Approved Ined Apen 2/1/83

MINUTES	OF THE	SENATE	COMMITTEE ON	AGRICULTURE	AND	SMALL	BUSINESS	
WIII (CILO								

The meeting was called to order by Senator Fred A. Kerr
Chairperson

All members were present except: Senator Ross Doyen (Excused)

Committee staff present: All were present.

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Ivan Wyatt, Kansas Farmers Union
Gerald Riley, Kansas Association of Wheat Growers
Dale Sprague, Kansas Assn. of Independent Public Accountants
Chris Walker, NFO
Becky Crenshaw, Committee of Farm Organizations
Tom Tunnell, Kansas Feed and Grain Dealers Association
John Blythe, Kansas Farm Bureau
Nancy Kantola, Kansas Coop Council

Senator Allen moved the January 26, 1983 committee minutes be approved, seconded by Senator Norvell. Motion carried.

Senator Kerr stated the committee will start working Senate Bills 1-6 next Tuesday.

SENATE BILLS 1 - 6

Ivan Wyatt presented his testimony as contained in Attachment 1. Mr. Wyatt stated he thinks the set of bills overall are good but the cause of many elevator failures is not dealt with. He feels until there is a prohibition of speculation and delayed or deferred pricing there cannot be a return of confidence to the elevator industry. Action should not be taken that might drive smaller local elevator operators out of business, such as setting bonding levels too high. He agrees with Senate Bill 3 in that the Director of the Grain Inspection Department should become the temporary receiver and also feels the legislature should direct the court to appoint the State Director of Grain Inspection Department to be the receiver, which he feels would speed up a bankruptcy settlement.

Gerald Riley stated they endorse all the bills. However, they would like to see a change in Senate Bill 1, line 58, from "compilation" to "review". ($\underline{\text{Note Attachment 2}}$)

Senate Bills 2-4 - no changes recommended.

Senate Bill 3 - In lines 129 and 132, he recommended changing six months to three months.

Senate Bill 6 - He would like to see the director of KGID appointed by the Kansas Grain Advisory Board or the Kansas Board of Agriculture which are regulatory groups, rather than by the Governor, which would a political appointment, with no term specified other than as long as the duties are performed property.

Dale Sprague presented his testimony (Note Attachment 3) stating he does support Senate Bill 1 but feels the top priority should be that a third party look at the financial records of an elevator with no relationship between a management firm and an elevator. In answer to a question, he indicated he especially thought the compilation option in line 58 should be changed to a review.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND SMALL BUSINESS room 123-S, Statehouse, at 10:00 a.m./pxxx on Thursday, January 27, 1983 , 19

Chris Walker stated they feel these bills do address some of the problems and anything which can be done to secure the grain for the farmers they certainly favor. They feel a speeding up of settlements should be considered.

Testimony as presented by Becky Crenshaw is contained in Attachment 4. Senate Bills 1-6 have been endorsed by the Committee of Kansas Farm Organizations stating these bills seem to be preventive rather than reactive in nature and they feel this is a less costly and more effective approach.

Tom Tunnell distributed <u>Attachments 5, 6 and 7</u> indicating under Senate Bill 1, it should not show any deviation from current CCC storage requirement language.

He feels any qualified management firm would be a credible firm to perform the accounting requirements.

Senate Bill 3 - He feels the six months should be changed to ninety days (lines 129 and 132). Mr. Tunnell stated their association would oppose putting the KGID under the control of the Kansas Board of Agriculture.

Mr. Tunnell stated their association supports these bills in concept.

Senator Norvell questioned the word "should" over "shall" in the second paragraph of Attachment 6.

John Blythe presented his testimony (Note Attachment 8). He read the policy statement of their organization as shown on Pages 1-2 of this attachment. Mr. Blythe stated if a bond is too high it would force elevators to go to federal status since their bonds are lower. He suggested in Senate Bill 3 on lines 129 and 132, "six months" should be changed to "120 days or three months".

Mr. Blythe stated the KGID is funded completely by fees and he feels the \$200,000 paid to the State General Fund is too high, and his organization would like to see it reduced to \$30,000. He feels the bills are important.

Nancy Kantola distributed <u>Attachment 9</u>. She stated their organization would support stronger disclosure of an elevator's financial condition but would certainly go along with Senate Bill 1 as written. The organization is opposed to an indemnity fund which would be costly and not speed up settlement because it would not pay off unless exact losses were known.

Senate Bill 2 - they are opposed to a certain date being set out since their elevators send out statements after an audit or at the end of a fiscal year.

They are in favor of two inspections per year by the KGID, and they feel Kansas has a good record in its grain warehouse industry.

The meeting was adjourned.

#############

SENATE

AGRICULTURE AND SMALL BUSINESS COMMITTEE

'10:00 a.m., Room 423-S

.Thur<u>sday, Jan. 27, 198</u>3 Date

Carald Riley Dighton, Ks KAUG.	
	ancil
Son Kede Jopeken KEGID	
Say M. Rollwell Forefor K56 ID Ronald & Tommen Pawnee Rock Self	
Dale W Burley Concordia InTERN/Rep B.	Fuller
Hel Johnson Lamen LIA Marie Pyloto 1910 Tages	
Jenny Did Grotan, wheat grow	miles
Station Diet : Treston whent for	
	/

attachment, 1/27/83

Statement

of

Ivan W. Wyatt, President

Kansas Farmers Union

before

The Senate Committee on Agriculture and Small Business

on

SB-1, SB-2, SB-3, SB-4, SB-5, SB-6
(Elevator Bankruptcy)

Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee:

I am Ivan Wyatt, President of the Kansas Farmers Union.

Needless to say, the purpose of these proposed bills have to be two-fold. One, to protect the farmers from losses caused by grain elevators going into bankruptcy or foreclosure and second, to return farmers confidence in the elevators operating within the state, especially the independent, privately owned grain elevators.

Everytime I dump a load of grain in one of those elevators, I think of what's been happening—I don't like that—because I know the people operating those elevators are good hard working people trying to make a living for themselves and their family, but still I worry everytime I dump a load of grain, just, as I'm sure, every other farmer does also.

That's the task before us, to return the confidence of the farmer in his local grain elevator, confidence that his grain is as safe as reasonably possible.

This nation's banking system was in a similar situation during the early 30's, but proper legislation returned the people's confidence in our banking system. We must do the same for the grain elevator industry in Kansas.

However, we have to be wary we do not take actions that might drive the smaller local elevator operators out of business, such as setting bonding levels too high, etc. To do this would not serve the best interests of the farmer if it forced him to transport his grain further, especially at harvest time.

I think this set of bills over all are a good set of proposals.

However, the cause of many elevator failures is not dealt with in these bills.

During the interim hearings, the problem of warehouseman speculating on commodity futures was spoken to and rightly so, as national figures indicate this is the major cause of elevator failures, both big and small, that figure is something over 95%.

The Kansas Farmers Union policy speaks directly to this problem and calls for the prohibition of state licensed warehousemen speculating on Commodity Futures except as a management tool in "legitimate hedging."

The Farmers Union policy also calls for the prohibition of the use of delayed and deferred pricing schemes, another major factor in elevator failures.

In most cases annual statements of deposits as in SB-No.2, and more in depth audits should give advance warning of operations that may be drifting into financial difficulties. However, in the case of a ware-houseman involved in speculation of commodity futures, a massive loss can happen so suddenly, that even more frequent audits cannot pick up pending disaster.

Some of you may recall the incident back in December, 1980, when one of the state's larger farmers was ruined financially when he lost almost \$800,000 in four days in the futures market.

Such a loss can and may happen to any of the states licensed warehousemen.

There is no way the state can prevent the farmer from suffering a financial loss if he unknowingly places grain in one of these operations if bankruptcy or foreclosure occurs.

Even though only a few state licensed elevator operators may be involved in speculating on the futures market, but as long as it is allowed, a cloud of doubt or question hangs over every independent elevator operation in the state.

Until there is a prohibition of speculation and delayed or deferred pricing there cannot be a return of confidence to the elevator industry in the state.

We agree with Senate Bill No. 3 that the Director of the Grain Inspection Department should become the "temporary receiver" when examination indicates the need. However, after proper court procedures and if the facts warrent the courts appointment of a "receiver", the Legislature should direct the court to appoint the State Director of the Grain Inspection Department to be the "receiver".

In the past, we have seen court appointed "receivers" allowing simple settlements drag on for months and years; whereas the State Director would already be acquainted with the operation and understands the grain business. His expertice and experience should speed up settlements and prevent the long drawn out settlements of the past.

1/27/83 attackment 2 WHEREAS the Kansas Farm economy was a topic of concern of interim study in 1982;

WHEREAS the Kansas Farm economy continues to be an issue of importance to the Kansas Legislature since the well-being of the state's economy is closely intertwined with the stability and vitality of Kansas agriculture; and

WHEREAS the producers of this state are dependent upon state governmental agencies to provide services which ensure safety, quality, and reliability of all types of farm products in both the consumers' and producers' interests; and

WHEREAS one of the most importanct duties of the state is providing the examinations, inspections, overseeing of state licensed public grain warehouses so that producers and farmers have a safe and reliable facility in which to deposit grain;

WHEREAS the importance of the duties of the state in this area are overwhelming when it is noted that Kansas is the traditional leader in U.S. wheat production, traditionally ranks either first or second in grain sorghum production, ranks second in the nation in number of cropland acres, and ranks first in the nation in commercial grain storage capacity, with a 512,284,992 bushel capacity in 655 state licensed warehouses under the direct supervision of the Kansas State Grain Inspection Department; and

WHEREAS the quality of the services provided to the grain warehousing industry and the resulting safety for depositors of grain has never been so essential as it is in this period of time with farm prices being at near all time lows in comparison to the rest of the countries; and

WHEREAS some recent apparent warehouse failures have amplified the importance of the reliability of the state inspections; NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Kansas Association of Wheat Growers that we as an Association work for the enactment of the following:

- A. Enhance the penalties for violation of public warehouse laws;
- Up-date the financial requirements for warehouse licensees in Kansas to meet the new Federal Warehouse Laws;
- Give the authority to the Director of the Kansas Grain Inspection Department to maintain grain in a facility after it has been closed by the Department;
- Require all Kansas public warehouses to send out statements to producers and/or depositors on an annual basis;
- Have a step-by-step procedure to be followed when an elevator is in trouble or has declared bankruptcy; this procedure should be carried out by the Attorney Generals office;
- The Director of the Kansas Grain Inspection Department should not be appointed by the Governor but should be appointed by the Kansas Grain

Inspection Department Advisory Board or by the Kansas Department of Agriculture or other appropriate State agencies to serve an indefinite period at the discretion of the hiring body;

- G. All state licensed elevators should have two (2) full inspections per year;
- The audit should be up-graded to a review if not to a full unqualified audit;

The KAWG further reminds producers that it is up to them to pick a reputable public warehouse to do business with and to watch for the following six signs that an elevator is in trouble and headed for a bankruptcy:

- 1. Consistently offers a higher bid;
- 2. Consistently offers to store grain cheaper;
- 3. Offers to pick up grain at the farm for the same price as delivered grain;
- Provides deferred pricing contracts with no service charge; 4.
- 5. Offers a premium price if you will wait a few days for your money;
- Manager begins talking about how much money he made or lost in the futures market: Gerald Piley, Kalen of Wheat Growers

attachment 3-1/27/83

PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ASSOCIATION OF KANSAS

REGARDING S.B. 1

Mr. Chairman, members of the Committee, I am Dale M. Sprague, Legislative Counsel for the Public Accountants Association of Kansas (PAAK). Accompanying me today is Mr. Glen Gillmore, a partner in the accounting firm of Sink & Gillmore, Manhattan, KS, and Chairman of the PAAK Legislative Committee. We appreciate this opportunity to appear before the Committee in support of S.B. 1.

For your understanding, PAAK represents over 200 professional men and women and firms performing auditing, review, accounting, tax and management services throughout the State of Kansas, particularly to rural and farming interests and small businessmen, on a year-round basis, and should not be confused with seasonal tax preparers. As Public Accountants, PAAK members perform most of the same services as Certified Public Accountants (CPA) under professional guidelines. The Kansas Legislature has traditionally recognized and endorsed this right of individuals to engage in the practice of public accounting without restriction, thereby guaranteeing open, competitive pricing of accounting services within the consumer marketplace, a point particularly keen to farming interests and small businessmen distressed by current economic conditions.

Turning to the purpose of S.B. 1, PAAK shares the concern of this Committee for the protection of individuals who store grain in public warehouses licensed under the Kansas Public Warehouse Laws in light of the increased bankruptcies within the industry and supports S.B. 1. Throughout the 1982 Legislative and Interim Sessions, PAAK carefully monitored this Bill's progress. Our prime interest has been assuring legislative continuation of policies supportive of the practice of public accountancy by professional accountants whether CPA's or not. S.B. 1 recognizes and endorses this tradition in our State and strengthens grain warehousers licensing requirements, providing better financial controls.

Thank you for your attention to my report, Mr. Chairman, and please feel free to ask any questions. I will answer them to the best of my ability.

Dale M. Sprague PAAK Legislative and Legal Counsel

11 adment 4, 1/27/83

Testimony of the

COMMITTEE OF KANSAS FARM ORGANIZATIONS

with respect to

SB 1-6

presented by

Becky Crenshaw Legislative Agent

to

Senate Committee on Agriculture & Small Business

Senator Fred Kerr, Chairman

January 27, 1983

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, my name is Becky Crenshaw. I'm the legislative agent for the Committee of Kansas Farm Organizations, a coalition of 22 agricultural organizations representing Kansas farmers and ranchers on state legislative issues. Our committee certainly appreciates the opportunity to present our views on senate bills 1-6.

The Committee of Kansas Farm Organizations, as many of you know, require unanimous support before adopting a policy position. The committee has unanimously agreed to support the concept of senate bills 1-6.

This topic was studied extensively during the interim and I see no reason to reiterate all the reasons necessitating some revision in the Kansas warehousing laws.

Senate Bill 4, which strengthens the penalties for crimes committed under the Kansas Public Warehouse Law is an important part of this package of bills. We are hopeful that strengthening such penalties will act as a deterrent to the crimes of fraud, theft, or embezzlement of grain stored in public elevators.

The members of the interim committee extensively studied the grain warehousing situation and we feel they have presented logical legislative proposals as a result of their study. Senate bills 1-6 seem to be preventive rather than reactive in nature and we feel this is a less costly and more effective approach. Bills 1-6 will help to solve some of the problems of public grain warehouse failures and we respectively urge their favorable consideration.

attachment 5, 1/27/83

TESTIMONY

of

KANSAS GRAIN AND FEED DEALERS ASSOCIATION

on

SENATE BILLS
1 THROUGH 6

Submitted by:

Tom R. Tunnell January 27, 1983

I am Tom R. Tunnell, Executive Vice President of Kansas Grain and Feed Dealers Association, Hutchinson, Kansas. Our Association is a voluntary organization that has as members approximately 95% of all grain and feed firms doing business in Kansas. Our membership includes both private and cooperative owned country and terminal grain operations with a total licensed storage capacity of approximately 750,000,000 bushels.

The Kansas Grain and Feed Dealers Association supports in concept Senate Bills 1 through 6. It is our position that these bills address most major areas of concern and offer a reasonable cost effective approach to providing added protection to Kansas producers that store grain in commercial state licensed elevators.

As you are all aware, Senate Bills 1 through 6 are the product of extensive hearings conducted this past Summer and Fall by the Special Interim Agriculture Committee. During these hearings several other ideas were considered such as an indemnity fund, increasing warehouse bond requirements, special insurance fund, unqualified audits and so on. None of these alternatives were recommended by the Interim Committee because the obvious cost versus benefit relationship was not justifiable.

It is the opinion of our Association too, that any further changes to the Kansas Warehouse Law other than those proposed in the six bills presently before this Committee, would certainly be costly overkill.

Page 2

Comments regarding each bill.

Senate Bill 1

All changes proposed in this bill are acceptable as drafted.

However, I caution this Committee against any deviation from current

Commodity Credit Corporation audit requirement language.

You have heard earlier testimony stating a commission firm compilation report is inferior to a review or an audit. I offer this matieral (hand out material) recently received from the Commission firm of Benson-Quinn Co., Minneapolis, Minnesota as evidence of the obvious competency of such firms. Presently, there is no firm similar to Benson-Quinn Co. in operation in Kansas, but I can not understand the advisability of prohibiting the possible future establishment of a service organization of this type in our state. I can only believe that a competent Commission firm could provide accounting expertise as well as other needed services to Kansas grain warehouses at very competitive prices.

Senate Bill 2

I have visited with several KGFDA members about the annual notification of depositors requirement called for in this bill and find the general consensus is, compliance would present no problem. Senate Bill 3

The maintenance of stored commodities in a defunct grain elevator is extremely important. We support any effort to expedite the appointment of a person to be responsible for that maintenance. Six months to settle and complete receivership seems excessive, ninety days should be adequate.

Senate Bill 4

The Kansas Grain and Feed Dealers Association has always supported strong criminal penalties for the crime of grain conversion by a warehouseman.

We are also pleased with the fine increase from \$5,000 to \$10,000 for selling encumbered grain.

Senate Bill 5

We support making the Attorney General responsible for prosecuting violators of the Kansas Warehouse Law.

Senate Bill 6

Changing the term of office for Director of Kansas Grain Inspection Department from two years to at the pleasure of the Governor helps add continuity to the office. Our Association would oppose putting the KSGID under control of Kansas Board of Agriculture.

I appreciate the opportunity to be here today to testify on these important issues and would be happy to respond to questions.

#

Ottachment 6 1/20/83 Copy to Toutola



Agricultural
Stabilization and
Conservation Service

P.O. Box 2415 Washington, D.C. 20013

الرين المستعمل المالية

NOV 29 1982

Mr. Tom Tunnel Grain and Feed Association 1722 North Plum Hutchinson, Kansas 67501

Dear Mr. Tunnel:

This is in response to your telephone request for information concerning the criteria used by the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) for approving management or commission firms.

CCC has determined that to qualify as an approved management or commission firm, a firm should maintain no ownership interest in the contracting firm. Additionally, the firm should provide some management control of grain merchandising and/or provide financing of the elevator's operations.

An applicant seeking approval is requested to submit the following information in writing to the Deputy Vice President of CCC:

- 1. The accounting and management services that are performed;
- 2. The name and/or title and functions of the firm's personnel providing the service. The qualifications of these personnel and those of the accountant, if any;
- 3. The frequency of the services performed;
- 4. What role the firm has in management decisions or recommendations, if any; and
- 5. List of warehousemen subscribing to the firm's services.

At present, the Miller Grain Company and the Evans Grain Company of Salina, Kansas, commission firms, have been approved in the State of Kansas. Nationally, we have approved six management or commission firms.

Sincerely,

Menie D. Markman

Deputy Administrator Commodity Operations



RECF WED JAN 2 1 1983



January 19, 1983

Mr. Tom Tunnell
Executive Vice President
Kansas Grain and Feed Association
1722 North Plaza
Hutchinson, Kansas 67501

Dear Mr. Tunnell,

Enclosed please find a copy of the letter I prepared for Mr. Raymond D. Lett at USDA regarding elevator audits.

I have enclosed a copy of a quarterly statement prepared by our firm and the year-end compilation report which has been approved by Commodity Credit Corporation.

I hope this will provide answers to some of your questions, if not please feel free to call.

Yours truly,

BENSON-QUINN COMPANY

Roy O. Pinske, Vice President

ROP/dls

October 23, 1981

Mr. Raymond D. Lett
Executive Assistant to the Secretary
U.S.D.A.
Agricultural Stabilization and
Conservation Service
Post Office Box 2415
Washington, D. C. 20013

Dear Mr. Lett:

Why CPA audits proposed for UGSA warehouses are not workable in Minnesota, North and South Dakota.

Grain commission companies and their country services departments have provided stability to elevators in Minnesota, North and South Dakota for over a half century. We strongly recommend that this service continue as in the past for the protection of local farmers from bankruptcies.

What is a grain commission company and what are their country services.

- 1. The merchandising department of a grain commission company looks for a market and the best price possible for country elevators who consign their grains for sale.
- Country services of a grain commission company.
 - (a) Provides seasonal financing for purchasing supplies and marketing patrons' grains.
 - (b) Acts as grain agent for country elevators doing business with Commodity Credit Corporation.

Mr. Raymond D. Lett October 23, 1981 Page Two

- (c) Assists directors in obtaining qualified management.
- (d) Provides director and management seminars in marketing, management and record-keeping.
- (e) Offers the services of an accounting staff who are specialized in accounting for grain elevators.

There are four commission companies based in Minneapolis, Minnesota --Benson-Quinn Company, Atwood-Larson Company, Kellogg Commission Company and GTA (Grain Terminal Association) -- that provide accounting services to over 650 elevators in the three states of Minnesota, North and South Dakota. Because of state accounting laws, these companies are not allowed to provide audits as the legal word infers. A compilation report (prepared in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards) is prepared for these 650 plus elevators. Sample copies of a compilation report as prepared by a grain commission company accountant are attached. A detailed examination of these reports will show the importance grain commission companies place on all money transactions and inventory transactions, whether they be actual inventory purchases or sales or delayed pricing, future delivery contracts or any other future payment contract or future delivery contract entered into with a farmer patron. In addition to annual reports, commission companies provide quarterly and monthly reports to elevators they serve.

Some of the concerns we have with the current proposal (CPA audits) are:

- 1. The expertise that exists in the country would not be able to continue under proposed guidelines. Note: Although commission companies have many CPAs and LPAs on their staff, they will not be able to provide the audit service because by state law they are restricted to compilation reports.
- Minnesota, North and South Dakota do not have sufficient CPAs who are qualified to audit country elevators. Therefore, even though the CPA audit would be a mandatory proposal, the overall quality of the examination would be less.
- Cost of USDA audit proposal would encourage many elevators to discontinue their uniform grain storage agreement.

Mr. Raymond D. Lett October 23, 1981 Page Three

We in the commission business view the services we provide, along with a bonding company and CCC inspections, as a three-way partnership serving country elevators and their patrons. If any one of the three were no longer present, the stability of the elevator could be affected. If this were to happen, the ultimate loser would be the farmer patron.

Many of the country elevators serviced by commission companies have expressed a concern and want us to convey this message. We strongly urge that the requirement recommending annual audits prepared by a certified public accountant be deleted from the proposed rule-making.

In order to maintain the strength of the audit area, we suggest the following: A grain elevator operating under the Commodity Credit Corporation's Uniform Grain Storage Agreement file an annual financial statement prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards. The following additional, supplementary information should also be a part of the financial report: a detailed weight statement showing grain inventory overages or shortages and a detailed market position showing all purchase and all sales contracts in addition to actual inventories and storage liabilities.

Thank you for your time and consideration. Please feel free to call any time for further information regarding our role in serving a country elevator.

Yours truly,

BENSON-QUINN COMPANY

Gog Canoke

Roy Pinske, Vice President

RP:sk

Attachments

April	21,	1981	

Board of Directors
XYZ Company

_		_		
Can	t	1	emen	٠

	The	acco	mpar	iying	g barai	nce sn	eer o) ₁ —					
as of	March	31	, 1	9 8	l and	Ma	rch 3	31,	19_	80,	and	the	re-
lated	statemen	nts c	of op	era	ions,	membe	rs' a	and	patr	ons'	equ	ity,	changes
in fin	ancial	posit	ion	and	other	data	for t	the	3	mont	hs	then	ended
have b	een com	piled	l by	us.		•							

A compilation is limited to presenting in the form of financial statements, information that is the representation of management. We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on them.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the disclosures (and the statement of changes in financial position) required by generally accepted accounting principles. If the omitted disclosures were included in the financial statements, they might influence the user's conclusion about the company's financial position, results of operations, and changes in financial position, Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We	are	not	independent	with	respect	to		
							· ·	•

Respectfully submitted,
BENSON-QUINN COMPANY

Date March 31, 1981

SEE ACCOUNTANT'S COMPILATION REPORT

BALANCE SHEET

BALANCE SHEET													
AS	ASSETS LIABILITIES & EQUITY								ITY			•	
CURRENT ASSETS	3-3	<u>81–8</u>	1	3-3	1-8	0	CURRENT LIABILITIES	3-:	31-8	1	3-3	31-8	0
Cash on Hand	ي ــ ع	417	ఎ	\$ /	F46	51	Benson-Quinn Co.	\$			\$ /30	ج-7,7	29
Cash in Bank	174	434	ود	75	185	34	Outstanding Checks	185	ورج	76	76	بر 4 ۾	9/
Benson-Quinn Co.	4	2,5	5.0				Price Later Conts.	8%	157	ه تو	75	6.47	00
Accounts Receivable	154	ನುವ	67	74	786	79	Deferred Payment Contracts				_74.	325	00
Secured Advances							Open Scale Tickets	527	772	555	91	५५५	35
Grain in Transit	1271	044	31	1.139	780	97	Wage Taxes Payable	بنے	555	بدر	7	691.	15
Grain Inventory in Elev	دريو زـ	١٧٠	50	1,4%	7.59	F-1	Accounts Payable	11	174	ヹゔ	17	961	77
Less: Storage Liability	17221	کرد	24	1.370	O&5	78	Notes Payable Current				350	<u>∵)</u>	γ ગ
Company Owned Grain	681.	307	<i>5</i> =6	126	114	04	Current Portion, Long Term Debt	41	950	00			
Mdse. Inventory	/33	aff	ć8	58	728	41	Accrued Loss, Open Trades	4-	537	50	35	157	50
Accrued Storage	- 75.	500	٥ <u>٥</u>	31	500	٥٥	Accrued Expenses	-777	-×2	14	-75-	ە تىل	3-4
Accrued Gain, Open Trades							Patrons' Cred. Bal		34.	4.3	15	009	5.5
Prepaid Expenses	17.	345	54	12	461	56	Dividends Payable	136	580	24	9=	<99	65
							Prov. for Income T	ax F	415	مح برء	<u> </u>	<u>.~5.5</u>	ار د
							Grain Advances	864	547	00	170	.200	ود
Total Current Assets	SJ.387	દલ્હ	57	\$1,570	914	50	Total Current Liabilities	\$1,901	974	73	8/185	シ、ご	~.,6
OTHER ASSETS							LONG TERM LIABILITIES						
Accounts Receivable	\$			\$			Notes Payable	\$			\$		
Less: Res. for Bad Debts	()										
Unsecured Advances							Less Current						
Equities in Other Co-ops	417	164	11	462	863	56	Long Term Notes Payable						
	·					<u> </u>	Investments Notes	رنبې	950	در	947	7.5	۱. دی
							Less Current	41	シベン	07			
Total Other Assets	\$ 417	10:4	11	\$ 462	863	56		\$			\$ 4/	350	- 5
PROPERTY, PLANT & EQUIP.							MEMBERS' & PATRONS' EQUITY :						
Buildings & Equipment	\$1,573	106	نى	\$/4474	159	6-1	Capital Stock (Common)	\$ 157	2:0	دد	\$ 157	12.5	00
Equipment							Capital Stock (Preferred)						
Transportation Equipment							Revolving Capital	1,518.	. ۱۶۲۶	56	1,244	047	~<
							Cert. of Interest	./-	500	رد	14	500	> >
							Capital Reserve	\:\tag{2.53}	ڊيجيز،	1500	1.73	ه په مواسره	-5,0
Total Cost Per Books	8/575	106	25	S/4.24	159	جري							
Less: Accumulated Deprec'n	618	575	10	255	ريد,ع	75							
Land	47	177	60	₹-	يع جا	KS	Profit (Loss)		147			99s	
Net Book Value	\$/.00	Y05	(W	\$ 157.5	مدد	47	Total Equity		i		s/="x:	1	1
Total Assets	\$360,		7/	328	798	(خ.ڪ	Total Lia. & Equity	57.51,0	12.2.2	11	5-75-1	79.1	<u>اد خ</u>

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

From 1-1 1981 to 3-31 1981 3 Months

							101	·									
EXPENSES							Rec	eipts			Sa	ales		n –			
	Yr. Ago	Thi	is Yr		GRAIN	Yr Ag	30	This	Yr	Yr A	(go	This	Yr		rofit Loss)		
Directors Fees					Wheat	ا بــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	166	10	259	<u>و</u>	176	-35	524	2 3	717	45.5	
Salaries & Wages 🙇 🙇	75,552	#31	79/	19	Oats		_	35	284	4.	723	38.	32	ت ا	アズ	95	
Payroll Taxes	3598	4	895	ود	Corn	5455	محا	940	1214	616	571	950	మాగ	7/	596	=>->	
Group Insurance	1.709	/	676	11	Soybeans	43	041	بہجہ	797	103	KK9	77	241	//	***	14	
Group Retirement			<u> </u>					.!		Ĺ							
					Total	491	1/2	1,019	564	7.37	719	1,100	ズム	# 9d	755	94	8.4.
Site & Other Rent	1178				Merchandise/Sa	ales:		Y	r Ago	,		This Yr					
Repairs & Mainten.	3868	¥	414	379	Feed			78	97	ō~	30	77	る~	10	470	13	107
Insurance, Bonds, Licenses	7,925	11	889	97	Fertilizer				71	5~		3 1	5~		306	7_7	77.
Property Taxes	7.612		1		Fertilizer												
	70,16-1	1	434		1												
	3,605	1	545												908	54	53
Telephone, Markets	1,201	1	147	T	l												
Elevator Supplies	375		164												تنتختيه	44	5%
Dryer Fuel					Seed Grain												
Print, Post, Off Supp.	1,156		FFF	25	Other Merchandi	ise											
Exchg. & Bank Charges					Feed Grinding &	Mixing		A		79				3	4/3	40	
Advertising & Promotion	109		445	53	Storage & Handli	ing		II .	24,1-	- 11					、ラクご		
Dues & Publications	162		318	30	Cleaning & Treat	ting				<i>جر</i> ر				ج _	÷75	1.57	`
Legal & Accountants Fees	754		45		Custom Drying					シヨフ			:				
Pest Control	140		1		Trucking				1.6	508					1.55	1.2:	
Meetings & Mileage	934		186														
Truck, Tractor & Auger	1,059	7	147												\prod		
						-									<u></u>		
					Patronage Divider	nds Rec'c	d <u>-</u>		_ 9	254					SSF	FF	
		-			Interest Income				<u> </u>	34				11	313	25	
					Finance Charges					3-34					6.79	ا: >>	
					GROSS PROFI		;s)_	A		3,51	$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$			8 146	4 <u>4</u> 79	96	
Interest	محدو				DEDUCT: TO			ISES .	109	7,0-1	~_			94	ويري	خلا	
Total Expenses					NET PROFIT					449				351	547	50	
		-		-					_								

XYZ Company March 31, 19 81 STATION_ **BOOK INVENTORY OUTSTANDING STORAGE** Price COMMODITY Quantity Amount Quantity Price Amount 4.20 8/16 932 30 4.70 \$ 97 133 40 23/27 17 841 Wheat 3/5/4 701800 7,00 7 04500 3-514 0ats 2.00 394 497 3.00 1.18349100 191304 3,00 57391200 Corn 7.14 1.114 982 40 7.14 1023 454 74 156 160 148341 Soybeans 100 058 68 Merchandise B 7507 723 48 1721226 94 Total **WORKING CAPITAL** 3-311981 11-311951 AS AT 485 680 54 839355 54 833757836 AVAILABLE WORKING CAPITAL 104 602 67 17 775 79 LESS: ACCOUNTS & NOTES RECEIVABLE AND UNSECURED ADVANCES 381 078 17 521 500 85 117 968 44 CASH WORKING CAPITAL (DEFICIT)

		MARKET	POSITION				
COMMODITY	Wheat	Oats	Corn	Beans			
In Elevator	23/27	3514	394497	156,160			
In Transit, Sold					<u> </u>	1	ļ!
In Transit, Unsold			-				
Stored at Terminals							
Contracts of Purchase	500	-59.8	166,759	41243			
Open Futures (Bought)	20,000						
TOTALS	५७,६२७	4114	561,286	197,903			
Outstanding Storage	77.841	3514	191,304	143341			
In Transit, Sold							
Sold To Arrive			340,700	51500			
Open Futures (Sold)			10,000			<u> </u>	
Price Later Contracts	20516						
TOTALS	44357	3.514	55J 004	1 84 نديـ			
LONG		-598.	9-28-2				<u> </u>
SHORT	4730	sa		ع و4 جي			

, m

ANNUAL REPORT

Of The

XYZ COMPANY

As Of December 31, 1980

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

President

Vice President

Secretary-Treasurer

Director

Director

Director

Director

- Manager

<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>

			Page
Acco	ount	ant's Compilation Report	1
EXH			
A		Balance Sheet as of December 31, 1980 and 1979	2-3
В	-	Statement of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 1980 and 1979	4-5
B-	-1 -	Statement of Gross Margins on Sales for the Years Ended December 31, 1980 and 1979	6
С	_	Statement of Members' and Patrons' Equity	7
D	-	Statement of Changes in Financial Position	8
NOTE	ES T	O FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	9-10
SCHI	EDUL	<u>ES</u>	
1	_	Cash	11
2	_	Due From Commission Firm	12
3	****	Due From St. Paul Bank for Cooperatives	13
4	_	Accounts Receivable	14-15
5	_	Inventory	16
6	- .	Storage Liability	17
7	_	Fixed Assets and Depreciation	18-22
8		Equities in Other Cooperatives	23-25
9		Outstanding Checks	26
10	_	Deferred Payment Contracts	27-28
11	-	Price Later Contracts	29-30
12	_	Notes Payable	31
13		Patrons' Credit Balances	32
14	_	Accounts Payable	33
15	_	Accrued Loss on Open Trades	34
16		Investment Notes Payable	35-36
. 17		Weight Statement	37
18	-	Market Position	38
19	_	Comparative Grain Purchases and Merchandise Sales	39
20	-	Insurance in Force	40-42
21		Patronage Dividends Received	43
22	_	Miscellaneous Income	44

Board of Directors XYZ Company

Gentlemen:

The accompanying balance sheet of the as of December 31, 1980 and 1979 and the related statements of operations, members' and patrons' equity, changes in financial position, and other data for the year then ended have been compiled by us.

A compilation is limited to presenting in the form of financial statements information that is the representation of management. We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on them.

We are not independent with respect to the

Respectfully submitted,
Benson-Quinn Company

As Of December 31, 1980 and 1979 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

•		,		
		As Of 12-31-80		As Of 12-31-79
ASSETS			•	
CURRENT ASSETS:	<u> </u>	627 769 61	Ć.	/ O.O. 206 O.O.
Due from Commission Firm Due from St. Paul Bank for Cooperativ Accounts Receivable Accrued Storage Receivable Accrued Interest Receivable Grain Inventory	4	624,468.61 123,074.27 650,000.00 17,775.79 44,026.29 11,883.17 ,162,699.17	2,	489,286.09 162,988.11 200,000.00 14,409.94 35,542.81 4,320.83 788,850.69
Less: Storage Liability Merchandise Inventory Prepaid Expense	(2	,763,373.98) 32,371.95 20,784.90	(2,	162,870.50) 26,006.83 17,442.80
Total Current Assets	\$ <u>2</u>	,923,710.17	\$ <u>1</u> ,	575,977.60
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT: Buildings and Equipment Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$1 s	,457,825.98 598,445.06 859,380.92	\$1,	424,259.62 535,460.20 888,799.42
Land	Υ	45,177.60	γ ,	6,382.60
Net Book Value	\$	904,558.52	ş {	395,182.02
OTHER ASSETS: Equities in Other Cooperatives	\$	472,343.70		462,803.12
Total Assets	\$4	,300,612.39		933,962.74

LIABILITIES AND	EQU!	ITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES: Outstanding Checks Deferred Payment Contracts Price Later Contracts Note Payable	\$	200,481.30 742,204.28 233,419.42 1,000.00		199,354.43 +15,154.45 201,490.22
Patrons' Credit Balances Accounts Payable Current Portion of Long-term Debt		319.40 973,669.18 41,950.00	3	12,524.91 339,225.25
Patrons' Net Margins Payable Provision for Income Tax Accrued Loss on Open Trades Accrued Expense	***************************************	136,880.24 15,000.00 3,037.50 36,392.21	-	95,699.66 29,500.00 17,260.00 33,390.32
Total Current Liabilities	\$ <u>2</u> ,	384,353.53	\$ <u>1,3</u>	43,599.24
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES: Investment Notes Payable Less: Current Portion	\$ 	41,950.00 41,950.00	\$	41,950.00
Total Long-Term Liabilities	\$		\$	41,950.00

As Of December 31, 1980 and 1979 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

	As Of 12-31-80	As Of 12-31-79
LIABILITIES AND	EQUITY	
MEMBERS' AND PATRONS' EQUITY: Common Capital Stock - Authorized 16,000 Shares, Par Value \$25.00, Issued and Outstanding 6,308 and	¢ 157 700 00	¢ 157 125 .00
6,285 Shares Respectively Preferred Capital Stock - Authorized 40,000 Shares, Par Value \$25.00, Issued and Outstanding - None	\$ 157,700.00	\$ 157,125.00
Certificates of Interest Capital Reserve - Allocated Capital Reserve - Unallocated Revolving Capital	14,800.00 1,088.70 208,207.50 1,534,462.66	14,800.00 1,088.70 151,351.84 1,224,047.96
Total Members' and Patrons' Equity	\$1,916,258.86	\$ <u>1,548,413.50</u>
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$4,300,612.39	\$ <u>2,933,962.74</u>

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS For the Years Ended December 31, 1980 and 1979 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

	-	•		
		Year Ended 12-31-80		Year Ended 12-31-79
SALES	\$1	5,624,948.16	Ŝ	9,531,899.75
LESS: COST OF SALES:	'-		٠	
Beginning Inventory	Ś	2,814,857.52	Ś	2,478,716.25
Purchases	. 1	5,688,021.78	т	9,331,381.29
Ending Outstanding Storage		5,688,021.78 2,763,373.98		2,162,870.50
Totals	\$ <u>2</u>	1,266,253.28	\$ <u>1</u>	L3,972,968.04
Less:				
Beginning Outstanding Storage		2,162,870.50		2,130,299.82
Ending Inventory	-	4,195,071.21		2,814,857.52
Totals	\$_	6,357,941.62	\$	4,945,157.34
Cost of Sales		4,908,311.66		9,027,810.70
Gross Margins on Sales	\$	716,636.50	\$	504,089.05
OTHER OPERATING INCOME:			· - -	
Grinding and Mixing	\$	14,146.93	\$	12,865.45
Trucking	•	9,094.30	т	7,699.40
Grain Drying		85,992.16		143,471.38
Grain Cleaning		8,320.96		5,895.33
Storage and Handling		132,505.78		128,382.82
Total Other Operating Income	\$_	250,060.13	\$_	298,314.38
Total Gross Margins	\$	966,696.63	\$	802,403.43
LESS: OPERATING EXPENSE:				
Directors' Fees	\$	1,625.00	\$	1,230.00
Salaries and Wages		157,759.13	•	119,841.12
Payroll Taxes		9,802.17		7,512.84
Group Insurance Site and Other Rents		7,034.54		5,327.54
Repairs and Maintenance		18,335.40		495.00
Insurance, Bonds and License		34,917.07		27,819.37
Property Taxes		47,559.89		27,566.07
Depreciation		32,171.70 81,736.17		31,287.18 77,628.42
Power, Light, Heat and Water		14,998.21		15,946.68
Telephone and Markets		4,901.99		4,192.76
Elevator Supplies		3,538.83		2,626.49
Dryer Fuel		16,700.66		58,612.50
Office Supplies		4,946.64		4,266.67
Advertising		2,313.24		4,714.70
Dues and Publications		281.66		322.00
Legal and Accounting Fees		2,922.64		2,720.35
Pest Control		560.00		512.20
Travel and Meetings		4,325.83		2,744.23
Truck Expense		10,801.72		7,728.49
Patronage Dividend Adjustment				1,583.32
Total Operating Expense	\$	457,232.49	\$ <u>. </u>	404,677.93
Net Operating Margins	\$	509,464.14		397,725.50
The accompanying notes are an integral	part	of these fir	ianc	cial statement

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS For the Years Ended December 31, 1980 and 1979 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

		Year Ended 12-31-80		Year Ended 12-31-79
OTHER INCOME: Interest Income Finance Charges Patronage Dividends Miscellaneous Income	\$	32,477.93 8,855.41 38,264.27 292.00	\$	16,403.88 9,867.63 29,757.22 1,091.93
Total Other Income	\$	79,889.61	\$_	57,120.66
OTHER DEDUCTIONS: Interest Expense	\$_	30,368.22	\$_	44,847.50
Net Margins for Year	\$	558,985.53	\$_	409,998.66

STATEMENT OF GROSS MARGINS ON SALES For the Years Ended December 31, 1980 and 1979 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

•			Sales		Cost of Sales	Gross Margins
	For the	Year E	inded Decer	nber	31, 1980	
GRAIN: Wheat Oats Corn Soybeans Sunflowers Total Grain		8,8 4,7	011,215.63 .65,798.23 .92,920.34 .72,827.55 .4,371.15	_	1,008,637.81 152,905.43 8,398,789.17 4,661,668.40 4,339.48	\$ 2,577.82 12,892.80 494,131.17 111,159.15 31.67
TOTAL GIAIN		γ <u>14,0</u>	47,132.90	Ş <u>1</u>	4,226,340.29	\$620,792.61
MERCHANDISE: Feed Fertilizer Chemical Seed Twine		1 2	20,259.51 08,811.78 08,064.47 38,921.70 1,757.80	\$	360,702.70 93,484.49 187,493.14 38,665.54 1,625.50	\$ 59,556.81 15,327.29 20,571.33 256.16 132.30
Total Merchar	ndise	\$ 7	77,815.26	\$	681,971.37	\$ 95,843.89
Totals		\$15,6	24,948.16	-	4,908,311.66	\$716,636.50
GRAIN: Wheat	For the	\$ 6	nded Decem	ber \$	664,910.13	\$ 28,698.93
Oats Corn Soybeans Sunflowers		4,1 3,8	91,699.23 65,181.43 65,990.65 21,397.88	******	77,616.49 3,900,503.18 3,767,769.65 21,321.08	14,082.74 264,678.25 98,221.00 76.80
Total Grain		\$ 8,8	37,878.25	\$_	8,432,120.53	\$405,757.72
MERCHANDISE: Feed Fertilizer Chemical Seed		18	39,056.99 91,386.34 32,308.92 29,961.25	\$	336,794.85 75,913.97 156,667.43 25,137.17	\$ 52,262.14 15,472.37 25,641.49 4,824.08
Twine			1,308.00		1,176.75	131.25
Total Merchan Totals	dise	•	94,021.50 31,899.75	\$ \$	595,690.17 9,027,810.70	\$ 98,331.33 \$ 504,089.05

122.3

XYZ COMPANY

STATEMENT OF MEMBERS' AND PATRONS' EQUITY (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

	Common Capital Stock	Preferred Capital Stock	of	Capital Reserve Allocated	Capital Reserve Unallocated	Revolving Capital	Current Net Margins
BALANCE - DECEMBER 31, 1979	\$157,125.00					\$1,224,047.96	
ADD: Net Margins for Year Common Stock Issued Prior Year's Dividence Adjustment	1,525.00	·		, , , , , , ,	726.99	(1,525.00)	558,985.53
DEDUCT: Common Stock Redeemed Revolving Capital Redeemed Payment of Income Tax	x))			(31,589.41)	(6,938.62)	·.
Association's Net Marg Capital Reserve Provision for Income	gins:	,			83,847.83		(83,847.83) (15,000.00)
Patrons' Net Margins: Patrons' Net Margins Payable - 30%					3,870.25		(3,870.2)
Revolving Capital Credits - 70% BALANCE -						319,387.21	(319,387.21)
DECEMBER 31, 1980	§ <u>157,700.00</u>	\$ <u>-0-</u>	\$ <u>14,800.00</u>	\$ <u>1,088.70</u>	\$208,207.50	\$1,534,462.66	-0-

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION

For the Years Ended December 31, 1980 and 1979								
(See Accountant's Compilat	101	Report)	~					
		Year Ended 12-31-80	Year Ended 12-31-79					
WORKING CAPITAL PROVIDED FROM:								
Net Margins per Exhibit B Add: Expense not requiring outlay	\$	558,985.53	\$409,998.66					
of working capital:								
Depreciation		81,736.17	77,628.42					
Less: Non-cash Patronage Dividends		(29,935.66)	<u>(23,559.68)</u>					
Working Capital Provided from Operations	\$	610,786.04	\$464,067.40					
Equities in Other Cooperatives Redeemed	_	22,388.56	269.62					
Total Working Capital Provided	\$_	633,174.60	\$ <u>464,337.02</u>					
WORKING CAPITAL APPLIED TO:								
Additions to Property and Equipment: Buildings	\$	39,488.12	\$ 13,412.67					
Equipment	7	12,829.55	33,647.75					
Land Equity in Other Cooperatives		38,795.00 1,993.48	- 3,880.45					
Dividends Payable		136,880.24	95,699.66					
Payments due Within One Year on Long- term Debt		·	_					
Redemption of Capital Stock		41,950.00 950.00	100.00					
Redemption of Revolving Capital		6,938.62	34,324.18					
Payment of Income Tax Adjustment of Prior Year's Dividend		46,589.41 (218.10)	30,447.73 (1,108.32)					
Total Working Capital Applied	s -	326,196.32	\$210,404.12					
NET INCREASE IN WORKING CAPITAL	٧ <u> </u>	306,978.28	\$253,932.90					
	Υ=	300,770.20	9233,3203					
NET CHANGES IN WORKING CAPITAL:								
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CURRENT ASSETS:	^	515 000 60	**** / 07 09					
Casn Receivables	\$	545,268.68 19,411.67	\$511,437.32 (2,319.01)					
Inventories		779,710.12	303,570.59					
Prepaid Expense		3,342.10	8,820.60					
Net Changes in Current Assets	-	,347,732.57	\$821,509.50					
Outstanding Chocks		1 107 07						
Outstanding Checks Price Later Contracts	\$	1,126.87 31,929.20	\$ 51,494.95 201,490.22					
Deferred Payment Contracts		327,049.83	(15,965.74)					
Note Payable Patrons' Credit Balances		1,000.00	-					
Accounts Payable		(12,205.51) 634,443.93	(3,093.10) 249,042.05					
Current Portion of Long-term Debt		41,950.00						
Patrons' Net Margins Payable Provision for Income Tax		41,180.58 (14,500.00)	32,401.06 29,500.00					
Accrued Loss on Open Trades		(14,222.50)	29,500.00 15,800.00					
Accrued Expense		3,001.89	$\frac{6,907.16}{}$					
Net Changes in Current Liabilities	\$ <u>1</u> ,	,040,754.29	\$567,576.60					
NET INCREASE IN WORKING CAPITAL - AS ABOVE	\$	306,978.28	\$ <u>253,932.90</u>					
The accompanying notes are an integral part	: 01	these financ	cial statements					
"UNAUDITED"								

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

- A. Assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses are recognized on the accrual basis of accounting.
- B. No allowance for bad debts is maintained. Doubtful accounts are charged against current income.
- C. Grain is valued at market and reflects appropriate adjustments for grade and location. Merchandise is valued at the lower of cost or market. Inactive items are valued at estimated realizable values. Grain inventories were measured by a qualified third party. Merchandise inventories were taken by the employees and directors. Inventories were priced and furnished by the manager.
- D. Open purchase and sales contracts, including open futures transactions, have been accounted for.
- E. Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Additions and improvements to properties are capitalized. Maintenance and repair expenditures are charged to operations. When properties are retired by sale, the net value is removed and the resulting gain or loss is reflected in income; if retirement is by trade, the net book value is reflected in the valuation of the new property acquired. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the various properties on the straight-line basis.
- F. Equities in other cooperatives are valued at cost or face value. These equities are payable only at the option of the issuing cooperative.
- G. The provision for income taxes is based on federal and state taxable income. Investment credits are recorded as a reduction of federal income taxes. Any unused credits are passed through to the patrons on the basis of patronage.

NOTE 2 - NOTES PAYABLE AND LONG-TERM DEBT

The notes payable and long-term debt at December 31, 1980 are as follows:

- 1. St. Paul Bank for Cooperatives Seasonal Loan:
 Due November 30, 1981 \$ 1,000.00
- 2. Ten Year Building Notes: (7%) Due May 1, 1981

41,950.00

The St. Paul Bank for Cooperatives has extended a bank line of \$900,000.00 to the company to assist in financing the association. At year end \$1,000.00 was in use. The bank line is secured by all personal property and fixtuers, which includes accounts and receivables, inventories, and machinery and equipment.

NOTE 3 - LEASE COMMITMENTS

The company leases seven covered hopper cars from North American Car Corporation at a cost of \$450.00 per month per car. The lease agreement expires in 1984.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

NOTE 3 - LEASE COMMITMENTS (Continued)

The company leases three covered hopper cars from Benson-Quinn Company at a cost of \$440.00 per month per car. The lease agreement expires in 1984.

NOTE 4 - PATRONS' NET MARGINS PAYABLE

The patrons' net margins are debts which were unconditionally payable to patrons in cash and were current liabilities on the balance sheet date. Because the patrons had subscribed an equal amount to the revolving capital and we have been advised that the board of directors will accept 70% of those subscriptions and collect them by setting off each subscriber's debt to the association for his subscription against its debt to him, and because the liabilities will thus be reduced and the revolving capital increased, we have included 70% of the patrons' net margins payable in the capital. Until the patrons' net margins are actually paid, either by set-off or otherwise, they are additional current liabilities and not capital.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

EMPIRE STATE BANK Cottonwood, Minnesota

	Amount
Balance per Bank Statement - 12-31-80	\$510,406.34
Cash on Hand	114,062.27
Total to Exhibit A	\$624,468.61

DUE FROM COMMISSION FIRM As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

			Amount
Balances per Benson-Qui	nn Company	Statements - 12-31-80:	
Regular Account Interest Account Margins Account	·		\$703,681.70 15,150.67 22,691.90
T A J			\$741,524.27
Less: Advances:			
<u>Ca</u>	r Number		
	482141 482144 76907 482142 482003 482004 1003 1005 43668 43391 31200 460265 452190 482003 482004 482143 446163 26662 482015 482141 449206	\$ 9,200.00 8,850.00 9,750.00 9,750.00 9,750.00 9,100.00 11,500.00 9,700.00 9,700.00 13,400.00 13,400.00 10,900.00 10,900.00 10,900.00 10,900.00 10,700.00 10,700.00 9,300.00	218,450.00
	··		
Draft - 12-31-80			400,000.00
Total to Exhibit A			\$ <u>123,074.27</u>

DUE FROM ST. PAUL BANK FOR COOPERATIVES As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Date of Issue	Maturity Date	Kir	nd	Interest Rate	<u>Amoun</u> t	Accrued Interest
10-27-80	1-2-81	Farm Credit Bond	Investment	12.30%	\$200,000.00	\$ 4,443.67
10-31-80	1-2-81	Farm Credit Bond	Investment	12.90%	100,000.00	2,186.94
11-17-80	1-2-81	Farm Credit Bond	Investment	14.50%	200,000.00	3,470.74
12- 4-80	1-5-81	Farm Credit Bond	Investment	15.75%	150,000.00	1,781.82
Totals	to Exhibi	t A			\$650,000.00	\$11,883.17

fall :

XYZ COMPANY

				REC			-
	As	Of	Dece	mber	31,	1980)
(See	Accou	ınta	int's	Com	pila	tion	Report)

Neme	Total Amount	30 Days	31 Days to 90 Days	91 Days to 6 Months	6 Months to One Year	Over One Year
	\$ 1,695.68	\$ 1,695.68				
	10.70	10.70				
	11.13	11.13				
	2,992.10	2,992.10				
	1,146.55	1,146.55				
	610.60 440.00	610.60 440.00				
	2,180.30 214.60	2,180.30 177.10	\$ 37.50			
	202.51 7.75	2.66 7.75	199.85			
	213.90	213.90				,
	5.35 7.75 120.00	5.35 7.75 120.00	450.05	4701.55		
	3,043.63	455.70	450.95	\$791.55	\$1,345.43	
	54.40 1,127.14 1,055.86 302.70 18.22	54.40 1,127.14 360.52 147.70 .50	224.60 155.00 1.00	42.20 16.72	428.54	
	1,064.95 295.01 23.82	1,064.95 295.01 .50	1.00	1.50	1.48	\$19.34

"UNAUDITED"

	A COOLING DESCRIPTION
	ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE
	As Of December 31, 1980
	As of December 31, 1980
(See	Accountant's Compilation Report)
(resolution Report)

Name	Total Amount	30 Days	31 Days to 90 Days	91 Days to 6 Months	6 Months to One Year	Over One Yea
	\$ 7.75 257.14 666.25	\$ 7.75 257.14 666.25				
	\$ <u>17,775.79</u>	\$14,059.13	\$ <u>1,069.90</u>	\$851.97	\$1,775.45	\$19.34

SUMMARY

•	Amount	<u>Percent</u>
30 Days	\$14,059.13	79.1%
31 Days to 90 Days	1,069.90	6.0%
91 Days to 6 Months	851.97	4.8%
6 Months to One Year	1,775.45	10.0%
Over One Year	<u>19.34</u>	0.1%
Total to Exhibit A	\$ <u>17,775.79</u>	

As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

GRAIN:	Quantity	Price	Amount	Total
Wheat: In Elevator In Transit	48,062 19,328 67,390	\$4.39	\$ 210,992.18 83,009.83	\$ 294,002.01
Oats: In Elevator In Transit	3,775 25,167 28,942	1.84	\$ 6,946.00 45,803.73	52,749.73
Corn: In Elevator In Transit	404,379 350,810 755,189	3.01	\$1,217,180.79 	2,191,264.81
Soybeans: In Elevator In Transit	201,704 22,066 223,770	7.25	\$1,462,354.00 162,328.62	1,624,682.62
Total Grain Invent	ory			\$4,162,699.17
MERCHANDISE:				
Feed Pounds	164,720		\$ 29,518.65	
Seed Pounds	2,280		304.00	
Fertilizer Pounds	1,650		180.00	
Chemicals			2,269.30	
Twine Bales	5		100.00	
Total Merchandise	Inventory			32,371.95
Total Inventory to	Exhibit A			\$4,195,071.12

STORAGE LIABILITY As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

CD 4 TV	Quantity	Price	Amount	Accrued Storage
GRAIN:				
Wheat	46,788.63	\$4.39	\$ 205,402.09	\$ 2,086.71
Oats	1,709.64	1.84	3,145.74	254.20
Corn	332,974.64	3.01	1,002,253.67	25,152.45
Soybeans	206,120.92	7.25	1,494,376.67	16,532.93
GRAIN BANK:				
Oats	3,219.66	1.84	5,924.18	-
Corn	17,365.99	3.01	52,271.63	_
Totals to Exhibit A			\$2,763,373.98	\$ <u>44,026.29</u>

FIXED ASSETS & DEPRECIATION

FOR PERIOD ENDING 12 31.80

	•	••	•		7	L		\sim	•	
-	1)	1.	•	ж	1	L.	١.	11	13	-

-A- DEPRECIATION --- 1-STRAIGHT LINE 2-DOUBLE, DECLINING BALANCE -200%

3-150% DECLINING PAL 4-CHANGED TO STRAIGHT LINE

-8- DISPOSITION: --- 1-SOLL 1-TRADED 3-ABARDONED

XYZ COMPANY

ELEVATOR DEPT . LAND

DESCRIPTION	A 8	DATE ACUUIRED		COST OR BASIS	RATE %	•	BEBINNING	RESERVE ADDITIONS		ENDING	UN	RE COVERED COST
LA ND LA ND LA ND	1 1 1	11 00 B0 0 00 70 0 00 71	2	38,795.00 2,500.00 3,882.60.	0.00		• 0 0 • 0 0 • 0 0	\$ • 0 0 • 0 0 • 0 0	\$	• 0 0 • 0 0 • 0 5	\$	38
LAND	1	OTAL	\$.	45,177.60.		3		\$	5 .		š	45.177.60

ELEVATOR DEPT	EUILDI	NGS				
DESCRIPTION	A B	DATE ACJUIRED	COST OR RATE BASIS %		SERVEENDING	UNRECOVERED COST
BTEFL BLDG IMPRV PAVE ELEV LOT ELEV & FQUIPT. ELEV & EQUIPT.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 00 80 \$ 11 00 30 0 00 38 0 00 41 0 00 42 0 00 43 0 00 48 0 00 54 0 00 56	9:000:00:10:00 30:488:12:10:00 17:558:90:000 623:32:3:00 705:90:3:00 115:00:3:00 208:61:3:00 19:054:13:0:00	.00 17.558.90 623.32 705.90 .115.00 .200.84	375.00 \$ 375.00 254.07 254.07 .00 17.558.90 .00 623.32 .00 705.90 .00 115.00 6.26 207.10 .00 19.054.13	30 J234 . 05 . 69 . 00 . 60 . 69 1 . 51 . 69
SIGEX BEDO WAREHOUSE MILE REDB 2 CONCRETE TANKS	1 1 1 1	0 00 56 0 00 56 0 00 58 0 00 62 9 03 77	109,535.00 2.50 32,064.98 5.00 2,123.92 5.00 32,774.86 4.00 222,196.05 3.00	320664.90 20123.92 230051.60 1.5	38.33 56.529.95 .00 32.064.93 .00 2.123.92 310.99 24.362.59 365.88 22.219.60	•03 •00 8,412.27

S	C	H	ΕI	วบ	LE	7
c	_ A	ŗ.	=	1	0	

FIXED ASSETS & DEFRECIATION

ELEVATOR DEPT	BULLDI	1: GS	- (- TNO				
DESCRIPTION	A B	DATE ACGUIRED		COST OR RATE	BESINNING	RESERVE ADDITIONS	ENDING	UNRE COVERED COST
STEEL BLOG IMPRV	1	9 00 79	\$	13,412.67 10.00	\$:447.09	\$ 1,341.27	\$ 1,788.36	\$ 11,624.31
BUILDINGS	ī	OTAL	5	489,861.46	\$1755290.97	\$ 12,691.85	\$187>982.82	\$301.3876.64
ELEVATOR DEPT	EQUIPH	IENT						
h# 40012710		DATE		COST OR RATE		RESERVE-		UNRECOVERED
DESCRIPTION	A B	ACOUIRED		BASIS. 2	BEBINNING	ADDITIONS	ENDING	COST
JA COBSON MIXER	1	9 00 86	\$	11,733.33 10.00				\$ 11,342.22
VACUUM CLEANER 1949 INT'L TRUCK	1	10 00 30		546.22 20.00	• 0 0	32.31	32.31	613.91
EO UI PHENT	1	11 00 96		450.09 50.00	• 0 8	37.50	37.50	412.50
MIXER	1 1 3	0 00 52		1,470.78 0.00	19470 - 78	• O D	1,470.78	• 03
. HAMMER, MILL	1 3	0 03 59		3,088.00.0.00	3 > 6 8 8 • 0 0	• 0 0	3 • 0 88 • 0 3	• 0 0
ROLLER MILL		0 00 59		14,978.45. 0.00	141978.45	•00	145978.45	• 0 3
INTER COM	1 1	0 00 60 0 00 61		2,756.91 5.00 50.00 10.00	2)630.63	126.28	2 • 2 5 6 • 9 1	• 0 0
CHEV TRUCK 145	1	0 00 65			50.00	•60	50.00	•03
CHAIR	1	0 00 65		3,075.00 0.00	3,075.00	•00	3 # 8 7 5 1 0 0	• 0 0
SEAL TREATER	1	0 00 65		65.00 10.00 1.570.52 10.00	65.00	• 0 0	65.00	• 9 0
AIP CONDITIONER	1	0 00 66		258.00 10.00	1,570.52	03.	1.570.52	• 0 0
FRAIN DRYER	i	10 00 EE		38,158.21.10.00	258.00 38)158.21	•00	258.00	•00
ROTO FLOW	ī	G 65 67		4,812.64 10.00	4)812.84	00 • 00	36)158.21 4.812.84	• 0 3 • 0 3
SPOUTING	1	3 00 58		936.63 0.00	936.63	• 0 0	936.63	•00
FEPT SPREADER	1	4 60 65		1,304.79 20.00	1)304.79	•00	1 = 3 0 4 = 7 9	• 63
COPIER	1	7 00 59		405.27 10.00	405.27	• 0 0	405.27	• 0 3
RICHARDSON ECALE	1	3 60 70		- 1,500.00 10.00	1,475.00	25 • 0 0	1.500.00	•03
POME & MOTOP	1	7 00 76		310.00 20.00	310.00	•00	316.00	•03
SCREENER	1	7 60 70		1,596.70 10.00	1,515.85	79.84	1,596.70	• 0 0
CHECKVALTER	1	8 0G 71		273 -67 - 16 - 06	229.89	27.31	257.20	15.87
TYPE WRITER	1	2 60 72		80.00.25.00	80.00	•60	80.00	.00
FERT SPREADER	1	4 60 72		2,386.85 20.00	2.386.58	• 6 0	2,386.63	•00
VICTOR CALC 1800	1 2	10 00 72		196.06 20.00	196.06	• 0 0	196.06	•00

FIXED ASSETS & DEPRECIATION

. Tide

ELEVATOR DEFT	EQUIP	MENT				-U ONT -					• •	
•		D A	TE			COST OR	RATE		 -RESERVI	E		UNRE COVERED
DESCRIPTION	A B	A C	i u ü	RED		BA 51 5	ž	BEBIAHIN &	ADDITION		ENDING	COST
DEYMPIA DALO	1	10	60	72	5	61.04	20.00	\$ 61.04	\$ · • 1	00	\$ 51.04	s •00
ELECT MOISTURE						•						
TESTER	1		00			443.75		321.75	44	38	. 366.13	77.62
CRIPPEN CLEANER	1		63			8,234,27		53215.06	€23•	43	6 . 0 3 8 . 4 9	2 195 73
MO IST TESTER	1	2		74		750.00		443.75	75 • 1	00	518.75	231.25
VICTOR CALC 1900	1 2			74		488.80		488.80	•	0 0	488.80	• 6 3
LOADER	1	10		74		832.00	25.00	· 832 • 0 ù	• (00	832.00	• 0 3
LICUID FO TANK	1	12		74		3,241.71		15647.85	324 .	17	1 . 972 . 03	1,269.63
FIRE ALARM SYS.	1	12	ÜØ			9,539.73		2 3 4 2 4 9 7 0	476 .		2,901.69	6 9 6 3 8 • C 4
BEAN TREATER	1	4		75		465.00	.20.00	441.75	23 •		465.00	• 6 3
UDY PROT TESTER	1	2	υO	76		2,505.00	.20.00	1 + 9 62 - 2 5	501 .		2 . 4 63 . 25	41.75
HAGIE SPRAYER			•									74979
& TFL	1	5	UD	76		14,417.67	15.67	8 8 12 2 5	2,403.4	43	11.215.68	3,201.59
JACOBSON FD HILL	1	7	00	76		12,192.35		4.267.44	1,219.		5 9 4 3 6 • 6 8	6,705.67
FIRE FILE	1	10	00	7 t		556 • 4 D	10.00	180.83	55 • 6		236.47	319.93
EL EV-HILL AUGER	1	10	00	76		2,875.04	20.00	1 3 8 5 8 • 7 8	575		2 > 4 4 3 • 7 9	431 • 25
1953 CHEV TRUCK	1	3	ออ	17		1,350.00		1,266.00	84.	_	1,350.00	•00
ELECTRIC SCALES	1	6	OŪ	77		9,360.00	.10.00	29418.00	936.		3,354.00	6.006.00
AUGER-14 FT	1	10	00	77		798 . 1 B		448.99	199 • 9		648.54	149.61
CASE TRACTOR	1	3	0.0	78		2,080.00		762.70	416.0		19178.70	901.30
SAFE	1	3	0.0	7 E		1,728.43		316.85	172.		489.70	1,238.78
WILLMAR SPREADER	1	4	CO	7 E		5,408.00		2)366.03	1,352.		3,718.03	1 • 639 • 97
BULK FEED BOX	1	4	ίũ	7 E		7,520,24	25.00	3,290.09	1.880.		5 . 170 . 15	2,350.09
CHEV FICK-UP	1	5	60	78		5,407.20		2.253.00	1,351	-	3,604.80	1,802.43
FOION BAG	1	7	UÛ			415.00		155.63	103.		259333	155.62
THE TRUCK	1	7	60	7 ₽		11,000.45		4 1 25 1 8	2,750		6.875.30	4 1 1 2 5 • 1 5
WILLHAR SPREADER	1	8		76		2,132,22		755.16	533.		1 2 2 8 8 2 2	
FURNACE	1	9				2,395.50		€38.82	479.		1,117.92	844.00
SHAFP DALCULATOR	1	12	ຍວ	-		104.00		56.33				1,277.53
AUGER BX31	1		63			1,300.00		216.67	47 •		104.00	• Ü Ü
AUGER BX52	î		63			1,924.00	20.00	96.20	260 • (-	476.67	823.33
STEINLITE TESTER	1		CO			1,041.75			384.1		481.00	1,443.03
HATER COOLER	1		UÜ			400.00		34.73	208 •		243.08	798 • 67
in the second se	•	44	0.0	, ,		4 B U # C U	. & U # U U	6 • 6 7	80.	UU	85.67	313.33

FIXED ASSETS & DEPRECIATION

1

ASE 21

			S.C.F.R.E.C.I.A.F.I.O.R.	PAGE 21
ELEVATOR DEPT	E001PHENT	-C 0 H T -		
		COST OR BASIS	BESINNING ADDITIONS	ENDING COVERED
SUBTOTALS LESS DISPOSIT FOUIPMENT		\$ 203,070.45 18,751.31- \$ 184,319.14	\$127:174:12 \$ 18:480:00	\$145,654.12 \$ 57.416.33 18.751.3100- \$126,902.81 \$ 57.416.33
ELEVATOR DEPT	DRYING PLANT			
DESCRIPTION	DATE A B ACQUIRED	COST OR RATE BASIS *	BEGINNING ADDITIONS	ENDING COST
DRYING PLANT SCALE W/HOIST	1 10 00 71 1 10 00 71	15,605.00. 5.00	\$ 48,139.72 \$ 5,835.12 6,437.06 780.25	\$ 53 9 9 7 4 • 8 4 \$ 62 9 7 2 7 • 61 7 9 2 1 7 • 3 1 8 9 3 8 7 • 6 9
LEGS Distributor Shifping scale	1 10 00 71 1 10 00 71 1 10 00 71	17,300,00 10,00 7,250,00 10,00 7,400,00 10,00	14,272,49 1,730,00 5,981,24 725,00 6,104,99 740,00	16.002.49 1.297.51 6.706.24 543.76 6.844.99 555.01
SCREENERS AUGER SYSTEM DRYLR	1 10 00 71 1 10 00 71 1 10 00 71	1,580.00 10.00 12,320.00 10.00 58,840.00 10.00	1;303.50 10:163.99 1;232.00	1:461:50 118:50 11:395:99 924:01
BUTLER STEEL BIR BUTLER BINE LES DRYER IMPRYMT	1 10 09 72 1 1 00 75	37;103;22. 5;00 203;506;13 5;00	13)449.92 1,855.16 50,876.55 18,175.31	54,426,98 15,335,08 21,798,14 61,051,86 142,454,27
TANYS-RELOCATE SHICACO E BIN SHIFFING SCALE	1 11 00 77 1 11 00 78	18.500.00 25.00 9.969.00 10.00 238.587.58 5.00	10,020.84 4,625.00 2,159.96 996.90 13,917.62 11,929.38	14 9 6 45 • 8 4 3 9 8 5 4 • 1 5 5 5 8 4 7 • 0 0 212 9 7 45 • 5 8
CRYING PLANT	1 8 00 75 TOTAL	38,982.00 10.00 1 783,645.38.	1,624.25 3,898.20 \$232,995.11 \$ 50,564.32	5.522.45 33.459.55 \$233.559.43 \$500.085.95
FLEVATOR DEPT	TGTAL	\$1,503,003.5g		\$598,445.06 \$904,558.52

FIXED ASSETS & DEFFECTATION

PALE 22

FOR PERIOD ENDING 12 31 BD

RECAP

T.6.1

XYZ COMPANY

E	LI	E (٧	A	T.	0	R	n	r	Þ	T

		COST OR PASI5	BESINNING ADDITIONS ENDING COST	ر آ
LAND GUILDINGS EQUIPHENT DRYING PLANT		\$ 45,177.60 489,861.46 184,319.14 783,645.38	\$ B \$ 45,177.6 175,290.97 12,691.85 187,982.82 301,878.6 127,174.12 18,480.00 126,902.81 57,416.3 232,995.11 50,564.32 283,559.43 500,085.5	3
ELEVATOR DEPT	TOTAL	\$1,503,003.58.	\$5359460.20 \$ 81.736.17 \$598,445.06 \$934,558.5	2
XYZ COMPANY	TOTAL	\$1,503,003.58.	\$535,460.20 \$ 81,736.17 \$578,445.06 \$904,558.5	2
GRAND TOTALS		\$1,503,003,58.	\$535)460.20 \$ 81,736.17 \$598,445.06 \$904,558.5	2

EQUITIES IN OTHER COOPERATIVES As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

(bee Accountant's Compilation Report)								
<u>Date</u>	Number	Kind	Amount	<u>Total</u>				
1945 1947 1951 1953 1955 1955 1956 1957 1959 1961 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1973 1976 1978 1978 1979	3369 1209758 1114128 0861854 0861855 1238334 0861857 0861858 0861859 0861860 452541 0861861 0861862 0861863 0861864 0861865 0861866 0861867 914752 920982 1015810 1097256 1143152 1224810 1261556 1283278 1335509	Common Stock Capital Equity Certificate	\$ 1.00 7,093.60 255.57 346.01 354.84 32.86 821.81 676.08 603.50 1,341.38 1.41 1,375.63 793.87 570.75 703.72 965.68 286.11 759.75 1.09 367.03 88.81 132.70 44.65 17.19 52.07 22.95 3,088.36 2,006.18	\$ 22,804.60				
		Preferred Stock	\$ 5,904.49	5,904.49				
		Stock Credits Capital Reserve	\$ 5,782.55 177.73	5,960.28				
1962 1966 1967 1968	·	Patronage Dividend Credit Patronage Dividend Credit Patronage Dividend Credit Patronage Dividend Credit Capital Reserve	\$ 1,037.85 128.59 453.52 21.30 234.46	1,875.72				
	10380 163779	Patrons Equity Patrons Equity Capital Reserve "UNAUDITED"	\$ 1.28 .84 .12	2.24				
		CDMUDITED		·-				

EQUITIES IN OTHER COOPERATIVES As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

<u>D</u>	ate	Number	Kind	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Total</u>
1 1 1 1 1	974 974 975 976 978	032249 036256 038822 041487 052421 056274	Common Stock Common Stock Common Stock Common Stock Common Stock Common Stock	\$ 25.00 175.00 300.00 75.00 25.00 200.00	• 1 075 00
Ţ	980	059484	Common Stock	275.00	\$ 1,075.00
			Revolving Capital Capital Reserve	\$ 2.52	2.88
			Revolving Fund Allocated Reserve	\$ 1,193.91 37.80	1,231.71
		-	Deferred Dividend Capital Reserve	\$ 162.26 37.78	200.04
1 1 1 1 1 1	961 969 971 972 973 974 975 977	2396 4570 5995 6671 7452 8317 9080 656 2098	Common Stock Debenture Bond Debenture Bond Debenture Bond Debenture Bond Debenture Bond Debenture Bond Preferred Stock Preferred Stock	\$ 25.00 53.63 175.49 105.55 226.83 138.93 123.61 75.00 25.00	
			Revolving Capital	1.54	950.58
1	961-79	;	Class A Common Stock	\$_6,100.64	6,100.64
			Class C Stock Surplus	\$ 20,731.85 2,577.68	23,309.53
			Allocated Capital	\$7.84	7.84

EQUITIES IN OTHER COOPERATIVES As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Date	Number	<u>Kind</u>	Amount	<u>Total</u>
1973 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977	A 1129	Common Stock Allocated Patronage Capital Reserve	\$ 1,000.00 24,044.40 26,924.33 26,924.33 26,829.91 22,650.17 22,650.17 22,650.17 21,873.54 21,788.06 21,897.98 22,178.45 29,527.95 22,348.81 66,573.54	402,511.98
1980		Share Credit Capital Reserve	\$ 355.40 50.77	406.17
Tot	al to Exh	ibit A		\$ <u>472,343.70</u>

OUTSTANDING CHECKS As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Number	Amount
Cash	<u>Grain</u>	Stor	ed Grain	Purchase	& Expense
2323 1661 3430 4066 4515 4 282 283 284 357 426 438	\$ 396.46 230.58 655.17 237.80 1.08 253.09 2,619.92 10,687.17 9,963.25 286.41 3,653.42 351.62	1769 1771 1772 1774 1776 1778 1780 1781	\$ 2,784.68 2,093.56 3,981.93 4,804.57 9,248.78 4,060.34 4,777.42 4,777.37 e & Expense 52.50 7.00	4009 4010 4011	\$ 180.10 772.78 2,766.69 roll 357.86 348.78 449.33 441.17 100.00 37.55 58.20
452	480.79	9566	21.00	1320	57.03
473 547 552 599 709 718 720 721 722 750 758 766 777 778 779 780 781 782 784 785 786 787 788 789	3,952.28 10,025.00 946.02 189.49 2,352.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 3,729.06 1,033.95 300.00 1,092.75 2,003.11 7,703.08 1,075.61 812.44 1,738.94 2,393.33 2,419.62 3,152.94 160.83 191.78 2,883.57	10211 28 471 485 1690 2703 3916 3924 3938 3940 3954 3964 3971 3985 3986 3987 3988 3989 3990 3991 3993 3995 3997	7.00 1,994.43 94.20 57.28 25.00 20.00 14.98 956.90 61.48 14.98 9,599.22 150.00 400.00 75.00 118.62 35.00 160.00 207.51 120.00 1,188.97 40.00 3,490.61 575.00 42.85		315.30 286.81 150.89 2,067.98 4,381.84 \$200,481.30
Store	<u>d Grain</u>	3998 3999	25.00 36.56		
1581 1626 1699 1703 1749 1760 1763 1766 1768	6,049.68 4,999.92 1,553.16 199.59 612.00 27,620.48 214.25 1,441.17 3,892.73	4000 4001 4002 4003 4004 4005 4006 4007 4008	92.61 2,238.35 5.56 4.50 12.00 94.00 208.78 205.00 172.91		

DEFERRED PAYMENT CONTRACTS As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Date	Number	Payable to:	Amount
1980 7-2 7-2 7-2 7-11 7-25 7-20 7-30 7-30 7-30 7-30 7-30 7-31 7-31 8-25 8-27 8-27 8-27 8-27 8-27 8-29 9-15 9-19 9-10 9-10 10-4 10-6 10-7 10-11 10-11 10-11 10-11	696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 707 708 709 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 736 737 736 737 736 737 736 737 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743		24,395.00 5,958.96 1,595.18 6,643.34 26,659.08 6,331.20 2,182.00 12,528.78 5,134.70 1,641.10 2,288.24 2,062.50 1,759.84 1,957.55 846.33 15,125.29 2,761.89 2,789.28 27,805.69 3,317.37 16,338.09 25,390.00 42,180.00 3,596.15 6,365.60 9,146.97 35,075.67 24,339.29 5,015.81 4,776.30 17,236.16 14,794.82 2,000.00 10,489.77 11,163.85 9,785.97 2,124.00 5,160.00 4,820.14 10,000.00 11,859.42 11,650.00 7,310.00 5,191.91 2,462.62 9,193.23 4,902.12

DEFERRED PAYMENT CONTRACTS As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Date	Number	Payable to:	Amount
1980 10-14 10-14 10-14 10-14 10-15 10-15 10-16 10-20 10-20 10-22 10-22 10-22 10-22 10-22 10-22 10-22 10-22 10-22 10-22 10-21 11-17 11-17 11-17 11-17 11-17 11-17 11-17 11-17 11-17 11-26 12-4 12-18 12-22	744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774	rayable to.	\$ 2,295.07 27,733.24 5,358.10 3,540.11 21,989.57 5,832.09 2,225.77 7,390.00 12,598.21 10,249.45 2,523.62 7,308.10 9,162.06 7,613.35 7,512.29 3,516.86 2,301.28 8,427.70 7,455.15 2,758.00 889.13 18,631.31 3,552.34 1,683.11 1,683.11 1,683.14 4,050.67 33,859.26 9,150.00 4,213.43 17,400.00 12,224.64
	al to Exhibit A		\$742,204.28

Note: Contracts payable on or after 1-2-81 and before 1-10-81.

PRICE LATER CONTRACTS As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

<u>Date</u> 1980	Number	Seller	Bushels
8-6 8-8 8-8 8-8 8-8 8-1 7-31 8-4 8-1 8-1 8-1 8-1 8-1 8-1 8-1 8-1 8-1 8-1	1926 1928 1937 1938 1939 1940 1942 1944 1945 2116 2120 2123 8201 8203 8204 8211 8212 8214 8215 8216 8217 8218 8221 8223 8221 8223 8225 8228 8229 8236 8237 8243 8245 8246 8259 8259 8259 8259 8259 8259 8259 8259		431.76 2,064.11 101.45 1,002.21 410.88 3,000.00 501.76 620.37 156.10 400.02 276.22 955.20 1,070.28 267.90 593.07 1,721.90 368.28 1,918.20 437.36 163.16 983.12 2,775.09 1,264.71 472.67 210.01 725.25 48.67 594.35 513.41 342.27 25.67 1,145.02 480.67 135.27 392.50 592.73 1,471.82 5,153.06 1,046.85 875.07 241.79 129.23 1,993.73 565.85 2,449.54 518.40 27.99 744.15

PRICE LATER CONTRACTS As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Date	Number	Seller		Bushels
1980			i	
8-11	8277			1,567.22
8-11	8278			2,350.82
8-22	8279			249.00
9-16	8282			893.80
9-16	8283			615.71
8-2	8284			126.90
8-7	8285			94.91
8-5	8286			128.57
8-6	· 8287			3,400.00
8-18	8288	•		538.87
8-6	8222			825.49
То	tal Bushels		l	53,170.71

SUMMARY

	Bushels	Price	Amount
Wheat	53,170.71	\$4.39	\$ <u>233,419.42</u>
Total to Exhibit A			\$ <u>233,419.42</u>

Note: Seller Price Determination - between contract date and July 1, 1981.

NOTES PAYABLE As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Loan Number	Payable to:	Interest Rate	Balance	Accrued Interest
5132-T		12%	\$0-	\$ 438.68
6113-S		13%	-0-	1,540.51
6760-S		13%	1,000.00	7.84
Total t	o Exhibit A		\$ <u>1,000.00</u>	\$ <u>1,987.03</u>

PATRONS' CREDIT BALANCES As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Name \$257.65
\$257.65
61.75
Total to Exhibit A \$319.40

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Payable to:	Amount
	\$ 3,506.05
	597.00
	(36,865.00)
	1,323.20
,	840.00
	2,187.56
	89.00
	(7,880.12)
	1,725.00
	44,000.00
	128,300.00
· · ·	388,400.00
	22,500.00
Open Scale Tickets:	
Wheat - 1,817.15 Bushels	7,960.74
Oats - 12,316.25 Bushels	25,228.74
Corn - 110,076.74 Bushels	333,413.98
Soybeans - 3,372.28 Bushels	26,570.53
Patron Prepayments	2,772.50
Additional Salaries	29,000.00
Total to Exhibit A	\$ <u>973,669.18</u>

ACCRUED LOSS ON OPEN TRADES

			of December 31,			
	(See Acco	ountant's Compila	tion Repo	rt)	Accrued
	Grain in	000's	0 11-	_	ttlement	Gain
<u>Date</u>	Bought	Sold	Commodity	Price _	Price	(Loss)
8- 5- 80	45		Mpls Mar Wheat	\$4.835	\$4.72	\$(5,175.00)
12-5- 80		5	Mpls May Wheat	5.04	4.835	1,025.00
12-22-80	5		Mpls Mar Wheat	4.59	4.72	650.00
12-24-80	5		Mpls Mar Wheat	4.54	4.72	900.00
12-31-80		5	Mpls May Wheat	4.70	4.835	(675.00)
12-31-80		5	Mpls May Wheat	4.80	4.835	(175.00)
12-5- 80		5	Chgo Mar Oats	2.31	2.2275	412.50
Total	to Exhib	it A				\$ <u>(3,037.50</u>)

INVESTMENT NOTES PAYABLE As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

131 100.0 132 200.0 133 4,000.0 134 500.0 135 300.0 136 300.0 137 300.0 138 100.0 139 100.0 140 200.0 141 300.0 142 1,000.0 143 250.0 144 250.0 145 250.0 146 1,000.0 147 1,000.0 148 500.0 149 300.0 150 500.0	133 134 135 136 137
---	---------------------------------

INVESTMENT NOTES PAYABLE As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Number	Payable to:		Amount
151			\$ 1,000.00
152			500.00
153	,		500.00
154		į	500.00
155		son	500.00
156			500.00
157	· ·		1,500.00
158			200.00
159			500.00
160		ĺ	500.00
161			500.00
162		n	500.00
163			500.00
165			1,000.00
166			1,000.00
167			1,000.00
168			200.00
169			500.00
170			200.00
171			300.00
172		•	2,700.00
Total to	Carbibit A		6/.1 050 00

Total to Exhibit A

\$41,950.00

Ten Year Building Notes Dated - May 1, 1971 Due May 1, 1981 Interest Due Annually at 7% Note:

XYZ COMPANY

WEIGHT STATEMENT As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

		Quantity to Account	0.1	12-31-80 Over	12-31-79 Over
GRAIN:		For	<u>Sales</u>	(Short)	(Short)
Wheat	Bushels	246,640	246,640	-	3,639
Oats		97,099	97,099	_	2,318
Corn		3,425,798	3,446,238	20,440	(25,207)
Soybeans		719,959	719,959		3,900
Sunflowers	Pounds	45,245	45,245	-	
MERCHANDISE:					
Feed	Tons	1,270	1,257	(13)	
Fertilizer	Tons	482	487	5	11
Twine	Bales	78	75	(3)	(2)

MARKET POSITION As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

,	Wheat	<u>Oats</u>	Corn	Soybeans
In Elevator	48,062	3,775	404,379	201,704
Contracts of Purchase	14,968	2,684	703,957	90,889
Open Futures (Bought)	55,000	•		4
Totals	<u>118,030</u>	6,459	1,108,336	292,593
Less:				
Outstanding Storage	46,789	8,973	332,975	206,121
Sold to Arrive			784,500	79,354
Open Futures (Sold)	15,000	5,000		
P. L. Contracts	53,171			
Totals	114,960	13,973	1,117,475	285,475
Long	3,070			7,118
Short		7,514	9,139	

XYZ COMPANY

COMPARATIVE GRAIN PURCHASES AND MERCHANDISE SALES For the Years Ended December 31, 1980 and 1979 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

,		Year Ended 12-31-80	Year Ended 12-31-79	Increase (Decrease)
<u>GRAIN</u> :		Control of the contro		***************************************
Wheat	Bushels	271,679	179,889	91,790
Oats		123,106	65,136	57,970
Corn		3,526,038	1,989,480	1,536,558
Soybeans		715,623	594,432	121,191
Total Grain	n - Bushels	4,636,446	2,828,937	1,807,509
MERCHANDISE SAI	ES:			·
Feed	Tons	1,257	1,303	(46)
Fertilizer	Tons	487	483	4
Twine	Bales	75	86	(11)

INSURANCE IN FORCE
As Of December 31, 1980
(See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Insurer, Policy Number and Effective Dates

<u>Kind</u>	Coverage		Amount
Fire, Lightning and Supplemental Hazards,	Elevator, Additions and Machinery	\$	498,000
Windstorm and Hail	2 Steel Tanks and Mchy		19,000
Debris Removal	Hess Dryer #1500A Liquid Feed Tank		30,000 1,500
	Sioux Building and Mchy		46,000
	Feed Warehouse		6,500
	Steel Corn Plant and Mchy RC Dryer		750,000 110,000
	2 Concrete Silos and Equipment		280,000
	C-E Steel Bin & Machinery	-	215,000
,		\$ <u>1</u>	,956,000
Rebuilding	Elevator, Attached Addition & Machinery	\$	85,000
ire, Lightning and upplemental Hazards, indstorm and Hail,	On Stock in Elevators, Steel Bins, Concrete Silos, Steel Building, Dryers, Feed Ware-		Marie Constitution of the
Debris Removal	house and Yard Stock	\$ <u>5</u>	,000,000
Fire, Lightning and Supplemental Hazards, Windstorm and Hail	Income Protection on Elevators, Attached Additions, Feed Ware- house, Utility Building, Garage Sioux Steel Building, Steel	,	
	Grain Tanks 1 & 2, Excluding Dryers	\$_	250,000

INSURANCE IN FORCE As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Insu	rer,	Polic	y Numb	er
and	Effec	ctive	Dates	

<u>Kind</u>	Coverage	Amount
General - Automobile Liability	Comprehensive General: Bodily Injury: Each Occurrence Aggregate Property Damage: Each Occurrence Aggregate Personal Injury Liability Premises Medical Payments: Each Person	\$ 500,000 500,000 100,000 300,000 500,000
•	Each Accident	10,000
	Comprehensive Automobile: Bodily Injury: Each Person Each Occurrence Property Damage: Each Occurrence Uninsured Motorists: Each Person Each Accident	250,000 500,000 100,000 25,000 50,000
Motor Cargo	1965 Chev Truck 1978 Intl. Truck	\$ 500 500
Commercial Umbrella	Occurrence Limit Aggregate Limit Retained Limit	\$\frac{1,000}{\$2,000,000} 2,000,000 10,000
Workmen's Compensation	Employees Employers' Liability	Statutory \$ 100,000

Insurer, Policy Number

500,00C

500,000

1,000

XYZ COMPANY

INSURANCE IN FORCE As Of December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

and Effective Dates	Kind	Coverage	Amount
	Fidelity Bond	Commercial Blanket	\$ 15,000
	Dishonesty Disappearance Destruction	Merchandise Money Inside Money Outside	\$ 36,000 2,500 2,500
	Warehouse Bond	Stored Grain	\$ 500,000
	Grain Bank Bond	Stored Grain	\$ 40,000
	Directors', Officers'	Each Person	\$ 50,000

Rolling Stock Coverage Per Hopper Car 40,000 on Seven Leased Rail-Deductible Amount 1,000 road Hopper Cars

Each Loss

Aggregate

Retention

Loss Payable Clause to St. Paul Bank for Cooperatives.

and Managers'

Liability

Loss Payable Clause to St. Paul Bank for Cooperatives and Benson-Quinn Co.

"UNAUDITED"

XYZ COMPANY

For the Year Ended December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Cooperative			Cash	De	ferred]	Cotal
		\$	350.22	\$	817.18	\$ 1,	,167.40
		-	5,382.91	21	,531.63	26,	,914.54
,			106.50		425.98		532.48
			121.61		283.73		405.34
			165.35		275.00		440.35
			31.89		127.59		159.48
			82.20		328.81		411.01
·			5.48		21.91		27.39
	l]	1,323.58	3	,088.36	4,	411.94
			657.33	2	,629.30	3,	286.63
			101.54		406.17		507.71
Totals		\$_8	3,328.61	\$ <u>29</u>	,935.66		
Total to Exhibit B						\$ <u>38</u> ,	264.27

MISCELLANEOUS INCOME For the Year Ended December 31, 1980 (See Accountant's Compilation Report)

Received from:		<u>Amount</u>
	: A (\$291.00
		1.00
Total to Exhibit B		\$292.00

• •

Cttachment 8 1/27/83

Statement to the
Senate Committee on Agriculture and Small Business
Regarding Senate Bills 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6
Grain Warehousing
January 26, 1983
Topeka, Kansas

by
John K. Blythe, Assistant Director
Public Affairs Division
Kansas Farm Bureau

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

We appreciate the opportunity to appear before you today to express our views concerning grain warehousing and elevator bankruptcy.

Attached to this page is a statement that we made to the Interim Committee on Agriculture and Livestock regarding grain warehousing.

In addition to this statement, which I do not intend to read, I want to present to the Committee the policy statement that our Organization adopted at their Annual Meeting, December 7, 1982, regarding grain warehousing.

Agricultural Commodity Storage and Sales Security

We urge farmers to be informed as to the payment risk involved in contracting for future sales of agricultural commodities already delivered to an elevator or feedyard.

We ask that if a check has been issued for payment of grain within 14 days prior to an elevator filing for bankruptcy or receivership, and if the check has not cleared the bank, the party to whom the check was issued be considered a first line and priority creditor in any bankruptcy settlement.

We believe all commercial elevators and grain warehousing facilities in Kansas should be licensed and bonded by the state, and/or federal government, and inspected by the Warehouse Division of the State Grain Inspection Department a minimum of twice each year. Any discrepancy in the grain account should be reported immediately to the bonding company holding the bond of the elevator or grain warehouse. Legislation should be enacted so that, in the event of discrepancy or insolvency, there will be appropriate maintenance of grain in storage. We firmly believe that all elevator insolvencies under state jurisdiction should be completely settled in a maximum of 120 days.

We ask that the statute (K.S.A. 34-229) which establishes the amount and conditions of warehouseman's bond be amended so as to increase the percentages and monetary factors presently used in the formula in order to significantly increase the dollar amount of bond required.

Atch. 8

Grain Warehousing Statement January 26, 1983 Page 2

We believe all licensed grain storage facility operators should be required to prove financial responsibility, should post and prominently display the bond for the facility, and should be audited annually for the protection of those who store grain in the facility.

Our statutes should be amended to place full responsibility for criminal prosecution of a warehouseman with the Attorney General. We further believe that the penalty language for an unlawful act or mishandling customer grain in storage should be evaluated and make all such criminal acts a Class D felony. Any person or persons so convicted of a Class D felony should be prohibited from obtaining an elevator license for a period of ten years following his or her conviction.

We recommend a legislative study of K.S.A. 84-2-403 and K.S.A. 84-7-205 (Kansas Uniform Commercial Code) as they apply to Kansas Public Grain Warehouses and their power to transfer grain entrusted to their care.

We believe that in an effort to make the warehouseman responsible to his customer it should be a requirement that the elevator manager send to all customers an annual statement of the amount and kind of grain in storage and name the location of the grain in storage.

Statement to the
Special Interim Committee on Agriculture and Livestock
Regarding Interim Study Proposal No. 1
Grain Warehousing
October 26, 1982
Topeka, Kansas

by
John K. Blythe, Assistant Director
Public Affairs Division
Kansas Farm Bureau

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

We appreciate the opportunity to appear before you today to express our views concerning grain warehousing and elevator bankruptcy.

I want to review, briefly, with you the elevator insolvencies that have occurred in Kansas since October of 1980. During this two year span, if my information is complete, Kansas has experienced four insolvencies. The first three insolvencies involved a single warehouse for each, and two warehouses for the fourth. We had the Tam Ann Feeds Company, in Council Grove on October 15, 1980, the Collins Grain Company at Kackley on November 6, 1980, followed by the Ames Elevator in Ames during 1981, then in March, 1982, the Plains Grain Inc. Warehouse at Plains and the Pittman Feed Company at Hayne, both owned by James Pittman, were ordered closed by the Kansas Grain Inspection Department.

All four of these elevator insolvencies represent different situations of failure. The Tam Ann Feeds Company, Council Grove, was the only one that went the route of Federal bankruptcy, the other three chose the route of receivership, which as I understand the procedure comes under the jurisdiction of state law.

I would like to briefly discuss with you some of the aspects of the Council Grove elevator and the Kackley elevator, some of which have not been brought to the attention of the Committee.

Tam Ann Feeds of Council Grove

The Tam Ann Feeds Company filed for bankruptcy on October 15, 1980, under Federal Bankruptcy Law, and on November 21, the Kansas Grain Inspection Department certified that the elevator had 3755.35 bushels of grain (wheat=3344.65 bu; corn=369.45 bu; oats=41.25 bu).

Open storage grain of customers totaled 3,220 bushels, leaving 533.35 bushels of grain owned by the Tam Ann Feeds Company.

The elevator had only seven grain accounts.

In addition, the elevator was bonded in the amount of \$34,600. Not only was there sufficient grain in the elevator to cover the liability to the farmer, but the bond was equal to \$10.75 for each bushel of customers grain.

Jerold Berger, a Topeka attorney, was appointed as the trustee in the bankruptcy proceedings.

In April, 1981, it was discovered that the grain had gone out of condition and was "sample" grade grain. Buyers for the grain were found and the grain was sold.

We believe that the trustee or federal bankruptcy Judge should be questioned as to who is responsible for the grain in the elevator and why the grain went out of condition.

In December, 1980, the farmers might have sold their wheat for \$4.30 per bushel; but they could not because it was tied up in bankruptcy proceedings that had been filed for more than 60 days. The farmers had to borrow money instead of selling wheat and their money cost 18% interest, which, for a year will amount to 77½¢ per bushel of wheat.

On April 12, 1982 -- 18 months after the bankruptcy was filed and 12 months after the grain was sold --- Mr. Berger sent checks to the seven persons who had

grain stored in the Tam Ann Feeds elevator. Those checks amounted to \$2.5242 for each bushel stored, irregardless of whether the grain was wheat or corn. There were no allowences for storage charges or indication of length of storage. This, in my opinion, was a very "sloppy" settlement of the bankruptcy.

A copy of Mr. Berger's settlement statement and the Kansas Grain Inspection
Department's reports are attached.

There is a sum of \$200.75 that was deducted from one of the grain depositors account that Mr. Berger did not account for, and I believe that that money should have been distributed to the grain depositors.

Consider that the wheat might have been sold for \$4.30 per bushel, but the final price was \$2.52 or a loss of \$1.78 per bushel, then add to that the interest of \$1.03 per bushel and we have a net loss of \$2.81 per bushel, most of which could have been avoided if prompt action had been taken in this bankruptcy.

The farmers received only \$2.52 for each bushel of wheat and we believe that there should be some responsibility for the trustee or the bonding company to make up the difference between the settlement price and the market price of wheat. The bonding company to date has not made any payments in the Tam Ann elevator bankruptcy.

Collins Grain Company of Kackley, Kansas.

The Collins Grain Company at Kackley presents an entirely different situation from the Tam Ann feeds elevator, for the Collins elevator was short nearly 100,000 bushels of grain. The Kackley elevator asked to be placed in a receivership on November 6, 1980. At that time, there was on track: three cars of corn totalling 8,435 bushels, one car of milo with 3,546 bushels, and one car of soybeans with 3,350 bushels. The five cars of grain were unloaded into the elevator, but because three cars (one car corn of 3,589 bushels, one car milo of 3,546 bushels, and one car of soybeans of 3,350 bushels) were sold and the draft received, they were reloaded and shipped to the consignee.

In summary of the Kackley elevator situation, it appears that the following figures are correct:

	Elevator Open Storage and Warehouse Liability	Grain Inspection Measurement (less shipped grain)	Grain Shortage
Wheat	48,013 bushels	1,360 bushels	46,653 bushels
Corn	80,940 bushels	44,424 bushels	36,516 bushels
Milo	17,240 bushels	7,811 bushels	9,429 bushels
Soybeans	7,540 bushels	4,701 bushels	2,839 bushels

You can observe from the above figures, the shortage of grain (95,437 bushels) in the Kackley elevator. As we view the Kackley situation, we question the length of time it took to settle the bankruptcy and make distribution of the money from the sale of the grain that remained in the elevator plus the bond money available—and the more serious question is . . . What happened to the grain that was short?

I do want to emphasize that the shortage of grain was brought to the attention of the County Attorney and to the Attorney General.

The attached news item indicates the charges brought against the former owner of the Kackley Grain Elevator and the sentence he received.

A complete copy of the indictment was obtained from the Office of the U.S. District Attorney, and is attached. An attorney in the U.S. District Attorney's office, upon reviewing the file of the case, said that Mr. Collins pleaded guilty to counts I, V and X.

We estimated the shortage of grain to be approximately \$320,000, with the elevator bond in the neighborhood of \$113,000.

The insolvency of the Ames elevator resulted in considerable inconveniences to its many customers, but the shortage of grain was sufficiently covered by its bond.

Grain Warehousing Statement October 27, 1982 Page 5

The James Pittman elevators in Plains and Hayne have been previously discussed by this Committee, therefore, I will not spend any time in further discussion of these elevators.

I do want to look at one section of our statutes, KSA 34-2, 104 and if the language is not plain enough or strong enough to warrant immediate action by the Kansas Grain Inspection Department, our Courts and the Attorney General's office, upon discovery of an insolvency in a grain warehouse by the Kansas Grain Inspection Department, then we would strongly support legislative amendments to correct this deficiency and provide for immediate supervision of the warehouse by the Kansas Grain Inspection Department, and if the insolvency is handled by a receiver, under Kansas statutes, legislation should clearly define the responsibility for the immediate appointment of a receiver.

Although I am sure that KSA 34-2,104 is not the only statute that the Committee should consider amending, I believe it to be important enough to reprint here. I have also included KSA-34,2,107, which was enacted during the 1981 Legislative Session.

34-2,104. Grain shortage in ware-house; action for possession by director; audit and investigation; receivership, when; recovery of expenses. Whenever it appears to the satisfaction of the director of the state grain inspection department that a licensed warehouseman has not in his possession sufficient commodities to cover the outstanding receipts and scale tickets issued or assumed by him or when such warehouseman refuses to submit his records or property to a lawful examination, the direc-

tor may give notice to the warehouseman to comply with all or any of the following requirements: (1) Cover such shortage; (2) give additional bond as requested by the director; (3) submit to such examination as the director may deem necessary.

If such warehouseman fails to comply with the terms of such notice within twenty-four (24) hours from the date of its issuance or within such further time as the director may allow, the director may petition the district court of any county in which is located one of the principal places of business of the licensed warehouseman's for an

order authorizing the state grain inspection department to take possession of all or a portion of any and all commodities located in the licensed warehouse or warehouse of such warehouseman, and all pertinent records and property.

Upon receipt of the director's verified petition setting forth the circumstances of the warehouseman's failure to comply and further stating reasons why immediate possession by the grain inspection department is necessary for the protection of depositors, warehouse receipt holders, or sureties, the court shall forthwith issue an order authorizing the department to take immediate possession for the purposes stated in this section. A copy of the petition and order shall be sent to the warehouseman.

At any time within ten days after the grain inspection department takes possession, the warehouseman may file with the court a response to the petition of the director stating reasons why the department should not be allowed to retain possession. The court shall set the matter for hearing on a date not less than five nor more than fifteen days from the date of the filing of the warehouseman's response. The order placing the de-

Grain Warehousing Stantent October 27, 1982 Page 6

partment in possession shall not be stayed nor set aside until such time as the court after hearing determines that possession should be restored to the warehouseman.

Upon taking possession the director shall give written notice of its action to the surety on the bond of the warehouseman and may notify the holders of record, as shown by the warehouseman's records, of all receipts and scale tickets issued for commodities, to present their receipts or scale tickets for inspection, or to account for the same. The director may thereupon cause an audit and other investigation to be made of the affairs

of such warehouse, especially with respect to the commodities in which there is an apparent shortage, to determine the amount of such shortage and compute the shortage as to each depositor as shown in the warehouseman's records if practicable. The director shall notify the warehouseman and the surety on his bond of the approximate amount of such shortage and notify each depositor thereby affected by sending notice to the depositor's last known address as shown by the records of the warehouse.

The grain inspection department shall retain possession obtained under this section until such time as the warehouseman or the surety on his bond shall have satisfied the claims of all depositors, or until such time as the grain inspection department is ordered by the court to surrender possession.

If during or after the audit or other investigation provided for in this section, or at any other time, the director has evidence that the warehouseman is insolvent or is unable to satisfy the claims of all depositors, the director may petition the district court for the appointment of a receiver to operate or liquidate the business of the warehouseman in accordance with the law.

All necessary expenses incurred by the grain inspection department or any receiver appointed under this section in carrying out the provisions of this section may be recovered from the warehouseman in a separate civil action brought by the director in the said district court or may be recovered at the same time and as a part of the seizure or receivership action filed under this section. As a part of the expenses so incurred, there is authorized to be included the cost of adequate liability insurance necessary to protect the grain inspection department, the receiver, and others engaged in carrying out the provisions of this section.

History: L. 1967, ch. 238, § 1; April 26.

34-2,107. Priority of owner's interest in stored grain defined. The owner of grain held in storage by a public warehouseman, as defined in K.S.A. 34-223, in this state, whether such grain is held under open storage or pursuant to the issuance of a warehouse receipt, shall have a prior right to such grain against any other person, subject only to the payment of accrued warehouse charges and the satisfaction of any lien or liens upon such grain and valid against the owner thereof, until the grain is either removed from storage by the owner or sold by the owner.

As used in this section, the term "open storage" means the storage of grain pursuant to the issuance of a scale ticket regardless of whether the grain is retained in the warehouse or elsewhere; and the term "owner" means the holder of any warehouse receipt or receipts or of any scale ticket or tickets for grain held in storage by a public warehouseman.

History: L. 1981, ch. 180, § 1; July 1.

In regard to criminal prosecution of warehousemen who fail to hold in proper trust the grain stored in their warehouses, or who misappropriate grain entrusted to their care, we believe that the full responsibility for ciminal prosecution should be with the Attorney General. We further believe that the criminal punishment for illegal acts of warehousemen should be reviewed and appropriate criminal penalties enacted.

Grain Warehousing St_ment October 27, 1982 Page 7

Thank you for the opportunity to appear before this Committee. If there are any questions, I will attempt to answer them.

OPEN STORAGE REPORT TO EXAMINER

Tam ANN Fords

Council Grove, Ks

LIST ALL

Customer Storage Covered by Trust Receipts or Scale Tickets Only—and Loading Orders to be Shipped

Note: Upon receipt of a loading order (CCC or others) accompanied by the Warehouse Receipts, the receipts must be cancelled and the grain reported as open storage until shipment is completed. Shipments on loading orders must be made as soon

Customer's Name	Wheat, Bu.	Corr, Bu.	0>45, Bu.	, Bu.
Robert Bacon	50-			, Bu.
Keith Bocon	40 _			
Mex Davis	4/6.73			
Mex Devis	39,69	#		
Sobke	37.61			
Robert Taulou Rocksida Ramo		369.45		
No deferred p	ricins c		s W J.	
otal Open Storage Acet.				
	585.95	369.45	- - -	
ess Open Stg. to Term.	-0-	1 1 1 0 -	-0-	
pen Storage in Elev.	58555	36925	-0-	
otal Outstanding W. H. R.			-0-	
g. Liability in Elev.	58595	367.45		
ompany Owned	2753.70			
otal Stock in Elev.	734465	369.45	41.25	Tatel cutael
	1111133	777)	71.25	7018 CHENE'S 3 4 55. 35
			++-	
The forger agrees at stangent of		Additional Listings		
The foregoing statement of customer stemy knowledge and besief, and includes the u ering same bases been cancelled.	orage upon which no w nshipped portion of lo:	varchouse receipts have i iding orders that have b	been issued is true and co	brrect to the best of
Dated Nayamber 2	(12-	Signed AlM	1, 0-1	

The feregoing statement of customer storage upon which no warehouse receipts have been issued is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and includes the unshipped portion of loading orders that have been received and the warehouse receipts covering some have been cancelled.

Dated

| Various | Var

OPEN STORAGE REPORT TO EXAMINER

AM ANN Feeds
Company

Council Grove KS

LIST ALL

Customer Storage Covered by Trust Receipts or Scale Tickets Only—and Loading Orders to be Shipped

Note: Upon receipt of a loading order (CCC or others) accompanied by the Warehouse Receipts, the receipts must be cancelled and the grain reported as open storage until shipment is completed. Shipments on loading orders must be made as soon

Customer's Name			77	71.			-									Ī							1						-
dia Casina Ranal	,		7	ne	2t, .	Bu.	T				- j	T	7	Bu	•	-	T	T	! 1	<u></u> ;	Bu	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	_	=		_, B	u.	-
13 TE FEINS PRY 28.	1	_	4		10	<u> </u>	Pe			<u> </u>	*	4	1	- K	• •	_	_	L											
rstin Erzins Pzyzb. -13-79 Seger Wilso /T 2586	W	_	4	45	18	4	وسروار) \$	7/		5 6		74	12	-1	_	_	_											
7/ 286	_	_	_	\perp	_	-		_	_	_	_	_	_	1			_	_											
	_	_	_	_	į.,	' -,	ļ 		_	_	_														\perp				
		_	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	_			_																	_
	_		_	<u> </u>	_			\perp																					
	_	_	1	_						_	\perp			_															
		_	\perp	L	L								_																
																													_
Total Open Storage Acet.																						Ì	Ī	Ī			1		_
Less Open Stg. to Term.																		T	T	Ť	T		T		Ť		\dagger	\dashv	_
Open Storage in Elev.								1			T		-					1	\top		\dagger		\dashv	\dagger	+	+	+		
Total Outstanding W. H. R.							**				Ī	Ī		-				Ť	\dagger	1		1	1	Ť	\dagger		+		_
Stg. Liability in Elev.							······································				Ī				1			\dagger	Ť	+		+	+	+	+	+	-+		
Company Owned									Ī										Ť	\top	İ	\dashv	1	\dagger	+	\dagger	+	-	
Total Stock in Elev.						1		\top	\top	\dagger	\vdash					_		1	+	+		+	+	+	+	H	-		
							****	\top	T		T	\vdash			+		7	+	+	+-		+	+	+	+	+	+		
		\dagger		_	+			\dagger	1	1	+				+	_	\dashv	+	+	+-		\dashv	+	+	+	$\vdash \vdash$	+		_
i	+-	\dagger	-	+	\dashv	+		+	+	+	-				\dashv		+	+	+	+	-	_	+	+	+	\vdash	-	\dashv	
	\dagger	+	Usr	. 0	her	- 5	de f		J.a		Dal.	γ .			+	\dashv	\dashv	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	\vdash	-	+	
The foregoing statement of customer my knowledge and belief, and includes the ering same have been cancelled. Dated PURLUPSE, STATE OF KANSAS, County of day of day of the State and County aforesaid person who signed the foregoing statement of the state and county aforesaid day of	2.1			1{	<u>s</u> .			19_	Sig	By	pers	J. T. T. SSON	all,	<u>u</u>	ppe ppe) tan	d l	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	L Vner	and or l	Exec	not	Oil	ehou L Q Q Q Q Q	blic	in	ipts	for	
statements contained in the said statement	are	true	to		C L	JL (1111	140			V V . 3	TON	113114		* *		e is nd	du bel	ly a ief.	iuth	ioriz	cd	to c	lo s	o, a	ind	that	the	
My commission expires				****		_19														·			•	2 / 1		, , (4	.,,,,		
	L	ist I)	eler	red	Seti	tlen	ent (Cont	ract	1 0	n se	par.	ate :	024	٠.														

Jerold E. Berger HUMPAGE, BERGER AND HOFFMAN 314 West Seventh Street Topeka, Kansas 66603 (913) 235-3477

April 12, 1482

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

IN RE:

GLEN LAVON SKEEN, d/b/a Tam Ann Feed,

Debtor.

Case No. 80-40829

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO PAY GRAIN OWNERS

COMES NOW the trustee, and makes his Application to the Court for an Order permitting him to make payments to certain owners of grain which was stored in the debtor's elevator. The trustee shows the Court the following:

- 1. That he sold the grain that was in the elevator at the time of filing the bankruptcy. That the total receipt from the sale was \$8,618.21.
- 2. That in order to sell the grain, it cost the trustee \$390.00 for labor, and \$100.00 for trucks, for a total of \$490.00, thereby leaving a net balance of \$8,128.21.
- 3. That there were several people who had grain stored in the elevator at the time of filing of the bankruptcy. That in order to determine a fair distribution, the trustee totaled the amount of bushels the individuals had stored and divided that by \$8,128.00 which was the amount received. This gave the trustee a figure of 2.5242 per bushel. The trustee then multiplied this by the number of bushels each individual had stored in said elevator. Based on the above, the trustee is requesting permission to pay the following individuals the following amounts of money:

3rzin Inspection Repert

22Wheet = 3344.65 bu

Corn = 369.45 bu

Date = 41.25 bu.

A. Sager Wilson 1884. Wheet

11-21-70

3755.35 60.

3220,00 64.

535.35 6...

Tal

torige

urphus.

Corn @ 2.52 (less \$200.75 owed for molasses)

NET:

Date Suplee 386.6 P? 959.19

959.19

932.56

-200.75

731.81

D. Max Davis 454.34 what \$1151.86

E. Robert Bacon 50 wheat 126.20

F. Keith Bacon 40 wheel 100.96

G. Sobke

39.67

\$128.23

WHEREFORE, the trustee prays the Court for an Order

permitting him to pay out the above sums of money.

\$7/28, + 3226,0 bu = \$2.52.113 per bu.

Jerold E. Berger, Trustee

\$4757.49

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jerold E. Berger, hereby certify that on the 12th day of April, 1982, a copy of the above and foregoing Application was deposited in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid and addressed to the following individuals:

Sager Wilson Keith Bacon
Route 1 Route 1
Council Grove, KS 66846 Council Grove, KS 66846

Dale Suplee
c/o Charles Rayl
P. O. Box 640
Cottonwood Falls, KS 66845

Sobke
Route 1
Council Grove, KS 66846

Robert Taylor
900 S. Neosho Street
P. O. Box 135
Council Grove, KS 66846

Max Davis
Route 3
Council Grove, KS 66846

Robert Bacon Route 1 Council Grove, KS 66846

Former elevator owner indicted

By JIM SUBER Capital-Journal rural development writer

The former owner of a Kackley grain elevator was indicted Tuesday in Topeka by a federal grand jury for 10 counts of federal criminal violations involving his business before it went into state receivership in November 1980.

Mark W. Collins was charged with four counts of making false statements to Farmway Credit Union of Beloit, to obtain loans in amounts up to \$60,000, five counts of giving false warehouse invoices to the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Commodity Credit Corp. to get warehouse storage and service payments and one count of selling CCC-pledged corn to a grain company in Salina.

Collins was proprietor of Collins Grain Co. Inc. in Kackley, a small town in southwest Republic County in northcentral Kansas.

U.S. Attorney Jim Marquez said the

indictment ended a 16-month investigation that originated with the Kansas State Grain Inspection Department.

Bradley Smoot, assistant state attorney general, said the state also had been investigating the elevator since it went into receivership, but that the federal agencies were first to bring charges because the USDA started the investigation. The state turned its information over to Marquez, Smoot said, and it's unlikely the state will file further charges unless it can find some different from the federal ones.

If found guilty, Collins could be fined up to \$5,000 and jailed for up to two years on each of the first four counts and fined \$10,000 and sentenced up to five years on each of the remaining six counts.

Some farm groups had complained loudly this winter about the apparent failure of the attorney general's office to investigate circumstances before the elevator's failure.

A proposal now in the legislature,

supported in part by persons specifically dissatisfied with the Kackley elevator case, would ensure that the Grain Inspection Dept. would inform the attorney general of possible wrongdoing at elevators.

Each had been accused in legislative hearings of not pursuing possible illegalities leading up to the failure of the elevator.

The creditors lost much money in the failure, with the receiver only ending the case earlier this winter when he filed final distribution papers in Republic County.

According to a copy of the motion filed in Republic County District Court to allow distribution of assets, wheat growers received \$1.61 a bushel, soybeans growers received \$5.48 milo growers received \$1.97 and corn growers received \$2.40.

On the loans involving Farmway, the jury basically found that Collins had falsely told the credit union he had grain on hand to use as collateral. The four loans were for \$8,000, \$35,000, \$7,500 and \$60,000. Farmway got back \$38,094.11 on the \$60,000 loan.

One farming partnership from near Courtland had some 12,000 bushels of various grains involved in the failure.

Others paid from the various funds included: Internal Revenue Service, \$8,271; Kansas Department of Revenue, \$1,023; the receiver, Eugene Waring, \$18,500; his attorney, Robert Meyer, \$18,500; an auditor, Rex Woods, \$3,800; the Kansas Grain Inspection Dept., for inspecting grain after appointment of the receiver, \$2,333, and the Santa Fe Railway, demurrage charges, \$1,800.

Collins was sentenced to mo. in a half-way house and two years

Max Perelly \$ 80,000 fine & 38 years.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS (TOPEKA DOCKET)

UNITED ST	TATES OF	AMERICA, Plaintiff,)	
		vs.) No.	18 USC §1014
MARK W. (COLLINS	Defendant.)))	15 USC §714m(a) 15 USC §714m(c)

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury Charges:

COUNT I

On or about the 18th day of March, 1980, at Beloit, in the District of Kansas,

MARK W. COLLINS

did unlawfully, knowingly, willfully, intentionally and feloniously make a false statement upon an application for a loan for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of the Farmway Credit Union, a state chartered credit union insured by the National Credit Union Administration, in that MARK W. COLLINS pledged warehouse receipts for 3500 bushels of corn stored at Collins Grain Company's elevator as collateral for a loan of \$8,000.00, whereas, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there knew, at that time Collins Grain Company was approximately 11,925.23 bushels of corn short as of March 18, 1980, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014.

COUNT II

On or about the 23rd day of May, 1980, at Beloit, in the District of Kansas,

MARK W. COLLINS

did unlawfully, knowingly, willfully, intentionally and feloniously make a false statement upon an application for a loan for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of the Farmway Credit Union, a state chartered credit union insured by the National Credit Union Administration, in that MARK W. COLLINS

pledged warehouse receipts for 14,000 bushels of corn stored at Collins Grain Company's elevator as collateral for a loan of \$35,000.00, whereas, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there knew, at that time Collins Grain company was approximately 4,903.38 bushels of corn short as of May 23, 1980, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014.

COUNT III

On or about the 4th day of September, 1980, at Beloit, in the District of Kansas,

MARK W. COLLINS

did unlawfully, knowingly, willfully, intentionally and feloniously make a false statement upon an application for a loan for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of the Farmway Credit Union, a state chartered credit union insured by the National Credit Union Administration, in that MARK W. COLLINS pledged warehouse receipts for 2400 bushels of corn stored at Collins Grain Company's elevator as collateral for a loan of \$7,500.00, whereas, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there knew, at that time Collins Grain Company owned approximately 1,723.72 bushels of corn in its elevator as of September 4, 1980, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014.

COUNT IV

On or about the 7th day of October, 1980, at Beloit, in the District of Kansas,

MARK W. COLLINS

did unlawfully, knowingly, willfully, intentionally and feloniously make a false statement upon an application for a loan for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of the Farmway Credit Union, a state chartered credit union insured by the National Credit Union Administration, in that MARK W. COLLINS pledged warehouse receipts for 16,000 bushels of corn, 60,000 pounds of milo, and 1,060 bushels of soybeans stored at Collins Grain Company's elevator as collateral for a loan of \$60,000.00, whereas, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there knew, at that time

Collins Grain Company was short approximately 25,706.27 bushels of corn, and 44,890 pounds of milo in its elevator as of October 7, 1980, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1014.

COUNT V

On or about 9th day of April, 1980, at Shawnee Mission, in the District of Kansas, and within the jurisdiction of this Court,

MARK W. COLLINS

material statement, knowing it to be false, for the purpose of influencing the action of Commodity Credit Corporation and for the purpose of obtaining for himself and others, money, property, and other things of value from Commodity Credit Corporation.

That is to say, that on or about the date stated and in the District aforesaid, MARK W. COLLINS executed and caused to be filed with the Kansas City Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Commodity Office at Shawnee Mission, Kansas, for the purpose of obtaining a periodic payment to Collins Grain Company, Inc., Kackley, Kansas, of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation for the period ending March 31, 1980, a Form entitled "Invoice for Warehouse Charges," reciting in substance as follows:

"I hereby certify that this invoice has been verified in detail by me or by someone under my direction, which verification has included the careful examination of complete records of inventories and storage obligations, and that all services for which payment of charges is claimed have been performed in full conformity with the provisions of my storage warehousing agreement with the Commodity Credit Corporation."

This statement was material in obtaining payment of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation. The statements contained in said certification, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there well knew, were false for the reason that the services during the period January 1, 1980, through March 31, 1980, for which payment was claimed, had not been performed in conformity with the provisions of COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY'S storage warehousing

agreement with Commodity Credit Corporation in that:

- 1. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY did not at all times maintain in its elevator at Kackley, Kansas a stock of grain (wheat) equivalent in quantity, class and grade, and fairly representative of the quality which he was obligated to deliver to the holders of warehouse receipts and other documents representing the grain.
- 2. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY had sold, shipped, or otherwise disposed of wheat owned by Commodity Credit Corporation.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 714m(a).

COUNT VI

On or about 9th day of July, 1980, at Shawnee Mission, in the District of Kansas, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

MARK W. COLLINS

did unlawfully, intentionally, feloniously and willfully make a material statement, knowing it to be false, for the purpose of influencing the action of Commodity Credit Corporation and for the purpose of obtaining for himself and others, money, property, and other things of value from Commodity Credit Corporation. That is to say, that on or about the date stated and in the District aforesaid, MARK W. COLLINS executed and caused to be filed with the Kansas City Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Commodity Office at Shawnee Mission, Kansas, for the purpose of obtaining a periodic payment to Collins Grain Company, Inc., Kackley, Kansas, of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation for the period ending June 30, 1980, a Form entitled "Invoice for Warehouse Charges," reciting in substance as follows:

"I hereby certify that this invoice has been verified in detail by me or by someone under my direction, which verification has included the careful examination of complete records of inventories and storage obligations, and that all services for which payment of charges is claimed have been performed in full conformity with the provisions of my storage warehousing agreement with the Commodity Credit Corporation."

This statement was material in obtaining payment of warehouse

charges by Commodity Credit Corporation. The statements contained in said certification, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there well knew, were false for the reason that the services during the period April 1, 1980, through June 30, 1980, for which payment was claimed, had not been performed in conformity with the provisions of COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY'S storage warehousing agreement with Commodity Credit Corporation in that:

- 1. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY did not at all times maintain in its elevator at Kackley, Kansas a stock of grain (wheat) equivalent in quantity, class and grade, and fairly representative of the quality which he was obligated to deliver to the holders of warehouse receipts and other documents representing the grain.
- COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY had sold, shipped, or otherwise disposed of wheat owned by Commodity Credit Corporation.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 714m(a).

COUNT VII

On or about 9th day of April, 1980, at Shawnee Mission, in the District of Kansas, and within the jurisdiction of this Court,

MARK W. COLLINS

did unlawfully, intentionally, feloniously and willfully make a material statement, knowing it to be false, for the purpose of influencing the action of Commodity Credit Corporation and for the purpose of obtaining for himself and others, money, property, and other things of value from Commodity Credit Corporation. That is to say, that on or about the date stated and in the District aforesaid, MARK W. COLLINS executed and caused to be filed with the Kansas City Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Commodity Office at Shawnee Mission, Kansas, for the purpose of obtaining a periodic payment to Collins Grain Company, Inc., Kackley, Kansas, of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation for the period ending March 31, 1980, a Form entitled "Invoice for Warehouse Charges," reciting in substance

as follows:

"I hereby certify that this invoice has been verified in detail by me or by someone under my direction, which verification has included the careful examination of complete records of inventories and storage obligations, and that all services for which payment of charges is claimed have been performed in full conformity with the provisions of my storage warehousing agreement with the Commodity Credit Corporation."

This statement was material in obtaining payment of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation. The statements contained in said certification, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there well knew, were false for the reason that the services during the period January 1, 1980, through March 31, 1980, for which payment was claimed, had not been performed in conformity with the provisions of COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY'S storage warehousing agreement with Commodity Credit Corporation in that:

- 1. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY did not at all times maintain in its elevator at Kackley, Kansas a stock of grain (corn) equivalent in quantity, class and grade, and fairly representative of the quality which he was obligated to deliver to the holders of warehouse receipts and other documents representing the grain.
- 2. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY had sold, shipped, or otherwise disposed of corn owned by Commodity Credit Corporation.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 714m(a).

COUNT VIII

On or about the 26th day of June, 1980 at Shawnee Mission, in the District of Kansas, and within the jurisdiction of this Court

MARK W. COLLINS

did unlawfully, intentionally, feloniously and willfully make a material statement, knowing it to be false, for the purpose of influencing the action of Commodity Credit Corporation and for the purpose of obtaining for himself and others, money, property, and other things of value from Commodity Credit Corporation. That is to say, that on or about the date stated and in the District aforesaid, MARK W. COLLINS executed and caused to be filed with the Kansas City Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Commodity Office at Shawnee Mission, Kansas, for the purpose of obtaining a periodic payment to Collins Grain Company, Inc., Kackley, Kansas, of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation for the period ending June 8, 1980, a Form entitled "Loading Order, Trust Order and Invoice for Charges" reciting in substance as follows:

"I hereby certify that this invoice has been verified in detail by me or by someone under my direction, which verification has included the careful examination of complete records of inventories and storage obligations, and that all services for which payment of charges is claimed have been performed in full conformity with the provisions of my warehousing agreement with the Commodity Credit Corporation."

This statement was material in obtaining payment of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation. The statements contained in said certification, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there well knew, were false for the reason that the services during the period April 1, 1980, through June 8, 1980, for which payment was claimed, had not been performed in conformity with the provisions of COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY'S storage warehousing agreement with Commodity Credit Corporation in that:

- 1. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY did not at all times maintain in its elevator at Kackley, Kansas a stock of grain (corn) equivalent in quantity, class and grade, and fairly representative of the quality which he was obligated to deliver to the holders of warehouse receipts and other documents representing the grain.
- 2. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY had sold, shipped, or otherwise disposed of corn owned by Commodity Credit Corporation.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 714m(a).

COUNT IX

On or about the 14th day of October, 1980 at Shawnee Mission, in the District of Kansas, and within the jurisdiction of this Court

MARK W. COLLINS

did unlawfully, intentionally, feloniously and willfully make a material statement, knowing it to be false, for the purpose of influencing the action of Commodity Credit Corporation and for the purpose of obtaining for himself and others, money, property, and other things of value from Commodity Credit Corporation. That is to say, that on or about the date stated and in the District aforesaid, MARK W. COLLINS executed and caused to be filed with the Kansas City Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Commodity Office at Shawnee Mission, Kansas, for the purpose of obtaining a periodic payment to Collins Grain Company, Inc., Kackley, Kansas, of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation for the period ending September 26, 1980, a Form entitled "Loading Order, Trust Order and Invoice for Charges" reciting in substance as follows:

"I hereby certify that this invoice has been verified in detail by me or by someone under my direction, which verification has included the careful examination of complete records of inventories and storage obligations, and that all services for which payment of charges is claimed have been performed in full conformity with the provisions of my warehousing agreement with the Commodity Credit Corporation."

This statement was material in obtaining payment of warehouse charges by Commodity Credit Corporation. The statements contained in said certification, as MARK W. COLLINS then and there well knew, were false for the reason that the services during the period July 1, 1980, through September 26, 1980, for which payment was claimed, had not been performed in conformity with the provisions of COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY'S storage warehousing agreement with Commodity Credit Corporation in that:

1. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY did not at all times maintain

in its elevator at Kackley, Kansas a stock of grain (wheat) equivalent in quantity, class and grade, and fairly representative of the quality which he was obligated to deliver to the holders of warehouse receipts and other documents representing the grain.

2. COLLINS GRAIN COMPANY had sold, shipped, or otherwise disposed of wheat owned by Commodity Credit Corporation.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 714m(a).

COUNT X

On or about the 19th day of September, 1980, at Kackley, in the District of Kansas, and within the jurisdiction of this Court,

MARK W. COLLINS

did willfully, intentionally, unlawfully and feloniously steal, conceal, remove, dispose of, and convert to his own use and to the use of another, property having a value in excess of \$500.00 owned, held by, and pledged to Commodity Credit Corporation. is to say, during the period and in the District aforesaid, MARK W. COLLINS did willfully steal, conceal, remove, dispose of, and convert to the use of MARK W. COLLINS approximately 1,925 bushels of corn pledged to Commodity Credit Corporation which had been stored in the Collins Grain Company elevator at Kackley, Kansas. Such corn, having an approximate value of \$5,794.00 was sold and delivered to the Wright-Lorenz Grain Company, Inc., Salina, Kansas, as part of a total sale of 17,500 bushels of corn; approximately 1,925 bushels of which represented corn pledged to the Commodity Credit Corporation on a price support loan by Frank, Ralph and Darlene Standley of Courtland, Kansas and stored at Collins Grain Company's elevator as part of a total pledge of 8,997.14 bushels, the value of said 1,925 bushels being \$5,794.00.

All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 714m(c).

A TRUE BILL.

DATE	FOREMAN OF THE GRAND JURY
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
District of Kansas	
[It is requested that trial be	held in Topeka, Kansas]
Bond fixed at: \$	·
·	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Ptachment 9 1/27/83

Senate Agriculture and Small Business Committee

January 27, 1983

by Nancy E. Kantola, Executive Vice President

Kansas Cooperative Council

Senate bills 1 through 6 have been drafted after considerable debate; through the committee process last session and through extensive hearings this summer by the interim committee.

We feel this is reasonable, responsible action to reduce losses to producers and even to reduce the potential of bankruptcy among elevators.

As I've testified in the past, we could support stronger financial disclosure requirements, but because small private companies feel it would be too great a cost, agree with the proposal to support the commodity storage requirements instead as outlined in Senate Bill 1.

Several suggestions beyond the realm of the 6 bills have been made the last two days. I wish to go on record again opposing a statewide indemnity fund. We feel there is no way to administer the fund without penalizing the sound companies to protect the poorly managed or financed grain companies. Producers who sell for cash would be paying the same price as those who store grain or use deferred payment or pricing plans. It would not solve the producer's problem in the short run, as settlement could not be made until the court proceedings ascertained the actual loss to each producer.

We also disagree with Mr. Webb's recommendation to change Senate Bill 2 to require the verification of grain in storage be at the year end. Our CPAs send them now

Atch. 9

at the fiscal year end of each firm, which is a date set by each cooperative's bylaws.

Any producer can call for an update on their position as they make their decisions

prior to the calendar year end.

We sincerely hope that KGID will continue to endeavor to make two inspections per year when possible and will use the recommendations of the Post Legislative Audit to keep Kansas' record low for elevator failures.