Approved	February	2.	1984	
		Dod	to :	

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON	COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS .
The meeting was called to order by	Sen. Neil H. Arasmith at Chairperson
9:00 a.m./Þ.₩. on February 1	
All members were present except:	
Senators Gannon, Hess, and Reilly - Exc	cused

Committee staff present:

Bill Wolff, Legislative Research Myrta Anderson, Legislative Research Bruce Kinzie, Revisor of Statutes

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Eugene C. Hegarty, State Bank Commissioner Carl Sandstrom, Assistant Bank Commissioner Jim Maag, Kansas Bankers Association

The minutes of January 31 were approved.

The hearing on $\frac{\text{SB }524}{\text{(See Attachment I.)}}$ began with the testimony of Eugene C. Hegarty, State Bank

In regard to Section I, Sen. Harder asked Mr. Hegarty how often the FDIC conducts examinations. Mr. Hegarty said the length of time between examinations varies according to how a bank is rated on a one to five scale.

Sen. Harder inquired further if the Commissioner has all the authority needed without the approval of the Banking Board, and Mr. Hegarty answered that he does and that he reports to the Banking Board after having taken action.

Several other senators asked Mr. Hegarty if more authority is needed for the Commissioner for preventative measures before a bank is declared insolvent. Their question arose as a result of a hearing in another committee in which a conferee had indicated that more authority is needed. Mr. Sandstrom, Assistant Bank Comissioner, responded that there are other bills pending regarding this and that it is not needed to address this in SB 524.

The chairman asked Mr. Hegarty for a definition of a "call report" to which he had referred in his testimony. Mr. Hegarty explained that it is a report banks are required to send in to the Commissioner. Part of the report is printed in the newspaper. The reports are usually sent in at the end of each of the three quarters, but the Commissioner can call for them at any time. Staff explained that the call report for the FDIC is on a computer and wondered if it would be of help if Kansas banks were able to get a tape from this computer. Mr. Sandstrom said that the FDIC tapes would not fill all of their needs.

Sen. Feleciano expressed his feeling that it is questionable if it would be wise to allow the flexibility in the examination period as outlined in Section I. Mr. Hegarty assured the committee that banks that need close examination will continue to receive proper attention at proper intervals.

Mr. Hegarty continued with a brief explanation of \underline{SB} 525 which would bring trust companies in the same line as the requirements for state banks for quarterly board meetings. (See Attachment I.)

The hearings on <u>SB 524</u> and <u>SB 525</u> were concluded. At this time Jim Maag, Kansas Bankers Association, asked to be allowed to make a general comment on <u>SB 524</u>. He said that at the national level, the banking community gets frustrated because the examination requirement tends to be more stringent for them than for other institutions, thus, creating a feeling of unfairness. He asked the committee to keep in mind what the requirements are for other state chartered institutions where deregulation has relaxed requirements.

Unless specifically noted, the individual remarks recorded herein have not been transcribed verbatim. Individual remarks as reported herein have not been submitted to the individuals appearing before the committee for editing or corrections.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE _	SENATE	_ COMMITTEE ON	COMMERCIAL AND	FINANCIAL	INSTITUIONS	,
room529-S_, Stateho	ouse, at9:	200 a.m./pxxx on	Februa	ary l		_, 1984.

Sen. Karr suggested that it might be appropriate to ask staff to research the regulatory requirements for reporting in all financial institutions. Staff informed the committee that <u>SB 524</u> has its beginnings with the federal government's decision that examinations should be concentrated on safety and soundness.

Sen. Feleciano made a motion that SB 524 be reported favorably. Sen. McCray seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Sen. Werts made a motion that SB 525 be reported favorably. Sen. Gordon seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The chairman asked the committee if it wished to act on \underline{SB} 523 and \underline{SB} 590 which had been previously heard.

Sen. Harder made a motion to report SB 523 favorably. Sen. Werts seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Sen. Harder made a motion to allow the amendment offered on SB 590. Sen. Pomeroy seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Sen. Gordon made a motion to report SB 590 favorably as amended. Sen. McCray seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Sen. Werts announced that the Banking Subcommittee would meet on Friday, February 3, at 9:00 a.m. in room 529-S to consider \underline{SB} $\underline{472}$ and \underline{SB} $\underline{547}$, the bills dealing with the UCCC.

The meeting was adjourned.

'ENATE COMMITTEE

ON

COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

OBSERVERS (Please print)

DATE	NAME	ADDRESS	REPRESENTING
Z-1	Turnos J wilde	Topelea	KLSI
0	Marm C.	,	KCUL
Č!	Jarel Wrig	3	KCUL
el	John Snav	,	Bufact
<i>u</i>	Garl D Xd	Judstrom Josepha	Banking
11	Tugene La	Legarty "	u u
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jim Marg	<i>(</i>)	KBA
	J +		
-		through most bing showing the supplied had most approximate any most supplied to the supplied	

TESTIMONY OF: STATE BANK COMMISSIONER EUGENE C. HEGARTY

KANSAS BANKING DEPARTMENT ON SB 524 AND SB 525

PRESENTED TO:

THE SENATE COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTION COMMITTEE

FEBRUARY 1, 1984

MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE:

SB 524

SECTION I. - THE ONLY AMENDMENT IS CHANGING THE EXAMINATION PERIOD FROM ONCE EVERY YEAR TO AT LEAST ONCE EVERY EIGHTEEN (18) MONTHS. THIS IS BEING DONE TO GIVE THE BANKING DEPARTMENT SOME FLEXIBILITY - WE WILL CONTINUE TO KEEP A CLOSE SCHEDULE AND WE MAY EXAMINE MOST BANKS AT LEAST EVERY TWELVE (12) MONTHS BUT DESIRE FLEXIBILITY IN CASE WE ARE UNABLE TO MEET THIS STATUTORY REQUIREMENT.

SECTION II. - THIS AMENDMENT DELETES THE REQUIRE-MENT THAT CALL REPORTS BE ATTESTED AND DELETE THE REQUIRE-MENT THAT CALL REPORTS BE SUBMITTED WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THE CALL. THE COMMISSIONER WILL USE HIS AUTHOR-ITY TO SET THE DUE DATE AND IN MOST CASES IT IS THIRTY (30) DAYS AFTER THE CUT-OFF DATE OR THE END OF THE QUARTER.

SECTION III. - THIS IS A "CLEAN UP". KSA 77-421 STATES ON RULES AND REGULATIONS: "PUBLICATION OF SUCH NOTICE IN THE KANSAS REGISTER SHALL CONSTITUTE NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES AFFECTED BY THE RULES AND REGULATIONS."

SB 525

THIS AMENDMENT IS BEING SUBMITTED TO ELIMINATE THE NECESSITY OF MONTHLY MEETINGS BY THE TRUST COMPANIES AND TO ALIGN THIS SECTION WITH KSA 9-1116, SAME AS BANKS.

CRSandstrom/jas