10 10 /			
Approved	February	15,	1983
ripproved	-	Date	

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON AGR	ICULTURE AND LIVESTOCK	
The meeting was called to order by Rep. Bill Fuller	Chairperson	at
9:00 a.m. XXX on February 2	, 19 <u>83</u> in room 423-S	of the Capitol.
All members were present except: Rep. John Solbach,	excused	

#### Committee staff present:

Bruce Hurd, Revisor of Statutes' Office Raney Gilliland, Legislative Research Department Kathleen Moss, Committee secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

The Minutes of the meetings of January 27 and 28, 1983 were approved as written.

Chairman Fuller called attention to the Committee schedule and said the Thursday meeting has been canceled because of the severe snow storm and also no meeting on Friday of this week if we complete our work today.

Chairman Fuller said the committee was being provided information that supply answers to questions brought up during previous discussions. See Attachments Nos. 1, 2 and 3. Larry Woodson, Director of the Meat and Poultry Inspection Division of the Kansas State Board of Agriculture explained the material provided.

After considerable discussion, Rep. Campbell moved that the Chairman draft a letter to be sent to various members of the Legislature, Ways and Means Committees and the Governor expressing the Committee's recommendation to continue state funding for the State Meat Inspection Program. The motion was seconded by Rep. Apt. and was approved with no dissenting votes.

Chairman Fuller expressed appreciation to the Committee for listening to the facts presented during the several days of hearings without making a political issue out of the Meat Inspection program. He felt the Governor is sincere in wanting to cut state expenditures.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:36 a.m.

The next meeting will be at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, February 8, 1983.

### GUEST REGISTER

DATE Feb 2, 1983

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND LIVESTOCK

CC	DWWITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND LIVESTOCK	
NAME	ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS
may Foster	Lance St Roy O of ag.	Topeka
Jany D. Woodson	Kansas St. Board of ag.	"
Bernie Vansen	KMPA	Alma -
Lary Schuff	Km PA.	Alshen
Mais & Mage	Div. of Budget	Topeka
agan Conray	Div. of Broget Dir. of the Budget	11
Mike Beam	1<14	Topseha
B. Cunshan	CKFO	//
Da SACKA	KSBA	Popula

Mr. Chairman . . . . . . Members of the Committee

When we talk about meat inspection or consumer protection, I think it is important to remember that man has always attached a significant priority to the source and the handling of his food or his meat.

In EXODUS 22:31, we find the following passage:

"Ye shall not eat any flesh that is torn by beast in the field: Ye shall cast it to the dogs."

In DEUTERONOMY 14:21

"Ye shall not eat anything that dies of itself"

In Leviticus 7:19

"flesh that touches any unclean thing shall not be eaten"

I must conclude that one of the highest priorities then  $\dots$  and now is a source of safe, wholesome, healthy meat.  $\dots$ 

Earlier in the hearings, you heard that the inspection program could be turned over to the Federal Government and that there would not be any plant closings nor would there be any extra expense on the part of the plant operators. You even heard that all of the state employees could go to work for the federal government.

I believe that the documents that I have for your evaluation will clearly indicate the ramifications of going federal.

page 1

one state failed to establish a program

five states failed to meet the "equal to" requirements

the balance gave for funding reasons

Status on the "Day of Designation" July 1st for Kansas

On the first day of a federal program, one would not expect too much change. You still have 18 months to submit blueprints and an additional 18 months to make structural changes.

On day one, we find a decrease of 8.1% in the number of official plants. We also find a decrease of 4% in the number of custom plants. This is what happened on day one in the other 24 states that went federal. Day one. . . .

Now, if I can direct your attention to the third page . . . .

Impact of Designations on total number of Meat & Poultry Plants

From Designation to 1980. Now let us remember we are talking of the track record now . . . . a period of 10 years . . . . not one state . . . . not after one year, three years, . . . but all figures on all states as of January 27, 1983.

We find a decrease of 1,157 plants (official). That is 1,157 plants that are no longer producing products under inspection.

In the exempt category, we find an increase of 1,260 plants. That is an increase of plants that were unable to justify upgrading their structures and were forced into exempt status.

Let us remember that an exemption sounds good until you figure that you have to give up from 20-40% of your income. Would you voluntarily give up 20-40% of your income??? Selling uninspected meat to make up the difference.

Now let me direct your attention to the last page.

This page shows us how many positions there were in the states before and after federal takeover and how many state employees were hired by federal. I do wish to point out that this number represents the number hired. . . . .

not the number a few weeks or a few years later after they have had to join the union, been transferred, or did not enjoy working for the federal government.

We find that 62% were hired by the federal government on the day of designation.

Let us think about the decision to work for the federal government.

State Retirement . . less than 10 years of service, you have 5 years to remove it. It does not transfer nor does it count towards federal retirement.

Sick Leave . . lost . . . . so what, you say . . .

The projected sick leave for our 81 positions on July 1 would amount to 47,467 1/4 hours for a dollar equivalent of 459,967.65 dollars. Even if only 62% of our staff went to work for federal, they would sacrifice \$285,000 worth of sick leave earned in state service.

Annual Leave . To reimburse all employees on July 1 will cost us \$152,394.63 dollars. If 38% are able to find other work with the state, we will still pay \$94,000 in unused annual leave benefits.

In review of these statements we find that

- 1. The majority of state programs were designated due to economic considerations.
- 2. That there was a significant number of plants that closed.
- 3. There was a significant number of plants that were forced to switch to an exempt category.
- 4. That you do have to make significant sacrifices under an exempt status, i.e. no more speciality items, no HRI, etc.
- 5. That there is a hardship placed on the 81 employees involved that would collectively cost then \$285,000 in sick leave.

### Thus, to abolish this program:

- it would cost the industry!
- it will cause plants to go under an exemption giving up 20-40% of their business
- it will cause a hardship on state employees
- it will affect the marketing of livestock in rural communities
- it will affect the price the consumer has to pay for his food
- it will produce more uninspected meat that could potentially endanger public health . . . that is your families and your children as well as mine
- As there are those that try to put a price on inspection, I ask if they also are able to put a price on health or on life itself. . .

House Agriculture IX.A. Updated January 24, 1982

### DATES USDA ASSUMED INTRASTATE INSPECTION

		Reasons	1	Reasons
State	Meat	for	Poultry	for
3000	11000	Designation	routery	1
Arkansas	6/01/81	C	1/02/71	Designation
California	4/01/76	C	4/01/76	D
Colorado	7/01/75	Č	1/02/71	C
Connecticut	10/01/75	C	10/01/75	Ç Ü
Georgia	10/01/73		1/02/71	D
Idano	7/01/81	С	1/02//1	D D
Kentucky	1/14/72	В	7/28/71	В
Maine	5/12/80	С	1/02/71	
Massachusetts	1/12/76	C	1/12/76	C D
Michigan	10/03/81	C		I
Minnesota	5/16/71	В	1/02/71	D
Missouri	8/18/72	С	8/18/72	C
Montana	4/27/71	В	1,702/71	0
Nebraska	10/01/71	В	7/28/71	B
Nevada	7/01/73	С	7/01/73	C
New Hampshire	8/07/78	C	7,01/75	C
New Jersey	7/01/75	C	7,01/75	C
New York	7/16/75	- C	4/11/77	C
North Dakota	6/22/70	A	1/02/71	
Oregon	7/01/72	C	1/02/71	D D
Pennsylvania	7/17/72	В	10/31/71	В
Rhode Island	10/01/81	C	10/01/81	С
South Dakota	/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1/02/71	D
Tennessee	10/01/75	С	10/01/75	C
Utah		<u> </u>	1/02/71	D
Washington	6/01/73	С	6/01/73	C
West Virginia			1/02/71	D
	I		1/05//1	U

- A Failed to Develop Program
- B Failed to Meet "Equal To" Requirements
- C Voluntarily Discontinued Program Due To Funding Problems
- D In 1971, voluntarily requested designation of intrastate poultry plants: either because the State had limited intrastate poultry or was concentrating efforts in developing an intrastate meat inspection program.

IX.B.1.

October 1, 1982

DESIGNATION: Intrastate Plant Status Before and After Designation

				On Day of Designation				
	Plants U	nder Sta	te	Plants Under Federal   Percent of Stat				
Designated	Juris	diction		Juri	sdiction		Plants Under Federal	
States	Official	Exempt	Total	Official	Exempt	Total	Jurisdiction	
Arkansas* (M)	101	70	171	92	80	172	101%	
California (M&P)	375	469	844	358	458	816	97%	
Colorado* (M)	79	47	126	81	52	133	105%	
Connecticut (M&P)	84	12	96	80	11	91	95%	
Idaho * (M)	77	86	163	74	75	149	92%	
Kentucky* (M)	116	68	184	79	61	140	76%	
Maine* (M)	32	69	101	31	68	99	98%	
Massachusetts (M&P)	107	35	142	88	27	115	81%	
Michigan* (M)	354	54	408	316	92	408	1003	
Minnesota* (M)	162	268	430	92	240	332	77%	
Missouri (M&P)	270	197	467	249	178	427	91%	
Montana* (M)	53	48	101	52	40	92	91%	
Nebraska* (M)	94	134	228	82	- 130	212	93%	
Nevada (M&P)	14	12	26	11	12	23	88%	
New Hampshire (M&P)	19	25	44	16	27	43	98%	
New Jersey (M&P)	182	12	194	171	13	184	94%	
New York (M&P)	478	66	544	451	66	517	95%	
North Dakota* (M)	-	-	206	51	128	179	87%	
Oregon (M&P)	100	70	170	100	70	170	100%	
Pennsylvania* (M&P)	517	169	686	520	. 118	638	93%	
Rhode Island (M&P)	30	4	34	28	6	34	100%	
Tennessee (M&P)	109	82	191	103	88	191	100%	
Washington (M&P)	71	109	180	71	109	180	100%	

All States identified with an \* did not establish a State poultry inspection program.

North Dakota, Minnesota, Kentucky, Nebraska and Pennsylvania did not develop a State meat inspection program "equal to" the Federal law.

All other States have requested Federal Government to assume intrastate plant jurisdiction because of financial constraints at the State level.

Updated January 27, 1983 IX.B.2.
IMPACT OF DESIGNATIONS UPON TOTAL NUMBER OF MEAT AND POULTRY PLANTS
(OFFICIAL AND EXEMPT) - DECEMBER 1980

	(OFFIC	IAL AND E	KEMPT) - DE	CEMBER 1980	abana Fana	V
	V.		Change	in Plant Nu	to 1000	rear or
D		ear gnated		Designation icial	Exe	mo t
Designated	Meat	Poultry		Decreases	Increases	
States	meat	Poultry	Increases	Decreases	Increases	Decreases
AL						
AK AZ						
AR	1981	1971**		9	10	
CA	1975	1975	78	<del>                                     </del>	143	<del> </del>
CO	1975	1971**	70	95	29	<del></del>
CT	1975	1975		16	7	<del> </del>
DE	1373			10		<del></del>
						<del> </del>
FL GA		1971**	<del></del>	21		3
HI HI		13/1		<del></del>	<del></del>	<del> </del>
10	1981	1971**	27		40	<del> </del>
IL I	1901	13/1			40	<del> </del>
IN I				<u> </u>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
1A				<del> </del>		<del> </del>
KS					<del> </del>	
KY KY	1972	1971		58	45	<del> </del>
LA	1372	13/1		30	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
ME	1980	1971**	5	<del> </del>	11	<del> </del>
MD	1300	13/1	<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
MA	1976	1976		74	6	<del> </del>
MI	1981	1971**		126	82	<del> </del>
MN	1971	1971**		171	20	<del></del>
MS	13/1	13,1		<del> </del>		+
MO	1972	1972		104	78	<b>+</b>
MT	1971	1971**		48	74	
NE	1971	1971		23	45	
NV	1973	1973	13		+	2
NH	1978	1978		6	8	<del>                                     </del>
NJ	1975	1975		69	21	<u> </u>
NM		1				
NY	1975	1977		163		16
NC NC						
UN	1970	1971**	<u> </u>	111	5	<del></del>
ÜH	1	<del>                                     </del>			<u> </u>	·   · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
OK	1	<del> </del>				
OR	1972	1971**		39	80	
PA	1972	1971		78	266	1
RI	1981	1981		2	2	
SC	1	1	<u> </u>			
SD	1	1971**	<u> </u>	11		
TN	1975	1975		10	19	
ΤX	1	<del> </del>	1			
υŤ	1	1971**	1	8	60	
VŤ		1	<del> </del>			
VA.	<del> </del>	1				
WA	1973	1973	31		159	
WV	1	1971**		15	50	
ŵi	<del>                                     </del>	1	1			
ŴŶ	<del>                                     </del>					
<del> </del>		CHANGES	154	1,157	1,260	21

\*Federal and State inspected plants were combined for a pre-designation total. If meat and poultry programs were designated in different years, data from first designation date is used.

<sup>\*\*</sup>States that did not establish a State poultry inspection program.
Source of Data: Report to Congress and regional MPI offices for 1980
Exempt Plant Counts.

IX.C.

October 1, 1982

### MPI-FO Assumption of State Programs (On Days of Designations)

Position and Personnel Comparisons (Full-time or Full-time Equivalent)

Γ	State	Federal	$\neg$
State	Positions	Positions	State
and	Prior to	After	Employees
Assumption Date	Designation	Designation	Hired
North Dakota (6-22-70)	2	29	0
Montana (2-27-71)	23	37	10
Minnesota (5-16-71)	3Ĉ	71	5
Nebraska (10-1-71)	55	68	35
Kentucky (1-14-72)	90	64	54
Oregon (7-1-72)	65	67	47
Pennsylvania (7-17-72)	210	288	135
Missouri (8-18-72)	130	115	109
1			(Includes 6
		1	Part-time)
Washington (6-1-73)	49	50	30
Nevada (7-1-73)	8	9	3
Colorado (7-1-75)	41	41	23
New Jersey (7-1-75)	57	39	33
New York (7-16-75)	265	177	135
Connecticut (10-1-75)	38	31	23
Tennessee (10-1-75)	79	60	51
Massachusetts (1-12-76)	40	28	18
California (4-1-75)	315*	275	214
New Hampshire (8-7-78)	9	4	4
Maine (5-12-00)	11	12	6
Arkansas (6-1-31)	62	71	55
Idaho (7-1-81)	38	. 28	24
Rhode Island (10-01-81)	5 .	3	0
Michigan (10-03-81)	130	155	78

<sup>\*</sup>An additional 134 federally inspected plants were staffed by 108 full-time equivalent State employees under the T/A agreement. Also approximately 30 personnel were retained by State to conduct additional State inspection such as rabbits, squab, rendering plants and additional custom exempt meat and poultry activities.

House Agriculture Committee



# KANSAS STATE BOARD OF AGRICULTURE

109 SW 9th Street Topeka, Kansas 66612

MEAT AND POULTRY INSPECTION DIVISION

LARRY D. WOODSON, Director Phone (913) 296-3511

January 20, 1983

HARLAND E. PRIDDLE Secretary

### # OF PLANTS UNDER INSPECTION

	Dec. 31 1982	Dec. 31 1981	Dec. 31 1980	Dec. 31 1979	Dec. 31 1978	Dec. 31 1977	Dec. 31 1976
Fully Inspected	198	193	189	192	191	192	190
Custom Only	22	26	28	30	29.	28	33
Custom Curtis	23	23	23	23	26	27	31

### FULL TIME POSITIONS STAFFED

	Dec. 31 1982	Dec. 31 1981	Dec. 31 1980	Dec. 31 1979	Dec. 31 1978	Dec. 31 1977	Dec. 31 1976
Admini- strative	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Clerical	4	4	4	4	4	5	6
Veterina- rians	3	4	4	4	4	4	5
Agric. Insp. II	6	7	8	8	8	8	10
Compliance Officer	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Chemist	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Training Officer	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Agric. Insp. I	57	60	59	59	62	60 .	71
Laboratory	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
TOTAL	76	81	80	80	83	83	*98

\*06-30-77 Abolished T/A Poultry

11 positions

Atch. 3

### STATE INSTITUTIONAL COMPLIANCE ACTIVITIES

Quarter Reporting	# of Samples Taken	# of Contract Violations
OctNovDec. 1982	168	85
July-AugSept. 1982	188	37
AprMay-June 1982	157	54
JanFebMar. 1982	156	63
	669	239

36% of the samples taken at state institutions in  $1982\ \text{did}$   $\underline{\text{not}}$  meet institution specifications.

Quarter Reporting	# of Samples Taken	# of Contract Violations
OctNovDec. 1981	176	91
July-AugSept. 1981	158	51
AprMay-June 1981	197	90
JanFebMar. 1981	108	38
	639	270

42% of the samples taken at state institutions in 1981 did  $\underline{\text{not}}$  meet institution specifications.

## NUMBER OF HEAD KILLED

CALENDAR YEAR	1982	1981	1980	1979	1978	1977	1976
Cattle	78,984	79,656	81,294	83,086	88,017	87,697	102,608
Hogs	37,402	49,101	62,838	54,948	36,813	36,112	34,524
Sheep & Goats	5,429	5,047	4,222	3,362	3,276	3,399	3,682
TOTALS	121,815	133,804	148,354	141,396	128,160	127,208	140,814