	Approved						
	•	Approved	Date				
MINUTES OF THE HC	OUSE COMMITTEE ON	AGRICULTURE AND SM	MALL BUSINESS				
The meeting was called to	order byRepresent	Chairperson	Campbell at				
9:05 a.m./pXX. on _	February 10	, 19 <u>87</u> in roo	om <u>423-S</u> of the Capitol.				
All members were present	except: Representativ	ves Goossen and Dean	n, who were excused.				
Committee staff present:	Norman Furse, Revise Raney Gilliland, Lee	or of Statutes Offic gislative Research D	ce Department				

Conferees appearing before the committee: Gerald Karr, State Senator, Kansas
Roger Smith, Aide to Senator Loran Schmit,
Lincoln, Nebraska
Doug Wildin, Real Estate Broker-Farmer,
Hutchinson
John Stitz, Catholic Rural Life
Lee Hamm, State Representative, Kansas

Senator Gerald Karr testified on HB 2127 encouraging the House Agriculture and Small Business Committee to endorse this legislation and send it to the floor for debate and vote, $\underline{\text{Attachment I}}$.

Roger Smith, who has been working with grain compact legislation in Nebraska, testified in favor of HB 2127. Mr. Smith stated that the great importance of the Interstate Grain Marketing Compact is that it would provide a vehicle for cooperation between state governments that does not now exist, Attachment II.

Doug Wildin spoke in favor of HB 2127 and asked that the committee diligently support this effort.

John Stitz spoke in favor of HB 2127 stating that one of the goals and purposes of the compact is knowing what is really going on in the grain trade.

Representative Hamm gave a brief statement in favor of the bill stating that the grain quality standards have to be cleaned up if we want to compete in grain marketing.

The meeting adjourned at 9:59 a.m.

The next meeting of the House Agriculture and Small Business Committee will be Thursday, February 12, 1987, at 9:00 a.m. in Room 423-S.

Name Julie Andrage John Blythe Chris Wilson Bill Juller Dan Amelos Teresa Lents Frank William Michelle Graham David Yorkell Melanie Middies Howard W. Fee JOHN Stitz John O. Miller Joe Licher Gulu Patis Cherce Sarguest Roge Smith Day William

Organization Ks Cooperative Council Ks Form Buredy KS Grain * Feed Ass'n Ks. Jarm Bureau Intern Rep. Freeman High School Visitor 74gh School Visitor Farmer - Bus, High School Shawdow Jewi, Kr CATHOLIC RURAL LIFE CSIC Ks Go-op Council Gloddard High School Hodderd High School aide - Nebr. Legislature Former - Real Catto Broker

STATE OF KANSAS

GERALD "JERRY" KARR SENATOR, SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT CHASE, LYON, MARION, MORRIS, OSAGE COUNTIES R. R. 2, BOX 101 EMPORIA, KANSAS 66801



TOPEKA

SENATE CHAMBER February 10, 1987

> TESTIMONY TO THE

AGRICULTURAL COMMITTEE IN REGARDS TO H.B. 2127

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

MEMBER: AGRICULTURE
ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION
FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND
INSURANCE
EDUCATION
JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE
RULES AND REGULATIONS

I am here today to encourage the committee to carefully examine the Multi-state Grain Compact. As you may remember, Kansas did take the initiative about six years ago to explore the possibility of forming a Grain Marketing compact. At that point in time, the other states in the region did not follow suit. As we begin the discussion in 1987, there are at least three states who have adopted the Compact language; that includes Nebraska, Minnesota, and Iowa. And according to the latest information that I have, there are approximately another half dozen states that have legislation drafted for consideration, and still another half dozen states that are planning to consider Compact legislation during this current legislative year.

Kansas, because of our leadership in the past, should carefully consider the options of joining a newly formed compact. It is especially important for Kansas and other agricultural states in light of a growing concern over how effective we can operate in the national arena. Certainly the regional compact focusing on marketing of grain could become an element in the development and expansion of export markets, as well as, the long-term regional response to Federal Farm legislation.

I have had the opportunity to keep in contact with individuals in Nebraska over the past year, and believe that the direction the farm states are moving in the area of cooperation is important at this time in history.

I would encourage the House Agricultural committee to endorse this legislation and send it to the floor for debate and vote.

percetage of total U.S. production

	RANK										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TOTAL
ITEM	 IA	IL.	NE	IN	MN	0H	WI	HI	HO	SD	
CORN FOR GRAIN	19.3%	17.3%	10.8%	8.5%	8.2%	5.8%	4.02	3.2%	3.17	2.8%	83.0%
	IL	IA	IN	NO	ОН	MN	AR	NE	MS	TN	
SOYBEANS	18.2%	14.8%	8.8%	8.6%	7.7%	7.6%	4.7%	4.0%	3.4%	2.2%	79.9%
	KS	ND	ΤX	OK	MN	CO	WA	SD .	NE	ID	
ALL WHEAT	17.9%	13.3%	7.7%	6.8%	5.9%	5.7%	5.3%	4.6%	3.7%	3.0%	73.9%
	ND	MN	SD	MT	Q1	WA	CO	OR	UT	NV	
OTHER SPR WHEAT 43	43.7%	26.9%	13.3%	5.4%	5.3%	2.7%	1.0%	0.9%	0.3%	0.2%	99.8%
	SD	MN	IA	WI	ND	ОН	MI	NE	PA	NY	
OATS 15	15.3%	14.8%	11.1%	9.9%	8.6%	5.1%	5.0%	4.4%	4.0%	3.4%	81.8%
	ND	ID	MN	WA	SD	MT	CA	CO	OR	UT	
BARLEY	31.3%	12.2%	12.0%	9.6%	5.5%	5.17	4.2%	3.7%	3.3%	2.0%	88.9%
	ND	SD	HN	TX							
SUNFLOWERS	70.5%	19.3%	6.3%	3.9%							100.02
	WI	TX	MN	CA	IA	KS	NE	MO	HI	FA	40.54
ALL HAY	7.5%	5.5%	5.4%	5.4%	4.8%	4.7%	4.5%	4.4%	3.8%	3.6%	49.5%
	ID	WA	ME	OR	WI	ND	CA	CO	, MN	MI	54 TV
ALL POTATOES	25.4%	15.4%	6.7%	6.6%	6.0%	5.8%	5.7%	5.0%	3.9%	3.7%	84.3%
	MN	CA	ID	ND	ΗI	NE	WY	TX	HT	OR	20.34
SUGARBEETS	22.5%	21.1%	15.47	10.7%	10.3%	5.4%	4.6%	3.7%	3.6%	1.4%	98.7 1
	ND	SD	MN								40.00
FLAXSEED	72.4%	16.17.	11.5%						aa aa aa		100.0%
	SD	MN	ND	6A	NE	OK	PA	NC	MI	SC	nn n≈
RYE	21.5%	16.0%	12.8%	10.0%	6.0%	4.0%	3.6%	3.2%	3.2%	2.6%	82.9%
	MI	CA	ND	CO	NE	ID	MN	WA	WY	NY	00.7*
	0. 74	4 - 1 - 1		47 04	12 17	Q ሰሃ	7 07	3 27	7.71	1.32	98.32

CO 13.2%

MN 7.5%

13.5%

NY

8.2%

MI 24.3%

WI

17.5%

DRY ED. BEANS

MILK PROD

15.6%

CA

11.6%

PA 6.9%

12.1%

9.0%

MI

3.9%

2.2%

ΤX

2.8%

3.2%

IA 2.8%

3.9%

OH

3.4%

1.3%

WA

2.6%

98.3%

67.3%

Roger Smith

DURING THE 1985 SESSION, THE NEBRASKA UNICAMERAL LEGISLATURE
PASSED LB 628, THE INTERSTATE COMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL GRAIN MARKETING.
THE BILL WAS SUBSEQUENTLY SIGNED BY GOVERNOR KERREY AND IS NOW A STATUTE
IN THE STATE OF NEBRASKA. IN 1986, FIVE STATES SURROUNDING NEBRASKA
INTRODUCED THIS LEGISLATION. THEY WERE SOUTH DAKOTA, MINNESOTA, IOWA,
KANSAS, AND OKLAHOMA. OUT OF THIS GROUP, MINNESOTA AND IOWA SUCCEEDED
IN PASSING THE GRAIN MARKETING COMPACT BILL.

AS THE SITUATION NOW STANDS, THREE OF THE LARGEST GRAIN PRODUCING STATES IN THE NATION HAVE TAKEN THE LEAD IN FORMING THIS COMPACT AND ONLY TWO MORE STATES PASS. THIS LEGISLATION, THE COMPACT CAN BE FORMED AND START TO FUNCTION. BECAUSE OF THIS, INTEREST IN THE GRAIN MARKETING COMPACT HAS INCREASED DRAMATICALLY AND THIS YEAR, THIRTEEN MIDWESTERN AND WESTERN STATES WILL BE CONSIDERING THIS LEGISLATION. THEY ARE OHIO, INDIANA, ILLINOIS, WISCONSIN, NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, MONTANA, WYOMING, COLORADO, KANSAS, OKLAHOMA, TEXAS, AND MISSOURI.

IF FORMED, THE INTERSTATE GRAIN MARKETING COMPACT WOULD GIVE THE GRAIN PRODUCING STATES A VEHICLE THROUGH WHICH THEY COULD EFFECTIVELY COOPERATE AT A STATE GOVERNMENTAL LEVEL. THE ONGOING STUDIES AND IN-VESTIGATIONS CARRIED OUT BY COMMISSION WOULD SERVE TO IDENTIFY AND VERIFY PROBLEM AREAS IN THE GRAIN MARKETING STRUCTURE AND PRACTICES. AS IT IS NOW, PROBLEMS OF THIS TYPE ARE OFTEN DISCUSSED BUT NO OFFICIAL DOCUMENTATION OF THEIR EXISTENCE IS ESTABLISHED. ONCE A PROBLEM OR WEAKNESS HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED, THE GRAIN MARKETING COMMISSION WOULD MAKE A RECOMMENDATION FOR ITS SOLUTION. THIS COULD INCLUDE THE DEVELOPMENT AND DRAFTING OF LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE MEMBER STATES FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FEDERAL LEGISLATION COULD ALSO BE MADE.

THE GREAT IMPORTANCE OF THE INTERSTATE GRAIN MARKETING COMPACT IS
THAT IT WOULD PROVIDE A VEHICLE FOR COOPERATION BETWEEN STATE GOVERNMENTS
THAT DOES NOT NOW EXIST. TODAY THERE IS NO WAY THE STATES CAN COORDINATE
THEIR EFFORTS TO DEVELOP EFFECTIVE AGRICULTURAL LEGISLATION. LIKEWISE,
SEVERAL STATES SPEAKING IN UNISON THROUGH THIS AGENCY WOULD BE MUCH
MORE INFLUENTIAL IN SHAPING FEDERAL AGRICULTURAL LEGISLATION THAN ANY
FORCE WHICH EXISTS TODAY.

SOME OPPONENTS OF THE GRAIN MARKETING COMPACT MAINTAIN THAT IT WOULD BE AN UNNECESSARY AGENCY AND THE FUNCTIONS IT WOULD PERFORM ARE COVERED ADEQUATELY BY THE PRESENT FARM ORGANIZATIONS.

HOWEVER, THE FARM GROUPS ARE SO FRAGMENTED AND DIVERSE IN THEIR

INTERESTS THAT THEY CANNOT SPEAK WITH A UNIFIED VOICE ON PROBLEMS COMMON TO ALL OF THEM. FOR EXAMPLE, THE COMMODITY GROUPS ARE DIVIDED GEOGRAPHICALLY. THE WHEAT GROWERS ARE CONCENTRATED IN THE WEST AND SOUTHWEST, THE CORN AND SOYBEAN GROWERS IN THE EAST AND NORTH WITH THE SORGHUM GROWERS IN BETWEEN. HENCE, THEIR INFLUENCE IS EFFECTIVE ONLY IN THE AREAS WHERE THEY EXIST, YET THEY HAVE MANY OF THE SAME PROBLEMS. AS FOR THE ESTABLISHED FARM ORGANIZATIONS, THEY EXIST THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE AREA BUT EACH HAS PLACED ITS MAJOR EMPHASIS ON DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF THE FARM PROBLEM. IN SPITE OF THEIR DIFFERENCES, THE ECONOMIC CRISIS HAS CAUSED THE MAJORITY OF THESE ORGANIZATIONS TO MOVE IN THE SAME GENERAL DIRECTION TODAY. HOWEVER, ONE VOICE OF DISSENT STILL DISRUPTS ANY PATTERN OF UNITY AND NEGATES THEIR EFFECTIVENESS ON EITHER THE STATE OR NATIONAL LEVEL.

THE IMPORTANCE AND INFLUENCE OF THE FARM ORGANIZATIONS WOULD NOT BE DIMINISHED BY THE COMPACT. INSTEAD, BY WORKING IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE GRAIN MARKETING COMMISSION, THEIR VIEWS WOULD BE GIVEN MUCH BROADER CONSIDERATION.

OTHER OPPONENTS OF THE GRAIN MARKETING COMPACT OFFER THEIR SYMPATHY AND CONCERN FOR THE FARMER AND HIS PLIGHT, BUT INDICATE THAT THIS IS NOT THE ROAD TO TAKE. THEY STATE THAT THIS LEGISLATION WOULD NOT HELP THE FARMER IN ANY WAY AND WOULD ONLY FURTHER COMPLICATE THEIR ALREADY OVER REGULATED BUSINESS. NONE OF THESE OPPONENTS OFFER ANY NEW OR CONSTRUCTIVE IDEAS TO AID THE GRAIN PRODUCER AND IT IS QUESTIONABLE IF THEY WOULD APPROVE OF ANYTHING THAT WAS OFFERED. THE ONLY COURSE LEFT WOULD BE TO CONTINUE ON DOWN THE SAME DISASTROUS ROAD WE ARE NOW TRAVELING.

WE ARE ALL AWARE OF THE ENORMITY OF THE PROBLEMS FACING AGRICULTURE

AND WE MUST LOOK FOR NEW WAYS TO TRY AND TURN THIS THING AROUND. THE

1985 FARM BILL GUARANTEED LOWER GRAIN PRICES IN 1986 AND IN EACH SUCCEEDING

YEAR, AND THERE IS NO CERTAINTY THAT THE FARM STATE CONGRESSMEN WILL BE

ABLE TO SUBSTANTIALLY CHANGE OR IMPROVE THE EXISTING LEGISLATION. IF

WE EVER HOPE TO IMPROVE OUR SITUATION, WE MUST DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO

HELP OURSELVES. THERE ARE STILL THINGS WE CAN DO THROUGH OUR STATE

GOVERNMENTS. FORMATION OF THE INTERSTATE GRAIN MARKETING COMPACT COULD

EFFECTIVELY ENHANCE THESE EFFORTS BY COORDINATING AND FOCUSING THE

LEGISLATIVE EFFORTS OF SEVERAL STATES ON THE SAME PROBLEMS. THE COMPACT

IS ALSO THE ONLY MEANS BY WHICH THE MEMBER STATES COULD HAVE ANY DIRECT

EFFECT ON THE PRICES OF THE COMMODITIES WHICH THEIR CITIZENS PRODUCE.

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERSTATE COMPACTS IS NOT A NEW IDEA. THE BOOKLET, "INTERSTATE COMPACTS AND AGENCIES", PUBLISHED BY THE COUNCIL

OF STATE GOVERNMENTS LISTS WELL OVER ONE HUNDRED SUCH COMPACTS IN EXISTENCE IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY. IF YOU CHECK THIS PUBLICATION, YOU WILL FIND SOME COMPACTS DEALING WITH BROAD AREAS COMPARABLE TO GRAIN MARKETING. EXAMPLES ARE: THE ATLANTIC STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMPACT, THE GULF STATES MARINE FISHERIES COMPACT, THE INTERSTATE MINING COMPACT, AND THE INTERSTATE OIL AND GAS COMPACT. THESE COMPACTS RANGE IN MEMBERSHIP FROM FIVE TO THIRTY STATES.