Approved	February	10.	1986	
T. T.		Date		

MINUTES OF THE <u>SENATE</u> COMMITTEE	ON	WAYS A	ND MEANS
The meeting was called to order by	Senator	August Chair	gerson agina a
11:00 a.m/p/wh/on	uary 29	<del></del> ,	1986 in room <u>123-S</u> of the Capitol
All members were present except:			
Committee staff present:			
Research Department: Ed Ahrens,	Robin Hur	nn, Ray	Hauke
Revisor's Office: Norman Furse			
Committee Office: Judy Bromich			

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Mr. Hauke distributed Kansas Legislative Research Department Memorandum dated January 29, 1986, regarding Impact of P.L. 99-177 on Kansas (See <a href="Attachment A">Attachment A</a>). He then briefed the committee on the law, known as the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Budget Reduction Amendment. Included in his presentation was a projection of the law's impact on the State of Kansas. He noted that the FFIS (Federal Funds Information for States) data is the source for all computation included in the memo.

During his presentation, there were questions from committee members. Senator Gaines asked if the projections for loss of federal funding are certain. Mr. Hauke said the information will be definite in approximately one month, but it appears they are certain. Senator Doyen commented that cuts can be made in other programs by Congress, thus avoiding automatic cuts in targeted programs. Mr. Hauke answered that proponents of the bill say that is the advantage of its contents—it forces Congress to act before the automatic cuts become effective.

Mr. Hauke continued his explanation of the federal Act by stating that the FFIS report assumes sequestering \$50 billion in Federal FY 1987, which is a 20% decrease for domestic programs which are not exempt. However, according to an article in the Wall Street Journal (January 27), the sequestered amount could be \$38 billion—a decrease of only 16%.

Mr. Hauke explained that the impact of PL 99-177 in Kansas will be in 26 major programs listed in Table I of Attachment A. The exempted programs are listed in Table VII; and if not listed there, they will be subject to sequestering. A comparison of Table II and Table III shows the difference between the reductions in budget authority and outlays for FY 1986 and Table IV and V, for 1987.

Responding to a question from Senator Bogina, Mr. Hauke said the Legislature should know by March 1 what to expect for Kansas for FY 1986, and by September 1 for FY 1987. When asked by Senator Doyen what happens if states do not comply (for instance, would the amount of reduction be greater the following year?), Mr. Hauke answered that this is a permanent reduction in budget authority; therefore, the sequestered amount cannot be obligated.

When asked by Senator Gaines if the Governor's budget takes the Gramm-Rudman Act into consideration, Mr. Hauke answered in the negative. Senator Gaines suggested the subcommittees will need to have a policy to follow as they proceed with agency budgets. He suggested if nothing is done, now, there may need to be a special session of the Legislature. Senator Bogina stated there is no policy at the present time.

Mr. Hauke was commended by the committee for his memorandum and his presentation of the memo.

There was discussion concerning the impact to the economy of farmers, and of student loans. Senator Winter noted these are not discussed in

Unless specifically noted, the individual remarks recorded herein have not been transcribed verbatim. Individual remarks as reported herein have not been submitted to the individuals appearing before the committee for editing or corrections.

#### CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE.	SENATE CO	MMITTEE ONWA	AYS AND MEANS	<b>&gt;</b>
room 123-S. Stateh	nouse. at 11:00	a.m/p/.m. on	January 2	. 19.86

in the memorandum. Mr. Hauke answered that there will be need for a review of student loans, since they are on the list for special treatment. He said he would get a copy of the Congressional Record to determine how student loans are affected by the federal act. Senator Winter indicated that he would like a memo regarding what the Act means to students.

There was further discussion concerning the need for an understanding with the House of Representatives that a special session may be necessary. This issue was posed by Senator Gaines, and Senator Bogina suggested that the problem could be taken care of in January, 1987. Senator Winter suggested that it might be possible to leave slack in the fee funds to help with the first half of the fiscal year. Senator Bogina reminded him that the fee funds in the Governor's budget are spent down.

Senator Kerr asked about the "Other Grants" in Table V. Mr. Hauke said that some grants are "pass through" money. He said there is indication that those programs are more likely to be assistance and salary intensive than capital improvement. Responding to another question from Senator Kerr, Mr. Hauke said only the 26 major programs are listed in Table I.

Senator Gaines asked what input the Legislature would have on the Kansas Corporation Commission recommendation in the Exxon Oil case. Senator Werts said he had visited with Dr. Hayter, Director of the Kansas Engineering Extension Service at Kansas State University, and he indicated that Kansas will receive \$23 million to \$25 million, with no time line. He added that the Legislature will decide upon the ultimate appropriation. Senator Feleciano said he understood the use would need to be approved by the Department of Energy. The Chairman suggested that the staff should provide information on the subject.

There being no further questions, the meeting was adjourned by the Chairman.

#### <u>M E M O R A N D U M</u>

RE: Impact of P.L. 99-177 on Kansas

#### Introduction

This memorandum was prepared by the Legislative Research Department to facilitate legislative understanding of the impact of P.L. 99-177 upon Kansas. Public Law 99-177, popularly known as Gramm-Rudman, will have a major impact upon the availability of federal funding in Kansas. The Research Department has summarized major features of P.L. 99-177 within this memorandum. For purposes of reporting the monetary impact of the legislation, the Research Department utilized data furnished by Federal Funds Information for States (FFIS). FFIS is a service to which both the Department of Administration and the Legislative Research Department subscribe and frequently utilize. The FFIS service is sponsored by the National Conference of State Legislatures and the National Governor's Association.

The FFIS summary estimates that to achieve the savings in federal outlay, mandated by P.L. 99-177, reductions in non-exempt budget authority of 4.6 percent in federal FY 1986 and 20 percent in federal FY 1987 would be necessary for non-defense programs. Using this estimate, Kansas' reduction in budget authority it otherwise would receive is estimated to total \$23.5 million in federal FY 1986 and \$107.7 million in federal FY 1987. Such a reduction in budget authority is estimated to translate to a reduction in federal outlays (cash) to Kansas of \$7.8 million in federal FY 1986 and \$51.4 million in FY 1987. The difference between budget authority and outlays result from the differing time frames in which spending, particularly capital construction, is authorized to occur and when the actual expenditure is made.

FFIS has summarized Kansas anticipated reductions in federal funds among 26 major programs. That estimate is attached (Table I). The Research Department has utilized those estimates to prepare summaries showing the agencies impacted by those funding reductions, included in Tables II thru V.

### Features of P.L. 99-177

Gramm-Rudman's many features, some of which are very complex, can probably best be divided into two major features. First, it substantially amends the Congressional budget cycle, including a special calendar for federal FY 1986. Secondly, it contains provisions for automatic spending reductions, if certain deficit reduction goals are not achieved. An outline of the revised budget cycle, including the special cycle for FY 1986, appeared in the Dec. 14, 1985 edition of Congressional Quarterly, and is included as Table VI of this memorandum.

5.w+m 1/28/86 A 1-29-86

P.L. 99-177 mandates that federal budgets containing deficits not exceeding the following levels occur during upcoming Federal Fiscal years.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Maximum Deficit
1986	\$171.9 billion
1987	\$144.0 billion
1988	\$108.0 billion
1989	\$ 72.0 billion
1990	\$ 36.0 billion
1991	\$ 0.0

Delegated to the Congressional Budget Office and the Office of Management and Budget is the responsibility for preparing reports concerning the projected deficit, given existant spending authority, revenue laws, and indicators. Those estimates are forwarded to the General Accounting Office, which submits a report to the President. The estimate orders expenditure reductions, known as sequesters, if the projected deficit exceeds the above-mentioned targets by more than \$10.0 billion. The sequesters are to be across the board by a uniform percentage. They are divided equally between defense and non-defense accounts. However, several programs are exempted from the automatic cuts, while others are given special treatment. A listing of those partially or totally exempted is displayed in Table VII. The actual sequester order is to be issued by the President by February 1, 1986 and, if necessary, by September 1 in succeeding years. That order would become effective on March 1, 1986 and October 1, 1986 and in succeeding years. P.L. 99-177 limits the sequestering to \$11.7 billion in Federal Fiscal 1986, with \$5.85 billion to be cut from defense and a \$5.85 billion decrease to occur in non-defense spending.

Due to the many programs exempted from sequestering by Gramm-Rudman, the potential for across the board cuts is applicable to approximately one-third of the total federal budget. Proponents of the automatic expenditure reduction provisions believe that the non-discriminate nature of sequestering will cause the President and Congress to produce budgets that will avoid its necessity. However, the impact on an individual program could be particularly severe, if both its Congressional budget authority is reduced and sequestering further decreases actual expenditures.

Unless Congress repeals P.L. 99-177 or enacts substantial deficit reducing measures prior to March 1, sequestering will occur.

#### Impact Upon Kansas

The FFIS estimates were based upon sequestering of 4.6 percent during FY 1986. Subsequently, the official OMB and CBO reports have been issued. Based upon those estimates, non-exempt domestic programs could experience a reduction of 4.3 percent. Consequently, the attached table, based upon FFIS data may slightly overstate the actual reductions.

The impacts of Gramm-Rudman upon 26 major programs, which comprise approximately 75 percent of the federal assistance in Kansas are shown on Table I. That table reflects the estimated impact of the legislation upon both budget authority and cash received. The reduction will be applied to budget authority as of March 1. Occasionally the FY 1986 budget authority exceeds actual FY 1985 authority, in which case Gramm-Rudman will only be a decrease to the increase. Nevertheless, in most cases the impact will be an actual decrease. Table I contains actual FY 1985 data, allowing this comparision to be made.

Although the FFIS data on 26 major grants reflects the majority of Kansas receipts of federal funds, several significant federal grants are not on that listing. Federal funding for higher education (Basic Educational Opportunity Grants), child support enforcement, airport improvement, and aging services are among those subject to reductions that were not included in the FFIS summary of 26 grants.

During the course of FY 1986, legislators will undoubtedly learn of many grants subject to automatic sequestering. Unless a grant is among those listed on Table VII for special treatment, it will be subject to automatic sequestering. One must remember that the difference between federal and state fiscal years will cause the grant reduction to be less than 4.6 percent in state Fiscal Year 1986. Given this difference in fiscal years, the actual reduction in non-exempt federal grants will be approximatley 3 percent during FY 1986. If the FFIS prediction of 20 percent sequestering in Federal FY 1987 occurs, the reduction will be approximately 16.5 percent due to this difference in fiscal cycles.

The Research Department has utilized the FFIS totals to produce Tables II thru V. In those tables the Research Department has indicated the state agencies impacted by various reductions in the 26 major grants. If a particular grant is administered by more than one agency, the total is divided between the agencies by the ratio of estimated expenditures in the Governor's revised FY 1986 budget. Similarly, the Research Department has divided the grants among five basic expenditure categories, state operations, aid to local units, assistance, capital improvements and direct federal aid to local units. This division was made to provide decision makers with a general concept of the manner in which the grant is being expended. The anticipated FFIS cut is divided among those major expenditure categories at the same ratio the grant would be expended in the Governor's revised FY 1986 budget. Nevertheless, certain federal funds are not administered by the state. Those grants are listed on the attached tables as direct federal aid to local units.

The difference between budget authority and outlay is an important distinction in understanding the impact of Gramm-Rudman. Budget authority, as its name implies, is authority to expend funds. Outlay is actual cash expended. A reduction of \$1 in budget authority during FY 1986 results in \$1 less being expended. Whether that \$1 would have become outlay in FY 1986 largely depends upon the nature of the program. Among grants that are salary or assistance intensive, budget authority and outlay are almost identical. Among grants that are capital or construction intensive, a FY 1986 reduction in budget authority results in a much lesser FY 1986 reduction in outlay, due to the lengthy pay-out schedule for a

capital project. This relationship can be seen by comparing the budget authority to outlay ratio among SRS or DHE grants with those of KDOT or KDED.

Finally, it is important for decision makers to consider the difference between items being reduced by the various grant decreases. Among social service programs, the reduction translates to a decrease in either staff or grants to serve a particular clientele. Among capital or infrastructure programs, the reduction translates to a project which will not be initiated or which must be otherwise funded. Consequently, the impact of decreased funding is much more immediate among the social service programs. It is much more long term among capital and infrastructure programs.

FFIS ESTIMATED IMPACT OF GRAMM-RUDMAN-HOLLINGS ON SELECTED PROGRAMS (\$ 000s) Table I AUTHORITY ANALYSIS CURRENT LAW ----- -- G-R-H LEVELS -- -- \$ CHANGE FROM CURRENT LAW FFY 1985 FFY 1986 FFY 1987 FFY 1986 FFY 1987 FFY 1986 FFY 1987 AFDC 47,469 46,791 48,813 46,791 48,813 ۵ 0 CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS 28,810 35,535 36,957 35,535 36,957 0 0 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT 23,608 26,232 24,553 22,522 19,642 -1,086 -4,910 COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS 186 195 203 193 198 - 1 -4 COMMUNITY SERVICE BLOCK GRANT 2,842 2,842 2,955 2,711 2,364 -130 -591 COMPENSATORY ED. FOR DISADVANTAGED 26,487 25,965 27,263 24,770 21,810 -1,194 .5,452 EDUCATION OF THE HANDICAPPED 11,544 12,357 12,852 11,789 10,281 -568 -2,570 ENERGY CONSERVATION 3,254 3,084 2,974 2,942 2,379 -141 -594 EPA WASTEWATER TREATMENT CONST. 22,082 22,082 24,976 21,066 19,980 -1,015 -4,995 FEDERAL AID HIGHWAYS 160,578 157,980 166,840 150,713 133,472 -7,267 -33,368 FOOD STAMPS 68,363 71,266 74,117 71,266 74,117 0 0 FOREST SERVICE SHARED RECEIPTS 70 66 66 63 53 -3 -13 GENERAL REVENUE SHARING 38,341 36,214 0 34,548 0 -1,665 0 HEAD START 6,552 6,625 6,890 6,320 5.512 -304 -1,378 HEALTH BLOCK GRANTS 8,521 8,629 9,102 8,023 7,064 -605 -2,037 JOS TRAINING PARTNERSHIP ACT 17,714 15,370 15,989 14,663 12,791 -707 -3,197 LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE 18,229 18,060 18,765 17,229 15,012 -830 -3,753MASS TRANSIT 6,589 5,753 5,990 5,488 4,792 -264 -1,198 MEDICAID GRANTS TO STATES 135,968 139,943 146,985 139,943 146,985 0 0 MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS 290 298 310 295 303 -2 -6 MINERAL LEASING 761 736 861 702 688 -33 -172 SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT 28,183 27,827 29,096 26,547 23,277 -1,280 -5,819 URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS 1,769 1,327 1,381 1,266 1,105 -61 -276 VOCATIONAL AND ADULT EDUCATION 8,635 8,566 8,950 8,158 7,262 -407 -1,688 WIC 10,969 11,481 11,929 11,481 11,929 0 0 MIN 1,900 1,567 1,629 1,495 1,303 -72 -325 ù --

OUTLAY ANALYSIS		CURRENT LA	W	G-R-	H CUTS	% CHANGE	FROM CURRENT	LAÚ
	FFY 1985	FFY 1986	FFY 1987	FFY 1986	FFY 1987		FFY 1987	LAM
AFDC	47,469			0	0	0.00	0.00	
CHILD MUTRITION PROGRAMS	34,802	35,064	36,857	. 0	0	0.00	0.00	
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT	29,044	26,780	25,014	-21	-619	-0.08		
COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS	184	191	199	-1	-3	-0.61	-1.60	
COMMUNITY SERVICE BLOCK GRANT	2,798	2,842	2,927	-98	-476	-3.45	-16.26	
COMPENSATORY ED. FOR DISADVANTAGED	25,243	26,450	26,056	-83	-1,492	-0.31	-5.72	
EDUCATION OF THE HANDICAPPED	10,928	11,601	12,392	-39	-708			
ENERGY CONSERVATION	3,900	3,165	2,923	-14	-116	-0.44	-3.97	
EPA WASTEWATER TREATMENT CONST.	25,210				-503	-0.20		
FEDERAL AID HIGHWAYS	143,482	153,936	158,902	-726	-6,243	-0.47		
FOOD STAMPS	68,351	71,266	74,117	0	0	0.00	0.00	
FOREST SERVICE SHARED RECEIPTS	70	66	66	-3	-13	-4.60	-20.00	
GENERAL REVENUE SHARING	38,265	39,371	6,428		-1,665		-25.91	
HEAD START	6,335	6,585		-137	-787	-2.08	-11.67	
HEALTH BLOCK GRANTS	7,955	8,629	8,866		-1,464		-16.52	
JOB TRAINING PARTNERSHIP ACT	18,952	16,099	•		-1,130		-7.30	
LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE	18,229	18,060	•		-3,753	-4.60	-20.00	
MASS TRANSIT	4,031	5,732	6,089		-220	-0.46		
MEDICAID GRANTS TO STATES	141,570	139,943	•	0	0	0.00	0.00	
MIGRANT HEALTH CENTERS	284	295	305	-1	-4	-0.60	-1.60	
MINERAL LEASING	761	736	861	-33	-172	-4,60	-20.00	
SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT	28,183	27,827	29,096	-1,280	-5,819	-4.60	-20.00	
URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS	3,623	4,994	·=	-1	-34	-0.02	-1.51	
VOCATIONAL AND ADULT EDUCATION	7,828	8,628	8,488		-497	.0.33	-5.86	
MIC	10,865		-		0	0.00	0.00	
WIN	1,903	1,600	1,623	-64	-300	-4.05	-18.51	
		•	•			7.43	10.51	

Copyright c. 1985 Federal Funds Information for States (FFIS)

## TABLE II ESTIMATED GRAMM-RUDMAN REDUCTION (FY 1986) REDUCTION IN BUDGET AUTHORITY (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	State Operations	Aid To Local Units	Assistance and Grants	Capital Improve- ments	Direct Fed. Assistance to Local Units	Total Reduction In Funding
SOC. & REHAB. SVC.  AFDC (Inc.Admin)  Medicaid (Inc.Admin)  Food Stamps (Inc.Admin)  Comm. Serv. Block Grant  Low Income Energy Asst.  Social Svc. Block Grant  Work Incentive Program  Mental Health/ADAS Block  Energy Conservation  Subtotal - SRS	0 0 9.4 176.8 531.2 32.9 6.4 4.9 761.6	0 0 0 106.7	0 0 0 120.6 653.2 748.8 8.1 80.9 93.1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 130 830 1280 41 194 98 2573
DEPT. HEALTH & ENV.  Health Block Grants Migrant Health Womens, Infants & Children Subtotal - DHE	232.4 1.5 0 233.9	179.6 .5 0 180.1				412 2 0 414
STATE DEPT. OF ED.  Child Nutrition Prog. Comp. Ed. for Disadv. Ed. of Handicapped Head Start Vocational & Adult Ed. Subtotal - SDE	0 20.4 33.0 53.4	0 1194.0 547.6 <u>374.0</u> 2115.6	0	0	304.0	0 - 1194 568 304 407 2473
KS. DEPT. OF TRANS.  Federal Highway Aid  Mass Transit  Subtotal - KDOT		264.0 264.0		7267.0		7267 264 7531
KS. DEPT OF EC. DEV. Comm.Dev. Block Gt.	13.0	766.7			306.3	1086
DEPT. OF HUMAN RESOURCES Jobs Partner. Trng. Act (JPTA) WIN Subtotal - DHR	156.2 _27.1 183.3		550.8 3.9 554.7			707 31 738
OTHER FEDERAL PROGRAMS  EPA Wastewater Trtmt. Gen. Rev. Sharing Urban Dev. Act. Grants Energy Conserv. Funds Forest Serv. Shared Repts. Mineral Leasing					1015.0 1665.0 61 43 3	1015 1665 61 43 3
Subtotal - 26 Major Grants	1245.2	3433.1	2259.4	7267.0	3430.3	17635
Subtotal - Other Grants						5907
TOTAL Reduction						23542

## TABLE III ESTIMATED GRAMM-RUDMAN REDUCTION (FY 1986) REDUCTION IN OUTLAYS (In Thousands Of Dollars)

	State Operations	Aid To Local Units	Assistance and Grants	Capital Improve- ments	Direct Fed. Assistance to Local Units	Total Reduction In Funding
SOC. & REHAB. SVC.  AFDC (Inc.Admin) Medicaid (Inc.Admin) Food Stamps (Inc.Admin) Comm. Serv. Block Grant Low Income Energy Asst. Social Svc. Block Grant Work Incentive Program Mental Health/ADAS Block Energy Conservation Subtotal - SRS	7.1 176.8 531.2 28.9 6.0 .5 750.5	100.1	90.9 653.2 748.8 7.1 75.9 9.5 1585.4			0 0 98 830 1280 36 182 10
DEPT. HEALTH & ENV.  Health Block Grants Migrant Health Womens, Infants & Children Subtotal - DHE	102.6 1.0 0 103.6	79.4 79.4				182 1 0 183
STATE DEPT. OF ED.  Child Nutrition Prog. Comp. Ed. for Disadv. Ed. of Handicapped Head Start Vocational & Adult Ed. Subtotal - SDE	1.4 2.3 3.7	83.0 37.6 25.7 146.3			137.0 137.0	0 - 83 39 137 28 287
KS. DEPT. OF TRANS.  Federal Highway Aid  Mass Transit  Subtotal - KDOT		26.0 26.0		726.0 726.0		726 26 752
KS. DEPT OF EC. DEV. Comm.Dev. Block Gt.	.3	14.8			5.9	21
DEPT. OF HUMAN RESOURCES  Jobs Partner. Trng. Act (JPTA) WIN Subtotal - DHR	26.5 24.4 50.9		93.5 <u>3.6</u> 97.1			120 28 148
OTHER FEDERAL PROGRAMS  EPA Wastewater Trtmt. Gen. Rev. Sharing Urban Dev. Act. Grants Energy Conserv. Funds Forest Serv. Shared Repts. Mineral Leasing					50.0 0 1.0 4.0 3.0 33.0	50 0 1 4 3 33
Subtotal - 26 Major Grants	909.0	366.6	1682.5	726.0	233.9	3918
Subtotal - Other Grants						3881
TOTAL Reduction						7799

# TABLE IV ESTIMATED GRAMM-RUDMAN REDUCTION (FY 1987) REDUCTION IN BUDGET AUTHORITY (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	State Operations	Aid To Local Units	Assistance and Grants	Capital Improve- ments	Direct Fed. Assistance to Local Units	Total Reduction In Funding
SOC. & REHAB. SVC.  AFDC (Inc.Admin) Medicaid (Inc.Admin) Food Stamps (Inc.Admin) Comm. Serv. Block Grant Low Income Energy Asst. Social Svc. Block Grant Work Incentive Program Mental Health/ADAS Block Energy Conservation Subtotal - SRS	42.6 799.4 2414.9 148.4 33.7 20.6 3459.6	562.7 562.7	548.4 2953.6 3404.1 36.6 426.6 390.4 7759.7			0 0 591 3753 5819 185 1023 411
DEPT. HEALTH & ENV.  Health Block Grants Migrant Health Womens, Infants & Children Subtotal - DHE	574.2 6.0 580.2	443.8				1018 6 0 1024
STATE DEPT. OF ED.  Child Nutrition Prog. Comp. Ed. for Disadv. Ed. of Handicapped Head Start Vocational & Adult Ed. Subtotal - SDE	92.5 136.7 229.2	5452.0 2477.5 1551.3 9480.8			1378.0 1378.0	0 5452 2570 1378 1688 11088
KS. DEPT. OF TRANS.  Federal Highway Aid  Mass Transit  Subtotal - KDOT		1198.0 1198.0		33368.0 33368.0		33368 1198 34566
KS. DEPT OF EC. DEV. Comm.Dev. Block Gt.	58.9	3466.5			1384.6	4910
DEPT. OF HUMAN RESOURCES  Jobs Partner. Trng. Act (JPTA) WIN Subtotal - DHR	706.5 122.2 828.7		2490.5 17.8 2508.3			3197 140 3337
OTHER FEDERAL PROGRAMS  EPA Wastewater Trtmt. Gen. Rev. Sharing Urban Dev. Act. Grants Energy Conserv. Funds Forest Serv. Shared Repts. Mineral Leasing					4995.0 0 276.0 183.0 13.0 172.0	4995 0 276 183 13
Subtotal - 26 Major Grants	5156.6	15151.8	10268.0	33368.0	8401.6	72346 35399
Subtotal - Other Grants						00097
TOTAL Reduction						107745

# TABLE V ESTIMATED GRAMM-RUDMAN REDUCTION (FY 1987) REDUCTION IN OUTLAYS (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

,	State Operations	Aid To Local Units	Assistance and Grants	Capital Improve- ments	Direct Fed. Assistance to Local Units	Total Reduction In Funding
SOC. & REHAB. SVC.  AFDC (Inc.Admin) Medicaid (Inc.Admin) Food Stamps (Inc.Admin) Comm. Serv. Block Grant Low Income Energy Asst. Social Svc. Block Grant Work Incentive Program Mental Health/ADAS Block Energy Conservation Subtotal - SRS	34.3 799.4 2414.9 137.1 24.3 4.0 3414.0	404.3 404.3	441.7 2953.6 3404.1 33.9 306.4 76.0 7215.7			0 0 0 476 3753 5819 171 735 80 11034
DEPT. HEALTH & ENV.  Health Block Grants  Migrant Health  Womens, Infants & Children  Subtotal - DHE	412.8 4.0 416.8	319.2				732 4 0 736
STATE DEPT. OF ED.  Child Nutrition Prog. Comp. Ed. for Disadv. Ed. of Handicapped Head Start Vocational & Adult Ed. Subtotal - SDE	25.5 40.3 65.8	1492.0 682.5 456.7 2631.2			787.0 787.0	0 1492 708 787 497 3484
KS. DEPT. OF TRANS.  Federal Highway Aid  Mass Transit  Subtotal - KDOT		220.0 220.0		6243.0 6243.0		6243 220 6463
KS. DEPT OF EC. DEV. Comm.Dev. Block Gt.	7.4	437.0			174.6	619
DEPT. OF HUMAN RESOURCES Jobs Partner. Trng. Act (JPTA) WIN Subtotal - DHR	249.7 112.6 362.3		880.3 16.4 896.7			1130 <u>129</u> 1259
OTHER FEDERAL PROGRAMS  EPA Wastewater Trtmt. Gen. Rev. Sharing Urban Dev. Act. Grants Energy Conserv. Funds Forest Serv. Shared Repts. Mineral Leasing					503 1665 34 36 13	503 1665 34 36 13
Subtotal - 26 Major Grants	4266.3	4011.7	8112.4	6243.0	3384.6	26018
Subtotal - Other Grants						25385
TOTAL Reduction						51403

### **Revisions to Budget Process Timetable**

(For Fiscal Years 1987-1991)

Action	Prior Law	PL 99-177
President submits budget request	End of January	First Monday after January 3 1
Congressional Budget Office (CBO) reports to Budget committees on fiscal policy and budget priorities	April 1	February 15
Committees submit reports and estimates to Budget committees	March 15	February 25
Senate Budget Committee reports budget resolution to floor	April 15 *	April 1
Congress completes action on budget resolution	May 153, September 154	April 15
House Appropriations Committee reports last regular appropriations bill	and the second s	•
	<del>-</del>	June 10
Congress completes action on reconciliation bill	September 25	June 15
House completes action on regular appropriations bills	Seventh day after Labor Day*	June 30
"Snapshot" of economic indicators, laws affecting spending and revenues and projected deficit taken by CBO and Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	, _	August 15
CBO and OMB report to General Accounting Office (GAO) on deficit and content of the so-called sequester order making automatic spending cuts to achieve deficit targets	_	<b>U</b>
GAO forwards deficit and sequester report to president		August 20
President issues sequester order based on GAO report	_	August 25
·	_	September 1
Sequester order takes effect	_	October 1
Fiscal year begins	October 1	October 1
CBO and OMB issue revised reports reflecting additional congressional action after earlier reports	_	October 5
GAO issues revised report to the president		October 10
Final sequester order, based on revised report, becomes effective		October 15
GAO issues compliance report on sequester order	_	
and many compliance report on sequester order	<del>_</del>	November 15
to the state of the same of th		

<sup>1</sup> President's budget for fiscal 1987 is due February 5, 1986

### Special Timetable for Fiscal 1986

"Snapshot" of economic indicators, laws affecting January 10 spending and revenues and projected deficit taken by Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) CBO and OMB report to General Accounting Office (GAO) January 15 on deficit and content of the so-called sequester order making automatic spending cuts to achieve deficit targets GAO forwards deficit and sequester report to president January 20 President issues sequester order based on GAO report February 1 Sequester order takes effect March 1 GAO issues compliance report on sequester order April 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Prior law deadline for Budget committees in both houses to report budget resolutions

Prior law deadline for first budget resolution
Prior law deadline for second, binding budget resolution

<sup>\*</sup> Prior law deadline for Congress to complete regular appropriations bills

### TABLE VII DOMESTIC PROGRAMS EXEMPT FROM P.L 99-177

Social Security
Interest On Federal Debt
Federal Payments To Retirement Program
Medicaid (including State Administrative funds)
Aid to Families with Dependent Children (including State Administrative Funds)
Womens Infants and Children (WIC) (excluding Administrative Funds)
Supplemental Security Income
Food Stamps (including State Administrative Funds)
Child Nutrition Program (principally school lunch and breakfast funding)

DOMESTIC PROGRAMS TO RECEIVE NO MORE THAN 1% FY 1986 REDUCTION AND NO MORE THAN 2% IN SUCCEEDING YEARS

Medicare Veterans Health Indian Health Community and Migrant Health

DOMESTIC PROGRAMS SUBJECT TO SPECIAL RULES

Foster Care Adoption Assistance Unemployment Compensation Child Support Enforcement Guaranteed Student Loans