March 18,1985.
Approved Jayne Officerd

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE CO	MMITTEE ON COMMUNICATION,	COMPUTERS AND	TECHNOLOGY.
The meeting was called to order by	Representative Jayne Aylı Chairperso	ward m	at
3:30 axxx./p.m. on March 5	, 198	5 in room <u>522-S</u>	_ of the Capitol.
All members were present except:			

Committee staff present:
Ray Hauke, Research Department
Jean Mellinger, Secretary to the Committee

Conferees appearing before the committee: Dr. Marvin Harder, Secretary of Administration Marjorie Van Buren, Judicial Branch

Chairman Jayne Aylward opened the meeting for hearing on HB 2511.

Dr. Harder spoke in opposition to <u>HB 2511</u> and stated he opposed it on the grounds that it violated the separation of powers. He said the problem with this bill is that it would involve a legislative branch in the implementation of a policy decision. He thinks to be effective in negotiations, you need a tight, cohesive negotiating group. He said he has adhered to full disclosure to legislative committees and has due respect to the legislative committees' right to make the major policy decisions. He feels that once the legislative branch has made a policy decision, then the implementation of it is an executive branch responsibility. He also feels the bill would be vetoed by the Governor.

Representative Friedeman asked if it would be all right to put two people on the board without a vote. Dr. Harder said he felt anybody who was a participant in the negotiating process should be a full participant. Representative Friedeman asked Dr. Harder if he as chairman of this negotiating committee would be willing to tell the committee all the proposals so we begin to understand why something was rejected or accepted. Dr. Harder suggested he work with an informal group, for instance the chairperson, vice chairman, etc. He would give them daily information about what they are trying to accomplish and what the responses are.

The chairman said the committee would appreciate any suggestions Dr. Harder would have for keeping the committee informed of things as they go along so they don't just see the last proposal and they could have a closer working relationship. Dr. Harder said he would be glad to try harder. The chairman said probably the committee should make more of an effort to ask him to come in and report to them.

The hearing on $\underline{ ext{HB}}$ 2511 concluded and the hearing on $\underline{ ext{HB}}$ 2526 was opened.

Marjorie Van Buren appeared to express support for the policy board. They feel the board has given them what they feel is a very good opportunity to have information about the information processing system and have some input into it. Data processing is a very vital thing to the Judicial Branch although their needs are small. They have been very pleased with the responsiveness of the board and feel it is important that it continue.

Representative Friedeman asked if she had as much concern as Dr. Harder expressed in the sense that this policy board does not have responsibility for proposals involving bids, etc. She said she wouldn't see any separation of powers issue here because as presently constituted, there is a representative from each branch of government.

Dr. Harder spoke in support of <u>HB 2526</u> and stated he convened the policy board almost immediately after starting in his position and they have met many times during the summer and fall. Basically, he thinks the policy board function is to overview all the data processing, to develop policy proposals, and to provide continuing guidance so that when he has to go to

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATION, COMPUTERS AND TECHNOLOGY room 522-S, Statehouse, at 3:30 % / p.m. on March 5 , 19.85

the legislature or when he has to go to the governor for a major proposal for the budget that involves data processing, he has proposals that have been developed in concert. He sees no reason why legislators, executive branch and judicial people cannot participate in policy agenda building. The expansion of this committee has to be a plus, particularly the addition of a senator. He suggested that the committee consider adding two people from the private sector inasmuch as it is not a policy making board but a policy agenda building board. He said the bill says the board should meet quarterly; and, inasmuch as it is difficult to meet during the legislative session, he would suggest that it state four times a year. He distributed a balloon with the changes (Attachment 1).

Representative Friedeman asked how we would define the term policy agenda building board in a bill. Dr. Harder said he had offered a suggested change to paragraph (c) on page 2 of the balloon. Representative Friedeman said he had included telecommunications and he assumed Dr. Harder meant this in relation to data transcribing and not imposing upon the Telecommunications Negotiating Committee as such. Dr. Harder said that was right but there are probably other issues that will arise in respect to telecommunications. He said this really broadens what was perhaps the intent of this bill, but it is based on the fact that they have gotten into telecommunications as well as data processing.

Chairman Aylward asked if he felt that if this is a policy building board, it would eliminate the need for the director of DISC to submit a proposal to the board for approval. He replied that what they were doing was building a plan by almost a piece by piece basis because they are all going through a learning process on this matter; and, thus, the plan is unfolding as they work together. Maybe in a year or two they can produce a comprehensive plan, but he doesn't think they have reached that state. They can try if that is what the legislature wants.

Representative Erne, after ascertaining that the director of purchases and the director of information services work under Dr. Harder, asked him why he wanted the two persons from the private sector appointed by him. Dr. Harder said he wouldn't press that, that it had been his job to try to find people in the private sector who are knowledgeable about data processing.

The hearing on HB 2526 was concluded.

Representative Sallee moved that the minutes of the meetings of February 27 and 28 be approved. Representative Dean seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m.

The next meeting of the committee will be held at 3:30~p.m. on Wednesday, March 6, 1985.

HOUSE BILL No. 2526

By Committee on Communication, Computers and Technology

2-26

only AN ACT concerning the division of information systems and communications of the department of administration; relating to the composition of the information systems policy board; amending K.S.A. 75-4708 and repealing the existing section.

0021 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 75-4708 is hereby amended to read as fol10023 lows: 75-4708. (a) There is hereby established the information
10024 systems policy board, to be composed of (1) the secretary of
10025 administration, (2) the chief justice of the supreme court, or a
10026 person designated by the chief justice, and (3) the chairperson of
10027 the legislative coordinating council, or a person designated by
10028 such chairperson, (4) a member of the house of representatives
10029 appointed by the speaker of the house, and (5) a member of the
10030 senate appointed by the president of the senate. Members of the
10031 information systems policy board attending meetings of such
10032 board, or attending a subcommittee meeting thereof authorized
10033 by such board, shall be paid compensation, subsistence allow10034 ances, mileage and other expenses as provided in K.S.A. 75-3223
10035 and amendments thereto.

(b) The secretary of administration shall be chairperson of the information systems policy board and the director of information systems and communications shall serve as secretary of the board but the director shall not be a member thereof. The board shall meet on call of the chairperson at least quarterly and at such other times as the chairperson deems necessary. Only those persons appointed to the board or serving as a member thereof by virtue of their office shall have the right to vote at meetings of the board and at least two three of such persons shall be present to constitute a quorum to do business.

and (6) two members from the private sector appointed by the secretary of administration.

⇒four times a year

Atch. 1 3/5/85 0056

(c) [Subject to approval by the secretary of administration, the division of information systems and communications shall pre0048 pare a comprehensive plan to implement the provisions of stat0049 rates requiring central processing of data by the division of information systems and communications. Such plan shall be
0051 submitted for approval of the information systems policy board.
0052 A copy of such plan as approved by the board shall be furnished upon request to any division, department or agency of the state
0054 affected thereby:]
0055 Sec. 2. K.S.A. 75-4708 is hereby repealed.

Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and

after its publication in the statute book.

It shall be the function of the policy board to participate with the department of administration in the development of policy proposals in respect to data processing and telecommunications.