			Date		
MINUTES OF THESEN	ATE COMMITTEE ON _	JUDICIARY	·		
The meeting was called to o	order by	Senator Elwaine F. Pome Chairperson	eroy at		
10:00 a.m./pxm. on	January 24	, 19 <u>83</u> in room	514–S of the Capitol.		
I members ***** present e******* were: Senators Pomeroy, Winter, Feleciano, Gaines, Hein, Mulich, Steineger and Werts.					
	Mary Torrence, Revisor c Mike Heim, Legislative R				

Mark Burghart, Legislative Research Department

Approved January 25, 1983

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Kathleen Sebelius, Kansas Trial Lawyers

Senator Werts moved that the minutes of January 21, 1983, be approved; Senator Mulich seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

The chairman inquired if anyone present had a presentation for consideration of introduction of committee bills.

Kathleen Sebelius presented a second draft of a proposal dealing with the private right of action for individual action against unfair claims practices of an insurance company (See Attachment #1). This proposal was presented to the committee on January 18, and there were some questions with the first draft of the proposed amendment. She explained the proposed amendment to the committee. A committee member inquired if they would object to providing the prevailing party be allowed attorneys fees. Her reply was that the general feeling is that, that has a chilling effect on a plaintiff. Committee discussion followed. Another committee member inquired if there have been problems in this area with insurance companies; she replied, there have been problems and gave an example. She said the biggest problem is delays. A committee member inquired if there is a definition of "general business practice" in the law; she answered, no, there is not. A committee member inquired what is the technical reason for needing this bill; she replied this cause of action does not generally exist. Another committee member commented it might exist under the Consumer Protection Act. Following committee discussion, Senator Feleciano moved that the bill be introduced and referred to the appropriate committee; Senator Winter seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

Kathleen Sebelius presented a proposal dealing with a bill on prejudgment interest (See Attachment #2). She explained the proposal is a former house bill, House Bill 2150. Her organization feels there is a majority in favor of this bill in the Senate. Senator Feleciano moved that the bill be introduced and referred to the appropriate committee; Senator Winter seconded the motion, and the motion carried.

Kathleen Sebelius presented another proposal, the Uniform Comparative Fault Act, drafted by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (See Attachment #3). She explained the draft is approved and recommended for enactment in all states. Senator Steineger moved that the bill be introduced and referred to the appropriate committee; Senator Winter seconded the motion, and the motion carried. Kathleen Sebelius reported that the Civil Law Advisory Committee of the Kansas Judicial Council will meet February 25 to hear oral testimony. She will keep this committee informed if the civil law committee decides to undertake a study. The chairman announced hearings will not be held this year on the bill if a study is undertaken by the Civil Law Advisory Committee.

The chairman reminded the committee members this is the final day for requesting individual bills.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE	SENATE	_ COMMITTEE ON	JUDICIARY	
room514_S. Statehou	use, at10	:00 a.m./xxxxx. on	January 24	, 1 <u>983</u>

Senate Bill 14 - Liability for local disaster emergency activities. Re Proposal No. 38.

The chairman reviewed the hearing held on the bill. During committee discussion, a committee member said he felt conceptually immunity should be given in emergency situations but notfor criminal type actions. Considerable committee discussion followed regarding gross and wanton conduct in an emergency situation. Senator Steineger made a conceptual motion that was the second recommendation by the Kansas Leaque of Municipalities; Senator Werts seconded the motion. Following further committee discussion, Senator Steineger made a revised motion that neither entity nor the employee to be liable in civil liability; Senator Werts seconded the revised motion. Considerable committee discussion followed. Senator Hein made a substitute motion to amend the bill to make it actual fraud or actual malice, the standard under the Tort Claims Act; Senator Mulich seconded the motion. Following further committee discussion, the motion carried. Senator Steineger moved to report the bill favorably as amended; Senator Werts seconded the motion, and the motion carried. Senator Feleciano asked to be recorded as voting "no".

Senate Bill 7 - Filing of security interests in farm products; Re Proposal No. 12.

The chairman reviewed the two days hearings held on the bill. Considerable discussion followed. The chairman announced discussion on the bill will continue whenever the committee has the opportunity.

The meeting adjourned.

1 4/83

GUESTS

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

-		
NAME	ADDRESS	ORGANIZATION
	,	•
Genellang	Forseka	ICRA
Lan Hours	Staff	Sterneger
Chris Dr. Kienzi	Topetra	beague of municipatities
Middle	Topeka	AZA
Carol Beard	Topeka	Se of State
Thomas Pay Cola	Trocks	Ke Co-op Council
Chen I hat	Marin	Karp
A Marjor Von Burn	Topeka	ONA
Joy JOSSERAND	Popeke	Slay of State
Movem Uhrholtz	Typhs	penc
Kallan C. Salselis	1, 1	KTLA
Menn D. Co gsmer	Topeler	Ro. Raon of Perforsimal Suntie
I haven appellaum	Laurence	Wint lembor.
Let Sharp	LAWRENCE	Bill Mulich
Bearing Creashow	Topela	(5FO
M. Fluwer	1.	Capital - Januay
(
	CONTRACTOR	

1-24-83

#1

K.S.A. 40-2404 Subsection (10)

A private individual may bring suit against an insurance company for engaging in the practices (a) through $(\cancel{y}\cancel{t})$ contained in subsection (9). For the purposes of a private action, it is not necessary to prove that the act was done with such frequency as to indicate a general business practice.

If such person prevails in the action, he/she will be entitled to reasonable attorney fees, settlement of the claim and other damages which the law would allow.

Existing subsections 10-13 need renumbering.

Atch. 1

1-24-83

2

[As Amended by House Committee of the Whole]

Session of 1981

0023

0024

0025

0026 0027

0028

0029

0030

0031 0032

0033

0034

0035

0036

0037 0038

0039

0040

0041

HOUSE BILL No. 2150

By Committee on Judiciary

(By Request)

1-28

AN ACT relating to interest rates or charges; concerning judgments on interest-bearing contracts; amending K.S.A. 1980 Supp. 16-205 and repealing the existing section.

0022 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 1980 Supp. 16-205 is hereby amended to read as follows: 16-205. (a) When a rate of interest or charges is specified in any contract, that rate shall continue until full payment is made, and any judgment rendered on any such the contract shall bear the same rate of interest or charges mentioned in the contract, which and such rate shall be specified in the judgment; but in no case shall such rate or charges exceed the maximum rate or amount authorized by law; and. Any bond, note, bill, or other contract for the payment of money, which in effect provides that any interest or charges or any higher rate of interest or charges shall accrue as a penalty for any default, shall be void as to any such provision.

- (b) Judgments taken in accordance with the provisions of subsection (a) shall be expressed as follows:
 - (1) Judgments upon interest-bearing contracts shall provide (i) the unpaid principal balance, (ii) the date to which interest is paid, (iii) the contract rate of interest and (iv) that the unpaid principal balance shall draw the contract rate of interest from the date to which interest is paid until payment in full.
- 0042 (2) Judgments upon precomputed interest-bearing contracts 0043 shall provide: (i) The unpaid principal balance shall be ascer-0044 tained by deducting from the remaining total of payments owed 0045 on the contract that portion of the precomputed finance charges

Atch. 2

0046 0047

0048

0049

0050

 $0051 \\ 0052$

0053

0054 0055

0056

0057

0058

0059

0060 0061

0062

0063

0064

0065

0066

0067

0068

0069 0070

0071

 $0072 \\ 0073$

0074

0075

0076

0077 0078

0079

 $0080 \\ 0081$

0082

that are unearned as of the date of acceleration of the maturity of the contract, as provided in K.S.A. 16a-2-510 for computing the unearned portion of precomputed finance charges in the event of prepayment in full. Any delinquency or deferral charges added to the unpaid balance subsequent to the date of acceleration shall be first deducted from the unpaid balance prior to any such acceleration. The contract shall be accelerated as of the date provided for in the provisions of the contract, or if the contract does not provide for the date on which the contract shall be accelerated, it shall be accelerated as of the actual date of any such acceleration; (ii) the date to which interest is paid, which date shall be the maturity date of the next installment due after the date of acceleration, except those contracts which are accelerated on an installment due date which shall be the date of acceleration; the date to which interest is paid for those contracts that have matured prior to judgment shall be calculated from maturity date of the contract; (iii) the contract rate of interest; and (iv) that the unpaid principal balance shall draw the contract rate of interest from the date to which interest is paid until payment in full.

(3) Judgments upon contracts where the finance charges are computed in dollars per hundred and added on to the original balance to be financed shall provide: (i) The unpaid principal balance shall be ascertained by deducting from the remaining total of payments owed on the contract that portion of the precomputed finance charges that are unearned as of the date of acceleration of the maturity of the contract as provided in K.S.A. 16a-2-510 for computing the unearned portion of precomputed finance charges in the event of prepayment in full. Any delinquency or deferral charges added to the unpaid balance subsequent to the date of acceleration shall be first deducted from the unpaid balance prior to any such acceleration. The contract shall be accelerated as of the date provided for in the provisions of the contract, or if the contract does not provide for the date on which the contract shall be accelerated, it shall be accelerated as of the actual date of any acceleration; (ii) the date to which interest is paid, which date shall be the maturity date of the next installment due after the date of acceleration, except those contracts which are accelerated on an installment due date which shall be the date of acceleration; the date to which interest is paid for those contracts that have matured prior to judgment shall be calculated from the maturity date of the contract; (iii) the contract rate of interest expressed as an annual percentage figure, which may be taken from the contract if it discloses the annual percentage rate, or it shall be ascertained in accordance with the constant ratio method which is mathematically expressed as follows:

 $R = \underline{\qquad \qquad }$ where $\underline{\qquad \qquad }$

0094 R = rate of charge

m = number of payment periods in one year

n = number of payments to discharge the debt

c = charge in dollars

p = principal or cash advanced

and (iv) that the unpaid principal balance shall draw the contract rate of interest as determined herein from the date to which interest is paid until payment in full.

- (c) Upon the entry of any judgment after June 30, 1981 [1982], in which a claimant shall be adjudged to recover money, or be entitled to a setoff or counterclaim, the claimant shall be entitled to have simple interest at the rate of 10% per annum added to the amount of the compensatory portion of the recovery or credit in accordance with the following conditions:
- 0108 (1) No interest shall be added pursuant to this subsection if 0109 interest on the claimant's recovery or credit is otherwise provided 0110 by law or contract.
 - (2) Interest pursuant to this subsection shall be allowed to the claimant only if the claimant shall have served on the party adjudicated to be liable a written offer of settlement of the claim, setoff or counterclaim, in an amount no greater than the amount of the recovery or allowance as thereafter adjudicated. The offer shall be served either personally or by restricted mail if made before suit is filed, or pursuant to K.S.A. 60-205 if made after suit is filed. The offer shall not be subject to revocation for a period of 30 days after service thereof on the party claimed to be liable, but

o120 shall be automatically deemed to be withdrawn unless accepted o121 and payment made or credit given within such period of 30 days.

- 0122 (3) Interest to be added pursuant to this subsection shall be 0123 allowed by the court from 30 days after the date the claimant 0124 served such offer to the date of judgment.
- 0125 (4) An offer made hereunder but not accepted shall not be 0126 filed in the case until relevant to the entry of judgment, and 0127 neither the offer nor a failure to accept shall be an admission 0128 against interest nor be evidence in the case until effect is to be 0129 given thereto in the entry of judgment by the court.
- 0130 Sec. 2. K.S.A. 1080 Supp. 16-205 hereby repealed.
- Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

1-24-83

UNIFORM COMPARATIVE FAULT ACT

Drafted by the

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

and by it

APPROVED AND RECOMMENDED FOR ENACTMENT IN ALL THE STATES

at its

ANNUAL CONFERENCE
MEETING IN ITS EIGHTY-SIXTH YEAR
IN VAIL, COLORADO

JULY 29-AUGUST 5, 1977

Atch. 3

The committee which acted for the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing the Uniform Comparative Fault Act was as follows:

- JOHN W. WADE, Vanderbilt University, School of Law, Nashville, TN 37240; Chairman.
- FRANCIS BERGAN, 5 Circle Lane, Albany, NY 12203.
- WINDSOR DEAN CALKINS, 1163 Olive Street, Eugene, OR 97401.
- FLOYD R. GIBSON, 837 United States Courthouse, Kansas City, MO 64106.
- ELMER R. OETTINGER, Institute of Government, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27514.
- JAMES H. CLARKE, 800 Pacific Building, Portland, OR 97204; Chairman, Division F, Ex Officio.
- JAMES M. BUSH, 363 North First Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85003; <u>President,</u> Ex Officio.
- VICTOR E. SCHWARTZ, University of Cincinnati, College of Law, Cincinnati, OH 45221; Consultant.

Copies of all Uniform and Model Acts and other printed matter issued by the Conference may be obtained from

National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws 645 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 510 Chicago, Illinois 60611

SECTION 1. [Effect of Contributory Fault.]

- (a) In an action based on fault seeking to recover damages for injury or death to person or harm to property, any contributory fault chargeable to the claimant diminishes proportionately the amount awarded as compensatory damages for an injury attributable to the claimant's contributory fault, but does not bar recovery. This rule applies whether or not under prior law the claimant's contributory fault constituted a defense or was disregarded under applicable legal doctrines, such as last clear chance.
- (b) "Fault" includes acts or omissions that are in any measure negligent or reckless toward the person or property of the actor or others, or that subject a person to strict tort liability. The term also includes breach of warranty, unreasonable assumption of risk not constituting an enforceable express consent, misuse of a product for which the defendant otherwise would be liable, and unreasonable failure to avoid an injury or to mitigate damages. Legal requirements of causal relation apply both to fault as the basis for liability and to contributory fault.

SECTION 2. [Apportionment of Damages.]

- (a) In all actions involving fault of more than one party to the action, including third-party defendants and persons who have been released under Section 6, the court, unless otherwise agreed by all parties, shall instruct the jury to answer special interrogatories or, if there is no jury, shall make findings, indicating:
 - (1) the amount of damages each claimant would be entitled

to recover if contributory fault is disregarded; and,

- (2) the percentage of the total fault of all of the parties to each claim that is allocated to each claimant, defendant, third-party defendant, and person who has been released from liability under Section 6. For this purpose the court may determine that two or more persons are to be treated as a single party.
- (b) In determining the percentages of fault, the trial of fact shall consider both the nature of the conduct of each party at fault and the extent of the causal relation between the conduct and the damages claimed.
- (c) The court shall determine the award of damages to each claimant in accordance with the findings, subject to any reduction under Section 6, and enter judgment against each party liable on the basis of rules of joint-and-several liability. For purposes of contribution under Sections 4 and 5, the court also shall determine and state in the judgment each party's equitable share of the obligation to each claimant in accordance with the respective percentages of fault.
- (d) Upon motion made not later than [one year] after judgment is entered, the court shall determine whether all of part of a party's equitable share of the obligation is uncollectible from that party, and shall reallocate any uncollectible amount among the other parties, including a claimant at fault, according to their respective percentage of fault. The party whose liability is reallocated is nonetheless subject to contribution and to any continuing liability to the claim-

ant on the judgment.

SECTION 3. [Set-off.] A claim and counterclaim shall be set off, and only the difference between them is recoverable in the judgment. However, if either or both of the claims are covered by liability insurance and an insurance carrier's liability under its policy is reduced by reason of the set-off, the insured is entitled to recover from the carrier the amount of the reduction. Amounts so recovered shall be credited against pertinent liability policy limits. For purposes of uninsured-motorist and similar coverages, the amounts so recovered shall be treated as payment of those amounts to the insured by the party liable.

SECTION 4. [Right of Contribution.]

- (a) A right of contribution exists between or among two or more persons who are jointly and severally liable upon the same indivisible claim for the same injury, death, or harm, whether or not judgment has been recovered against all or any of them. It may be enforced either in the original action or by a separate action brought for that purpose. The basis for contribution is each person's equitable share of the obligation, including the equitable share of a claimant at fault, as determined in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.
- (b) Contribution is available to a person who enters into a settlement with a claimant only (1) if the liability of the person against whom contribution is sought has been extinguished and (2) to the extent that the amount paid in settlement was reasonable.

SECTION 5. [Enforcement of Contribution.]

- (a) If the proportionate of the parties to a claim for contribution has been established previously by the court, as provided by Section 2, a party paying more than his equitable share of the obligation, upon motion, may recover judgment for contribution.
- (b) If the proportionate fault of the parties to the claim for contribution has not been established by the court, contribution may be enforced in a separate action, whether or not a judgment has been rendered against either the person seeking contribution or the person from whom contribution is being sought.
- (c) If a judgment has been rendered, the action for contribution must be commenced within [one year] after the judgment becomes final. If no judgment has been rendered, the person bringing the action for contribution either must have (1) discharged by payment the common liability within the period of the statute of limitations applicable to the claimant's right of action against him and commenced the action for contribution within [one year] after payment, or (2) agreed while action as pending to discharge the common liability and, within [one year] after the agreement, have paid the liability and commenced an action for contribution.

SECTION 6. [Effect of Release.] A release, covenant not to sue, or similar agreement entered into by a claimant and a person liable discharges that person from all liability for contribution, but it does not discharge any other persons liable upon the same claim unless it so provides. However, the claim of the releasing person against other persons is reduced by the amount of the released persons equit-

able share of the obligation, determined in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.

SECTION 7. [Uniformity of Application and Construction.] This Act shall be applied and construed so as to effectuate its general purpose to make uniform the law with respect to the subject of this Act among states enacting it.

SECTION 8. [Short Title.] This Act may be cited as the Uniform Comparative Fault Act.

SECTION 9. [Severability.] If any provision of this Act or application of it to any person or circumstances is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the Act that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this Act are severable.

SECTION 10. [Prospective Effect of Act.] This Act applies to all [claim for relief] [causes of action] accruing after its effective date.

SECTION 11. [Repeal.] The following acts and parts of acts are repealed.