	Approved March 3	8, 1987 Date
MINUTES OF THE <u>Senate</u> COMMITTEE ON <u>Asse</u>	ssment and Taxation	
The meeting was called to order by <u>Senator Fred A. K</u>	err Chairperson	at
11:00 a.m./p.m. on March 2	, 19 <u>8</u> 7in room <u>519</u>	_S of the Capitol.
All members were present except:		
Senator Bob Frey		
Committee staff present: Tom Severn Chris Courtwright, Research Don Hayward, Revisor's Office Sue Pettet, Secretary to the Committee		

Conferees appearing before the committee: Senator Nancy Parrish Senator Gerald Karr

Chairman Kerr called the meeting to order and stated that the agenda for the day was to conduct hearings on S.B. 219 and S.B. 220.

SENATE BILL 220

Senator Nancy Parrish testified in support of S.B. 220. She stated that because of the 1986 federal tax law revisions, approximately 60,000 Kansans would be required to pay state income tax for tax year 1987 who would not be required to pay federal income tax. Since the Kansas tax form requires the attachment of a copy of the federal form, those 60,000 Kansans would be burdened with figuring their federal adjusted gross income for the purpose of paying state taxes. She felt that the equity of the state income tax system would be harmed and that the taxpayers and the Department of Revenue unnecessarily burdened.

She said that the fiscal note for the bill would be approximately \$1.8 million for tax year 1987 and \$3.0 million dollars for tax year 1988. (Attachment 1)

SENATE BILL 219

Senator Karr testified in support of S.B. 219. He stated that this is a bill requested to address changes and impacts on state income tax payers resulting from the 1986 federal tax law changes. He said that prior to the 1986 Federal Act there were provisions for a double exemption for the elderl and blind. This enabled those persons to have an extra \$1,000 exemption on their state income tax. Since the federal law included significant increase in the standard deduction and personal exemption, the extra exemption was dropped. Since the state currently conforms in this area, the \$1,000 state exemption would be lost. The fiscal note was estimated to be \$6.8 million on S.B. 219. Some 170,000 people would be affected.

Senator Warren testified in support of S.B. 219. He stated that he felt it would be wrong to inadvertently increase revenues from the categories of the elderly and blind.

Steve Stotts stated that prior to the Federal Tax Reform, the federal government allowed the double personal exemption for elderly and blind taxpayers. The tax reform eliminates the double exemption since Kansas conforms to the number of personal exemptions on the federal form. The Department of Revenue would also conform to the elimination of the double exemption.

Chairman Kerr stated that since the House would be considering the comprehensive income tax revisions contained in H.B. 2543, that he preferred to delay action on S.B. 219 and S.B. 220.

Senator Thiessen made a motion to accept the minutes of February 26, 1987 meeting. Senator Hayden seconded. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned.

Unless specifically noted, the individual remarks recorded herein have not been transcribed verbatim. Individual remarks as reported herein have not been submitted to the individuals appearing before the committee for

Page $\underline{1}$ of $\underline{1}$ editing or corrections.

ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION

OBSERVERS (PLEASE PRINT)

DATE	NAME	ADDRESS	REPRESENTING
Mar.	2- HAROLD PITTS	Topeka	KCOA
٦	Javes Tite	y	KCCt
	Janet Schalansky	/\	SRS Adult Sen
	Edith - Juni 1 1/10301	of Tajazaca	observers
	Eleanor Perr	Coats	
11	Lyndon Wrew	Topeha	12 1001
	LAT BARNES	Topeka	16. Wotor Car Dealers H
- (1	Ron Smith.	· c ·	KBA
	Man, Harper	Healy	
		J	
			i
:			
0	Ø		
			!

		!	

SINULATION NO. 6308: TAX REFORM ACT OF 198

ŧ).

()

Û

Ì

SERATE BILL 220

Kansas Department Of Revenue

Individual Income Tax In Tax Year 1986 Resident Taxpayers Impact By Bracket

SERATE BILL 220

	Married							Single				Total Residents			
K.A.G.I. Bracket		ercent ncrease	Dollar Change In Liability	DOITAT Change Per Return	Effecti Rate	veNo. Of Returns	Percent Increase	Dollar Change In Liability	Dollar Change Per Return	Effective Rate	No. Of Returns	Percent Increase	Dollar Change In Liability	Dollar Change Per Return	Effective Rate
No K.A.G.I.	11,263	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.01	5,789	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%	17,053	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%
\$5,000 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$25,000 \$35,000 \$50,000 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$100,000	14,947 73,895 98,842 106,947 118,842 78,442	-100.0% -11.3% -0.2% -0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	(\$13,775.51) (\$186,102.04) (\$66,530.61) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	(\$10.647) (\$10.667) (\$10.000 \$500.000	0.87737109	104,105 166,421 85,158 34,421 15,263 6,947	-54.04 -0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04	(\$551,710.20) (\$182,318.37) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$5.30} \$1.10} \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0.284	119,053 240,316 184,368 134,105 85,789 12,632	-54.7% -2.7% -0.1% 0.0% 0.0%	(\$565,485.71) (\$568,420.41) (\$66,530.61) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$4.75} \$4.036} \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0.15.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.55.
Total Fiscal Impact: All Taxpayers:	514,526	-0.2%	(\$866,408.16) (\$866,408.16) (\$1,776,544.90)		2.7%	419,789 Non-Resid	-0.4% ent:	(\$734,028.57) (\$734,028.57) (\$176,108.16)	(\$1.75)	2.8%	934,316	-0.2%	(\$1,600,436.73) (\$1,600,436.73)	(\$1.71)	2.7%

SINULATION NO. 6316: TAX REPORM ACT OF 1986 TAX YEAR 1988 SENATE BILL 220

Kansas Department Of Revenue

Individual Income Tax In Tax Year 1986 Resident Taxpayers Impact By Bracket

SENATE BILL 220

			1			Single				Total Residents					
K.A.G.I. Bracket	No. Of Returns	Percent Increase	Dollar Change In Liability	Dollar Change Per Return	Effective Rate	e No. Of Returns	Percent Increase	Dollar Change In Liability	Dollar Change Per Return	Effective Rate	No. Of Returns	Percent Increase	Dollar Change In Liability	Dollar Change Per Return	Effective Rate
12 K.A.G.I.	11,263	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%	5,789	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%	17,053	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%
\$5,000 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$25,000 \$35,000 \$55,000 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$100,000	14,947 73,895 98,842 106,947 118,842 78,842	-100.0% -20.9% -0.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	(\$13,775.51) (\$1,469,730.61) {\$154,387.76} \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	(\$0.92) (\$19.856) \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0.777.377.119 0.122.33	104,105 166,4158 34,421 15,947 1,684	-87.9% -0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	{\$904,412.24 {\$206,093.88} \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$8.69 \$51.24 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00 \$50.00	0.0% 1.8% 3.0% 3.5% 4.2%	119,053 240,316 184,000 141,368 134,165 85,789 12,632	-88.18 -4.28 -0.008 0.008	(\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	(\$7.71) (\$6.97) (\$0.84) \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0.05 1.53 2.65 2.65 2.25 4.25
Total Fiscal Impact: All Taxpayers:	514,526	-0.3%	(\$1,637,893.88) (\$1,637,893.88) (\$3,003,453.06)		2.7%	419,789 Hon-Resid	-0.64 ent:	(\$1,110,506.12) (\$1,110,506.12) (\$255,053.06)	(\$2.65)	2.9%	934,316	-0.4%	(\$2,748,400.00) (\$2,748,400.00)	(\$2.94)	2.7%