Approved	3/	5/8	7
		Date	

MINUTES OF THESENATE COMMI	TITLE ONFEDERAL AND STATE AFFAIRS
The meeting was called to order by	Senator Edward F. Reilly, Jr. at Chairperson
	4 , 19 <u>87</u> in room <u>254-E</u> of the Capitol.
All members were present except:	

Senator Anderson was excused.

Committee staff present:

Mary Galligan, Legislative Research Emalene Correll, Legislative Research Mary Torrence, Assistant Revisor of Statutes June Windscheffel, Secretary to the Committee Conferees appearing before the committee:

> Mr. John Koepke, Kansas Association of School Boards (KASB) Mr. Larry Cowger, Department of Corrections

The Chairman stated that the Minutes of the Meetings of February 27, March 2, and March 3, 1987, were before the Committee. Senator Arasmith moved that the Minutes be approved. The motion was seconded by Senator Martin. The motion carried.

The Chairman directed the Committee to turn to SB 307, concerning exemption of discussions of security matters from open meetings law. He introduced Mr. John Koepke, from the Kansas Association of School Boards, a conferee on the bill. Mr. Koepke asked the Committee to amend the provisions of HB 2099 into SB 307. There was Committee discussion. Senator Martin moved to include the provisions of the house bill, which would allow the county commissioners, school boards and other agencies to be able to have casual meetings and social contacts, in SB 307. It was a conceptual motion. The motion was seconded by Senator Strick. The motion carried. Senator Arasmith moved that the bill be reported favorably as amended. The motion was seconded by Senator Martin. The motion carried.

The Chairman pointed out a handout before the Committee concerning the convent property tax issue. (Attachment #A1). The packet contained a number of items including memoranda and letters, which are all a part of the attachment. Senator Ehrlich moved that the Committee introduce a bill addressing the issue of convents. The motion was seconded by Senator Daniels. The motion carried.

Mr. Larry Cowger, Special Assistant to the Secretary of Corrections, appeared before the Committee with requests that five proposals be introduced as Committee bills. The first concerned warrant of secretary of corrections for arrest of escapees. (Attachment #1)
Senator Bond moved that the bill be introduced. Seconded by
Senator Ehrlich. The motion carried.

The next proposal concerned contraband in penal institutions. (Attachment #2) Senator Morris moved that a bill be introduced. Seconded by Senator Bond. The motion carried.

The next proposal dealt with the establishment of the Ellsworth correctional work facility (Attachment #3). It was moved by Senator Morris, and seconded by Senator Vidricksen, that the bill be introduced. The motion carried.

CONTINUATION SHEET

25/1-E a	11.00	Marah /	40 0 T
room 234-E. Statehou	ise, at $\frac{11:00}{}$ a.m. $40 \times 20 \times 20 \times 10^{-1}$	March 4	

The next proposal dealt with the charge, care, management, and control of all buildings and grounds owned by the state which are used as a correctional institution. Senator Vidricksen moved that the bill be introduced. Seconded by Senator Ehrlich. The motion carried. (Attachment #4)

The next proposal concerned the matter of classes of felonies and terms of imprisonment. (Attachment #5) Senator Arasmith moved, seconded by Senator Martin, that the bill be introduced. The motion carried.

Copies of letters from Senator Merrill Werts and Mr. Greg Junghans (Attachment #6) were before the Committee. The matter was discussed, and Mr. John Lamb, of the Alcoholic Beverage Control said they had done some research on this matter. Senator Bond suggested that a copy of this attachment be given to Mr. Lamb and that he respond to the Committee and to Senator Werts in regard to the change of policy. Mr. Lamb will do so.

Staff gave an overview of SB 352, SB 353, SB354, SB 355, SB 356, and SB 357 for the Committee. These bills are assigned to the Committee.

The meeting was adjourned at noon.

STATE OF KANSAS



COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL

CHAIRMAN: ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION

APPORTIONMENT

CHAIRMAN: MAJORITY PARTY CAUCUS

MEMBER: AGRICULTURE EDUCATION

FRED A. KERR

SENATOR, THIRTY-THIRD DISTRICT BARBER, COMANCHE, HARPER, KINGMAN, KIOWA, PRATT, STAFFORD, S. RENO. W. SUMNER COUNTIES ROUTE 2

PRATT, KANSAS 67124-9802



TOPEKA

SENATE CHAMBER

February 25, 1987

MEMORANDUM

To:

Senator Roy Ehrlich

Senator Ed Reilly

Robert Heath, Wichita Attorney

From:

Senator Fred Kerr

CONVENT PROPERTY TAX ISSUE

Attached is information which has been compiled for me regarding the convent property tax issue. The first summary was prepared by Mike Sterbach, Legislative Intern, upon my request. The second item is a memo compiled by Fred Weaver, Chairman, Board of Tax Appeals, for me on the issue. I attach these items for your information.

If legislation were to be passed on this issue, I think it would take a great deal of scrutiny. At this time, I am not planning to ask for such legislation. However, if a committee which is exempt from the legislative deadlines does and it is referred to the Senate Assessment and Taxation Committee, I will be glad to schedule a hearing and committee discussion on the

Thank you for your consideration.

Senator Fred A. Kerr

Attachs. FAK/lc

cc: Fred Weaver

actachment # A1 F5 A 3/4/87

CONVENT PROPERTY TAX ISSUE

(Compiled by Mike Sterbach, Legislative Intern, for Sen Fred Kerr)

I. Summary

- A. Currently convents are not exempt:
 - Convents being taxable is the rule.
 - Convents being exempt is the exception.
- B. Board of Tax Appeals recommends no statutory exemption
 - 1. Convent and Parsonage non-similar
 - 2. Sister are assigned outside regular duties not exclusively religious.
 - 3. Cannot delineate convent that has no outside duties between those convents that do assign their residents non-religious activities.
 - 4. Board would rather look at each case-by-case.
 - 5. Statutory exemption provided to convent would open the door to other groups claiming "religious exemption".
- C. Convent can submit or request for exemption.
 - 1. Traditionally Board of Tax Appeals will exempt a convent which matches up to the activities/conditions of a parsonage.
 - 2. However, no exemptions have been issues so far this year.

D. Recommendations:

- 1. If so desired, draft legislation that would statutorily exempt convents from the tax roles:
 - a. Limit scope of convent to prevent wide-scale exemption as Board of Tax Appeals fears will occur.
 - b. Specifically denote what types of religious bodies will qualify for exemption.
- 2. Do not draft legislation specifically exempting convents, but provide direction to the Board of Tax Appeals when considering convent for exemption-more or less endorsing the case-by-case approach.

MEMO

TO: Senator Fred Kerr

FROM: Fred L. Weaver

RE: Convent Exemption

DATE: February 20, 1987

Your letter of February 9, 1987, seeks advice on the question of exempting 'convents' from property taxation. As Mr. Heath points out, there are some similarities among 'convents' and parsonages. Both properties are living quarters and the occupants are at least indirectly associated with a church. Mr. Heath is also correct when he acknowledges that 'convents' are not equivalent to parsonages.

Current law.

Kansas courts hold that the Constitution does not exempt residences regardless of who lives there. See In Re First Assembly of God, Shawnee County District Court #84-CV-965. (December, 1984). The Court specifically found that use of the premises as living quarters constituted a secular use, even though a pastor's home is also used for prayer, counseling and church meetings. Tax exempt status for parsonages is exclusively a creature of statute.

K.S.A. 1986 Supp. 79-201 <u>Seventh</u> currently exempts parsonages if:

- 1. The property is owned by the church and
- 2. Is occupied by a minister or clergyman, and
- The minister is actually and regularly engaged in conducting church services, or religious ministrations.

The request for exemption of 'convents' is a new issue. The Kansas Catholic Conference and Kansas Council of Churches neither advocated nor testified in favor of 'convent' exemption prior to this year. Testimony from religious organizations has been confined to parsonage. Exemption.

Convent definition.

Convent is a term subject to a variety of interpretation.

Black's Law Dictionary, 4th Edition and Webster's Third New International Dictionary, define 'convent' as ". . . a house or set of buildings occupied by a community of religious "recluses . . . an association or community of recluses devoted to a religious life under a superior . . " a religious house, now regarded as being merely voluntary association." The first definitions are narrow, describing only those Orders who occupy 'convents" as a means of separating themselves from society. The last definition is broad—describing virtually any residence occupied by a member or leader of any voluntary association. Arguably a broad definition would include 'convents' owned by a 'church' but it also includes voluntary associations of questionable 'religious' origin.

Kansas cases direct this Board to adopt a narrow or strict definition of 'convent'. Taxation is the rule and exemption is the exception. Exemption statutes are strictly construed. $\underline{\text{In}}$

Re Board of Johnson County Commissioners, 225 Kan. 517 (1979). Farmers Coop v. Kansas Board of Tax Appeals, 236 Kan. 632 (1985). A strict interpretation of 'convent' would not entitle Mr. Heath's clients nor any similar 'community' to exemption. Typically a sister or nun completes her religious training and takes vows of poverty, chastity, and devotion to 'religious' life. The Order then assigns her to some 'ministry' operated by the Order. For example, the Board heard testimony in one case where the sisters were assigned a house to live in. The sisters were all employed at a hospital owned and operated by the same Order which owned the home. These sisters assigned to work as hospital administrator, clerk in medical records and director of pastoral care. The hospital paid the 'mother house' the same salary any other employee receives in the same position. year, the sisters submit a budget for living expenses which is then paid by the Order. The budget approved is directly related to the amount paid to the Order and is commensurate with the nun's position. The Treasurer of the Order testified the amount 'paid' to the sisters was less than received from the hospital. Applicants have sought to exempt convents occupied by teachers in parochial schools and colleges. A recent exemption case dealt with a vehicle used to transport a nun to her job in the State Treasurer's office. it is diffcult to find any religious purpose for these properties, let alone exclusive religious use.

The parsonage statute exempts homes occupied by clergymen engaged in conducting services or religious ministrations. Nuns are prohibited from leading church services or administering the sacrements. Thus they are not conducting services. Those who support exemption assert that nuns are engaged in religious ministration of the church. The Board cannot interpret religious ministrations so broadly as to include teaching a college course, keeping medical records or managing a hospital. Even if some of the nun's duties are connected to the church or Order, her duties are not exclusively religious. If "religious ministration" were construed so broadly as to include these duties, the Board would have no basis to deny exemption for a person who donates his residence and salary to a self-organized 'church' and is employed by a hospital, school or virtually any other occupation. The only requirement for exemption in that case is that the individual truly believe the association is a 'religious' entity and that the 'church' own the property.

Testimony presented to the Board shows that the Order of sisters derives revenues in excess of the expense of buying a house and supporting its occupants. The Board is concerned that this practice comes dangerously close to "... property held or used as an investment even though the income ... is used wholly for [exempt] purposes." K.S.A. 1986 Supp. 79-201 Second. Even if the property is used for some religious purpose, it is also used, at least in part, to generate income for the Order.

Finally, the Board notes that most 'convents' are owned by the Order, not the church. If a "religious society", as distinguished from a church, qualifies for exemption, this Board must also grant exemption to a broad spectrum of self proclaimed 'religious' societies. There is no legal distinction between the

cases already pending before the Board and the other potential applicants under a broad or 'permissive' interpretation. If the Legislature adopts a policy exempting 'convents,' that policy would also exempt any organization claiming to be 'religious."

Conclusion--

The parsonage statute as written exempts residences for clergy actually engaged in exclusively religious functions. A statute exempting 'convents' must be extremely broad, perhaps unconstitutional. Even if the statute passes constitutional muster, a law broad enough to include convents also exempts far more property than the language is designed to affect.

STATE OF KANSAS COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS CHAIRMAN FORE THAIL HEALTH AND WELFARE

ROY M. EHRLICH

SENATOR, THIRTY FIFTH DISTRICT RICE BARTON RUSSELL COUNTIES ROUTE | BOX 9.1 HOISINGTON, KANSAS 67544 9747



TOPENA

SENATE CHAMBER February 20, 1987 MEMBER FEDERAL AND STATE AFFAIRS LABOR THOUSTRY AND SMALL BUSINESS LOCAL SOVERNMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF STATE DEPARTMENT ON AGING NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE LEGISLATURES SPECIAL SELECTED COMMITTEE HEALTH CARE NATIONAL SPECIAL SELECT STANDING COMMUTEE OF THE MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION

COUNCIL OF STATE GOVERNMENTS -

The Honorable Fred Kerr, Chairman Senate Assessment and Taxation Committee Statehouse Topeka, Kansas 66612

Dear Senator Kerr:

Please find enclosed material concerning legislation relating to Tax Exempt status of convents.

Do you plan to look into this problem in your committee this session? If not, I am going to request a committee bill through the Senate Federal and State Affairs Committee.

Your prompt reply would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Roy M. Ehrlich State Senator Thirty-Fifth District

Enclosures

Larry E. Keenan pc: Sister Judith Lindell Edward Reilly

KEENAN LAW FIRM, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
GREAT BEND, KANSAS 67530
TELEPHONE 316 793-7813: 793-3165

ROBERT P. KEENAN
LARRY E. KEENAN
DENNIS J. KEENAN
TIMOTHY R. KEENAN
RICHARD A. BOECKMAN

2200 LAKIN P.O. DRAWER 459

February 11, 1987

Representative Bob Mead House of Representatives State Capitol Topeka, KS 66612

Representative J. Frank Buehler House of Representatives State Capitol Topeka, KS 66612

Senator Roy M. Ehrlich State Capitol Topeka, KS 66612

> RE: Legislation Relating to Tax Exempt Status of Convents

Gentlemen:

As you know, the Kansas tax exemptions were changed last year with regard to the exemption of parsonages. The problem is that the legislation eliminates convents from tax exempt status.

Sister Judith Lindell of the Dominican Sisters in Great Bend, will be writing to each of you sending information pertaining to this matter.

The changes are unfair to the Sisters as the law is being interpreted and we need your help in this respect. After you receive Sister Judith's letter, if you have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to contact either her or this office.

Our interest is that we are legal counsel to the Sisters, but also their friends and are concerned about their welfare.

Very tryly yours

KEENAN LAW FIRM, P.A.

Larry E. Keenan

LEK:rp

cc: Sister Judith Lindell

THE NUNS OF THE THIRD ORDER OF ST. DOMINIC DOMINICAN SISTERS

3600 Broadway GREAT BEND, KANSAS 67530 Phone: 316-792-1232

February 9, 1987

Roy M. Ehrlich State Senator State Capitol Topeka, KS 66612

Dear Mr. Ehrlich,

We would appreciate your interest and support of legislation amending the statutes governing the exemption of parsonages which adversely affects our Religious Community.

I am enclosing some correspondence I have received from Robert L. Heath of Blaes and Heath, Attorneys at Law. Mr. Heath has been helping us in regard to clarifying the taxation of one of our Convents located in Wichita, Kansas.

I would appreciate your time to read the enclosed letters and whatever support you could give us in this matter.

Sincerely,

Sister Judith Lindell

Sister Judeth Lindell

Treasurer

encl.

BLAES AND HEATH ATTORNEYS AT LAW ONE MAIN PLACE - SUITE 500

WICHITA, KANSAS 67202

STEPHEN M. BLAES ROBERT L. HEATH

TELECOPY (316) 267-0333

(316) 264-0301

January 29, 1987

Hon. Edward F. Reilly, Jr. The State Senate Third Floor - Capitol Building Topeka, Kansas 66612

Re: Taxation of Religious Convents

Dear Senator Reilly:

I am writing you in my capacity as General Counsel for the Sisters of St. Joseph of Wichita, Kansas. As you are aware, the Sisters are a Congregation of religious women whose lives are dedicated to charitable works of service.

A problem has arisen regarding the tax exemption relating to convents where the Sisters reside. As you know, the 1986 legislature amended K.S.A. 85 Supp. 79-201 to deal with the parsonage issue by adding Paragraph 7 specifically exempting "all parsonages owned by a Church society and actually and regularly occupied as a residence by a minister . . " See Chapter 368, 1986 Session Laws of Kansas, page 1943.

This action was followed by an Attorney General's Opinion which indicated that all property owners claiming the parsonage exemption must file a request for exemption with the State Board of Tax Appeals. Some County Appraisers across the State apparently took this as a directive to include all properties used as residences, including convents, whether designated as parsonages or otherwise and placed them on the tax rolls, even though such properties were previously determined to be exempt for general religious purposes.

While there is some similarity of convents and parsonages, i.e., facilities used as residences or living quarters

occupied by persons dedicated to the religious life, there are also clear distinctions that make it difficult to categorize parsonages as convents and vice versa. However, the determination of the exemption should not turn on a matter of semantics. If a religious society, order or congregation is engaged in conducting religious and charitable ministrations and other charitable works, such fills the intent of the legislature regardless of how such residences may be designated or classified.

At the present time, there is pending before the Board of Tax Appeals a case arising out of your District involving the Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth (Docket No. 3162-86-TX) on this very issue. Likewise, my client, the Sisters of St. Joseph has also been advised that two of their convents have been placed back on the tax rolls by the Sedgwick County Appraiser's Office and an application is presently pending before the State Board of Tax Appeals in these two instances. I have also been contacted by the Nuns of the Third Order of St. Dominic in Great Bend, Kansas about this issue as it relates to their convent located in Wichita which has also been placed back on the tax rolls.

It is clear that legislation is needed to rectify this situation if convents used by religious orders are to retain their tax exempt status. It further appears that this could easily be accomplished by amending Paragraph 7 of K.S.A. 79-201 (1986 Supp.) as follows:

7. All parsonages and convents owned by a Church or religious society and actually and regularly occupied and used exclusively as a residence by a minister, or other clergy persons of such Church society who are actually and regularly engaged in conducting the services and religious ministrations of such society, and the land upon which such parsonage or convent is located, to the extent necessary for the accommodation of such parsonage or convent. (The underlined words are the changes to the law as it now exists.)

This language or something of similar import would appear to solve the problem and I would appreciate it if you could give your powerful assistance in sponsoring such a legislative change.

There are obviously a number of Churches and religious congregations that are vitally interested in this matter and

I would appreciate hearing from you at your earliest convenience. If I can be of further assistance or provide you with additional information, please advise.

Very truly yours,

ROBERT L. HEATH

RLH:cr

CC: Sister M. Antoinette Yelek
 Sister Judith Lindell
 Linda A. Terrill, Esq.
 J. Francis Hesse, Esq.
 Stephen M. Blaes, Esq.
 Mr. Robert Runnels

BLAES AND HEATH

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
ONE MAIN PLACE - SUITE 500
100 NORTH MAIN STREET

WICHITA, KANSAS 67202

STEPHEN M. BLAES ROBERT L. HEATH

TELECOPY (316) 267-0333

(316) 264-0301 (316) 267-0331

February 6, 1987

Sister Judith Lindell Treasurer Nuns of the Third Order of St. Dominic Dominican Sisters 3600 Broadway Great Bend, Kansas 67530

Re: Legislation Relating to Tax Exempt Status of Convents

Dear Sister Judith:

You will recall my letter to Edward F. Reilly, Jr., Senator from Leavenworth and Jefferson County, relating to the tax exempt status of convents.

Enclosed is a copy of his response wherein he indicates a willingness to lend his support to this cause. He has referred my letter to Senator Fred Kerr who is Chairman of the Assessment and Taxation Committee, who has responsibility for such matters. I will await any further word and keep you advised.

Thanks, Sister Judith.

Very truly yours,

ROBERT L. HEATH

RLH: cas

Enclosure

cc: Larry Keenan, Esq.

EDWARD F. REILLY, JR.

SENATOR, THIRD DISTRICT

LEAVENWORTH AND JEFFERSON COUNTIES

430 DELAWARE

LEAVENWORTH, KANSAS 66048-2733

913-682-1236



COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS
CHAIRMAN FEDERAL AND STATE AFFAIRS
AND INSURANCE SUBCOMMITTEE
VICE CHAIRMAN ELECTIONS
MEMBER. CONFIRMATIONS
FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND
INSURANCE
PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE

TOPEKA

SENATE CHAMBER

February 3, 1987

Mr. Robert L. Heath Attorney at Law Elaes and Heath One Main Place, Suite 500 100 North Main Street Wichita, KS 67202

Dear Mr. Heath:

I am in receipt of your letter of January 29th regarding the issue of clarifying the Kansas statute concerning tax exemptions relating to convents where the Sisters may reside.

I am well aware of the 1986 legislation passed dealing with the exemption of parsonages and will be happy to lend my support to clarification of that law.

I have asked Senator Fred Kerr, Chairman of the Assessment and Taxation Committee, to review this matter since it would appropriately go before that Committee anyway. I have given him a copy of your letter and my letter and have asked him to indicate whether his Committee would be interested in amending the statute or whether the Senate Committee on Federal and State Affairs, which is now engaged in lengthy hearings on a number of other issues, should proceed to do so.

I appreciate your note in regard to the proposed amendments necessary to clarify this statute and will keep you advised.

Warmly and sincerely

EDWARD F. REILLY, JR.

State Senator, Third District

STATE OF KANS	SAS 3/4/87
LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL NO	Attachment #
Revisor of Statutes No	o
BILL NO.	
	DRAFT NO. DATE
"CLEAN-UP"	1 12-17-86
"SUBSTANTIVE"	
INTRODUCE THROUGH	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
APPROVED BY GOVERNOR YES.	AND COMENTS
DOC PERSONELL TO FOLLOW UP:	
AN ACT CONCERNING.	etary of corrections for ses:
BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE	HE STATE OF KANSAS:
1 The secretary of corrections is aut	horized to issue a warrant
2 for the arrest and apprehension of any	inmate who escapes from the
3 custody of the secretary. A warrant i	ssued by the secretary of

4 corrections charging an inmate with escape from custody shall au_ thorize any law enforcement officer to arrest and deliver the inmate 5 to the jail used by the county where the inmate is arrested, in the same manner as for the execution of any arrest warrant. If the inmate 7 is arrested within the state of Kansas, the secretary of corrections 8 may retake custody of the inmate and return the inmate to any institution under the custody of the secretary. If the inmate is arrested 10 in another state pursuant to a warrant issued by the secretary, the 11 secretary shall initiate a request as otherwise provided by law to 12 have the inmate returned to the state of Kansas. 13 14 15 16 attachment #1 17 F5A 3/4/87 18 19

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS STATE OF KANSAS LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL NO. Revisor of Statutes No. BILL NO. DATE DRAFT NO. 12-17-86 "CLEAN-UP" "SUBSTANTIVE" INTRODUCE THROUGH YES. APPROVED BY GOVERNOR NOTES AND COMENTS NO DOC PERSONELL TO FOLLOW UP: Contraband in penal institutions. -AN ACT CONCERNING: BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF KANSAS: K.S.A. 21-3826 is hereby amended to read as follows: "Traffic 1 in contraband in a penal institution is introducing or attempting 2 to introduce into or upon the grounds of any institution under the 3 supervision and control of the director-of-penal-institutions secretary of corrections or any jail, or taking, sending, attempting 5 to take or attempting to send therefrom or any unauthorized possession 6 while in aforesaid any such institution or jail or-distributing 7 within-any-aforesaid-institution,-any-narcotic,-synthetic-narcotic, 8 drug--stimulant--sleeping-pill--barbiturate--nasal-inhaler--alcoholie 9 liquor,-intoxicating-beverage,-firearm,-ammunition,-gun-powder, 10 weapon,-hypodermic-needle,-hypodermic-syringe,-currency,-coin,-com_ 11 munication, -or_writing any item whatsoever without the consent of 12 the warden secretary of corrections, director, superintendent or 13

Traffic in contraband in a penal institution is a class E felony.

17

14

jailer.

18

19

20

atlachment # 2

DEPARTMENT STATE OF KANSAS LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL NO. Attachment #: Revisor of Statutes No. BILL NO. DRAFT NO. DATE 1 - 28 - 87"CLEAN-UP" "SUBSTANTIVE" INTRODUCE THROUGH_ YES . APPROVED BY GOVERNOR NOTES AND COMENTS NO DOC PERSONELL TO FOLLOW UP: AN ACT CONCERNING: An Act providing for the establishment of the Ellsworth correctional work facility; providing for management and control; amending K.S.A. 75-5202 and K.S.A. 1986 Supp. 21-4602 and 75-3058 and repealing the existing sections. BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF KANSAS: New Section 1. The secretary of corrections is hereby authorized to establish and equip the Ellsworth correctional work facility which shall be located in Ellsworth, Kansas. New Section 2. The institution so established shall be used for the confinement of inmates committed to the custody of the secretary of corrections who are transferred to such institution pursuant to K.S.A. 75-5206, and amendments thereto. New Section 3. The secretary of corrections shall have general management and control of such institution and of the officers and employees thereof and the inmates therein and of all matters relating to the discipline, control and fiscal concerns thereof, the same as other correctional institutions under the secretary's control and crisdiction and may make such rules and regulations as may be det rmined necessary for carrying out the purposes herein provided for. The secretary shall appoint a director with such duties as may be prescribed, and the director so appointed, may select,

with the approval of the secretary, officers and employees necessary

for the management and operation of the institution. The director

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

attackment # 3 F5A 3/4/87

1 and all other officers and employees shall be within the classified

2 service of the Kansas civil service act.

3

4 Section 4. K.S.A. 1986 Supp. 21-4602 is hereby amended to

5 read as follows:

6 21-4602. Definitions. As used in K.S.A. 21-4601 through 7 21-4621, and amendments thereto:

- 8 (1) "Court" means any court having jurisdiction and power to 9 sentence offenders for violations of the laws of this state.
- 10 (2) "Suspension of sentence" means a procedure under which a
 11 defendant, found guilty of a crime, upon verdict or plea, is released
 12 by the court without imposition of sentence. The release may be
 13 with or without supervision in the discretion of the court.
- 14 (3) "Probation" means a procedure under which a defendant, found guilty of a crime upon verdict or plea, is released by the court after imposition of sentence, without imprisonment, subject to conditions imposed by the court and subject to the supervision of the probation service of the court.
- "Parole" means the release of a prisoner to the community 19 by the Kansas parole board prior to the expiration of such prisoner's 20 term, subject to conditions imposed by the board and to the secretary 21 of correction's supervision. "Parole" also means the release by a 22 court of competent jurisdiction of a person confined in the county 23 jail or other local place of detention after conviction and prior 24 to expiration of such person's term, subject to conditions imposed 25 by the court and its supervision. Where a court or other authority 26 has filed a warrant against the prisoner, the Kansas parole board or 27 paroling court may release the prisoner on parole to answer the war-38 rant of such court or authority.
- (5) Institution" means the Kansas state penitentiary at

 Lansing, the Kansas correctional institution at Lansing, the state

 industria reformatory at Hutchinson, the state reception and

 diagnostic center at Topeka, the state correctional-vocational training

 center at Topeka, the Ellsworth correctional work facility at

 Ellsworth, and any other institution, center or camp under control
- 37 (6) "Community correctional services program" means a program
 which operates under the community corrections act and to which a

of the secretary of corrections.

- defendant is assigned for supervision, confinement, detention,
- 2 care or treatment, subject to conditions imposed by the court. A
- 3 defendant assigned to a community correctional services program
- 4 shall be subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the court and
- 5 in no event shall be considered to be in the custody of or under
- 6 the supervision of the secretary of corrections.

- 8 Section 5. K.S.A. 75-5202 is hereby amended to read as follows:
- 9 75-5202. Definitions. As used in the act, unless the context
- 10 clearly requires otherwise:
- (a) "Secretary" means the secretary of corrections created
- 12 by this act.
- (b) "Authority" means the Kansas adult authority established
- 14 by K.S.A. 22-3707 and amendments thereto.
- (c) "Inmate" means any person incarcerated in any correc-
- tional institution of the state of Kansas.
- 17 (d) "Correctional institution" means the Kansas state peni-
- tentiary, the Kansas correctional institution at Lansing, the state
- industrial reformatory, the state reception and diagnostic center,
- 20 the state correctional-vocational training center, the Ellsworth
- 21 correctional work facility, and any other correctional institution
- hereafter established by the state for the confinement of offenders.
- (e) "Director" means the person in charge of the operation
- 24 and supervision of a correctional institution.
- 25 (f) "Center" means the state reception and diagnostic center.
- 26 (g) "Correctional officer" means a full-time, salaried officer
- or employee under the jurisdiction of the secretary, whose duties
- are limited to the receipt. custody, control, maintenance, discipline,
- 29 security and apprehension of persons convicted of criminal offense
- 30 in this state and sentenced to a term of imprisonment under the cus-
- 31 tody of the s retary.
- 32 (h) "Pal le officer" means a full-time salaried officer or
- employee under t.e jurisdiction of the secretary whose duties in-
- 34 clude:
- 35 (1) Investigation, supervision, arrest and control of persons
- on parole and the enforcement of the conditions of parole; and
- 37 (2) services which relate to probationers and parolees and
- are required by the uniform act for out-of-state parolee supervision.

LEGIS	SLATIVE PROPOSAL NO. 4	
1	Section 6. K.S.A. 1986 Supp. 75-3058 is hereby amended to	
2	read as follows:	
3	75-3058. The following imprest funds are hereby established	
4	for institutions, other units or functions of the department of	
5	corrections:	
6	Kansas state penitentiary \$ 30,000	
7	State industrial reformatory	
8	State reception and diagnostic center	
9	State correctional-vocational training center 10,000	
10	Kansas correctional institution at Lansing 5,000	
11	Toronto honor camp 3,000	
12	El Dorado honor camp 4,000	
13	Wichita work release center 4,000	
14	Winfield prerelease center	
15	Topeka prerelease center 5,000	
16	Ellsworth correctional work facility 10,000	
17		
18	Section 7. K.S.A. 75-5202 and K.S.A. 1986 Supp. 21-4602	,
19	and 75-3058 are hereby repealed.	
20		
21	Section 8. This act shall take effect and be in force	
22	from and after its publication in the statute book.	
23		
24		
25		
26	•	
27		
38		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		

3/4/	87			
Attac	hm	ен	1	#4

	DÉPARTMENT OF CORI STATE OF KANS		3/4/87
	LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL NO	o	Attachment
	Revisor of Statutes No)	
	BILL NO		
		DRAFT NO.	DATE
	"CLEAN-UP"	2	2-19-87
	"SUBSTANTIVE"		
	RODUCE THROUGH		_•
APP	PROVED BY GOVERNOR YES NOTES A	ND COMENTS	
	NO		
DOC	PERSONELL TO FOLLOW UP:		
===		=======================================	
	AN ACT CONCERNING:		
	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF TH		
1	Notwithstanding the provisions of K	.S.A. 75-376	2 and K.S.A.
2	75-3765, and amendments thereto, the s	ecretary of	corrections shall
3	have the charge, care, management and	control of a	ll buildings and
4	grounds owned by the state which are us	sed as a cor	rectional insti-
5	tution as defined by K.S.A. 75-5202, as	nd amendment	s thereto, or
6	for operation of the state surplus prop	perty progra	m pursuant to
7	K.S.A. 1986 Supp. 75-6601 and amendment	ts thereto.	
4			
9			
10			4
, .			

1.2

13

1 -1

15 :5

17

18

19

20

Attackment #4
F5A 3/4/87

3/4/89 Attachment #5

LEGISLATIVE	PROPOSAL	NO.	

Revisor	of	Statutes	No.	

	BILL NO.
-	DRAFT NO. DATE
"CLEAN-UP"	1 2-27-87
"SUBSTANTIVE"	
INTRODUCE THROUGH	•
AFFIROVED DI GOVERNON	NOTES AND COMENTS
DOC PERSONELL TO FOLLOW UP:	
AN ACT CONCERNING: Classes	s of felonies and terms of imprisonment.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF KANSAS:

1 That K.S.A. 21-4501 be amended to read as follows:

2 21-4501. For the purpose of sentencing, the following classes of felonies and terms of imprisonment authorized for each

class are established:

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

(a) Class A, the sentence for which shall be imprisonment for life.

- (b) Class B, the sentence for which shall be an indeterminate term of imprisonment, the minimum of which shall be fixed by the court at not less than five years nor more than 15 years, and the maximum of which shall be fixed by the court at not less than 20 years nor more than life.
- (c) Class C, the sentence for which shall be an indeterminate term of imprisonment, the minimum of which shall be fixed by the court at not less than three years nor more than five years and the maximum of which shall be fixed by the court at not less than 10 years nor more than 20 years.
 - (d) Class D, the sentence for which shall be an indeterminate term of imprisonment fixed by the court as follows:
 - (1) For a crime specified in article 34, 35 or 36 of chapter 21 of the Kansas Statutes Annotated, a minimum of not less than

atlackment # 5 F5 A 3/4/87 two years nor more than three years and a maximum of not less than five years nor more than 10 years; and

- (2) for any other crime, a minimum of not less than one year nor more than three years and a maximum of not less than five years nor more than 10 years.
- (e) Class E, the sentence of which shall be an indeterminate term of imprisonment, the minimum of which shall be fixed-by-the eourt-at-not-less-than one year and the maximum of which shall be fixed by the court at not less than two years nor more than five years.
- (f) Unclassified felonies, which shall include all crimes declared to be felonies without specification as to class, the sentence for which shall be in accordance with the sentence specified in the statute that defines the crime. If not sentence is provided in the statute, the offender shall be sentenced as for a class E felony.

STATE OF KANSAS

MERRILL WERTS SENATOR, TWENTY-SECOND DISTRICT GEARY AND RILEY COUNTIES



SENATE CHAMBER

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

CHAIRMAN: ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES VICE CHAIRMAN: WAYS AND MEANS MEMBER: COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS LABOR, INDUSTRY AND SMALL BUSINESS ORGANIZATION, CALENDAR AND RULES

February 18, 1987

Greg Junghans Kwik Sack Convenience Stores 1310 West Ash Junction City, KS 66441

Dear Greg:

This will acknowledge your letter of February 11 regarding an apparent lack of consistency in our state's liquor laws whereby a club owner can be licensed both for liquor and cereal malt beverage; however, the owner of separate food markets and liquor stores cannot be licensed for both.

By copy of this letter, I am forwarding your letter on to Senator Ed Reilly who is Chairman of the Senate Committee on Federal & State Affairs. His committee has worked on the bill which would implement the liquor by the drink constitutional amendment and is now ready for additional work on other liquor and CMB statutes, so your letter is most timely.

Thank you for calling this apparent discrepancy to my attention.

Sincerely,

Merrill Werts

MW/nj

cc: Senator Ed Reilly

attachment #6 F5A 3/4/87



CONVENIENCE STORES

February 11, 1987

Senator Merrill Werts Senate Chamber Capitol Building Topeka, KS 66612

Dear Senator Merrill Werts,

A few months ago I visited with John Lamb, Director of Alcoholic Beverage Control, about a conflict with a retail liquor licensee having both a cereal malt beverage license and a liquor license. Presently I own one liquor store and eleven convenience stores. My liquor store and my convenience stores are operated independently. All the convenience stores have cereal malt beverage licenses. My visit with John Lamb presented that state statute 41-311 has been an old regulation effective in the 40's. This statute does not allow an individual in the retail liquor business to obtain the two licenses I need to operate my businesses.

The rules and regulations have since been updated for clubs. Their changes have allowed them to obtain a liquor and cereal malt beverage license. I feel there is no conflict in having both licenses also for a retail liquor licensee.

The liquor laws are in the process of revision, because of this I am hopeful that this license conflict can be resolved. Any efforts on your behalf would be greatly appreciated in helping me with this license problem. If any additional information is required, please contact me at 762-6010.

Sincerely,

Greg Junghans

Office: 1310 West Ash Junction City, Kansas 66441 (913) 762-6010