| Approved | 2-19-86 | * |
|----------|---------|---|
| | Date | |

| MINUTES OF THE HO | COMMITTEE ONways and Means |
|---------------------------|--|
| The meeting was called to | order by at Chairperson |
| 1:30 axx./p.m. on _ | Monday, February 10 , 1986 in room 514-S of the Capitol. |
| All members were present | except: Representatives Helgerson, Duncan and Hoy (all excused) |
| Committee staff present: | Gloria Timmer, Legislative Research Laura Howard, Legislative Research Sharon Schwartz, Administrative Aide Nadine Young, Committee Secretary |

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Representative Sifers

Paul Fleenor, Director of Public Affairs

Kansas Farm Bureau

Harland Priddle, Secretary of Department of

Agriculture

Ken Kerns, Executive Director, State Conservation

Commission

Brent McFall, City Manager - Emporia

Representative Spaniol David Litwin, KCCI

Chairman Bunten called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

HB 2673 -- relating to agricultural soil conservation; establishing the agricultural lands conservation fund; providing for the crediting of certain moneys to such fund and expenditures therefrom; and prescribing the authority and duties of the secretary of agriculture therefor.

Representative Sifers addressed the committee as sponsor of the bill which calls for the establishment of a special fund to be used for prevention of soil conservation. The fiscal note is approximately \$2.8 million. Chairman Bunten commented that the state already appropriates about \$3/4 millifor soil conservation and asked what more the bill would do in addition to what is already appropriated for this purpose. Representative Sifers stated that any friendly amendments would be considered.

Paul Fleenor, representing Kansas Farm Bureau, presented testimony (Attachment "A") in support of the bill.

Harland Priddle, Secretary of Department of Agriculture, also appeared in support of the bill (Attachment "B"). He said that in the area of soil conservation the job is never completed and must be continually addressed. He suggested that the reference to the Secretary of Agriculture in the bill be changed to State Conservation Commission for the management of this program.

Ken Kerns, representing the State Conservation Commission also appeared in support of the bill (<u>Attachment "C"</u>). He stated that although the State is currently providing general fund money for this area, that additional funding is needed to provide an educational program and grants for conservation programs.

He said that about \$13 million would be needed to support the four programs spelled out in Attachment "C". He did however agree with Secretary Priddle that \$6 million to start would be sufficient.

No action was taken this date on HB 2673.

CONTINUATION SHEET

| MINUTES OF THE | House | COMMITTEE ON _ | Ways | and Means | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|----|---------|
| room 514-S, Statehou | se, at <u>1:30</u> | | Monday, | February | 10 | , 1986. |

HB 2791 -- authorizing the state board of regents to dedicate certain real property to the public and the city of Emporia, Kansas, for street, road or highway purposes; imposing conditions thereon.

This bill would allow the Board of Regents to provide land to the City of Emporia for use as a road intersection.

Representative Lowther introduced Brent McFall, City Manager for City of Emporia who explained the specifics of the land. It involves straightening out the street that runs by the University and would require about 1/4 acre.

Representative Solbach moved that HB 2791 be recommended favorable for passage. Seconded by Representative Teagarden. Motion carried.

SB 420 -- an act concerning the state finance council; amending K.S.A. 75-3711c and repealing the existing section.

Jim Wilson, Revisors Office, explained the provisions of the bill. It would eliminate the necessity of repeating language in each appropriation bill which authorizes the State Finance Council to increase limitations on expenditures from reappropriated balances in general fund accounts. SB 420 was introduced by the Legislative Budget Committee in connection with Proposal No. 42 of the 1985 interim session.

On a motion by Representative Chronister and a second by Representative Heinemann, SB 420 was recommended favorable for passage and placed on the consent calendar. Motion carried.

HCR 5035 -- a proposition to amend article 2 of the state constitution by adding a new section thereto, relating to appropriations of money in the state treasury.

Representative Spaniol presented the bill to the committee (Attachment "D"). HCR 5035 would establish a ceiling for the next three years on the amount of money that can be appropriated from the state general fund for any fiscal year. It would take 2/3 majority in both houses and then would be placed on the ballot in the next general election.

David Litwin, Director of Taxation for KCCI presented testimony in support of the bill (Attachment "E").

After brief discussion, Chairman moved to recognition of Representative Guldner who requested introduction of a new bill concerning FY 1986 appropriations for State Park and Resources Authority and Kansas Fish and Game. The bill proposes a transfer of \$300,000 from Fish and Game to Park and Resources for the purpose of renovation of Lake Scott (Attachment "F").

Representative Solbach made a motion to introduce the bill and have it referred back to this committee. It was seconded by Representative Teagarden. Motion carried.

Chairman Bunten reminded the committee that all fee agency budgets must be reported out by Friday, February 14.

Meeting adjourned at 3:05 p.m.



PUBLIC POLICY STATEMENT

Statement to: HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

RE: The Agricultural Lands Conservation Fund - H.B. 2673

Topeka, Kansas February 10, 1986

Presented by:
Paul E. Fleener, Director
Public Affairs Division
KANSAS FARM BUREAU

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

My name is Paul Fleener. I am the Director of Public Affairs for Kansas Farm Bureau. We want to express our appreciation to this Committee for conducting a hearing on, and express appreciation to Representative Sifers for the introduction of H.B. 2673 relating to agricultural soil conservation and the funding for soil conservation.

On November 26, 1985, farmers and ranchers from the 105 counties of Kansas, serving as delegates for their county Farm Bureaus at the Kansas Farm Bureau Annual Meeting adopted the following language on the topic being discussed today:

Soil and Water Conservation

We believe the owners and operators of agricultural land can best be served by a voluntary approach to soil conservation using federal and state cost-sharing funds as an incentive for developing and maintaining farm plans, and constructing and maintaining soil and water conservation structures. We ask the Kansas Legislature to adequately fund the state share of cost-sharing programs.

4.2/10/86

R

Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Committee, the need for adequate funding for soil and water conservation is made abundantly clear in H.B. 2673, lines 21-38. Also in K.S.A. 2-1902, et. seq., there is clear and convincing language that the Legislature has understood, and today understands the condition of agricultural lands, the consequence of soil erosion, the appropriate corrective methods, and the declared policy of the state when the soil conservation district law ... Article 19 ... was drafted. Subsequent amendments have sought to clarify and refocus attention on pressing needs. Representative Sifers has focused, through H.B. 2673, the need for establishment of an Agricultural Lands Conservation Fund.

We respectfully suggest to the Committee that perhaps incorporation of the major language of H.B. 2673 be incorporated in K.S.A. 2-1915 which addresses "conservation structures on private lands; state appropriations authorized for construction; limitations; rules and regulations of State Conservation Commission; other assistance."

We offer for your consideration the prospect of amending K.S.A. 2-1915 to include the language from H.B. 2673 beginning on line 41 and continuing through line 48. At that point, following the phrase "changes in cultivation practices" we suggest a comma, then strike the and on line 49, continue with "changes in the configuration of the surface of the land," and amend that into K.S.A. 2-1915 which addresses the making of grants "for the construction of terraces, terrace outlets, check dams, dikes, ponds, ditches, watershed structures and other water conservation

structures on privately-owned lands."

We further suggest you amend K.S.A. 2-1915, following the existing language ... Such grant shall not exceed eighty percent (80%) of the total cost of the construction of any such structure. Follow that with the language from H.B. 2673 beginning on line 56 to and including all of lines 65 up to the word "the" and by inserting following "the" State Conservation Commission, and by striking Secretary of Agriculture or a person or persons designated by the Secretary.

Mr. Chairman, the Food Security Act of 1985 ... sometimes called the Farm Bill of 1985 contains a **strong** title which creates a Conservation Reserve Program. In that program as many as 40-45 million acres of highly erodible land will be bid into the conservation reserve. The efforts of the State of Kansas could assist immensely in conservation programs to protect our soil and water.

Thank you very much for this opportunity to make comments on this important topic.

TESTIMONY

to

HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

on

HOUSE BILL 2673

by

HARLAND E. PRIDDLE SECRETARY KANSAS STATE BOARD OF AGRICULTURE

February 10, 1986

ZI.A+M 2/10/84 Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, you are addressing an issue today in House Bill 2673 which requires not necessarily an expenditure of funds but a belief in investment in the future. Soil and water are the basic resources of Kansas. The state's largest industry of agriculture, with a gross income of approximately \$6 billion per year, depends upon these important resources for productivity as well as economic survivability in Kansas. The state of Kansas has demonstrated a dedication to conservation in the past, as illustrated by the fact that we are number one in the entire country in waterways, farm ponds, terraces, and conservation tillage The legislature has realized its responsibility in forming a cooperative partnership with farmers and ranchers in making an investment through cost share programs for the future. Often times a farmer does not realize in his lifetime the benefits of the investment he makes but will surely benefit the generations to follow.

With the statistics in mind stated above, you may think the job is completed. In the area of conservation the job is never completed and must be continually addressed. For example, in the state of Kansas we have 82,277 square miles resulting in 52 million acres of land of which 92 percent is in grassland or cropland. Each year we are estimating a loss of approximately 202 million tons of soil through erosion. To put this in perspective, this amounts to 1,866,950 railroad cars which coupled together would extend 27,332 miles or a string of cars completely surrounding the entire world. This quiet but constant loss of productivity does not raise its voice to us for help but is obviously a serious consideration for the future. The funds generated from House Bill 2673 would be used in cooperation with the State Conservation Commission and farmers and ranchers throughout the state of Kansas to continue in the program areas related to soil and water conservation. More than one half of the cropland and grassland in Kansas needs conservation treatment. The lack of dedication and investment in this important program will mean a deterioration of these resources as well as the quality of our environment and the standard of living for the future.

As the Secretary of Agriculture, I am a member of the conservation commission but not responsible for the receiving and distribution of commission funds. I suggest the reference to the Secretary of Agriculture in the bill be changed to State Conservation Commission for the management

of this important program.

This realignment to the State Conservation Commission provides a single management of programs already in existence and does not initiate a duplication of effort. With this realignment, I assume the provisions of HB 2673 would provide a predetermined supplemental funding of .5% from the sales tax in compensating taxes and not replace the current level of general funding support for soil and water conservation in the state of Kansas.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee, with this basis and consideration I urge your consideration in passing of House Bill 2673.



State Conservation Commission

Telephone (913) 296-3600

Topeka, Kansas 66612

109 S.W. 9th Street, Room 300

TESTIMONY BY
KENNETH F. KERN
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

House Ways & Means Committee House Bill 2673 February 10, 1986

- The State Conservation Commission supports the concept of HB-2673 to establish
 a Conservation Fund. Soil and water conservation for present and future use
 is critical to the continued growth and development of the economy of the State.
- 2. State financing is necessary in providing an educational program and grants for agricultural soil and water conservation programs. The State is currently providing general fund money in implementing various phases of the State Water Plan through the State Conservation Commission:
 - a. Aid to Conservation Districts \$766,505. These funds matched by county funds, are used by the Conservation Districts for district operations, including local education programs.
 - b. Watershed Dam Construction \$1,000,000. A flood control grant program in organized special purpose districts, namely watershed districts and drainage districts.
 - c. Water Resources Cost-Share Program \$1,500,000. A state-wide program carried out at the local level by the 105 Conservation Districts to address water quality and water quantity problems through land treatment practices. Grants are made to landowners to accomplish the objectives of the program.
 - d. High Priority Cost-Share Program \$200,000. A new program to address high priority problems in water quality and water quantity in each of the 12 major river basins of Kansas. This is a land treatment grant program to landowners.
- 3. Because the State has existing, ongoing programs in soil and water conservation, it is recommended that the Conservation Fund be administered by the State Conservation Commission.
- 4. The funds raised by the .50% of the total retail sales and compensating taxes would not be sufficient to meet the annual needs of the existing conservation programs. However, the concept of the designation of a portion of these taxes is supported by the Commission.
- 5. Thank you for your consideration of the important task of conserving our soil and water resources.

DENNIS SPANIOL
REPRESENTATIVE. NINETY-FOURTH DISTRICT.
SEDGWICK COUNTY
438 S SOCORA
WICHITA, KANSAS 67209
(316) 722-2044

ROOM 280-W. CAPITOL BLDG TOPEKA, KANSAS 66612 (913) 296-7640



COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

CHAIRMAN SEDGWICK COUNTY LEGISLATIVE DELEGATION
MEMBER: ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION
ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES
TRANSPORTATION
JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE

RULES AND REGULATIONS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 10, 1986.

TESTIMONY ON HCR 5035 before the HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

HCR 5035 establishes a <u>CEILING</u> for the next <u>three</u> fiscal years on the amount of money that can be appropriated from the state general fund for any fiscal year.

- 1. A ceiling would be established that appropriations and transfers from the state general fund for fiscal years 1988, 1989, 1990 may not be more than:
- a. the appropriations and transfers for the preceding fiscal year
- b. plus that amount by which the United States Consumer Price Index-Urban (CPI-U) for all urban areas increased during the calendar year which ended during such preceding year. For example, if total general fund spending in FY 87 was \$2 billion and the CPI increase was 5% then total general fund spending in FY 88 could not exceed \$2.1 billion.
 - 2. There are two exceptions to the ceiling:
- a. Any Federal mandate or court order which did NOT exist at the time that the Amendment was adopted would be <u>OUT</u> FROM UNDER THE CEILING. For example, The recent HHS order to add additional workers at the Winfield and Parsons State Hospitals if it occurred after the effective date of the Amendment, any expenditure for those workers would be <u>OUTSIDE</u> the ceiling.

AND b. Once the ceiling had been reached through the appropriation process, the ceiling could be EXCEEDED if any appropriation bill received a 2/3rd's vote of the Legislature.

The primary thrust of the Amendment is to redirect the budgetary process to force state government to better prioritize its limited resources. It is an attempt to limit the power of the special interest groups who want to increase without end to the general detriment of all Kansas.

The constitutional amendment would set for the first time in Legislative history a ceiling on how much money would be spent PRIOR to the considering of individual spending bills. UNLIKE GRAMM-RUDMAN, the proposed amendment does NOT freeze or call for any across the board cuts in any individual state agencies budget. IT SIMPLY JUST SETS A CEILING OR CAP ON THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF SPENDING THUS FORCING THE LEGISLATURE TO PRIORITIZE AND LIVE WITHIN A BUDGET.

I ask for your favorable support on this measure. Representative Dennis Spaniol 94th District

STATE GENERAL FUND RECEIPTS

| <u>Fis</u> | cal Year | Non | ninal | | Percent Increase | CPI-U Percent Increase |
|-------------|--|--------------------------|--|------|---|----------------------------------|
| | 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 | 1,0 1,0 1,2 1,2 | 250.8 250.8 254.8 254.8 2801.6 | | 1.3% 0.3 10.7 6.7 10.8 12.6 16.1 25.4 14.7 11.7 10.7 10.1 17.8 9.0 11.7 3.8 7.1 13.4 | 3.8926001187436737 1756931843 |
| Increase, 1 | 966-1984 | \$1,2 | 296.1 | | 516.8% | 218.6% |
| | | STATE | GENERAL | FUND | EXPENDITURES | |

| 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1974 1975 1976 1977 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 | \$ 221.9 239.6.3 258.3 258.2 344.8.9 3566.8 388.9 7005.1 845.9 9610.8 9610.8 9610.8 1,259.4 9630.9 | 78.16.34.2555.3.150.91.3.95.9.5 22.2.76.3.4.5.5.5.6.9 11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1. | 3345534917569318433 3189260011874367376 17569318433 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Increase, 1966-1985 | \$1,409.0 | 587.0% | 222.2% |

LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY

Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry

500 First National Tower One Townsite Plaza Topeka, KS 66603-3460 (913) 357-6321

A consolidation of the Kansas State Chamber of Commerce, Associated Industries of Kansas, Kansas Retail Council

HCR 5035

February 10, 1986

KANSAS CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

Testimony Before the

House Ways & Means Committee

by

David S. Litwin
Director of Taxation

Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, I am David Litwin, representing the Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry. Thank you for the chance to testify today in support of HCR 4035.

The Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KCCI) is a statewide organization dedicated to the promotion of economic growth and job creation within Kansas, and to the protection and support of the private competitive enterprise system.

KCCI is comprised of more than 3,000 businesses which includes 200 local and regional chambers of commerce and trade organizations which represent over 161,000 business men and women. The organization represents both large and small employers in Kansas, with 55% of KCCI's members having less than 25 employees, and 86% having less than 100 employees. KCCI receives no government funding.

The KCCI Board of Directors establishes policies through the work of hundreds of the organization's members who make up its various committees. These policies are the guiding principles of the organization and translate into views such as those expressed here.

For a number of years, KCCI has been concerned about the constantly spiraling cost of government at all levels, and we adopted a formal policy calling for "the adoption

2/10/84

11 / 11

of both statutory and constitutional provisions that will place limitations on taxes and spending at all levels of government, national, state and local."

On the whole, efforts to control government's need for funds have concentrated more on limiting revenue growth through tax reductions or limitations. We are pleased that HCR 5035 turns our attention to the other side of the problem, spending growth.

Most people would probably agree that the present scale of state government is, on the whole, big enough, and that we don't need further growth. We do need to monitor our programs and reallocate resources as needs may suggest, but we do not need an increase in the total scale of government. The resolution reflects this philosophy, and yet by allowing growth required by inflation it would not impose an unreasonable straightjacket on government.

At the same time, this is a new kind of measure at the state government level in Kansas, and it could be that after a few years of experience we will decide that the proposal was not a good idea after all. Thus the provision allowing the measure to expire in 1991 unless it is extended by a 2/3 legislative vote during the 1990 session for a period not exceeding four years, seems like a sound idea. This would allow an adequate period of experimentation but would also permit an end to the experiment if it proves faulty without the complexity and delay of another constitutional referendum.

Incidentally, our support for economic development funding shouldn't be seen as inconsistent with that position. We are urging that it be funding by lottery, so it would not have to be supported by General Fund revenues or by taxation.

Thank you again for the chance to testify. If there are questions, I'll try to answer them.

| DRAFT | BILL | NO. | |
|-------|------|-----|--|
| | | | |

For Consideration by Committee on Ways and Means

AN ACT making and concerning appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1986, for the state park and resources authority and Kansas fish and game commission; authorizing certain transfers, imposing certain restrictions and limitations, and directing or authorizing certain receipts and disbursements and acts incidental to the foregoing; amending section 29 of chapter 38 of the 1985 Session Laws of Kansas and repealing the existing section.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1986, appropriations are hereby made, restrictions and limitations are hereby imposed, and transfers, receipts, disbursements and acts incidental to the foregoing are hereby directed or authorized as provided in this act.

Sec. 2.

STATE PARK AND RESOURCES AUTHORITY

(a) There is appropriated for the above agency from the following special revenue fund all moneys now or hereafter lawfully credited to and available in such fund, except that expenditures other than refunds authorized by law shall not exceed the following:

(b) On the effective date of this act, the director of accounts and reports shall transfer \$300,000 from the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund of the Kansas fish and game commission to the renovation of Lake Scott state park special revenue fund of the state park and resources authority, for the project for renovation of Lake Scott state lake. Of the amount so

H. N+M 2/10/86 transferred, \$100,000 shall be reimbursed to the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund of the Kansas fish and game commission by revenue transfers, during not more than five ensuing fiscal years, from funds available to the state park and resources authority as prescribed by appropriations acts of the legislature.

- (c) The expenditure limitation established by section 4(b) of chapter 37 of the 1985 Session Laws of Kansas on the renovation of Lake Scott -- FY 1985 account of the land and water conservation fund -- state is hereby increased from the amount of the unencumbered balance in such account on June 30, 1985, to \$475,000.
- Sec. 3. Section 29 of chapter 38 of the 1985 Session Laws of Kansas is hereby amended to read as follows: Sec. 29.

KANSAS FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

(a) There is appropriated for the above agency from the following special revenue funds for the fiscal year specified, all moneys now or hereafter lawfully credited to and available in such funds, except that expenditures other than refunds authorized by law shall not exceed the following:

Fiscal Year Fiscal Year 1985 1986 \$120,000

Cheyenne Bottoms project fund..

That the Kansas Provided, fish and game commission may make expenditures from this fund pursuant to a contract which is hereby authorized directed to be entered into by the Kansas fish and game commission, the state survey and the biological geologicaĺ survey: state Provided further, That such contract shall provide for research and study as to the geologic, biologic, ecologic and hydrologic conditions in Cheyenne the and around fowl Bottoms water And area: management provided further, That the Kansas fish and game commission shall submit a report on such research and study to the governor and the legislature on or before January 12, 1987.

Cheyenne Bottoms project gift fund.....

0

- (b) On July 1, 1985, the director of accounts and reports shall transfer \$10,000 from the nongame wildlife improvement fund to the Cheyenne Bottoms project fund.
- (c) On July 1, 1985, the director of accounts and reports shall transfer \$50,000 from the state general fund to the Cheyenne Bottoms project fund.
- (d) On July 1, 1985, the director of accounts and reports shall transfer \$60,000 from the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund to the Cheyenne Bottoms project fund.
- (e) On June 30, 1986, the director of accounts and reports shall transfer \$50,000 from the Cheyenne Bottoms project gift fund to the state general fund and, after such transfer, the director of accounts and reports shall transfer any remaining moneys in the Cheyenne Bottoms project gift fund to the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund.
- In addition to the purposes for which expenditures authorized for fiscal year 1986 from the salaries and wages and other operating expenditures account of the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund by section 5(a) of 1985 House Bill No. 2134, expenditures may be made for fiscal year 1986 from such account for projects to enhance the fisheries program, except that no expenditures shall be made for any project to enhance the fisheries program except upon approval of the state finance council acting on this matter which is hereby characterized as a matter of legislative delegation and subject to the guidelines prescribed in subsection (c) of K.S.A. 75-3711c and amendments thereto. Expenditures under this subsection for projects to fisheries program shall be in addition to any enhance the expenditure limitation imposed on the salaries and wages and other operating expenditures account of the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund for fiscal year 1986 but shall not

exceed \$217,555.

(g)--In--addition--to--the-purposes-for-which-expenditures-are authorized-for-fiscal-year-1986-from-the-forestry,-fish-and--game commission-fee-fund-by-this-section-or-section-5(a)-of-1985-House Bill-No:-2134,-expenditures-may-be-made-for-fiscal-year-1986-from such--fund--for-the-following-purpose,-subject-to-the-expenditure limitation-prescribed-therefor:

Restoration-of-Lake-Scott-state-lake ----- \$300,000

Provided, That no expenditures shall be made from the restoration of Lake Scott state lake account of the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund except upon approval of the state finance council, after consultation with the joint committee on state building construction, acting on this matter which is hereby characterized as a matter of legislative delegation and subject to the guidelines preseribed in subsection (e) of K.S.A. -75-3711c and amendments thereto.

(h) (g) In addition to the purposes for which expenditures are authorized for fiscal year 1986 from the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund by this section or section 5(a) of 1985 House Bill No. 2134, expenditures may be made for fiscal year 1986 from such fund for the following purposes, except that no expenditures shall be made from the forestry, fish and game commission fee fund for any of the following purposes, except upon approval of the state finance council, after consultation with the joint committee on state building construction, acting on this matter which is hereby characterized as a matter of legislative delegation and subject to the guidelines prescribed in subsection (c) of K.S.A. 75-3711c and amendments thereto and subject to the expenditure limitations prescribed therefor:

| Rocky Ford repair engineering and planning | \$40,000 |
|---|----------|
| Miami state fishing lake spillway improvements | 7,096 |
| Repair road below spillway of Clark state fishing lake. | 30,274 |
| Community lake development | 26,480 |
| State fishing lake facility development | 214,715 |
| Pratt hatchery renovation engineering and planning | 40,360 |
| Farlington fish hatchery renovation engineering and | |

| planning | 40,760 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Statewide stream access development | 81,460 |

(i) (h) There is appropriated for the above agency from the following special revenue fund for the fiscal year specified, all moneys now or hereafter lawfully credited to and available in such fund, except that expenditures other than refunds authorized by law shall not exceed the following:

| | Fiscal Year 1985 | Fiscal Year 1986 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Walleye stocking fee fund | | \$0 |

Provided, That expenditures may be made from this fund to provide walleye to the general public for the purpose of stocking private waters: further, That Provided the Kansas fish and game commission is hereby authorized to fix, charge and collect fees for providing walleye for such such purpose: And provided further, That such fees shall be fixed in order to recover all or part of the expenses incurred to provide walleye for such purpose: And provided further, That all fees received for such purpose shall deposited in the state treasury and credited to this fund.

- Sec. 4. Section 29 of chapter 38 of the 1985 Session Laws of Kansas is hereby repealed.
- Sec. 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the Kansas register.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

Your committee on

Ways and Means

Recommends that

HB 2791

"An Acr authorizing the state board of regents to dedicate certain real property to the public and the city of Emporia, Kansas, for street, road or highway purposes; imposing conditions thereon.

be passed.

Their Thereton Chairman.

REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Committee on Ways and Means

Recommends that Senate Bill No. 420

"AN ACT concerning the state finance council; amending K.S.A. 75-3711c and repealing the existing section."

Be passed and, because the committee is of the opinion that the bill is of a noncontroversial nature, be placed on the consent calendar.

Sies Sente Chairperson