Approved June 3/19/86

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COM	HTTEE ONL	OCAL GOVERNMENT	
The meeting was called to order by	REPRESENTATIVE IVAN SAND Chairperson at		
1:30 xxx/p.m. on	MARCH 18 , 19 86	in room of the Capitol.	
All members were present except:	Rep. Martha Jenkins, excused Rep. George Dean, excused		
Committee staff present:	Mary Hack, Revisor of	eim, Legislative Research Department ack, Revisor of Statutes Office Leonhard, Committee Secretary	
Conferees appearing before the committee		Cansas Farm Bureau, SB 425 as Assn. of Counties, SB 425	

Chairman Sand called for hearing on  $\underline{SB}$  425, concerning municipalities; relating to annexation;

Mr. Jim Kaup, League of KS. Municipalities,

Mr. Mike Heim, Staff, gave an overview of SB 425 and summarized the bill section by section. (See copy of Supplemental Note -- Attachment I.)

SB 425

Mr. John K. Blythe, Assistant Director, Public Affairs Division, Kansas Farm Bureau, appeared in support of SB 425 and read a resolution to the committee which had been adopted by voting delegates at a Kansas Farm Bureau annual meeting. (See Attachment II.)

Mr. Fred Allen, Kansas Assn. of Counties, appeared in support of SB 425.

Mr. Jim Kaup, League of Kansas Municipalities, appeared in support of SB 425.

The hearing on SB 425 was closed.

Chairman Sand called for action on SB 425.

Rep. Arthur Douville made a motion that SB 425 be passed. Rep. L. V. Roper seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The minutes for the meetings of March 3, 4, 5, and 6, 1986, were approved as presented.

The meeting was adjourned.

## HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT

DATE 3-18-86

NAME

ADDRESS

REPRESENTING

Margaret Bearre	Lawrence	LWVK
Bekera aguskan	Topeka	Ks. Cometery asse
John Janna	Topelsa	ASSOC. Press.
Fred Allen	Topeka	RAC
Hillio Martini	Sicheta	Seelg. Co.
Jacker Julacas	Wilnta	City of Wiehila
I have faux	Topeka	League of Municipalis
	K 1	Bedost-GON
John Blythe	Manhattan	Jarm Bureau

#### SESSION OF 1986

#### SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON SENATE BILL NO. 425

## Brief of Bill\*

S.B. 425 would require the board of county commissioners to approve by a three-fourths vote the creation or expansion of boundaries of any special benefit district located within three miles of any city which has adopted subdivision regulations. Special benefit district includes any sewer district; water, rural water, and water supply district; fire district; improvement district; industrial district; and drainage district.

### Background

The bill was recommended by the interim Special Committee on Local Government as part of its recommendations regarding Proposal No. 45. The State-Local Annexation Task Force recommended the bill to the interim committee. The rationale for the bill is that county commissions should be especially aware of the impact of creating or expanding special district governments near cities.

The Senate Committee amended the bill to delete cemetery districts which do not provide municipal type services and groundwater management districts whose creation and expansion are not under the control of boards of county commissioners.

HS. LOCAL GOV. ATTACHMENT I 3/19/86

<sup>\*</sup> Bill briefs are prepared by the Legislative Research
Department and do not express legislative intent.



# **PUBLIC POLICY STATEMENT**

STATEMENT TO HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Representative Ivan Sand, Chairman

RE: S.B. 425 - Annexation

Presented by:
John K. Blythe, Assistant Director
Public Affairs Division
Kansas Farm Bureau

March 18, 1986

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

We are pleased to have this opportunity to bring you the views of the farmers and ranchers who are members of Farm Bureau as you consider the issue of city annexation.

The voting delegates at our most recent annual meeting of the Kansas Farm Bureau adopted the following resolution. This resolution was the result of much discussion and study by several of our county Farm Bureau organizations as they sought protection for landowners from excessive tax burdens or improvement assessments.

The resolution reads as follows:

## **ANNEXATION**

We commend the Legislature for undertaking a review and comprehensive study of annexation laws in Kansas. We believe enactment of appropriate legislation in the 1986 Session would correct many of the inequities which have become apparent under current statutes.

Indiscriminate annexation of agricultural areas into cities has proven to be most unfair to a great number of rural property owners engaged in agriculture.

We believe that amendments to our annexation statutes should provide for:

HS. LOCAL GOV. ATTACHMENT IL 3/19/86

- 1. Reduction from 55 acres to 10 acres the amount of agricultural land that may be annexed without the owner's consent;
- Cities to hold public hearings within the area proposed to be annexed. At such hearings the cities shall have a land development plan, timetable, and cost estimate of proposed services such as water, sewer, electrical, and gas services;
- City maintenance of all existing public facilities and services during the development stage;
- 4. Landowner-initiated deannexation of annexed land when the city fails to provide major municipal services or maintain existing facilities and services; and
- 5. The opportunity for residents of the proposed annexed area to present petitions to the city opposing their annexation into the city. If the petitions contain the names of 25% of the residents of the area, the city shall provide for a vote within the proposed annexed area to determine if the proposed annexation will become effective.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, I realize that Farm Bureau's policy statement more directly relates to the provisions contained in S.B. 427, a companion bill to S.B. 425, recommended by the Interim Special Committee on Local Government. S.B. 427 was re-referred to the Senate Ways & Means Committee and back to the Senate Local Government Committee.

We do support S.B. 425 and its provisions that require a 3/4 majority vote of the board or boards of county commissioners before special benefit districts could be created, established or otherwise formed within the fringe area of any city. We believe that the Board of County Commissioners is the proper authority to conduct the hearings in the manner provided in S.B. 425.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, we thank you for the opportunity to share our views on this important issue of annexation.

Hs. Local Sov.