Approved Van Sand 3/26/85

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE	COMMITTEE ON	LOCAL GOVERNMENT
The meeting was called to order by .		REPRESENTATIVE IVAN SAND at Chairperson
2:00 xxxx/p.m. on	MARCH 20	, 19 <u>85</u> in room <u>521-S</u> of the Capitol.
All members were present except:	All Present.	
Committee staff present:	Mike Heim, Legislative Research Department Mary Hack, Revisor of Statutes Office Gloria Leonhard, Secretary to the Committee	

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Mr. John Irwin, Department of Health and Environment -- SB 48

Ms. Mary P. Ladeisc, Treasurer, Wyandotte County -- SB 212

Mr. Ernest Mosher, League of Kansas Municipalities -- SB 212

Sen. Paul Burke -- SB 48
Dr. Barbara Sabol, Secretary, Dept. Health & Environment -- SB 48
Mr. Joseph M. Furjanic, Staff Legal Counsel, KASB -- SB 48

Mr. Chris McKenzie, League of Kansas Municipalities -- SB 59

Chairman Ivan Sand appointed a sub-committee to study SB 15 as follows: Chairman, Rep. Elizabeth Baker; Rep. Robert D. Miller; and Rep. Kenneth Francisco.

Chairman Sand called for hearings on the following bills:

SB 212, concerning taxation; relating to the enforcement of liens for unredeemed real estate.

Mr. Mike Heim, Staff, gave an overview of the bill. (See Attachment I.)

Ms. Mary P. Ladeisc, Treasurer, Wyandotte County, appeared to testify in support of SB 212 in its amended form. (See Attachment II.)

Mr. Ernest Mosher, representing the League of Kansas Municipalities, appeared to testify in support of SB 212 in its amended form.

Chairman Sand explained an amendment provided by Staff in a balloon bill which included HB 2506 into SB 212.

Rep. Mary Jane Johnson made a motion to amend SB 212 as provided by Staff. Rep. Samual Sifers seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The hearing on SB 212 was closed.

SB 48, concerning municipalities; authorizing governing bodies thereof to issue bonds for payment of the costs of removal or encapsulation of friable asbestos-containing material in public buildings; conditions.

Mr. Mike Heim, Staff, gave an overview of the bill. (See Attachment III.)

Senator Paul Burke, a sponsor of SB 48, appeared to give background and intent and urged the committee to support the bill.

When questioned, Sen. Burke said that the word "friable" restricts the bill. Mr. Heim, Staff, pointed out that the Senate Committee had amended the word "friable" into the bill.

Dr. Barbara Sabol, Secretary, Dept. Health and Environment, introduced Mr. John Irwin of that Department, who testified in support of the bill and explained that "friable" was included in the language of the bill to differentiate between asbestos products in buildings which should be removed and those which pose no hazzard. Mr. Irwin said products may be determined "friable" through lab analysis; that if the product crumbles under hand pressure, removal is desirable. (See Attachment IV.)

#### CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE	COMMITTEE ON	LOCAL	GOVERNMENT	
501 0 0 1	2:00 %%%./p.m. on	MARCH	20	10.85
room $521-5$ . Statehouse, at _	2 · 0 0 2 W.M./D.III. OII	12111011		, 19,

Committee discussion followed. It was noted that moving the asbestos could be dangerous; that encapsulation may take care of some problems; that rules and regulations haven't yet been established for contractors who remove asbestos.

Mr. Joseph M. Furjanic, Staff Legal Counsel, KASB, appeared to testify in support of SB 48. (See Attachment V.) When questioned, Mr. Furjanic explained that to remove all friable asbestos in school buildings might cost \$40,000,000 rather than the estimated \$25,000,000; that friable materials are not being used today.

Rep. Phil Kline made a conceptual motion that in addition to the removal of friable asbestos, "restoration" should be included in addition to encapsulation where appropriate in the bill.

Rep. Clyde Graeber seconded the motion.

The motion carried.

The hearing on SB 48 was closed.

<u>SB 59</u>, relating to cities; authorizing the establishment of an equipment reserve fund.

Mr. Chris McKenzie, representing the League of Kansas Municipalities, appeared to give background and intent of the bill and urged the Committee to support the bill. (See Attachment VI.)

The hearing on SB 59 was closed.

Chairman Sand called for action on the following bills:

SB 13, concerning municipalities; relating to the issuance of revenue bonds.

Rep. Kenneth D. Francisco made a motion that SB 13 be passed. Rep. Clyde Graeber seconded the motion. The motion carried.

SB 14, concerning counties; relating to the limitation of bonded indebtedness.

Rep. Elizabeth Baker made a motion that SB 14 be passed. Rep. Clyde Graeber seconded the motion. The motion carried.

SB 212, concerning taxation; relating to the enforcement of liens for unredeemed real estate.

Rep. Mary Jane Johnson made a motion that SB 212 be passed as amended. Rep. Dorothy Nichols seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned.

#### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT

DATE 3-20-85

NAME

#### ADDRESS

REPRESENTING

Beverly Longen.	661 Brincliff Rd Solvin KS 6740; RK1, Bx71	Soline Legen of Women
n. n.	RR1, Bx71	Soline League of Women Executive Black Daline Reague of Women
Hann Naes	Assario Xa 67416 2127 Edgehill Salina, US. 67401	Bourd-LWU of Salina
Carmen Wilson	sital Reptiens.	heazer of Women Vote
John Jamin	Ks Dept of HIThE En	V Jope ko, Ks.
B.J. SabaL	KD#+E -	7 // //
Duly Ander	Wille	City of William
Bill Cuitis	Joseka	Ks. assoc. of School Bels.
Jal Furjaine	Topelic	KASB
HAT SCHADER	Topeka	DIVISION OF BUSGET
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		2

# Attachment

## SENATE BILL No. 212

By Committee on Local Government

2-12

AN ACT concerning taxation; relating to the enforcement of liens for unredeemed real estate; amending K.S.A. 79-2801 and repealing the existing section. 0020

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 79-2801 is hereby amended to read as follows: 79-2801. (a) Whenever real estate has been or shall be sold and bid in by the county at any delinquent tax sale and remains 0025 unredeemed on September 1 of the second year after the sale, or 0026 any extension thereof as provided by subsection (b) of K.S.A. 0027 79-2401a, and amendments thereto, the board of county com-0028 missioners shall order the county attorney or county counselor 0029 and it shall be the duty of the county attorney or county coun-0030 selor to institute an action in the district court, in the name of the 0031 board of county commissioners, against the owners or supposed 0032 owners of the real estate and all persons having or claiming to 0033 have any interest therein or thereto, by filing a petition with the 0034 clerk of the court. The board of county commissioners may 0035 provide for special legal and other assistance necessary to secure 6036 the timely performance of duties required by this act. Whenever 0037 the real estate involved is a mineral interest in land which has 0038 been severed from the fee, the bringing of the action for the 0039 foreclosure of the mineral interest shall be within the discretion 0040 of the board of county commissioners. Whenever the aggregate 0041 assessed valuation of the real estate subject to sale is less than 0042 \$10,000, the bringing of the action shall be within the discretion 0043 of the board of county commissioners. The petition shall contain 0044 a description of each tract, lot or piece of real estate including, if 0045 in a city of the first or second class, the street number or location.

property

relating to interest paid on tax judgments and settlements;

(ATTACHMENT

0046 The petition shall state, as far as practicable, the amount of taxes, 0047 charges, interest and penalties chargeable to each tract, lot or 0048 piece of real estate, the name of the owner, supposed owner and 0049 party having or claiming to have any interest therein or thereto, 0050 and giving the year the real estate was sold for delinquent taxes 0051 under the provisions of K.S.A. 79-2302, and amendments thereto. 0052 The petition shall request that the court determine the amount of 0053 taxes, charges, interest and penalties chargeable to each particu-0054 lar tract, lot or piece of real estate, the name of the owner or party 0055 having any interest therein and that the court adjudge and decree 0056 the amount due to be a first and prior lien upon the real estate 0057 and that the same be sold at public sale for the satisfaction of the 0058 lien, costs, charges and expenses of the proceedings and sale and 0059 other necessary relief. The petition shall be filed in duplicate 0060 and a copy delivered by the clerk to the county treasurer, who 0061 shall thereafter shall accept no payments of taxes upon the real 0062 estate included in the petition except as provided by K.S.A. 0063 79-2801 to 79-2810, inclusive, and amendments thereto.

A summons shall be issued and personally served or publication made as provided in other cases under the code of civil
procedure. If service is made by publication, the notice, in
addition to the requirements prescribed by the code of civil
procedure, shall contain a description of the real estate. Any
member of the board of county commissioners, county attorney
or county counselor who fails to perform the duties required by
this section shall forfeit the office held by the officer. Any person
may secure enforcement of the provisions of this act through
mandamus. Such proceeding shall be initiated by filing a petimandamus in a court of competent jurisdiction.

- (b) Whenever a county has not brought an action to sell real core estate located within a city as provided by subsection (a) within 7 60 days after requested by the governing body of a city to bring when action, the governing body of the city may order the city core attorney and it shall be the duty of the city attorney to institute one outh action in the same manner provided by subsection (a).
- 0081 (b) The governing body of any city may provide for the 0082 rendering of legal and other assistance to the county attorney or

3.79

only county counselor to secure the expeditious judicial foreclosure
of real estate on which there is unredeemed delinquent tax liens,
including delinquent special assessments. The provision of such
services by the city shall not relieve any county officer of the
requirement to perform the duties required by this act. The
actual and necessary costs incurred by a city in providing such
assistance shall be considered as costs incident to the sale of the
real estate and the city may be reimbursed therefor from the
proceeds of the sale in an amount apportioned pursuant to
K.S.A. 79-2805, and amendments thereto.

Sec. K.S.A. 79-2801 is hereby repealed.

This act shall take effect and be in force from and

0095 after its publication in the statute book.

New Sec. 2. From and after October 3, 1984, all moneys received as interest and all moneys received in lieu of interest pursuant to judgments or judicial settlements involving property tax disputes shall be credited to the general fund of the county levying such taxes. The board of county commissioners and the governing body of any taxing subdivision within or partially within the county may enter into agreements providing for the distribution of such moneys in any manner agreed upon by the parties to such agreements.

3

41

Kansas register

To: House Local Government

FROM: MARY P. LADESIC, WYANDOTTE COUNTY TREASURER

RE: SENATE BILL 212

Mr. Chairman and Honorable members of this committee. I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you in support of Senate Bill 212.

INITIALLY, ON BEHALF OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY, I APPEARED BEFORE SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN OPPOSITION TO THIS BILL IN ITS ORIGINAL FORM. AS AMENDED, OUR COUNTY NOW SUPPORTS THIS BILL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS;

THE PURPOSE OF SENATE BILL 212 IS TO PROVIDE FOR THE TIMELY PERFORMANCE OF A JUDICIAL TAX FORECLOSURE SALE WITH THE NEEDS OF THE COUNTY, CITY AND TAXPAYER IN MIND.

IT ALLOWS FOR COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO SECURE ANY ASSISTANCE NECCESSARY IN THE PREPARATION AND SALE OF REAL ESTATE.

IT GIVES ANY INDIVIDUAL TAXPAYER THE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMAND THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE TAX SALE PROCEDURES.

FINALLY THIS BILL OFFERS THE CITIES THE OPTION OF RENDERING LEGAL OR OTHER ASSISTANCE TO THE COUNTY TO ACCOMPLISH A JUDICIAL TAX FORECLOSURE SALE IN A PROPER AND TIMELY FASHION.

WE ASK YOUR FAVORABLE CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 212.

I WILL STAND FOR QUESTIONS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION.

#### SESSION OF 1985

#### SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON SENATE BILL NO. 48

# As Amended by Senate Committee on Local Government

#### Brief of Bill\*

S.B. 48 as amended authorizes the governing body of any municipality to issue general obligation bonds or temporary notes to remove or encapsulate firable asbestos-containing material. The bonds are subject to a 10 percent protest petition-election procedure. Bonds issued are not subject to bonded debt limits. The Secretary of Health and Environment is required to provide technical assistance to municipalities if requested.

Municipality as defined in K.S.A. 10-1101 includes counties, cities, townships, school districts, community junior colleges, municipal universities, drainage districts and any other political or taxing subdivisions.

#### Background

The bill was supported by the Kansas Association of School Board, the League of Kansas Municipalities, and the Secretary of the Kansas Department of Health and Environment. S.B. 113 would give the Department of Health and Environment the authority to license persons engaged in the removal or encapsulation of firable asbestos-containing material.

<sup>°</sup> Bill briefs are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent.

#### Kansas Department of Health and Environment

TESTIMONY ON SB 48

(ATTACHMENT IV) 3-20-85

PRESENTED TO House Local Government Committee, March 20, 1985

This is the official position taken by the Kansas Department of Health and Environment on SB 48.

#### BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Recent years have seen the public health community grow increasingly concerned about the potential long term health risk of exposures to elevated levels of airborne asbestos fibers in such nonoccupational settings as public buildings and public schools. Surveys conducted by state and federal agencies have indicated that approximately 10% of the public schools in Kansas have had or have sprayed-on ceiling materials containing asbestos and as many as 35% of the public schools nationwide have easily damaged asbestos materials in either The identification of ceiling materials or pipe and boiler insulation. significant sources of airborne asbestos in these buildings in combination with the risk of irreversible health effects associated with excessive exposures, such as cancer, have resulted in increasing public interest and pressure for the development of responsible building management plans to prevent unnecessary asbestos exposures to building occupants and visitors. Because the health risks from asbestos exposures are greater if these exposures occur in early life, the potential for exposures in our public schools is of particular concern. While current federal regulations restrict the use of asbestos in new buildings, require the identification of asbestos in school buildings, and specify work practices for private employees who work with asbestos, no exposure standards have been established for nonindustrial settings, and no regulations mandate which corrective actions need to be taken in buildings where damaged asbestos-containing materials are found. government and school with local responsibilities now rest latter administrators who, in the past, have not always had sufficient resources and information available to them to respond effectively to these problems. Senate Bill 48 in the department's view represents a positive step in alleviating some of these problems.

#### STRENGTHS OF CURRENT BILL:

1. Provides a clear recognition of the need for excellence in contractor performance if asbestos abatement projects are to be performed safely in public buildings and schools. Although there is still substantial scientific uncertainty involved in the assessment of the health risks of exposures to the comparatively low levels of airborne asbestos in well-maintained public buildings, there is substantial agreement that once an asbestos removal project begins, significant exposures can occur to the employees involved and other occupants of the building unless this work is performed carefully in accordance with the proper procedures. The requirement of Section 1(d) of this bill that contractors or persons performing asbestos abatement work be certified or licensed is,

therefore, believed to be an important element of this bill. The Department has also provided testimony supporting the passage of SB 113 which addresses the issue of asbestos abatement contractor licensing in Kansas.

2. Provides a clear financial management tool for municipalities to respond to local concerns for correcting potential asbestos problems and places the responsibility for deciding the necessity for an asbestos removal or encapsulation project at the local level of government where the financing responsibility also resides.

#### WEAKNESSES:

None

#### DEPARTMENT POSITION:

The department believes that Senate Bill 48 addresses an important issue confronting Kansas and supports its passage.

In conclusion, the Department strongly encourages your support of SB 48. Providing a source of funding for correcting asbestos exposure problems in our state's municipal buildings will establish Kansas as a responsible leader in addressing this important public health concern.

(ATTACHMENT V)

5401 S. W. 7th Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66606 913-273-3600

Testimony on S.B. 48

March 20, 1985

by

Joseph M. Furjanic, Staff Legal Counsel

Kansas Association of School Boards

My name is Joe Furjanic and I am the staff attorney with the Kansas Association of School Boards. I thank all the committee members for this opportunity to speak on behalf of Senate Bill 48.

The issue of asbestos in public schools has become one of increasing national and state concern. Kansas school boards have a vital interest in removing any health hazard that may affect Kansas public school students and employees. A Kansas State Department of Education survey estimated that the cost of removal of all the asbestos in our state's elementary and secondary school facilities to be over 25 million dollars.

For many years the Kansas Association of School Boards through its membership has maintained a belief that the American system of local and state control of public education by non-partisan, non-salaried lay boards of education is superior to a centralized, national, and/or professional control as exists in all other countries of the world. With this firmly rooted belief in local control comes the weighty burden of local responsibility.

November last, the delegate assembly at the annual KASB convention defeated a resolution calling for state financial assistance to Kansas school districts for the removal of all asbestos hazards in the public schools of the state - not

because they did not need more dollars to contain the hazards - but rather because our member districts felt that this matter could best be handled at the local USD level.

With this background in mind, KASB firmly supports Senate Bill 48. The avenue of general obligation bonding will not necessitate local school boards utilizing general fund dollars in order to once and for all deal with the asbestos problems facing them. Further, there will be no financial burdens incurred by the state in the funding process. Local districts will take control of their local problems.

Finally, our member districts support that part of the bill which speaks to the bidding process and contractor certification. Presently, the State of Kansas has no licensing or certification requirements for asbestos removal projects. In the past the United States Environmental Protection Agency has been less than clear as to what is required and who to contact for guidance when a school district makes the decision to abate the asbestos in its buildings.

Providing for the State Department of Health and Environment to authorize the licensing and certification of contractors would put a necessary check and balance into the system so that local school administrators and local boards of education could finally look to one state agency for guidance when bids are let for school district asbestos abatement projects.

I wish to thank you for your time and I will try to answer any questions.

### (ATTACHMENT VI)

#### SB 59-General City Equipment Reserve Fund

Purpose: To specifically authorize cities to establish an equipment reserve funds. SB 59 passed the Senate on February 19 by a vote of 37 to 3.

Background: Under Kansas budget laws, the general rule is that public funds may not be spent without budget authorization. This spending authority lasts only for the current budget year; unexpended cash continues in the fund but may not be spent unless again budgeted. Exceptions to these general rules exist under several statutes authorizing reserve funds, such as under K.S.A. 68-141g and K.S.A. 68-590 (highways) and street equipment, K.S.A. 12-6310 (sewerage systems), 12-6a13 (special assessment projects), 12-825d (utilities), as well as for certain "operating" funds (risk management - K.S.A. 12-2615; tort liability - K.S.A. 75-6110). There is no general equipment reserve fund authorization.

In addition, the general rule is that moneys in a fund may not be transferred to another fund, except as provided by law (K.S.A. 79-2934). Again, there are a number of statutory exceptions to this general rule, such as exist for several of the reserve funds noted above. There is no general authorization for cities to transfer moneys to a general equipment reserve fund.

**Explanation:** SB 59 specifically authorizes cities to establish a municipal equipment reserve fund, <u>from amounts budgeted</u>, to finance new and replacement equipment. Equipment is defined to include machinery, vehicles and other personal property, such as computer hardware and software.

Use charges could then be annually levied on city departments and agencies to finance new and replacement equipment. For example, if police cars are replaced every three years, the police department's annual operating budget (part of the general fund) could be charged one-third of the replacement cost each year, with the amount credited to the equipment fund to finance future replacements.

Subsection (b) clarifies that the fund may be a reserve fund, and requires the receipts and expenditures of the fund to be shown in the annual budget. (This is in addition to the original budgeted appropriations).

Subsection (c) provides that any unneeded moneys in the reserve fund may be retransferred, subject to budget procedure requirements.

Advantages: SB 59 is intended to permit and encourage cities to meet their capital needs for machinery and equipment purposes on a continuing, annually planned basis, rather than deferring costs to the future, which may require no-fund warrants, bonds, or conditional lease-purchase agreements. It would tend to stabilize city revenue requirements, since the annual "real costs" of equipment could be met annually.

It will also facilitate more accurate cost accounting by function or purpose and the multiple use of equipment. For example, the cost of a backhoe could be assigned, according to its use, to the water department (fund), street department (two or more funds) and the sewerage department (general or special fund).

The passage of this bill is supported by the League of Kansas Municipalities. It is a policy objective set forth in the League's convention-adopted Statement of Municipal Policy and has been approved by the League governing body.

Session of 1985

#### SENATE BILL No. 59

By Committee on Local Government

1-23

0018 AN ACT relating to cities; authorizing the establishment of an 0019 internal service equipment reserve fund.

0020 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. (a) The governing body of any city may provide, 0022 by adoption of an ordinance, for a municipal equipment reserve 0023 fund, which may be used as an internal service fund to finance 0024 the acquisition of equipment. Moneys may be budgeted of and 0025 transferred to such fund from any source which may be lawfully 0026 utilized for such purposes, including rental or other equipment 0027 use charges on the various departments and agencies of the city, 0028 sufficient to finance new and replacement equipment. For the 0029 purposes of this act, equipment shall include machinery, vehi-0030 cles and any other equipment or personal property including, 0031 but not limited to, computer hardware and software, which the 0032 city is authorized to purchase for municipal purposes.

- (b) Moneys credited to such fund from annually budgeted appropriations or transfers shall not thereafter be subject to the provisions of K.S.A. 79-2925 to 79-2937, inclusive, and amendoments thereto. In making the budgets of such city, the amounts credited to, and the amount on hand in, such internal service equipment reserve fund and the amount expended therefrom shall be shown thereon for the information of the taxpayers of such city. Moneys in such fund may be invested in accordance with the provisions of K.S.A. 10-131 and amendments thereto with interest thereon credited to such fund.
- 0043 (c) If the governing body of any city determines that money 0044 which has been credited to such fund or any part thereof is not 0045 needed for the purposes for which so budgeted or transferred,

.SB 59-Am.

2

0046 the governing body may transfer, by adoption of a resolution, 0047 such amount not needed to the fund from which it came and such 0048 retransfer and expenditure thereof shall be subject to the budget 0049 requirement provisions of K.S.A. 79-2925 to 79-2937, inclusive, 0050 and amendments thereto.

O051 Sec. 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and O052 after its publication in the statute book.