 appear to contain some errors in grammar. He stated several other states have looked into this area; California provides emergency transportation and shelter. He stated this bill should be a vehicle for serious review.

Senator Berman, the other co-author, said that fundamentally today, in Kansas, a battered spouse can only avail himself or herself of the criminal justice system or file a petition for a decree of divorce or separate maintenance. These spouses will not avail themselves of the criminal justice system and do not want a divorce. This bill provides an alternative to use on a temporary basis.

Joan Wagnon, of the YWCA, appeared in support of the statements made by Senator Parrish. She supports the bill, although she feels it Minutes of the

Judiciary Committee on .

January 27

SB 579 continued -

Senate

Committee discussion followed.

does not go far enough. She stated the problem of abuse is a public matter and not just a private matter. She stated her organization has had to give emergency help to nine women this week. She related several cases they have been involved with.

Susan Fisher spoke in support of the idea of the bill, but feels it does not provide sufficient legal remedies. She stated persons need permanent restraining orders, and emergency relief. problems experienced in the legal system. She stated there is a primary need for housing, outside of private homes. She stated that the police are willing to provide some support, although police feel it is dangerous to go into a domestic situation. In response to a question as to how to get the police to be more willing to become involved, she stated that one problem is that a lot of battered spouses are not willing to pressscriminal charges. Committee discussion with her followed.

Judy Teusink testified in support of the bill, and a copy of her statement is attached hereto. She stated that domestic disturbance calls to the Topeka Police Department during January and February, 1977, averaged 28 to 34 calls a day. She stated the whole constellation of behavior of the man and woman involved in this kind of assault describes one of the most distressing scenes in family life today.

Kathy Calvillo spoke as an individual who has worked with victims of spousesassault. She said the bill does not go far enough; it provides no deterrent to further abuse nor does it allow the victim to recover damages for medical costs that are the result of injuries. her statement is attached hereto.

Francine Young, a former battered wife, related her personal experiences as a battered wife.

Senate Bill No. 593 - Wrongful making of improvements by entitiess having power of eminent domain. Senator Rogers, the author of the bill, explained the purpose of the bill and how it would protect the landowner. He stated he desired to provide protection to allandowner who can't afford a court fight.

Virgil Kelley appeared and explained to the committee the problem the American Legion Post in Centralia had when a pipeline was installed in the wrong place and not on the easement that had been Committee discussion with him followed. obtained.

JoeeKrahn, of the Kansas Department of Transportation, stated that if the bill is passed in its present form, it will cause serious ramifications. He urged that the bill be amended to provide the

Page 3

Minutes of the \_

CONTINUATION SHEET

Senate

\_ Committee on \_\_\_Judiciary

January 27

SB 593 continued -

court some discretion in such instances.

The meeting adjourned.

These minutes were read and approved by the committee on 2-9-78.

### GUESTS

#### SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

ADDRESS NAME ORGANIZATION 3115 Shachow Josek Darkara Merchine Judy Weave Tecen Richardson Ho. Cheldren's Server League Box 5314 Sopeka K. Dept of Transportoren Jordan Seitz 5+ARZ Off, Bldg. Farm whe How Women's Center 1268 Western 17 woodlawn Battered Women Jack Sopeka 66606 Force of Shawnee Co dalie Hrider 117 woodlawn Jusan Fraher 820 Quincy Topeka 225W 12 4W Jan Wagnon Durky Calvelle Wimen's Center of apeka 1268 Westun Mental Health ason. Jim Claussen 1268 Western KDOT. 7th Fl. for Krahn 702 Dyler Beata weess State Matt Berson Fathleen Salselius KTLA Topelia Hal Dudson Topola KPL Mindy Bergne I pela Kay Nomen's Political Cance Judy Tensink 1 opeka oan whate Junior Georgie of Lopeka Junda Manbray 1415 Collins genator any logue amstrong-Moyes Post 216 RR Centiclia Ks. Gled Miles In Wells

### GUESTS

#### SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

NAME

Jon Corley Trail Ti Rolley

Charle V. Harm

Azre Quant 2

Cathy Heinbrgner

Carol Geatman

**ADDRESS** 

R.R. Centralia Kei

State of Blog

Come City

K.C.K.

Lawrence,

Toperca

ORGANIZATION

american Legeon

292

United methodist

United Methodist Goeth

Batterso Women lask Force THE KANSAS WOMEN'S POLITICAL CAUCUS
TESTIMONY ON SENATE BILL 579
BEFORE

THE SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

\*

JANUARY 27, 1978

My name is Judy Teusink and I am the registered lobbyist for the Kansas Women's Political Caucus. I am speaking in favor of Bill 579. There has been quite a bit of publicity lately surrounding the person we have come to know as the "battered woman". The whole constellation of behavior of the man and woman involved in this kind of assault describes one of the most depressing scenes in family life today. The man, often brought up with violence himself, continues the pattern in his own marriage. The woman, thinking she has no recourse, or worse yet that its a woman's place to accept the beatings, stays in an intolerable situation. Even if she does seek legal assisstance, in Shawnee County she is told to give herself a three-day cooling off period before pressing charges.

It is tempting to harangue the committee with scenes of apparent domestic tranquility that are instead horror scenes of despair. Suffice it to say that domestic disturbance calls to the Topeka Police Department during January and February 1977 averaged 34-28 calls a day. The percentage of these that are assualts against females by males with whom they live is very high. The passage of Seante Bill 579 would take steps to provide immediate aid to people who need to get out of the abusive situation and furnish the physical means to cope with a very devestating experience. The Kansas Women's Political Caucus is very pleased that the sponsers of this bill took action toward a partial remedy for abuse within the home.

Testimony of Kathy Calvillo on Senate Bill 579 January 27, 1978

#### Gentlepeople:

I am speaking to you today as an individual who has worked with victims of spouse assault and has done some serious thinking into the problem of family violence.

When I talk about spouse assault, I am not talking about someone who loses his temper and perhaps once slaps his wife.

Instead, I am talking about a "violence syndrome" that usually begins in the first year of marriage, sometimes before, and escalates in both violence and frequency until finally the victim ends up in the hospital as a result of the beatings. I am talking about broken ribs, arms, legs, fractured skulls, and concussions. One assaulter stomped on a woman's hand when she tried to use the phone to call for help and broke those delicate bones. I am talking about victims that are maimed for life as a result of repeated beatings. I am talking about pregnant women who misscarry due to repeated blows to the stomach.

Weapons include not only fists but also feet, the ever present cowboy boots do a lot of damage, as well as just about any hard or sharp instrument found in the home. It is not uncommon to have a victim report a gun being held to her head with threats of murder if she presses charges or lets anyone know of the incidents. Murder is indeed related to spouse assault. A study by the Kansas City and Detroit police departments reported that in 80% of the

family murders studied, the local police had responded to at least five domestic disturbance calls from the home prior to the murder.

Why does the woman stay? The reasons given are largely economic. Many of these women have either never worked or not worked since the first child was born. If they leave, they have no place to stay and no way to feed and clothe their children. The unattractive option of welfare is often their only option.

Fear of further violence is another deterent. It is not uncommon for an assaulter to follow her to her place of refuge and beat her more severely than before. Society must facilitate the victim getting out of a violent situation and back in control of her own life. Senate bill 579 does just this and I am in support of this legislation.

It does not go far enough, however. It provides no deterent to further abuse nor does it allow the victim to recover damages or medical costs that are the result of injuries. I am thinking of the woman who spends two weeks in a hospital with a fractured skull or broken limb. She cannot sue her husband for medical costs, loss of earnings, or permnament damage done. I know of one woman who is totally blind in one eye and partially blind in the other due to repeated assaults to the eyes. She will be maimed for the rest of her life, and will have difficulty in earning a living and caring for herself and her children. Yet from she cannot recover to her former husband for the injuries she sustained.

In conclusion, I urge the passage of Senate bill 579 and also urge you to strengthen the bill by including a provision by which a victim may recover damages due to an assault. We need to make the assaulters responsible for their actions.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak. I am now open for questions.



# WOMEN'S CENTER OF TOPEKA, INC.

1268 WESTERN PHONE-913-357-7650 TOPEKA, KANSAS 66604

February 2, 1978

Senator Elwaine Pomeroy Statehouse Topeka, Kansas 66612

Dear Senator Pomeroy:

During the heat of my cross-examination by Senator Steineger after my testimony on Senate Bill 579, I responded that I was unaware of a case in which a restraining order was violated by an abusive husband. This is not true and I apologise for my omisson.

Let me inform you of a case handled by the Battered Women's Task Force the week prior to the hearing. The victim was housed at a volunteer home for her safety, she filed for divorce and a restraining order was served on her husband. Once the restraining order was served, the victim felt it was safe to return home. This was not the case. The victim's husband called the family home. discovered that the victim was there, and said he was coming to "get" her. The victim called the police to protect her and they were present when her husband arrived. Neither the restraining order, nor, oddly enough, the presence of the police prevented the assaulter from attempting to harm his wife. Due to the fact that the husband was abusive to the police officers, he was jailed for the night. However, he was released the next morning. He is now free and we are hiding the victim. We know, now, that it is not safe to return her to her own home to which she is entitled. A restraining order does not stop a fist, or a murder.

This is not the only case, we have others. This case is cited as an example. I thank you for this opportunity to present this information, and I am willing to consult with the committee further if necessary.

Sincerely.

Kathy Calvillo

Member, Battered Women's

Kathy Calvillo

Task Force