A	approved	February 27, 1984 Date	····
MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICI	ARY		•
The meeting was called to order byRepresentative Bob	Frey Chairperson		_ at
3:30 xxxx./p.m. onFebruary 21	, 19 <u>_8</u> 4	in room <u>526–S</u> of the Ca _l	pitol.
All members were present except:			
Representatives Duncan and Justice were excused.			
Committee staff present: Jerry Donaldson, Legislative Research Department Mike Heim, Legislative Research Department, were exc. Mary Ann Torrence, Revisor of Statutes' Office, were			

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Nedra Spingler, Secretary

Representative Mike Peterson
Representative Bill Wisdom
Representative Herman Dillon
Myra Gross
Representative Mary Jane Johnson
Representative Charles Laird
Lt. Ken Butts, Shawnee County Sheriff's Department
Ed Schaub, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

The minutes of February 16, 1984, were approved.

Hearings were held on HB 2958, HB 2920 and HB 2931.

HB 2958 - An act relating to judges in the 29th Judicial District.

Representative Mike Peterson gave a background of the system of selecting judges in the 29th Judicial District, noting they are presently elected for four-year terms which should be retained, but HB 2958 would provide that, after the initial election, rather than filing for re-election, judges would file for retention in office. He believed this would improve the judicial system by allowing judges to be judges for four years and not have to spend part of their terms running for office. Retention would allow voters to vote according to the judge's record. Under the present system, judges up for re-election are not accountable for anything, and having to be elected influences their decisions regarding court cases where the attorney may be a potential opponent in the election. Representative Peterson said the judges in the 29th Judicial District and the Wyandotte County Bar Association support the bill. At some time in the past, all but two judges in the district have run for election. A member noted this makes them all encumbent. In regard to applying the bill's provisions statewide, Representative Peterson said each county should have the option of the retention method. A member suggested that retention be a decision of the voters of Wyandotte County, not the legislature.

A member noted the situation in this district that prompted the election system.

The Chairman said Representative Fred Rosenau, who was unable to appear, opposes HB 2958.

Representative Bill Wisdom opposed the bill and said the present system of electing judges works well in the 29th district.

Representative Herman Dillon opposed the bill and furnished statistics on the vote regarding the present election system when 60 percent of the voters preferred this method (Attachment No. 1).

Myra Gross said she represented the people, was a Johnson County resident, a graduate of Turner High School, and sold real estate in Wyandotte County. She opposed HB 2958 and believed it represented the wishes of the judges and not those of the people who should put the matter on the ballot by petition. She said Johnson County has the retention system and judges there answer to no one. She is working on a petition to put to a vote the election of judges. She noted that judges, their relatives, and attorneys are the only ones who refuse to sign the petition. She believed the bill was introduced because the

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

room 526-S, Statehouse, at 3:30 *****/p.m. on February 21 , 1984.

matter would not pass a vote of the people. Ms. Gross resented Representative Peterson's attempts regarding retention which undermined her efforts in circulating petitions to get judges elected in Johnson County.

The Chairman advised Ms. Gross that her testimony regarding the Johnson County situation had no bearing on Wyandotte County. A member pointed out that, if the people in Wyandotte County disapproved of what their representatives do, they have recourse through elections.

Representative Mary Jane Johnson opposed the bill. She noted her brother's (Judge Dave Mikesic) support mentioned by Representative Peterson was two years old, and he believed the bill was unconstitutional. Since the present election system is only four years old, she believed it was too early to change it. If the people want it changed, they should petition for it.

HB 2920 - An act relating to false alarms on 911.

Representative Charles Laird said the Shawnee County Sheriff's Department requested the bill because high school students were making calls on the 911 emergency telephone number. The bill provides a criminal penalty for making false calls on this number.

Lt. Ken Butts, Shawnee County Sheriff's Department, said there is a response to every call, and false calls mean that officers put their lives in danger needlessly. There were, at least, eight of these in 1983, and the 911 system has increased false alarms for the Fire Department. He supported the bill and believed a criminal penalty would be a deterrent.

Ed Schaub, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, neither opposed or supported the bill but offered an amendment (Attachment No. 2) suggested by the company's legal counsel regarding the word, "transmitting" in subsection (c). As a phone company, it "transmits" these calls and could be affected by the bill's penalty provisions. Mr. Schaub said subsection (b) was not included in the amendment because there are so many different ways of transmitting false alarms it could not be narrowed down to exclude the phone company.

HB 2931 - An act relating to Aids of Execution.

Representative Vic Miller said the bill was the result of a letter he received from the United States Attorney's Office (Attachment No. 3). It makes Aid of Execution hearing requirements uniform in Chapters 60 and 61 of the statutes and would simplify procedures regarding garnishment and determining bank accounts. Representative Miller knew of no one who would oppose the bill.

HB 2694 - An act relating to interference with parental custody.

Representative Cloud presented a proposed substitute for HB 2694 (Attachment No. 4) which encompasses provisions of that bill and SB 368 (Attachment No. 5) which is Section 2 (b) in the substitute bill. The substitute adds a new concept regarding the definition of "kidnapping" in Chapter 21 of the statutes. He noted, if a person takes a child to raise it as their own and does not commit any of the four things defined by statute as kidnapping, they are not charged with kidnapping. Section 1 (b) includes in the definition persons other than the biological, adoptive or stepparent taking children.

There was discussion regarding the penalty and if a Class B felony would be a greater deterrent than a Class A misdemeanor. It was noted the intent of SB 368 was that the penalty be a Class A misdemeanor.

Representative Cloud moved to amend HB 2694 so that wording in the proposed substitute would be incorporated and result in a substitute bill for HB 2694, seconded by Representative Vancrum. There was further discussion on the penalty and how it would affect benevolent intent of taking a child. It was noted the language was too broad and would affect persons for whom it was not intended and would make them criminals. Representative Cloud believed these situations would be taken care of by the attorney who would not file a charge.

Representative Miller made a substitute motion to adopt the substitute and add language in Section 1 (b) to add, after "stepparent", "with the intent to permanently deprive the child's parents or guardian of custody of the child", seconded by Representative Ediger. It was noted the motion would substantially change the appearance of the bill in its conformity with the original bill. The vote on the substitute motion failed.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE	HOUSE	COMMITTEE ON	JUDICIARY	
				4
roomStatehov	ıse, at <u>3:30</u>	<u>x ҳҳҳ</u> х./р.m. on	February 21	, 19 <u>.84</u>

Representative Patrick made a substitute motion, seconded by Representative Vancrum, to (1) adopt Section 1 (b) of the substitute bill and add, after "stepparent", "or guardian or other person having lawful charge or custody of such child"; (2) to reinsert the Class A misdemeanor penalty in Section 2 (b) (2) of the substitute bill; and (3) to reinsert the Class E felony penalty in Section 3 (b)(2). The motion was divided into three parts for voting. No. 1 failed to carry, and numbers 2 and 3 carried.

Representative Miller moved to table discussion on the bill until a draft of amendments could be reviewed, seconded by Representative Matlack. The Chairman noted this motion would probably result in no action being taken on the measure this session. Representative Solbach made a substitute motion to pass the substitute bill favorably as amended, seconded by Representative Buehler. Following discussion clarifying action taken, Representative Solbach withdrew his substitute motion.

Representative Vancrum made a substitute motion to adopt Section 1 (b) as drafted in the substitute bill, seconded by Representative Cloud. The substitute motion failed to carry.

The question was called on the original motion to table discussion. Motion failed to carry. Representative Knopp moved to report the substitute, as amended, favorably, seconded by Representative Schweiker. Representative Miller opposed the motion and believed the bill criminalized domestic matters and gave mothers undo advantage over fathers. Representative Cloud said, although two-thirds of his proposal was not accepted, he supported the one-third remaining that expands the definition of interference of parental custody. The vote on the motion carried 8 to 6.

The Chairman said that, due to the March 2 deadline for Committee action on house bills, a number of bills would not be scheduled for hearings, and members should let him know of any they believed should be considered. He said seven bills would be assigned to subcommittees: HB 3005, 3021 and 3029 to Subcommittee A; and HB 3015, 3017, 3020 and 3022, all dealing with the DOC, would be assigned to Subcommittee B. Members would be assigned to study and make recommendations regarding these bills to the full Committee before the deadline.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

4			NOVEMBER 4, 19	980	na ngaran		7-2-
. 6			CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS			JUDICIAL QUE	, ,
Ward Precinct		Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvement	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to Submission of State	Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws		Pertaining to or Election o	Retention f Judges
			Constitutional Amendments	3			
		Yes No	Yes No	Yes No		Yes	No
7-1	BONNER SPRINGS	228 19		250 84		202	Attachment
	2 3 4 1 2	153	9 242 94 64	202 296 68 68 69 69 30		181 201 126 72	133 195 108 149
	BONNER SENINGS TOTAL	959 407	7 832 419	7081 321		782	770
	DELA WARE	20 6	9	/8 7		16	18
	DELAWARE TOTAL	20 4	6 77 6	18 9		16	18
	EDWARDS VILLE 1 1A.	321 58 83		339 186 186		295 155	198
	EDWARDSVILLE TOTAL	479 21	7 409 221	525 179		450	308
	PRAIRIE	244 /2.	3 21 108	295 71		249	174 At
	PRAIRIE TOTAL	244 / 12		295 71		249	174 Attachment
							#
							Atch. 1

State of the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS		JUDICTAL QUESTIC	ON
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvements	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to	5 Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws	Pertaining to Rete or Election of Ju	ention udges
		Constitutional Amendments			1
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes	No
14 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	83 246 268 268 231 737 737 74 794 794 77 794 77 77 77 77 77 77 78 78 75 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78	65 200 156 251 251 251 251 250 166 81 185 77 720 75 77 720 74	79 231 785 288 266 57 788 214 65 234 61 264 27 298 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 1	168 205 189 131 165 189 190 174 134	54 125 112 244 179 101 138 197 245 122 139
WARD TOTAL	235 72 73 70 2572 945	2374 957	1871 752	179	940
		.			

Control of the second s	CONSTIT	UTIONAL AMENDMENTS			TUDICTAL QUESTION	
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to bmission of State	Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws	⁸ Per or	ctaining to Retention c Election of Judges	
		itutional Amendments				
		res No	Yes No		Yes No	
13 1A 1B 2 3 4 5 6 7A 7B 8 9 10 11 12 WARD TOTAL	26 16 89 33 255 91 196 64 155 52 259 99 174 64 159 77 129 55 195 100 200 95 220 113 166 60 160 54	24	25 19 99 22 264 89 197 54 170 43 272 90 195 48 186 55 152 40 226 84 228 66 268 67 179 50 36 2643 763		27 38 91 49 201 201 156 132 138 115 205 202 167 96 144 126 187 157 171 156 143 111 126 2089 1792	

Mard Proutinct Manufacture to Manufacture to Manufacture to Internal Japprovements Manufacture to Internal Japprovements Manufacture to Man	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS	JUDICIAL QUESTION
You No Yes Y	Ward Precinct	Pertaining to Pertaining to Pertaining to Bank	ks or Election of Judges
12 74 31 79 23 92 17 80 46 3 56 24 57 24 57 24 4 13 63 134 62 153 54 55 32 4 146 83 156 79 179 72 5 166 83 156 79 179 72 5 166 83 156 79 179 72 6 168 70 179 72 174 174 7 212 1/3 1/14 254 71 7 201 912 1/12 228 82 7 201 912 1/12 1/12 228 82 7 201 912 1/12 1/12 1/12 7 9 201 912 1/12 1/12 7 9 201 912 1/12 1/12 7 10 172 73 1/14 1/15 7 188 71 1/15 7 189 71 1/15 7 189 71 1/15 7 189 71 1/15 7 1/1 1/15 7 1/1 1/15 7 1/1 1/15 7 1/1 1/15 7 1/1 1/15 7 1/15 7 1/15 1/15 7 1/15			
2 309		Yes No Yes No Yes No	Yes No
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	74 31 79 23 92 1 309 101 292 106 349 7 56 24 51 22 64 1 143 63 134 62 153 166 83 156 79 179 7 108 66 103 62 142 4 212 1/3 191 114 254 7 201 112 192 112 228 8 205 92 189 98 227 8 172 73 166 75 188 7 186 71 155 8! 197 7 299 135 261 142 339 9	80 46 285 164 8 55 32 77 145 138 144 68 279 104 215 127 211 125 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104 183 104
	WARDTOTAL	2377 1075 2198 1072 2691 83	2507 1404

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS		JUDICIAL QUESTION	
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvements	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to Submission of State	Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws	⁸ Pertaining to Retention or Election of Judges	
		Constitutional Amendments			
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	
11 1 2 3 4 4 8 4 8 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 A 10 B 11 B 12 13 14 15 16 LUARD TOTAL	162	149 64 19 127	161 134 134 155 160 197 209 130 238 109 218 109 218 109 218 100 51 238 166 34 155 227 57 17 153 3214 875	127 134 95 115 132 115 132 115 120 120 125 140 174 170 174 170 170 171 189 199 199 199 199 199 199 19	

		CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS		JUDIC, TAL QUESTION	
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvements	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to Submission of State	Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws	"Pertaining to Retention or Election of Judges	
		Constitutional Amendments			
1	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	<u>'</u>
10 1	227 63 137 38	209 64 39	143 40	115 222 86	
3 4 5 6A	117 61 69 37 92 47	102 47 40 80 88 49	122 43 43 40 35 40	50 50 53 53 76 91 88 102	
7 8 9 10	124 26 168 62 100 45 88 44 119 59 69 30	97 36 162 53 90 54 75 38 101 49 45 33	117 28 47 47 47 47 47 49 49 25	88 102 169 113 79 74 74 63 105 89 45 55	
WARD TOTAL	1371 562	1196 566	1382 491	1070 1/13	

1			******	С	CAMOITUTIONAL	AMENDMENTS				JUDICIAL QU	***************************************	
	Ward	Precinct	Perta	ent No. 1 ining to Improvements	3 Amendme	nt No. 2 ning to n of State	5 Amendmen Pertaining and Bank			⁸ Pertaining to or Election	o Retention of Judges	
	11414		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			al Amendments						
			Yes	. No	Yes	! No '	Yes	No		Yes	No	
											1.	
	6		121	57	114	49	143	35		114	93	
	1		181		165	62	198	50		117	191	
		3	193	79	187	74	245	54		157	198	
		11	166	47	140	54	151	52		152	94	
			132	58	1/2	62	144	58		124	100	
			1 1		192	70	234	67		174	180	
		Ø	20.4	143	79	39	94	29		88	46	:
	•	8A		49	98	45	124	38		102	85	
	to a superior construction and the second second	8 B	248	116	216	125	280	94		238	174	1 • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	The state of the s	9 A		6/	157	62	179	53		159	104	i .
		9 B	170	44	132	51	160	39		107	119	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			165	75	150	75	191	51		141	132	
		10 A	193	65	148	92	193	73		166	117	
		10B	1 1 1	100		/09	289	85		221	192	
		12	286	69	245	56	142	58		136	98	
		and the second of the second o	126		134	89	30/	68		254	168	
		13	261	/07	249	/37	368	1/8	, ,	308	2/6	
		14 15	345	125	303	107	209	82		193	144	
		15	199	105	161	107	2.0.7					
		and the second s				1358	3645	1104		2951	2 451	
	WARD	IOTAL	3340	1345	2990							
					_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _	-						
												,
												,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											

The second secon		CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS		1	JUDICIAL QUESTION	
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvements	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to Submission of State	Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws		Pertaining to Retention or Election of Judges	
	1 1 1	Constitutional Amendments				1 : : .
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No		Yes No	
7 1 2 3 4A 4B 5 6 7 8 9 4 1 2 3 4 5 4 5 6 7	118	97 41 115 54 64 28 94 41 131 44 110 50 118 64 172 91 192 106 212 117 1335 636 140 46 117 136 39 108 39 124 34 56 116	118 37 36 36 37 36 36 36 36		105	
						3

		CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS		JUDICIAL QUI	
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvements	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to Submission of State	Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws	"Pertaining to or Election of	Retention of Judges
		Constitutional Amendments			<u> </u>
	Yes No	Yes No No	Yes No	Yes	No
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	105 58 104 62 113 70 109 73 181 80 72 39 145 60	103 51 92 61 100 60 96 70 166 75 68 38 120 71	139 105 126 50 105 195 195 14 36 152	104 99 11.1 86 153 82 136	92 80 142 121 120 50
6 1 2 3	155 85 984 527 60 38 115 64 67 28	149 894 199 350 35 102 54 31	164 70 1050 429 1050 429 107 26 53 71 24	910 62- 108 70	37 846 35 65 38
WARD TOTAL	242 120	206 119	243 103	240	/38

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C	ONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS		1	JUDICIAL QUESTION	
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvements	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to Submission of State	5 Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws		Pertaining to Retention or Election of Judges	
		Constitutional Amendments				
	Yes No	Yes ! No!	Yes No		Yes No	1 1
3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 WARD TOTAL 4 1 2 3 4 5 6	149 33 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	108 100 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	45 13 67 11 44 33 70 54 60 35 44 32 37 38 76 30 610 340 610 340 610 340 610 340 65 54 12 37 44 163 65 96 54 137 44 149 78		62 54 56 76 75 76 75 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	

· (c)	, ,	NOVEMBER 4, IS	900	JUDICIAL QUESTION	
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvements	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to Submission of State	Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws	"Pertaining to Retention or Election of Judges	
		Constitutional Amendments			1
!	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	
1 / 2 3	53 27 41 13 24 14	43 38 24 14 12	57 /7 44 /2 26 /0 25 25	48 60 24 40 31 13 35 44	
	35 45 18	36 17	25 25 17	35 37 38	
. WARD TOTAL	204 91	163 81	196 81	169 195	
2 1 2 3	55 26 51 21 50 28	149 27 33 29 36 19	53 41 25 25 22	48 55 29 38 34 1/2	
4 5 6 7	103 37 28 31 120 30 22	95 31 24 24 21 23	117 37 15 29 123 29 16	90 80 27 31 24 157 21 65	
WARD TOTAL	357 293	289 289	344 264	273 538	

SUMMARY OF VOTES	Carr	CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS			JUDICIAL QUESTION	j
Ward Precinct	Amendment No. 1 Pertaining to Internal Improvemen	Amendment No. 2 Pertaining to Submission of State	Amendment No. 3 Pertaining to Banks and Banking Laws		Pertaining to Retention or Election of Judges	
		Constitutional Amendment	.9			
	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No		Yes No	
WARD TOTALS 1 2 3	204 9 357 29 653 37	3 289 289 4 526 355	196 344 610 340		169 195 273 538 570 737	
4 5 4 7	772 38 984 56 242 72 1545 61	4 707 371 7 894 499 0 206 119 4 1335 636			592 757 910 846 240 /38 1511 753 857 585	
8 9 10 11 12	995 3340 1371 2951 2377 107	5 2 79 0 1358 2 1196 566 3 2678 1127	1083 256 3445 104 1382 491 3266 875 2691 838		2951 2451 1070 1113 2398 2336 2507 1404	
13 14 BONNER SPRINGS	2 3 8 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	75 2374 957 7 882 419	2643 2871 752 1081 321		2089 /792 2228 /940 782 770	
DELAWARE	20	6 77 6	_		16 18	
EDWARDSYILLE	479 2	409 221	525 /79		450 308	
PRAIRIE	244 // // // // // // // // // // // // /	13 221 108	295 71		249 174	
ABSENTEE, SICK, DISHELED			257		953 137	
TOTAL	23508 98.		25660 7943		20 815 17 782	

PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 2694

Ву

AN ACT concerning crimes and punishments; relating to interference, aggravated interference with parental custody and kidnapping; amending K.S.A. 21-3420, 21-3422 and 21-3422a and repealing the existing sections.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 21-3420 is hereby amended to read as follows: 21-3420. Kidnapping is the taking or confining of any person, accomplished by force, threat or deception, committed:

- (a) With the intent to hold such person:
- (a) (1) For ransom, or as a shield or hostage; or
- (b) (2) To facilitate flight or the commission of any crime; Θr
- (e) (3) To inflict bodily injury or to terrorize the victim or another; or
- (d) (4) To interfere with the performance of any governmental or political function; or
- (b) by anyone other than such person's biological, adoptive or stepparent.

Kidnapping is a class B felony.

- Sec. 2. K.S.A. 21-3422 is hereby amended to read as follows: 21-3422. (1) Interference with parental custody is: (a) Leading, taking, carrying away, decoying or enticing away any child under the age of fourteen-(14) 14 years, with the intent to detain or conceal such the child from its the child's parent, guardian, or other person having the lawful charge of such the child; or
- (b) refusing or impeding the return of the child in violation of the residency provisions of a custody decree.
 - (2) Interference with parental custody is a class A

Akch. 4

Session of 1984

HOUSE BILL No. 2920

By Representatives Laird, Acheson, Barr, Bunten, Hensley, Mainey, V. Miller, Runnels, Smith and Wagnon

2-8

0018 AN ACT concerning crimes and punishments; relating to the 0019 crime of giving a false alarm; amending K.S.A. 21-4110 and 0020 repealing the existing section.

0021 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

O022 Section 1. K.S.A. 21-4110 is hereby amended to read as fol-0023 lows: 21-4110. Giving a false alarm is:

(a) Initiating or circulating a report or warning of an imone pending bombing or other crime or catastrophe, knowing that the report or warning is baseless and under such circumstances that it is likely to cause evacuation of a building, place of assembly, or facility of public transport or to cause public inconvenience or alarm; or

0030 (b) transmitting in any manner to the fire department of any 0031 city, township or other municipality a false alarm of fire, knowing 0032 at the time of such transmission that there is no reasonable 0033 ground for believing that such fire exists; or

0034 (c) transmitting in any manner a request for emergency 0035 service assistance including police, fire, medical or other emer-0036 gency service provided under K.S.A. 12-5301 et seq., and 0037 amendments thereto, knowing at the time of such transmission

0038 that there is no reasonable ground for believing such assistance 0039 is needed.

0040 Giving a false alarm is a class A misdemeanor.

0041 Sec. 2. K.S.A. 21-4110 is hereby repealed.

OO42 Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and OO43 after its publication in the Kansas register.

(making a telephone call)

(call)

Atch. 2



U.S. Department of Justice

2-2

United States Attorney District of Kansas

Attachment # 3

February 21, 1984

444 Quincy Topeka, Kansas 66683

913/295-2850 FTS/752-2850 Wichita Office 401 N. Market Wichita, Kansas 67201

Kansas City Office 812 N. 7th Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Representative Vic Miller Statehouse Topeka, KS 66612

RE: Aid in Execution Hearings

Dear Representative Miller:

Part of our responsibility in the representation of the United States is the enforcement of money judgments entered on behalf of the United States in state and federal courts. In our enforcement of these judgments the United States must follow the procedures set forth in Chapters 60 and 61, Kansas Statutes Annotated. Due to the fact that the dollar amounts of our judgments vary, we have some judgments which fall within Chapter 60 and some that fall within Chapter 61. As a result, we must at present follow two different procedures to enforce our judgments because the proceedings in aid of execution in Chapter 60 and Chapter 61 are not uniform.

K.S.A. §60-2419 requires that a general execution be levied prior to the scheduling of an aid in execution hearing. The problem this requirement creates is two-fold. First, the present policy of the United States Marshal's Service regarding judgment enforcement is that the United States Marshal is prohibited from levying on general executions. The United States Marshal's Service has a shortage of funds and further believes that it is not the responsibility of the Marshal to determine what, if any, of the debtor's property is exempt from execution. Secondly, our office has no way of determining what assets the debtors have. Our only means of determining what non-exempt property the debtors own is through an aid in execution hearing, which is prohibited under K.S.A. §60-2419 without first issuing a general execution.

The procedures outlined at K.S.A. §61-2204 give the judgment creditor the opportunity to show the court that it is "without sufficient knowledge of the debtor's assets to advise the officer where and on what to levy execution." When a judgment creditor can establish this fact before the court, an aid in execution

Atch. 3

Representative Vic Miller February 21, 1984 Page 2

hearing can be held without the issuance of a general execution. We believe that the procedure for scheduling aid in execution hearings should be uniform and that the procedure outlined in Chapter 61 is the more reasonable approach to follow. Consequently, we urge the passage of HB-2931.

If you need any additional information with regard to this request, do not hesitate in contacting this office.

Sincerely,

BENJAMIN L. BURGESS, JR. United States Attorney

MARY K. BRISCOE

Assistant U.S. Attorney

ALLEEN S. CASTELLANI

Assistant U.S. Attorney

MKB:jm

misdemeanor E felony.

- Sec. 3. K.S.A. 21-3422a is hereby amended to read as follows: 21-3422a. (1) Aggravated interference with parental custody is:
- (a) Hiring someone to commit the crime of interference with parental custody, as defined by K.S.A. 21-34227 and amendments thereto; or committing
- (b) the commission of interference with parental custody, as defined by K.S.A. 21-34227--when--done--with-the-intent-to deprive-of-custody-such-child's-parent7-guardian7-or-other-person having-the-lawful-charge-or-custody-of-such-child7-and--when and amendments thereto, by a person who:
- (a)--Committed--by--a--person--who (i) Has previously been convicted of interference-with-parental-custody,--as--defined--by K-S-A--21-3422 the crime;
 - (b)--committed-by-a-person (ii) commits the crime for hire;
- (c)--committed--by--a--person--who (iii) takes the child outside the state without the consent of either the person having custody or the court;
- (d)--committed-by-a-person-who, (iv) after lawfully taking the child outside the state while exercising visitation or custody rights, refuses to return the child at the expiration of such the rights; or
- (e)--committed--by--a--person-who, $\underline{(v)}$ at the expiration of visitation or custody rights outside the state, refuses to return or impedes the return of such \underline{the} child.
- $\underline{(2)}$ Aggravated interference with parental custody is a class E \underline{D} felony.
- (2) (3) This section shall be a part of and supplemental to the Kansas criminal code.
- Sec. 4. K.S.A. 21-3420, 21-3422 and 21-3422a are hereby repealed.
- Sec. 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

SENATE BILL No. 368

By Committee on Judiciary

2-23

0017	ference and aggravated interference with parental custody;
0019	amending K.S.A. 21-3422 and 21-3422a and repealing the
0020	existing sections.
0021	Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:
0021	Section 1. K.S.A. 21-3422 is hereby amended to read as fol-
	lows: 21-3422. (1) Interference with parental custody is leading,
0023	
0024	taking, carrying away, decoying or enticing away any child under
0025	the age of fourteen (14) 14 years, with the intent to:
0026	(a) Detain or conceal such the child from its the child's parent,
0027	guardian, or other person having the lawful charge of such the
0028	child; or
0029	(b) refuse or impede the return of the child in violation of the
0030	residency provisions of a custody decree.
0031	(2) Interference with parental custody is a class A misde-
0032	meanor.
0033	Sec. 2. K.S.A. 21-3422a is hereby amended to read as follows:
0034	21-3422a. (1) Aggravated interference with parental custody is:
0035	(a) Hiring someone to commit the crime of interference with
0036	parental custody, as defined by K.S.A. 21-3422, and amendments
0037	thereto; or committing
0038	(b) the commission of interference with parental custody, as
0039	defined by K.S.A. 21-3422, when done with the intent to deprive
0040	of custody such child's parent, guardian, or other person having
0041	the lawful charge or custody of such child, and when and
0042	amendments thereto, by a person who:
0043	(a) Committed by a person who (i) Has previously been
0044	convicted of interference with parental custody, as defined by
0045	K.S.A. 21-3422 the crime;

Atch. 5

(b) committed by a person (ii) commits the crime for hire; (c) committed by a person who (iii) takes the child outside the state without the consent of either the person having custody or the court;

(d) committed by a person who, (iv) after lawfully taking the child outside the state while exercising visitation or custody rights, refuses to return the child at the expiration of such the rights; or

(e) committed by a person who, (v) at the expiration of visitation or custody rights outside the state, refuses to return or impedes the return of such the child.

0057 (2) Aggravated interference with parental custody is a class E 0058 felony.

 $\frac{(2)}{(3)}$ (3) This section shall be a part of and supplemental to the 0060 Kansas criminal code.

0061 Sec. 3. K.S.A. 21-3422 and 21-3422a are hereby repealed.

Sec. 4. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after 0063 its publication in the statute book.