Approved _	March	12,	1984	
PP			Date	

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION
The meeting was called to order by <u>Representative Jim Braden</u> a Chairperson
9:00 a.m./ржжхоп February 27, 19.84in room 519S of the Capitol
All members were present except: Representative Kent Ott who was excused.

Committee staff present:

Wayne Morris, Legislative Research Department Tom Severn, Legislative Research Department Don Hayward, Revisor of Statutes' Office Nancy Wolff, Secretary to the Committee

Conferees appearing before the committee:

John Myers, Governor's representative Chip Wheelan, Kansas Legislative Policy Group John Keopke, Kansas Association of School Boards Ernie Mosher, League of Kansas Municipalities Dee Likes, Kansas Livestock Association

Hearings were held on <u>House Concurrent Resolutions 5009 and 5083</u>. Both Resolutions would provide for voters of Kansas to vote for a Constitutional Amendment to provide for classification of property in the state of Kansas.

Wayne Morris, of staff, gave some supplemental information with regard to classification of real property and made a brief comparison of both HCR 5009 and 5083. (Exhibits I and II)

John Myers, representing the Governor, testified as a proponent of the concept of classification. He stated that he did not appear as a proponent of any particular resolution but as a proponent of a constitutional amendment to enable classification of property. Mr. Myers, in response to a question, stated that Senate Bill 275, in its current form, would not create a shift in the tax burden.

Chip Wheelan, Kansas Legislative Policy Group, gave testimony in support of classification. He reviewed a resolution drafted by his organization that supports classification of property. (Exhibit III)

John Koepke, Kansas Association of School Boards, testified in support of classification. $(Exhibit\ IV)$

Ernie Mosher, League of Kansas Municipalities, testified in support of the concept of classification. He gave the committee members a copy of a portion of the 1983-1984 Statement of Municipal Policy of the League as it relates to classification. $(Exhibit\ V)$

Dee Likes, Kansas Livestock Association, testified in favor of $\underline{\text{HCR}}$ 5009 but would support an amendment to remove farm machinery and equipment from the Resolution. He stated that his association opposes $\underline{\text{HCR}}$ 5083 as written because it contains no livestock exemption. (Exhibit VI)

The meeting was adjourned.

DATE:	

GUEST REGISTER

HOUSE

ASSESSMENT & TAXATION

COMMITTEE

NAME	ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS
D. FELIEll	Budget	Tagaka
Marcun	DOR	11
H Durca	Part of Frecen	Toreka
Bill Custis	KASB	Tonoka
A Mordon	Lesqued Nen.	,
John Keepler	RASB	Topelea
Ruth wiehin	St. S - AAUP	Topelse
Dy D. Shenke /	K.C.P. (.	Shawing
Jee Drigans	KCPL	K.C. Mo
DWAMNE ZIMMERMAN	THE ELECTRIC COS	TOPEKA
De Jukes	KLA	Topeka
Beepy Curshaw	Committee Steem Orge	11
Janet Stubbs	HBAK	//
SIM MANITE	united way of Topskin	
GARY Smith	SHAWREE . Co	Topka
Fred Allen	KAC	Topoks
Della Wran Blyetia		manhattan
Chip Wheelen	KLPG	Topeka
John Blythe	Ks Form Bureau	Manhattan
Der Johnster	ICIOGA	Tapak
Fon Gaches	KCC 1	TODEKA

Kansas State Department of Education

Kansas State Education Building

120 East 10th Street Topeka, Kansas 66612

February 8, 1984

TO:

Representative Marvin Barkis

FROM:

Division of Financial and Support Services

and Legislative Research Department

SUBJECT:

Computer Printout Showing Effects of

Reappraisal and Classification

Attached are computer printouts from the 1983 property tax survey.

We have also included the assessment ratios for 1983, by county and subclassification, as well as the ratios you proposed.

COLUMN EXPLANATION

Column

- 1 1983 Assessed valuation
- 2 Percent of total of Column 1
- 3 Estimated market values after reappraisal
- 4 Percent of total of Column 3
- 5 Estimated assessed valuation after reappraisal (30 percent)
- 6 Percent of total of Column 5
- 7 Estimated assessed valuation using the assessment ratios as listed on attached
- 8 Percent of total of Column 7

EXHIBIT I 2/27/84

RATIOS OF ASSESSMENT

		Assessment
		Ratio
1.	RURAL REAL ESTATE	
2.	Agricultural (including agriculture investment and non-investment and mineral interests, non-severed and severed)	6%
3.	Home Sites and Planned Subdivisions	8%
4.	Spot Industrial, Commercial, and Recreational	12%
5.	TOTAL RURAL REAL ESTATE	
6.	URBAN REAL ESTATE	
7.	Residential (including mineral interests, non-severed and severed)	8%
8.	Multi-Family	12%
9.	Commercial	12%
10.	Industrial	12%
11.	Vacant Lots	5%
12.	TOTAL URBAN REAL ESTATE	
13.	TOTAL REAL ESTATE	
14.	STATE ASSESSED	
15.	Railroads - Rural	22%
16.	Railroads - Urban	22%
17.	All Other - Rural	30%
18.	All Other - Urban	30%
19.	TOTAL STATE ASSESSED	
20.	OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	
21.	Oil - Rural and Urban	30%

• •

	-2-		
we file o		Assessment Ratio	
22.	Gas - Rural and Urban	30%	
23.	TOTAL OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION		
24.	RURAL PERSONAL PROPERTY OTHER THAN OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION		
25.	Vehicles (including merchandising, manufacturing, service stations, propane-butane plants, refining and processing, and other business)	30%	
26.	Machinery and Equipment (including merchandising, manufacturing, service stations, propane-butane plants, refining and processing, and other business)	30%	
27.	Merchants Inventory (including non-bonded and bonded inventory for merchandising, other business, service stations, and propane-butane plants)	30%	
28.	Manufacturers Inventory (including non-bonded and bonded inventory for manufacturing and refining and processing)	30%	
29.	All Other Business (including professional business, contractors, organization, community TV, banks, trusts, insurance, and savings and loans)	30%	
30.	Livestock	30%	
31.	Miscellaneous	30%	
32.	TOTAL RURAL PERSONAL PROPERTY OTHER THAN OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION		
33.	URBAN PERSONAL PROPERTY OTHER THAN OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION		
34.	Vehicles (including merchandising, manufacturing, service stations, propane-butane plants, refining and processing, and other business)	30%	
35.	Machinery and Equipment (including merchandising, manufacturing, service stations, propane-butane plants, refining and processing, and other business)	30%	
36.	Merchants Inventory (including non-bonded and bonded inventory for merchandising, other business, service stations, and propane-butane plants)	30%	

		Ratio
		Ratio
37.	Manufacturers Inventory (including non-bonded and bonded inventory for manufacturing and refining and processing)	30%
38.	All Other Business (including professional business, contractors, organization, community TV, banks, trusts, insurance, and savings and loan)	30%
39.	Livestock	30%
40.	Miscellaneous	30%
41.	TOTAL URBAN PERSONAL PROPERTY OTHER THAN OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION	
42.	Farm Machinery	0%
43.	Business Aircraft	0%

44. GRAND TOTAL

ASSESSMENT RATIOS FOR 1983

	Residential					Commercial	armanananananananananananananananananana	Agricultural		
	Single	Multi-		Vacant			Vacant	Improved	Improved	
County	<u>Family</u>	Family	Condo	Lot	Commercial	Industrial	Lot	w/Res.	No/Res.	Unimproyed
Allen										
Urban	9.61	52.00	0.00	3.50	12.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rura1	9.20	0.00	0.00	4.75	3.29	0.00	4.30	6.70	2.97	4.95
Anderson	0.07	0.00	0.00	4.00	13.90	0.00	0.00	10.65	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.27	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.71	8.39	5.83
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.7.1		
Atchison	10.00	22.20	0.00	10.00	21.39	0.00	25.75	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	10.09	22.30	0.00	2.66	4.11	0.00	0.00	6.57	23.66	3.24
Rura1	11.16	0.00	0.00	2.00	4.11	0.00	0.00	0.37	23.00	3,2,
Barber	6 11	22 08	0.00	4.40	18.25	4.05	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	6.11 5.21	22.08 0.00	0.00	11.04	0.00	0.00	12.15	4.99	3.45	3.68
Rural	3.21	0.00	0.00	11.04	0.00	3,00	2-0			
Barton					7.00	0.00	4 10	0.00	0.00	13.69
Urban	7.44	7.03	7.88	3.54	7.92	0.00 0.00	4.19 5.60	8.07	4.60	2.81
Rural	10.07	0.00	0.00	4.00	8.86	0.00	3.00	0.07	4.00	2.01
Bourbon						0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Urban	8.56	14.40	0.00	5.29	16.01	0.00	0.00	0.00 8.00	0.00 6.25	0.00 6.60
Rural	8.51	0.00	0.00	5.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	0.23	0.00
Brown				10.06	00.06	0.60	10 75	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	12.52	36.88	0.00	10.26	20.06	9.68 0.00	18.75 0.00	5.01	3.66	4.01
Rural	8.37	0.00	0.00	7.20	4.22	0.00	0.00	3.01	3.00	4,01
Butler		• • •	0.00	0.75	10.00	0.00	E 27	0.00	5.13	0.00
Urban	8.20	9.83	0.00	3.75	10.08 8.40	30.04	5.37 5.93	0.00 5.75	6.68	5.38
Rural	7.61	0.00	0.00	6.30	0.40	30.04	3.73	3.,3	0,00	
Chase						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	10.96	0.00	0.00	4.00	8.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 6.97	7.34
Rural	10.83	0.00	0.00	2.18	19.53	0.00	0.00	8.56	0.37	7.54
Chautauqua		0.00	0.00		0 27	0.00	2 62	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	5.96	0.00	0.00	3.37	8.37 0.00	0.00 0.00	3.63 .60	4.09	5,50	3.31
Rural	3.80	0.00	0.00	1.40	0.00	0.00	•00	4.07	3,30	3,31
Cherokee			0.00	5 20	1.5 0.5	9.83	25	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.49	14.91	0.00 0.00	5.30 1.80	15.25 14.44	0.00	.25 3.03	7.00	0.00	3.23
Rural	8.83	0.00	0.00	1.80	14.44	0.00	3.03	7.00	0.00	3.23
Cheyenne	0.10		0.00	. 05	12.25	0.00	12 50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.42	0.00	0.00	6.25 0.00	13.35 0.00	0.00 0.00	13.50 0.00	8.30	0.00	4.01
Rura1	14.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	4401
Clark					,	2 22		0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	13.85	0.00	0.00	22.50	27.02	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 6.75
Rura1	10.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.12	0.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	0.13

			. 1		Commercial			Agricultural		
	Single	Residenti Multi-	.aı	Vacant			Vacant	Improved	Improved	11. 1
County	Family	Family	Condo	Lot_	Commercial Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>	Lot	w/Res.	No/Res.	Unimproved
County	- tunizzi									
Clay				10.05	21.08	3.19	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	10.76	20.01	0.00	10.25	8.70	5.30	0.00	7.91	10.13	5.54
Rura1	5.77	0.00	0.00	.35	0.70	2,22				
Cloud							0.00`	0.00	43.33	37.50
Urban	12.90	12.86	0.00	14.84	16.42	0.00	0.00 0.00	7.00	6.73	6.63
Rural	8.45	0.00	0.00	67.50	21.72	0.00	0.00	7.00		
0-66								0.00	0.00	0.00
Coffey Urban	8.76	0.00	0.00	2.66	16.50	0.00	15.00	0.00	6.14	4.04
Rural	4.16	0.00	0.00	4.80	58.40	0.00	0.00	7.50	0.14	,,,,,
									0.00	0.00
Comanche	9.37	0.00	0.00	8.00	17.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 3.89
Urban Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	5.36	3.09
Kuraı	0.00									
Cowley					19.20	0.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.20	0.00	10.64	6.46 6.56	14.93	0.00	3.72	6.58	4.54	4.54
Rural	9.85	.37	0.00	0.50	14133					
Crawford					7 02	7.91	0.00	12.84	0.00	0.00
Urban	7.48	8.48	0.00	5.37	7.92 2.86	0.00	6.00	5.18	9.40	3.97
Rural	6.47	0.00	0.00	4.54	2.00	0.00				
Decatur						0.00	.77	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.50	0.00	0.00	3.75	11.90	0.00 0.00	0.00	4.79	5.49	4.83
Rura1	12.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.35	0.00	0.00			
Dickinson							. 70	30.50	0.00	0.00
Urban	10.17	22.18	2.25	6.66	15.66	0.00	4.70 0.00	8.07	8.10	6.41
Rural	8.57	0.00	0.00	3.92	14.55	0.00	0.00	0.0,		
Doniphan								0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	11.03	0.00	0.00	5.00	. 15.60	0.00	0.00	0.00 3.61	3.37	3.82
Rural	9.06	0.00	0.00	1.87	5.20	0.00	0.00	3.01	3,37	3,02
D 1										0.00
Douglas Urban	9.13	9.77	7.67	5.46	7.89	7.03	3.12	9.88	11.46	0.00 3.31
Rural	7.34	0.00	0.00	3.52	2.21	0.00	0.00	6.16	3.72	3.31
n11-										0.00
Edwards Urban	11.37	0.00	0.00	4.22	22.28	0.00	4.80	0.00	9.10	0.00 4.75
Rural	8.40	0.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.37	4.23	4.73
							× .			
Elk · Urban	12.85	0.00	0.00	5.75	19.00	0.00	1.16	17.45	0.00	0.00
Rural	14.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.86	0.00	0.00	7.06	17.16	5.68
Kutat	14.50	0.00	****							
Ellis		יה יי	4.03	3.29	8.55	9.64	3.13	0.00	0.00	4.66
Urban	6.34	7.07 0.00	4.03 0.00	2.33	7.35	2.47	3.37	4.19	3.11	3.23
Rural	6.77	0.00	0.00	2,33	,					
Ellsworth					00.00	0.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	10.88	0.00	0.00	6.00	28.89 9.20	0.00	0.00	5.23	7.38	5,65
Rural	9.00	0.00	0.00	10.88	9.20	0,00	0.00			

	Residential				Commercial			Agricultural		
	Single	Multi-	<u> </u>	Vacant			Vacant	Improved	Improved	
County	<u>Family</u>	<u>Family</u>	Condo	Lot	<u>Commercial</u>	Industrial	Lot	w/Res.	No/Res.	Unimproved
Finney								0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.28	8.66	0.00	7.15	14.16	0.00	6.38	0.00	0.00	0.00 5.32
Rural	11.14	0.00	0.00	6.64	6.90	0.00	7.50	9.34	0.00	3, 32
Ford							0.46	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.63	7.68	0.00	4.00	11.44	13.07	3.46	0.00	0.00	
Rura1	5.51	0.00	0.00	3.88	11.46	0.00	9.36	6.90	6,60	6.28
Franklin							0.00	2 22	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.80	7.29	0.00	3.47	9.85	0.00	0.00	3.23	0.00	4.69
Rura1	14.85	0.00	0.00	3,52	57.50	0.00	0.00	6.14	5.17	4.09
Geary							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.95	11.60	12.77	5.63	11.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85
Rural	8.40	0.00	0.00	4.75	3.03	0.00	1.80	4.40	0.00	4.05
Gove						0.00	7 00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	7.43	0.00	0.00	2.40	11.00	0.00	7.00	5.49	4.72	4.44
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.49	4.72	4.44
Graham							7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	7.37	10.00	0.00	6.00	12.53	0.00	7.20	0.00		4.30
Rural	9.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.17	4.29	4.30
Grant							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8,74	0.00	0.00	4.50	11.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	6.00
Rural	3.54	0.00	0,00	4.50	8.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gray					11 50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.39	0.00	0.00	5.66	11.58	0.00	0.00	12.84	9.19	6.40
Rura1	10.07	0.00	0.00	18.64	0.00	0.00	2.26	12.04	3.13	0.40
Greeley				10.00	25.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	12.75	0.00	0.00	10.00	25.88	0.00	0.00	7.76	3.36	6.30
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.66	0.00	0.00	7.70	3.30	0,30
Greenwood					17.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.73	0.00	0.00	6.00	16.28	0.00	0.00	5.68	5.23	4.85
Rura1	4.91	0.00	0.00	4.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	3.23	4,03
Hamilton	11.00	0.00	0.00	4 50	14 12	0.00	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	11.02	0.00	0.00	4.50	14.13	0.00	0.00	6.52	18.79	6.35
Rural	6.47	0.00	0.00	2.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,52	10.77	0,33
Harper	0.01	10.01	1 00	5 00	18.30	0.00	38.70	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.81	18.91	1.30	5.00		0.00	19.50	4.74	4.29	5.09
Rura1	19.10	0.00	0.00	2.25	17.50	0.00	19.50	4.74	4.23	3.07
llarvey	0.00	0 50	7 01	(00	10.07	16 11	13.33	0.00	0.00	5,73
Urban	8.86	8.52	7.34	6.93	10.26	16.11	0.00	5.08	6.76	5.68
Rural	8.78	0.00	0.00	3.46	11.53	12.06	0.00		0,70	3,03
Haskell					10 50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	7.84	0.00	0.00	6.50	13.58	0.00 0.00	2.40	0.00	6.34	4.95
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.40	0.00	0.54	1.73

	Residential					Commercial		Agricultural			
	Single	Multi-		Vacant			Vacant	Improved	Improved	V 4	
County	Family	<u>Family</u>	Condo	Lot	Commercial	Industrial	Lot	w/Res.	No/Res.	Unimproved	
Hodgeman											
Urban	19.71	0.00	0.00	12.27	29.24	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Rural	12.58	0.00	0.00	6.40	12.00	0.00	0.00	7.46	7.86	7.03	
Jackson					•						
Urban	9.50	0.00	0.00	4.50	16.70	0.00	6.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Rural	5.37	0.00	0.00	4.10	7.12	0.00	4.12	6.28	5.37	4.41	
Jefferson									2.22	0.00	
Urban	8,82	0.00	0.00	6.00	12.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Rural	7.73	0.00	0.00	8.55	7.18	0.00	0.00	5.16	4.93	3.74	
Jewell								0.00	0.00	0.00	
Urban	18.23	0.00	0.00	12.22	19.37	0.00	14.28	0.00 5.41	0.00 5.05	0.00 5.33	
Rural	2.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.63	0.00	3.41	رن,ر	3,33	
Johnson					. 70	7 21	1.26	3.89	5.70	1.41	
Urban	7.49	8.19	5.80	4.43	6.73	7.31 0.00	.95	5.78	2.96	1.26	
Rura1	6.20	0.00	0.00	3,55	6.38	0.00	• > 5	3.70	2.30	••••	
Kearny			0.00	2 70	14 07	0.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	
Urban	8.41	25.41	0.00	3.72	16.97 0.00	0.00	0.00	7.16	6.40	6.72	
Rura1	5.78	0.00	0.00	3.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	,,10			
Kingman	0.70	0.00	0.00	4.00	19.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Urban	8.73	0.00	0.00 0.00	15.25	5.22	0.00	0.00	5.93	7.03	5.66	
Rural	12.95	0.00	0.00	13,23	3,22	0.00	3,00				
Kiowa	10.70	0:00	0.00	9.00	17.70	0.00	13.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Urban Rural	10.40 30.00	0.00 0.00	0.00	2.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.25	0.00	6.86	
KUTAI	30.00	0.00	0.00	2.05	0.00						
Labette	8.71	9.58	8.99	4.66	13.39	7.93	0.00	19.91	0.00	8.00	
Urban Rural	10.16	0.00	0.00	1.02	8.75	10.61	3.75	6.62	7.54	5.25	
Kuldi	10.10	0.00	0.00	1.02	31.3						
Lane Urban	16.89	0.00	0.00	10.00	22,60	0.00	17.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Rural	21.73	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.66	
	21,73	0.00	0,00								
Leavenworth	0.07	11 00	E 20	/ FO	8.58	0.00	2.55	8.90	0.00	0.00	
Urban	9.37	11.00	5.39 0.00	4.50 4.76	9.07	0.00	4.00	5.54	6.15	3.47	
Rural	8.09	0.00	0.00	4.70	5.07	0.00	4.00	3.3,			
Lincoln	10.20	7.04	0.00	10.00	17.29	0.00	21.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
· Urban Rural	19.29 4.87	7.94 0.00	0.00	18.26	7.92	0.00	9.00	8.45	8.38	10.58	
KULAL	4.07	0.00	0.00	10.20	7.52	0,00	,,,,	57.15			
Linn Urban	9.95	0.00	38,63	3.75	11.40	5.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
orban Rural	8.91	0.00	0.00	5.00	18.56	0.00	0.00	7.08	4.62	2.87	
ter a t	0,71	0,00	0,00	5,00	*0*30	3, 44					
Logan Urban	8.35	0.00	0.00	6.66	12.63	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Rural	19.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	.14	0.00	0.00	3.19	0.00	4.47	
	17.20	0.00	0,00	3.00	• • •						

	Residential				Commercial			Agricultural		
	Single	Multi-		Vacant	Commercial	Industrial	Vacant Lot	Improved w/Res.	Improved No/Res.	Unimproved
County	Family	Family	Condo	Lot	Commercial	Industrial				
Lyon		0.40	0.51	0.72	16.68	0.00	7.15	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.17	9.68	8.54 0.00	9.72 5.83	5.44	6.77	0.00	7.62	6.76	6.46
Rura1	7.58	0.00	0.00	3.03	3					
Marion		0.00	0.00	5.71	8.02	0.00	5.40	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.45	0.00	0.00 0.00	2.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.36	7.78	5.99
Rura1	6.51	0.00	0.00	2.03	3,00					
Marshall		0.10	0.00	10.00	21.86	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	13.20 6.09	8.19 0.00	0.00 0.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	6.66	6.28	6.75	5.20
Rural	0.09	0.00		2.00						
McPherson	0.70	12 77	8.69	10.50	13.85	11.06	12.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.72 12.74	13.77 0.00	0.00	0.00	53.00	2.00	1,93	5.09	6.86	6.23
Rura1	12.74	0.00	0.00	0.00						
Meade	0.06	19 17	0.00	1.66	10.40	0,00	2.91	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.96	12.14 0.00	0.00 0.00	6.80	11.59	0.00	3.02	4.95	7.29	6.95
Rura1	7.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	11,33					
Miami			0.00		12.00	0.00	0.00	6.35	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.71	8.57	0.00	4.06	13.00 1.52	0.00	.41	6.17	5.37	3.49
Rural	7.23	0.00	0.00	1.85	1.52	0.00	•			
Mitchell			0.00	2 22	12 62	0.00	23.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.41	23.89	0.00 0.00	3.33 60.00	12.43 6.12	0.00	0.00	7.02	5.71	5.02
Rural	23.87	0.00	0.00	00.00	0,12	0.00	3,01			
Montgomery					11 20	15 22	1.05	0.00	6.63	0.00
Urban	8.83	8.81	0.00	6.00 1.80	11.38 11.49	15.33 22.50	7.00	6.64	4.19	5.88
Rural	7.60	8.27	6.05	1.50	11.47	22,00				
Morris			0.00	0.01	17.68	1.91	3.33	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.76	0.00	0.00 0.00	2.81 21.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.64	9,01	7,62
Rural	4.70	0.00	0.00	21.42	0,00	0,00	2.2.			
Morton		10.44	0.00	2 00	13.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.47	0.00
Urban	9.16	10.66 0.00	0.00 0.00	3.88 .40	0.00	0.00	.63	10.75	7.83	7.73
Rural	17.66	0.00	0.00	•40	0.00	0,00	• • •			
Nemaha					15.66	10.25	9.53	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.06	7.46	0.00	4.76	15.66	10.25 0.00	0.00	6.84	5.13	4.69
Rural	3.65	0.00	0.00	4.16	0.00	0,00	,,, 0 0			
Neosho					15.00	7.47	4.42	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.30	12.27	0.00	4.85	15.00 5.16	0.00	0.00	5.57	5.54	5.21
Rural	6.01	17.46	4.86	3.00	5.10	0.00	0.00			
Ness				7	17 77	0.00	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	10.27	0.00	0.00	7.50	15.77	0.00 0.00	2.33	5.72	0.00	5.41
Rural	6.12	0.00	0.00	17.13	0.00	0.00	د	J., 2		
Norton							10.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.22	0.00	0.00	12.50	16.72	0.00	10.31 0.00	0.00 4.78	0.00	4.25
Rural	6.61	0.00	0.00	90.00	14.77	0.00	0.00	4.70	0,00	. •

	Residential				Commercial			∆ gricultural		
County	Single Family	Multi- Family	Condo	Vacant Lot	Commercial	Industrial	Vacant Lot	Improved w/Res.	Improved No/Res.	Unimproved
, 										
Osage Urban	10.30	7.44	0.00	7.08	14.82	0.00	314.00	20,23	0.00	0.00
Rural	8.28	0.00	0.00	2.41	17.86	0.00	0.00	8.70	11.47	6.73
Osborne								0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	14.00	35.66	0.00	11.42	14.92	0.00	9.47	0.00	0.00	0.00 5.27
Rural	2.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.77	0.00	10.18	7.74	3.27
Ottawa						0.00	0.00	12.44	0.00	0.00
Urban	12.42	0.00	0.00	5.83	37.82	0.00	0.00	9.83	8.68	7.56
Rura1	6.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	9,03	4.00	7,50
Pawnee					10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.05	0.00
Urban	9.23	14.01	0.00	7.82	19.23	0.00	0.00	4.16	7.00	5.26
Rural	6.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,10	7,00	3,20
Phillips	10.00	0.00	0.00	2 00	26.66	0.00	1.71	14.33	0.00	0.00
Urban	10.08	0.00	0.00	3.00 0.00	35.00	0.00	0.00	7.71	6.70	4.30
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.00	0.00	3.33			
Pottawatom1e					10.00	0.00	9 99	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.55	0.00	4.69	5.49	12.00	0.00	2.33 0.00	0.00 5.40	20.38	6.36
Rural	8.11	0.00	6.05	3.00	11.10	0.00	0.00	3.40	20, 30	0.30
Pratt		0.40		0.05	12.71	0.00	25.16	0.00	0.00	6.30
Urban	9.20	9.68	0.00	9.25	13.71 10.10	0.00	11.32	9.84	7.35	6.36
Rural	7.75	0.00	0.00	9,00	10.10	0.00	11.52	J. 0.	,,,,,	
Rawlins			0.00	11.17	12.60	0.00	40.90	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.33	30.94	0.00	11.14	13.68 16.87	0.00 0.00	0.00	5.71	0.00	3.98
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.87	0,00	0.00	3.71	0.00	3.70
Reno	10.00	10.00	0.02	2.42	12.00	9.39	3.33	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	10.20	12.20	8.93 9.57	3.42 4.21	11.84	110.86	15.11	6.78	4.43	5.02
Rural	9.97	10.90	9.37	4.21	11.04	110.00	*2***			
Republic	17.50	10 50	0.00	26.66	22.88	0.00	20.00	7.15	0.00	4.21
Urban	17.50	12.59	0.00 0.00	36.66 17.76	23.15	0.00	0.00	7.63	5.84	5.85
Rural	8.25	0.00	0.00	17.70	23.13	0.00	0,00	,,,,,,		
Rice					10.00	0.00	10.07	9.41	0,00	0.00
Urban	11.00	0.00	0.00	17.50	12.83	0.00	35.27	8.30	9.50	6.37
Rural	11.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.28	0.00	33.27	8,30	7.30	0.57
Riley	0.00	0.04	10.01	0.55	14.27	5.75	73.86	5.75	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.88	9.96	12.94	9.55	7.98	0.00	1.50	4.57	4.96	4.86
Rura 1	10.68	0.00	0.00	9.06	7.90	0.00	1,30	4.57	4.50	1,00
Rooks	- ·-	10.00	0.00		10.00	0.00	6.66	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban Burn 1	7.47	10.09	0.00	7.50	10.09	0.00	0.00	6.57	4.73	4.02
Rura1	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.10	0.00	0.00	0.37	4013	
Rush							6.00	97.47	0.00	0.00
Urban	11.60	16.53	0.00	8.37	9.75	0.00	0.00 0.00	27,46 6,31	0.00	6.30
Rura1	31.66	0.00	0.00	1.36	21.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00

		Residenti	1a1		Commercial			Agricultural		
	Single	Multi-	ıaı	Vacant	***************************************		Vacant	Improved	Improved	
County	Family	Family	Condo	<u>Lot</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	Industrial	Lot	w/Res.	No/Res.	Unimproved
Russell				, , , ,	6.99	0.00	3.42	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	6.40	0.00	0.00	142	4.53	9.17	2.82	8.84	0.00	4.04
Rural	6.43	0.00	0.00	2.00	4.55	7 • 1 ·				
Saline	0.14	8.67	9.50	4.71	10.62	0.00	2.72	1,62	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.14	0.00	0.00	2.31	5.00	0.00	7.00	6.08	6.06	5.46
Rural	7.05	0.00	0.00	2.51						
Scott	6.58	0.00	0.00	5.25	8.18	0.00	.56	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban Rural	6.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.23	0.00	7.91	5.30	5.51	4.94
Ruiai	0.10	0,00								
Sedgwick	7.01	0.15	7 59	.40	11.08	11.88	3.49	10.69	0.00	2.58
Urban	7.81	9.15 11.59	7.52 4.78	1.07	9.18	0.00	7.00	6.67	3.05	3.23
Rural	7.91	11,39	4.70	1.07	,,,,					
Seward		0 4 5	0.00	4 41	9.46	0.00	3.62	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	7.72	8.65	0.00	4.41 15.00	3.42	0.00	0.00	6.91	7.38	6.50
Rural	4.56	0.00	0.00	13.00	5.42					
Shawnee		10.70	0.56	2 10	14.32	15.00	3.07	16.10	4.99	0.00
Urban	8.15	12.78	8.56	3.10 2.86	9.33	0.00	5.50	7.70	8.25	6.07
Rural	8.47	15.74	0.00	2.00	,,,,,					
Sheridan	- 00	0.00	0.00	2.85	21.80	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	5.83	0.00	0.00 0.00	1.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.81	3.43	3.14
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	••••					
Sherman	0.00	14.50	0.00	10.50	10.89	0.00	11.16	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9.23	14.50		0.00	3.84	0.00	0.00	7.11	5.53	4.62
Rura1	9.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,1					
Smith	15 07	25 00	0.00	10.00	18.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	15.87 0.00	35.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.85	14.73	3.96
Rural	0.00	0.00	0,00	0,00						
Stafford	0.26	0.00	0.00	8.34	22.79	0.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	6.50
Urban	8.36 6.25	0.00 0.00	0.00	1.25	16.20	0.00	0.00	4.62	3.22	4.11
Rural	0,23	0.00	0.00							
Stanton			0.00	15 22	22.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.48	0.00	0.00	15.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.41	6.54	7.46
Rura1	12.74	0.00	0.00	1.81	0.00					
Stevens	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.16	14.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	8.90	0.00	0.00	30.00	14.07	0.00	14.50	0.00	0.00	7.28
Rural	5.97	0.00	0.00	30.00	21,407					
Sumner		10.00	0.00	6.75	10.62	0.00	9.53	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	6.57	12.23	0.00 0.00	3.96	11.78	0.00	10.00	4.02	4.97	4.56
Rural	4.73	0.00	0.00	3.90	1110					
Thomas			<u>.</u>	6.66	0.30	0.00	7.56	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	7.78	9.78	10.80	8.20 5.71	9.72 4.55	0.00	8.15	5.28	4.13	4.24
Rural	6.57	0.00	0.00	3.71	4.33	0.00				

		Residen	t 4 a 1		Commercial			Agricultural		
			LIAI	Vacant			Vacant	Improved	Improved	
	Single	Multi-	0 1 -		Commercial	Industrial	Lot	w/Res.	No/Res.	Unimproved
County	<u>Family</u>	<u>Family</u>	Condo	Lot	Commercial					
Trego					0.27	0.00	12.08	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	7.20	6.50	0.00	9.71	8.37	0.00	15.00	6,05	23.61	5.60
Rura1	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.11	14.88	0.00	15.00	0.03	23.00	
Wabaunsee						0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	7.49	0.00	0.00	5.80	18.79	0.00		5.96	20.50	6.44
Rural	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.90	20.30	0,17
Wallace							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	11.25	0.00	0.00	5.00	17.97	0.00	0.00		6.33	4.82
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.66	10.24	0.00	0.00	7.36	0.33	4.02
Washington							11 52	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	15.45	20.40	0.00	17.71	25.00	0.00	11.53	5.87	5.21	6.15
Rural	22.87	0.00	0.00	1.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.07	3.21	0,13
Wichita						0.00	15.60	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	14.89	0.00	0.00	12.00	14.17	0.00		8.01	8.55	5.98
Rural	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.01	0.53	3.70
Wilson					40.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban	9,93	9.42	0.00	5.83	10.42	0.00	6.33	6.77	6.57	4.66
Rural	9.09	0.00	0.00	5.00	9.47	0.00	0.33	0.77	0.37	.,
Woodson						0.00	7.50	0.00	0,00	0.00
Urban	11.52	0.00	0.00	2.72	7.58	0.00	0.00	6.72	8.93	5.81
Rural	8.06	0.00	0.00	10.58	6.75	0.00	0.00	0.72	0.75	3.01
Wyandotte						,, ,,	10.54	10.97	0.00	4.61
Urban	8.62	10.27	0.00	5.60	12.52	11.15		0.00	0.00	0.00
Rural	8.44	12.55	0.00	30.27	0.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	3,00
STATE SUMMARY						10.71	۸ ۱۶	8.13	6.05	1.67
Urban	8.21	9.40	6.91	4.04	12.03	10.41	4.15	6.13	5.63	4.93
Rural	7.85	11.59	6.05	4.16	8.30	9.17	4.00	0.13	3.03	

44.

GRAND TOTAL

1

10,916,604,630 100.0 94,725,444,804 100.0 28,417,633,481 100.011,474,472,400 100.0

1983 PROPERTY VALUES — STATE TOTALS

Percentage Each Class Bears to Total

	1983	Reappraisal- Uniform & Equal	H.C.R. 5009	H.C.R. 5083
	Agriculture			
Ag Land Livestock Farm Machinery	$15.4\% \\ 1.5 \\ 0 \\ \hline 16.9\%$	33.3% 0.6 0 33.9%	$ \begin{array}{r} 17.7\% \\ 0 \\ \underline{1.4} \\ 19.1\% \end{array} $	16.5% 1.5 0 18.0%
Comn	nerce and Inc	lustry		
Rural Ind. & Comm. Commercial Industrial Vacant Lots	1.4% 7.1 1.0 0.4	2.4% 8.1 1.0 3.8	3.2% 10.8 1.4 2.7	2.4% 8.0 1.0 1.6
Rural Merchants Inventory Manufacturers Inventory Machinery and Equipment All Other Business	0.5 1.2 1.7 0.4	0.2 0.5 0.7 0.1	0 0 0.6 0.1	0.4 1.1 1.6 0.3
Urban Merchants Inventory Manufacturers Inventory Machinery and Equipment All Other Business Business Aircraft	$\begin{array}{c} 2.6 \\ 1.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline 22.4\% \end{array}$	1.0 0.5 1.5 0.4 0 20.2%	$0 \\ 0 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline 20.5\%$	2.5 1.3 3.6 0.9 0 24.7%
	Residences			
<u>Urban</u> Residences Multi-Family	18.5% 1.8	25.5% 2.2	18.2% 1.5	16.9% 2.1
Rural Homesites and Planned Subdivisions	$\frac{2.5}{22.8}\%$	3.6 31.3%	$\frac{2.6}{22.3\%}$	$\frac{2.4}{21.4\%}$
State	Assessed Uti	lities		
Railroads Other Utilities	2.0% 16.9 18.9%	0.7% 6.5 7.2%	$\frac{1.0\%}{17.4}$ $\frac{17.4}{18.4\%}$	$\frac{1.4\%}{16.1}$ 17.5%
	Other			
Total Gas & Oil Production Vehicles Miscellaneous	$ \begin{array}{r} 17.5\% \\ 0.3 \\ \hline 1.4 \\ \hline 19.2\% \end{array} $	6.7% 0.1 0.5 7.3%	$ \begin{array}{r} 17.9\% \\ 0.3 \\ \hline 1.4 \\ \hline 19.6\% \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 16.6\% \\ 0.3 \\ \hline 1.3 \\ \hline 18.2\% \end{array} $
GRAND TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Note: Details may not add due to rounding.

Kansas Legislative Research Department February 27, 1984



Kansas Legislative Policy Group

200 Jayhawk Tower, 700 Jackson, Topeka, Kansas 66603, 913-233-2227

WHEREAS: The Kansas Constitution authorizes the levy of ad valorem property taxes for purposes of financing costs of government and public services provided thereby; and

WHEREAS: Revenues derived from ad valorem property taxes are the principal source of funding local governments and essential services to protect the public safety, health, and welfare; and

WHEREAS: In order to assure fair and equitable administration of property taxation it is necessary to periodically reappraise property values; and

WHEREAS: For lack of periodic reappraisal of property values, certain inequities have evolved during an extensive period of time; and

WHEREAS: The immediate use of reappraised values would cause an undue assumption of property tax burdens among owners of certain types of property.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: The Kansas Legislative Policy Group,
Inc. supports and endorses amendment of Kansas' Constitution for purposes
of establishing different classes of property; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: The Kansas Legislative Policy Group, Inc. supports and endorses the establishment of specific rates of assessment of different classes of property; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: The Kansas Legislative Policy Group, Inc. supports and endorses statewide reappraisal of property values only if the voters are allowed to determine whether the Constitution should be amended for purposes of classifying property and establishing specific assessment rates; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: The Kansas Legislative Policy Group, Inc. opposes the use of a centralized computer system for purposes of achieving statewide reappraisal of property values.

EXHIBIT III 2/27/84



5401 S. W. 7th Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66606 913-273-3600

Testimony on HCR 5009 and HCR 5083
before the
House Assessment and Taxation Committee
by
John W. Koepke, Executive Director
Kansas Association of School Boards
February 28, 1984

Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, we want to express our appreciation for the opportunity to present the views of the school boards of Kansas on this topic of vital interest to the financing of public education. As you know, nearly half the cost of elementary and secondary education in Kansas is borne by local property taxes levied by the 305 unified school district boards of education. Any action which affects that property tax base has grave implications for public school financing.

With that in mind, our members have expressed deep concern over the property tax shifts which have been projected to occur between classes of property if reappraisal of property in Kansas were to be imposed, either by a court order or through legislative action. We are also dismayed by the results of studies undertaken by the Property Valuation Department which show wide disparities in assessment within property classes in the same taxing jurisdiction. The disparities demonstrate the need for some remedial action.

As a result of their study of these factors, our members have reached the same conclusion as the 1981 Interim Committee which studied the subject. Our Delegate Assembly has overwhelmingly adopted a policy statement endorsing the concept of a

EXHIBIT IV 2/27/84

constitutional amendment which would provide for the classification of property in Kansas with assessment percentages for each class specified in the amendment. This would be designed to prevent annual legislative battles over which classes of property should be assessed at what level.

The Committee should also be aware that enactment of any such amendment needs to take into consideration the changes in district wealth in the school finance formula that would occur as a result of any classification amendment, so that adjustments can be made to allow for those shifts. We believe that the correlation between a classification amendment and the school finance formula has not received the attention it deserves to this point.

A classification amendment also offers the opportunity for the legislature to deal in a comprehensive manner with the proposed and existing tax exemptions such as livestock, farm machinery and merchants and manufacturers inventories and to resolve those issues in a conclusive fashion.

If Kansas schools are to continue to be supported in any major portion by the property tax, then the concerns which are mounting regarding that tax base must be addressed. We believe that the resolutions before you offer the best vehicle to begin addressing those concerns. We believe that they should be addressed by legislative action rather than court fiat. We thank you for the opportunity to address our concerns, and I will be happy to answer any questions.

PROPERTY CLASSIFICATION

Excerpt from 1983-1984 Statement of Municipal Policy of the League of Kansas Municipalities

F-6. Property Taxes.

- F-6a. General. While property tax levels in Kansas are generally reasonable, major increases should be avoided wherever possible, requiring additional state assistance and local alternative sources, as recommended in Section F-5, above. Since tangible, taxable property constitutes a decreasing portion of the economic wealth within cities, an increasing share of the municipal budget should come from non-property tax sources that recognize the nature of the urban economy sales and income.
- F-6b. Administration. Kansas must secure improved administration of the property tax. In 105 of our 105 counties, according to the state real estate assessment ratio study, urban property is assessed at a higher level (8.23% average in 1982) than rural property (5.65% average, a difference of 69%.) Excessive and inequitable variations in assessments exist within and between classes of property. The level of assessments should more accurately reflect current market value as provided by law. Because of the great importance of the property tax to local governments, and the need for equity and fairness in the distribution of the property tax burden, the 1984 legislature should take positive action to implement a statewide property reappraisal program.
- F-6c. Classification. The League long supported the uniform and equal taxation provision of the Kansas constitution, and opposed amendments or legislation to permit or require the use of appraisal factors to the exclusion of market value as the basis of assessing property when the result would be to further shift the burden of taxes to urban and residential property. However, inflation and county assessment practices has resulted in a fact situation whereby much property is assessed at a very small and declining ratio to its current market value and wide variations exist in the assessment levels of different classes of property. Some property is now taxed several times as much as other property with the same market value and at the same tax rate. As a result, judicially or legislatively mandated reassessments may occur in the near future which could result in a substantial shift of property taxes. With reluctance, we support a departure from the traditional uniform and equal clause, provided that the constitutionally authorized number of classes are minimal, and the maximum permitted assessment ratio variation to market value is kept within a reasonable range, such as 1 to 2. A limited constitutional amendment providing for the separate classification and taxation of property appears especially important if use value assessment of farm land is to be implemented.
- F-6d. Collection. Increased efforts are necessary to secure the collection of property taxes and special assessments, both current and delinquent. K.S.A. Supp. 79-2004, relating to the collection of taxes, should be amended to specifically refer to special assessments. (See also Section D-6)
- F-6e. Exemptions. We oppose the granting of tax exemptions to private property, including (1) homesteads, (2) merchants', manufacturers' and farmers' inventory, equipment and livestock, and (3) property used for pollution control, unless the state also provides funds with similar growth potential to replace the loss of local tax revenue, from sources not now used by cities. The 1982 exemption granted to to commercial aircraft effective January 1, 1983 should be repealed.



2044 Fillmore • Topeka, Kansas 66604 • Telephone: 913/232-9358

Owns and Publishes The Kansas STOCKMAN magazine and KLA News & Market Report newsletter.

Statement of the

KANSAS LIVESTOCK ASSOCIATION

to the

House Committee on Assessment & Taxation

Rep. Jim Braden, Chairman

with respect to

HCR 5009 and HCR 5083

Classification

presented by

Dee Likes Executive Vice President

February 27, 1984

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, I believe all of you recognize KLA's continuing interest in this matter. Because we have appeared before this committee on several previous occasions to discuss classification I will try to not repeat each and every detail relative to this issue. KLA has been supportive of certain types of classification proposals. In a nutshell, the reasons are because: a) we prefer to adopt a specific solution to guard against an increase in agricultural taxes in case of reappraisal and to constitutionally phase out taxation on livestock; and b) it appears to us that Kansas already has a de facto classification system.

We currently classify different types of property by statute and practice in order to determine its value. As long as we continue this practice, Kansas will have inequities in the property tax system. Generally, those who support our current constitutional language as interpreted by the courts to mean uniform assessment and equal taxation are those who enjoy an extremely advantageous classified appraisal method. If it's acceptable to classify the appraisal method, which is the first factor of the property tax formula, why is it not just as acceptable to classify the assessment rate, which is the second factor in the property tax formula?

Admittedly, there are several alternatives on how to resolve the effects or the tax shifts which would result under reappraisal. Three alternatives are: 1) appraise or value all property on the same basis or, to put it another way, find a common denominator such as a capitalized income stream on which to value all property; 2) adopt use-value appraisal for agricultural land and an exemption circuit

breaker or some other alternative for homeowners; 3) adopt a classification system which puts into the Constitution specific classes and rates such as these two proposals.

KLA could support any of these alternatives or a combination of two or more, depending on the specifics. The problem is that alternative #1 and alternative #2 have been around for several years but as yet have not been able to sprout wings.

Therefore, we are resolved to work for an equitable classification amendment to the Constitution or possibly a combination of classification and other factors.

Basically what we consider to be the bare minimum in a classification proposal is that we have assessment rates on the ag land valued by use-value appraisal and on the other agricultural land which approximate status quo for agricultural real property taxation. The tax on livestock should either be constitutionally exempted or phased out such as the five year plan contained in HCR 5009. KLA could not support HCR 5083 as written because it contains no livestock exemption.

Additionally, we certainly support the adoption of amendatory language to specifically include farm machinery and equipment in the list of constitutionally exempt property embodied in HCR 5009.

Frankly, classification may be the only politically practical way to resolve the current property tax problem. We believe the classification of assessment rates is really no different than classification for appraisal. From a taxpayer's point of view it's the bottom line that really counts. KLA doesn't pretend to have all the answers and we certainly don't want to suggest that we're experts, but we support working toward a solution during the 1984 legislative session and we will certainly attempt to cooperate with this committee in order to find one that is mutually acceptable.