Date	
MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND LIVESTOCK	
The meeting was called to order by <u>Rep. Lloyd Polson</u> Vice Chairperson	at
9:00 a.m. XXX on March 28, 1983 in room 423-S of the Ca	apitol.
All members were present except: Chairman Fuller, excused	
Committee staff present:	
Bruce Hurd, Revisor of Statutes' Office Raney Gilliland, Legislative Research Department	

Approved <u>April 8, 1983</u>

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Kathleen Moss, Committee secretary

Vice Chairman Lloyd Polson explained to the committee for the benefit of anyone who did not know, that Chairman Fuller entered the hospital Friday evening late and tests are being run to find out what the problem is. We do wish him God speed and whatever the problem is will be found out and sent speedily back to us. Vice Chairman Polson felt it might be incumbent upon this committee to establish a flower fund. Rep. Hamm moved that everyone leave a dollar with the secretary to send something appropriate to Rep. Fuller. Rep. Apt. seconded the motion and motion carried.

Rep. Apt moved the Minutes of the March 22 meeting be approved. Rep. Roenbaugh seconded the motion and the motion carried.

Vice Chairman Polson stated that there are several Minutes from other meetings and one other meeting will be scheduled later to discuss interim committee proposals and the Minutes can be approved at that time. The meeting will not be this week.

Vice Chairman Polson informed the committee that we are now on the substitute motion made by Rep. Flottman last Thursday. There was considerable committee discussion concerning the work of the interim committee on <u>SB 1</u>. Vice Chairman Polson read a statement from Chairman Fuller. It is attached to these Minutes.

Question was called. <u>Voice vote was taken on Rep. Flottman's substitution motion</u>. <u>The substitute motion failed</u>.

Vice Chairman Polson stated that the committee is back on the original motion to amend.

Rep. Buehler made a substitute motion to pass SB 1 favorably as amended. Rep. Johnson seconded the motion. A technical amendment is needed on Lines 99 and 102 to remove the quotation marks. Staff explained that a motion is not necessary to remove the quotation marks. Another possible amendment was discussed but it was the consensus of the committee that the bill will go to conference committee and they could work it out.

Question was requested. <u>Voice vote was taken on the substitute</u> motion to pass SB 1 as amended and substitute motion carried.

Vice Chairman Polson again reminded the committee that there will be a meeting next week.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:30 a.m.

## GUEST REGISTER

DATE March 28,1983

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND LIVESTOCK

NAME	ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS
MARVIN R.WEBB	K3541D	Topoha
Gary M. BaThwell		
Speda		
Hal Tohnson	Leg-Post-antif	Toycho-
John Blothe	KUF B.	manhaltan
Genald Riley	KAW, G	Dighton
Gran Carolint	L GFDA	Marjon
To Godena	KSCPA	Topeha)
Jan Vaceh	Do Soc. GCPA	Topeka
Vale M. Sprague	Publ. Acot assn of Ks	Topeho
t .		
,		
The state of the s		

I regret that I can not be with you at this meeting of the Ag. & Livestock Committee today.

After several hours of discussion Friday with several members of this committee, individuals who attended the interim meetings and the grain industry, I respectfully request that this committee seriously consider no further amendments, except possible technical amendments to SB-1, even though I can understand the concern relative to the "audit", "review" and "compilation". The bill as now written is appropriate at this time. Here are some of my reasons:

- 1) Not allowing the compilation by management firms will place a financial burden on a number of warehousemen which at best will present a hardship, and may even threaten their continued existence.
- 2) Additional costs to the business will be passed on to the grain customer.
- 3) Excessive new requirements by this package of warehouse bills may force some grain firms to acquire a <u>Federal</u> License which had a lower bond requirement -- and without supervision under Kansas law.
- 4) The main management firm that is operating in Kansas is a <u>quality</u> operation producing a variety of services to its clients that <u>strengthen</u> their operations -- no failures have occurred in these operations.
- 5) A compilation is adequate and <u>approved</u> for warehousemen who do business with the Federal Commodity Credit Corporation.
- 6) The controversy of "review vs. compilation" was <u>not</u> born in the hearings by this House Committee -- the present bill evolved after consideration earlier by both the interim and Senate committees.

I trust this committee will pass SB-1 out today in a form which provides <u>balance</u> through reasonable requirements for warehousemen <u>and</u> adequate protection for grain producers.

Good Luck!

Rep. Fuller