Approved _	March	22	1988
		Date	

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ECONO	DMIC DEVELOPMENT
The meeting was called to order byJayne Aylward,	Vice-Chairperson at Chairperson
3:34 XXX/p.m. on Monday, March 14	, 1988 in room <u>423-5</u> of the Capitol.
All members were present except: Representatives Mead, Helgerson, Heinemann, Moomaw and Campbell	

Committee staff present:
Jim Wilson, Revisor
Lynn Holt, Research
Elaine Johnson, Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

E. A. Mosher, Executive Director, League of Kansas Municipalities

Vice-Chairman Jayne Aylward called the meeting to order and recognized Mr. Ernie Mosher.

Mr. Mosher testified in support of the change in <u>S.B. 512</u>. Clarification is needed to specify that monies in a city industrial tax fund established under K.S.A. 12-1617h may be used for "retaining" existing industries or manufacturing institutions as well as for "securing" such institutions. The principal, substantive changes made by the bill are simply to add the words "or retaining" after the words "securing" and "inducing." (Attachment 1).

Heil Kline

Discussion followed.

The meeting adjourned at 3:42 p.m.

Date: 3/14/88

FUEST REGISTE

H O U S E

Committee on Economic Development

NAME Allanhan	ORGANIZATION League of 15 Mune	ADDRESS  Depotes
		:
		· · ·
	·	



PUBLISHERS OF KANSAS GOVERNMENT JOURNAL/112 WEST SEVENTH ST., TOPEKA, KANSAS 66603/AREA 913-354-9565

RE: SB 512--Use of City Industrial Fund Taxes TO: House Committee on Economic Development

FROM: E.A. Mosher, Executive Director

DATE: March 14, 1988

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of SB 512 is to specify that moneys in a city industrial tax fund established under K.S.A. 12-1617h may be used for "retaining" existing industries or manufacturing institutions as well as for "securing" such institutions.

Background: Questions have been raised as to whether moneys in a city industrial tax fund may be used to assist in retaining existing industries. While it is known that moneys in such a fund, currently used by about 70 Kansas cities, has been used by some cities for promoting, supporting and retaining existing industries, clarification appears advisable. The wording of K.S.A. 12-1617h, "securing industries" (line 26) and the wording of K.S.A. 12-1617i, "inducing industries to locate" (lines 56:57), seems to imply that it may not be used for retaining existing businesses.

<u>Explanation</u>: The principal, substantive changes made by the bill are simply to add the words "or retaining" after the words "securing" and "inducing."

This is an old statute, originally enacted in 1923, and several editorial changes have been made.

The proposed new language at the end of K.S.A. 12-1617h (lines 47:50) is designed to clarify that the use of an industrial tax levy, approved by the voters, is not an exclusive financial means for cities to promote economic development. The existing statute precedes constitutional home rule. Most cities now use their general fund for this purpose. If a city wants to establish a special property tax fund, it could do so by a home rule charter ordinance rather than utilize this statute.

Advantages: Clarifies the existing statutes and recognizes the current emphasis on the retention and expansion of existing businesses as compared to concentrating on securing new industries.

House Eco Devo

## RESEARCH/INFORMATION BULLETIN

published by league of kansas municipalities / 112 west seventh street / topeka, kansas 66603 / 913 354-9565

Vol. X, No. 463 January 14, 1988

## CITY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT TAX LEVIES

Under K.S.A. 12-1617h, Kansas cities are authorized to annually levy a property tax "for the purpose of creating a fund to be used in securing industries or manufacturing institutions for such city or near its environs..." The proposed tax levy must be initially approved by the voters at a referendum and may not exceed one mill. The levy is not subject to the property tax lid (K.S.A. 79-5011).

It should be noted that expenditures for general economic development may also be made from the city's general fund. A special fund is not necessary. Further, a special tax levy could be provided for under the city's constitutional home rule authority rather than under this statute.

The listing of cities and mill levies on the next page relates only to those cities which have a separate tax levy and fund for economic development purposes under K.S.A. 12-1617h.

The number of cities with separate industrial fund levies has not changed significantly in recent years. The number levying such taxes for various years has been as follows: 1966--55; 1971--68; 1976--77; 1979--77; 1980--71; 1981--71; 1984--68; 1985--70; and 1987--71. Since a dicontinuation of the levy in one year, after the third year, requires another referendum, some cities levy only a small amount to keep their tax authority "alive."

Cities which levied a special industrial development tax in 1980, 1984, 1985 and 1987 are shown on the next page.

Special reference should be made to Research/Information Bulletin No. 368, which notes that many special tax fund levies are unnecessary since there is no longer a levy rate limit on the general fund tax or restrictions as to its purpose. Further, separate and special tax levies are not essential for tax lid purposes where an appropriate home rule charter ordinance has been adopted--see RIB No. 401.

CITY	1987 <u>POP.</u>	1980 RATE	1984 RATE	1985 <u>RATE</u>	1987 RATE	CITY	1987 POP.	1980 RATE	1984 <u>RATE</u>	1985 <u>RATE</u>	1987 <u>RATE</u>
Abilene Anthony Ashland Atchison Baldwin City Bartlett	6,430 2,743 1,177 11,402 2,798 156	1.00	.79 1.00 1.00  1.08	.95 1.00 1.01  1.00 1.36	1.001 1.00 1.00  .975	LaCrosse Lakin Larned	19,987 1.526 2,123 4,875 3,270 522	.53 1.00	.10 .51 1.00 .997	.35 .10 .51 1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00 .51 1.00 .987
Baxter Springs Balle Plaine Burlington Caney Canton Chanute	4,502 1,721 3,283 2,313 869 10,889	1.00  1.00 .97 	1.00  1.00 .03 .416 .50	1.00  .98 .03 .49	1.00 .98 1.00 .03 .49 1.00	Lyons Manhattan Marion Marysville McPherson	3,964 32,381 1,901 3,776 12,039	.50 1.00 1.00 .96 .16	.50 1.00 1.00 1.00	.50 1.00 .99 1.00 .67	.496 .051 .987 .999 .778
Cheney Cherryvale Chetopa Clay Center Coffeyville	1,398 2,631 1,620 4,824 14,590	.10 .45 .50 .75	.102 .10 .50 .25	.098 .10 .50 1.00	1.00 1.00 .50 1.00 .50	Medicine Lodge Minneapolis Moran Mulvane Natoma Neodesha	2,711 2,060 583 4,408 520 3,261	1.00	1.50 1.00  .496 1.06 1.00	1.50 1.00  .498 	1.00  .926 
Columbus Concordia Cottonwood Falls Council Grove Downs El Dorado	3,522 6,779 911 2,285 1,287 11,272		.50 1.01 .04 1.00 1.00	.50 1.02 .03 1.00 1.00	.50 1.01 .03 1.00 1.00	Ness City Norton Onaga Osage City Osawatomie Osborne	1,885 3,277 684 2,829 4,576	.50  .50	.99 .50  1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00  1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
Ellis Ellsworth Emporia Erie Eureka	2,123 2,380 27,087 1,467 3,384	. 34	.393 1.00 1.00 1.00	.718 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	Oskaloosa Oswego Paola Parsons Phillipsburg	2,005 1,112 2,158 4,387 13,089 3,123	.50 .60 1.00 1.00	.55 .60 1.00 1.00 .75	1.55 .60 1.00 1.00 .87	1.50 .60 1.00 1.00 .89
Fort Scott Fredonia Great Bend Harper Herington Hiawatha	17,637 1,768 2,893	.50 1.00 .772 .64 1.00	.50 .10 .51 .10	.493 1.00 .973 .10 1.04	1.00 .897 1.00 1.033	Pittsburg Plainville Russell Sabetha St. John	18,489 2,450 5,647 2,268 1,569	.90 1.00 .50	1.00  .25 	.994 .99 	.986 1.00 1.00
Hillsboro Hoisington Holton Horton Independence lola	3,684 2,614 3,675 2,964 1,960 10,997 7,025	. 10 . 164	1.00 1.00 .093 .94 1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00 .094 .95 1.00	.990 .90 1.00 1.00	Sedgwick Seneca Smith Center Stafford Stockton Wakeeney	1,541 2,334 2,172 1.364 1,838 2,526	.70	.98 .92 .50 	.078 .897 1.00  .97 .70	.995 .914 1.00  .34 .70
· Oiu	7,023	. 13	. 43	.47	.50	Wakefield Winfield	11,770	1.00	1.00	.50	. 10 . 50