		Approved	Date	
MINUTES OF THE <u>Senate</u> COM	MMITTEE ONGove:	rnmental	Organization	1
The meeting was called to order by	Senator	Vidricks Chairperso		at
1:30 % *****/p.m. on	March 15	-		of the Capitol.
All members were present except:				
Senator Hein Senator Francisco				

Bruce Kinzie-Revisor Julian Efird-Research

Committee staff present:

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Jamie Schwartz - Department of Economic Development Henri Fournier - Board of Cosmetology Georgianne Reed - La Cuticle Lara Jachs - La Cuticle Tammy Linisiger - La Cuticle John Peterson - Lobbyist

Jamie Schwartz appeared before the Committee on behalf of HB 2654 which would create a "one-stop" clearinghouse for information in the office of Secretary of State.

Henri Fournier addressed the Committee concerning HB 2739 which relates to manicurists and schools and instructors of onychology. He stated that the Board of Cosmetology was in agreement of this bill and felt it would be good for the profession. Georgianne Reed explained that this bill would up-grade the profession by requiring more extensive training. Lara Jachs and Tammy Linisiger also spoke in support of this bill.

John Peterson testified briefly on HB 2852 which requires the Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board to prescribe fees by rules and regulations for applicants who wish to take examinations. He explained that this bill raises the authorization of fees up to \$200. A letter was presented from the Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board in support of HB 2852. (Exhibit A)

A motion was made by Senator Mulich to pass HB 2739 out of Committee favorably. This was seconded by Senator Roitz. Motion carried.

Senator Johnston made a motion and Senator Mulich seconded that the Committee pass HB 2852 favorably. Motion carried.

A motion to approve the minutes was made by Senator Mulich. This was seconded by Senator Roitz. Motion carried.

The meeting was then adjourned.

Unless specifically noted, the individual remarks recorded herein have not been transcribed verbatim. Individual remarks as reported herein have not been submitted to the individuals appearing before the committee for editing or corrections.

Page _1_ of ____

GUEST LIST

COMMITTEE:_	Senate Governmen	ntal Organization	DATE: 75,19
NAME		ADDRESS	COMPANY/ORGANIZATION
John Pet	Thom	Tyneka	
John So	uvyeon	laureno	Bufa I
Home	Sources)	Tokeha	Consideratory
House de	7125	Kan pan Citu A	n La Catiols
Morsini	~ Riol	Konsas Cila	Man La Cisticle/Minton
The state of the s	Ry Inioid	Pansas Ol	of the fal uticle be
Hamio		Table	KDED
7 77 6	8	1 spaces	
-			
And the second s			
And the second s			
	The same of the sa		
	,		

BOARD MEMBERS: Public Members JERRY W. COLE BARBARA L. KOVAROVIC KAY METTNER

BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES REGULATORY BOARD

Paychology NANCY J. GARFIELD, Ph.D. WILLIAM H. SMITH, Ph.D.

Sociał Work PATRICIA L. EWALT, Ph.D. MARY ROGGE, LBSW H.B. 2852 TESTIMONY BEFORE THE

SENATE GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE

March 15, 1984

H.B. 2852 was introduced at the board's request to provide for an increase in application, renewal, reinstatement, and examination fees for psychology applicants.

The board purchases psychology examinations from Professional Examination Service (P.E.S.) at a cost of \$60 per examinee. Applicants are currently charged \$82.50 to cover the cost of the examination, 20% remittance to the state general fund, and handling costs. The statutory limitation for examination fees is currently \$100. The board has been advised by P.E.S. that effective with the new contract period commencing July 1, 1984, the cost of the examination will be increased to \$90. Even if the board increases the cost of the examination to its applicants to the statutory limitation of \$100, the board fee fund will be required to subsidize each applicant in the amount of \$10 plus handling costs. Pending passage of this bill, the board will take immediate action to increase the examination fees through rules and regulations to an approximate amount of \$115. The breakdown would be as follows:

- \$115 Applicant examination fee
- (23) 20% State General Fund
- (90) P.E.S.
- \$ 2 Handling costs--postage, clerical time, etc.

EXHIBIT A

K.A.R. 102-1-15 which takes effect on May 1, 1984, establishes a continuing education requirement for renewal of psychology certification. Given the complexity of the requirement, limited staff and staff expertise, availability of only two psychologists on the board, and the time constraints of the staff and board members in dealing with other board business, it is the desire of the board to enter into a contract with the Kansas Psychological Association (K.P.A.) to provide the review and recommendation procedure in handling the continuing education documentation. K.P.A. has had a voluntary program of continuing education for its members for three or four years and has an established mechanism for processing the CE documentation. The cost of the service has yet to be determined; however, the cost has been estimated to be between \$10 and \$20 per certificand. The application and renewal fees for psychologists are currently at the statutory limit of \$100. In order for the board to enter into a contract with K.P.A., the board fee fund would be required to subsidize each certificand. Pending passage of this bill, the board will take immediate action to increase the application, renewal, and reinstatment fees through rules and regulations to an amount to be determined pending the final cost proposal of K.P.A.

The board urges your support of H.B. 2852. Thank you.