	A	pproved	March 15, 1988 Date
MINUTES OF THEHOUS	E COMMITTEE ONINSUR	ANCE	
The meeting was called to order l	REPRESENTATIVE DALE SPE	<b>Chairperson</b>	at
3:30 XX.m./p.m. on MARCI	H 1 Representative Brady	,	531-N of the Capitol.
Committee staff present:	Emaline Correll, Resear Bill Edds, Revisor of S Nancy Wolff, Secretary		

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Richard Brock, Insurance Department Ed Hund, Kansas Trial Lawyers Assn. Gary Pauley, State Farm Insurance Lori Callahan, American Insurance Association Fred Bosse, U.S. F.& G. Kim Yelkin, St. Paul Insurance

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman.

The minutes of February 23, 1988, February 24, 1988, and February 29, 1988, were distributed for the members review. Representative Cribbs made a motion that the minutes be approved and Representative Gross seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Hearings were scheduled on House Bill 2971, the Insurance Reform Act of 1988.

Dick Brock, Kansas Insurance Department, testified as a proponent of the bill. He stated that the legislation was a compromise between the Kansas Trial Lawyers Association and the Insurance Department. In his written testimony, he outlined the six primary areas covered by the legislation. (Exhibit I)

Ed Hund, Kansas Trial Lawyers Assn., appeared to testify in favor of House Bill 2971. He also outlined the five new standards established by the insurance commissioner to judge whether a rate set by a fire, proporty and casualty company is excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory. (Exhibit II)

At this point, the chairman requested that the out-of-town opponents to the bill testify on House Bill 2971 and the local opponents hold their testimony until Wednesday.

The first conferee to testify in opposition to House Bill 2971 was Gary Pauley, State Farm Insurance, Bloomington, Illinois. He presented the attached balloon (Exhibit III) of House Bill 2971 and reviewed the changes he would propose in the legislation to make it more workable.

Lori Callahan, American Insurance Association, presented her testimony to the committee. (Exhibit IV) She then introduced Fred Bosse, U.S.F. & G. Mr. Bosse who testified in opposition to the bill. He compared the proposed Kansas legislation with the Florida law which was previously enacted. He urged the committee to not make the same bad decisions. (Exhibit V)

### CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE _	HOUSE CO	MMITTEE ON	INSURANCE	,
room <u>531-N</u> , Stateho	ouse, at3:30	a.m./p.m. on	MARCH 1	

Lori Callahan then introduced Kim Yelkin representing the St. Paul Insurance Company who also appeared in opposition to House Bill 2971. She stated that she concurred with the comments made by Mr. Bosse and stated that St. Paul is concerned about this sort of bill being enacted.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:45 p.m.

### VISITORS TO HOUSE INSURANCE COMMITTEE

DATE: 3-01-88

REPRESENTING NAME

#### REMARKS BY

# DICK BROCK, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT KANSAS INSURANCE DEPARTMENT

BEFORE THE

HOUSE INSURANCE COMMITTEE
REGARDING HOUSE BILL NO. 2971

MARCH 1, 1988

It is no secret that House Bill No. 2971 is a compromise between the Kansas Insurance Department and the Kansas Trial Lawyers Association (KTLA). This fact alone has raised some eyebrows but, unfortunately, it has also led to a negative reaction on the part of some members of the insurance industry which is unwarranted by the provisions of the bill and the effect its enactment will have. As just a bit of background, House Bill No. 2971 evolved from House Bill No. 2502 which was introduced at the request of the KTEA last session. While there were some parts of that bill the Insurance Department could not accept, we made no secret of the fact that other parts of House Bill No. 2502 were appropriate regulatory tools. Consequently, the fact that many components of House Bill No. 2502 were reasonable and desirable led to an agreement to sit down with representatives of the KTLA and attempt to compromise our differences on changes in the rate regulation laws. House Bill No. 2971 is the result and even though, as I've indicated and as you know, some industry folks won't agree I am convinced that when viewed objectively as one component of the whole tort reform/liability insurance debate, the bill is in the overall best interests of the insurance industry as well as insurance consumers.

I'm not going to tell you House Bill No. 2971 doesn't do anything because it does. And I'm not going to tell you that House Bill No. 2971 does not strengthen the Insurance Commissioner's ability to regulate fire and casualty insurance rates by granting him or her additional statutory authority because it does, but I am going to tell you that what it does should not be harmful to insurance companies or insurance markets. If

insurance markets are adversely affected by this passage of this bill, it will only be because an insurer or insurers want to use the bill and its proponents as scapegoats. The bill contains six basic ingredients and I will very, very briefly summarize what these ingredients are and what they will do in terms of their economic impact on insurers.

First, by defining the current standards of "excessive," "inadequate" and "unfairly discriminatory" the bill will have no effect since such definitions simply codify the current manner in which these standards are viewed in analyzing the statutory propriety of rate filings.

Second, requiring consideration to be given to investment income or losses on unearned premium and loss reserves may have a long term impact on the insurance industry since it should result in more consistent treatment of investment results. However, the short term impact will be minimal because on at least major premium volume lines insurers have traditionally requested a more modest rate adjustment than their underwriting results would justify. The difference has to be attributed to investment results despite the lack of a statutory requirement that such revenues or losses be considered in the ratemaking process. In addition, we all remember what insurance prices did when the prime interest rate rose to 20% or above and we all painfully recall what happened when interest rates fell from these unprecedented heights. So no one should have any reason to doubt that investment results have impacted insurance rates quite significantly without statutory recognition. Consequently, investment results are already being given

consideration in many situations which, in turn, materially reduces the impact that will be realized from this change in the rating laws.

Nevertheless, inclusion of investment income or loss in the ratemaking process is an ingredient the Insurance Department has long supported and advocated. After an exhaustive study of the issue, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners also adopted this position in 1984.

Third, while the proposal specifically requires the company to provide all information necessary to evaluate a rate filing, current law has the same effect. This does not include the new authority contained in the proposal which would permit the commissioner to have a filing subjected to an independent evaluation (presumably analysis by an independent actuary) at the company's expense. This authority will be useful and is a meritorious addition from a regulatory perspective; however, because of the experience and expertise of the current insurance department staff, it is not anticipated that such authority will be frequently utilized. Consequently, expenses incurred by the insurance industry to fund the independent evaluations will be quite small in relation to the premium volume involved. At the same time, however, it should be recognized that the insurers and rating organizations making the filing routinely utilize actuarial services and expertise. The Insurance Department has no actuaries on its staff because we have found that being able to retain an independent actuary who specializes in the line of insurance or ratemaking principles involved on a given subject is a more effective and efficient use of resources. Thus, all this provision does is permit the Commissioner to get a second opinion on a rate filing at the expense of

the company or organization that is going to benefit if the rate request is approved.

Fourth, requiring insurers and rating organizations to specifically assume the burden of proof to show that rates meet the statutory standards will have very little, if any, impact. The vast majority of rate disapprovals are not contested by the organization making the filing and in those rare instances where a formal administrative hearing is requested, granted and held, the expenses attributable to this statutory change would not be of sufficient magnitude to measure.

Fifth, the ability of the Commissioner to impose a retroactive adjustment of rates could, of course, have a significant fiscal impact if it occurred. However, this provision would only be operative with respect to rates that are disapproved after they have been in effect.

Furthermore, since the rates would have had to be initially approved, such disapproved rates would have to be in effect for an extended period for the retroactive application of a reduction or increase to have influence. As a result, even though the provision is meritorious and in the public interest, it is not going to need to be applied with sufficient frequency to have a quantifiable impact on the insurance industry. However, it seems to us that if the rates used by an insurer are statutorily required to meet certain standards such as "not excessive," "inadequate" or "unfairly discriminatory" they should be in compliance at all times. Under current law and without the change proposed in lines 267-270 and 432-434, insurers are permitted to charge

an excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory rate until they get caught and even then can't be required to right the wrongs they have already committed.

Finally, the new section in the bill requiring insurers to submit a plan for an orderly withdrawal if they decide to quit writing a line of insurance or particular class of risks does nothing more than that. It does not in any way prevent or prohibit such withdrawals. It simply attempts and intends to require insurers who exercise this business judgment to do so in an orderly manner and in a way that minimizes the impact on the public. We believe this is a perfectly proper and appropriate provision which would benefit the insuring public without harming in any way the interests of insurers who believe in treating policyholders fairly and with respect.

### HOUSE BILL NO. 2971

## Explanatory Memorandum

This bill increases the authority of the insurance commissioner to regulate the fire, property and casualty insurance industry. It further sets more specific rate standards which are designed to promote some stability in the cost of insurance. There is a requirement that investment income be considered as part of any rate change. New Section 6 is designed to discourage boycotting. This bill amends K.S.A. 40-927, 40-928, 40-929, 40-1112 and 40-1113. The significant changes are summarized as follows:

- 1. Five new standards are established by which the insurance commissioner shall judge whether a rate set by a fire, property and casualty company is excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory. These standards though not exclusive would apply in any proceeding or review in which the insurance commissioner questions the rate or rate change. These standards are summarized as follows:
  - A. A rate is excessive if
    -profits are unreasonable in relation to
    the risk
    -premium income replenishes loss due to
    investment losses.
  - B. A rate is inadequate if -the rate together with investment income will not sustain the projected losses -discounts and credits exceed a reasonable reflection of expense savings to a risk or group.
  - C. A rate is unfairly discriminatory if -discounts or credits among various risks do not bare a reasonable relationship to expected loss or experience between risks.
- 2. Investment income and losses for the first time are required to be part of any premium rate base.

- 3. The insurance commissioner may require an independent audit of a rate filing at the applicants expense. This provision is completely discretionary with the commissioner but attempts to equalize the disparity in resources and personnel between the commissioners office and the larger carriers in the rate hearing process.
- 4. Under present law, the insurance commissioner must act upon a rate application by notifying the applicant in writing or a new rate will go into effect upon the expiration of 30 days. The law provides that the new rate will be deemed approved unless the insurance commissioner takes action. To avoid the implication that the insurance commissioner has the burden in any administrative proceeding following disapproval of a rate application, new language affirmatively states that the applicant insurance company has the burden of proof by preponderance of the evidence to show that a question rate is not excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory.
- 5. The commissioner is given the authority to adjust premiums to reflect his findings when a rate or rate change is disapproved by the commissioner. This provision is discretionary but it is an additional tool the commissioner can use to make his rulings effective when rates are inappropriate.
- 6. Essentially all of the above changes are repeated in subsequent sections so that they apply to property and casualty companies as well as fire and marine companies. This repetitiveness is necessary because the appropriate statutes treat each separately.
- 7. New Section 6: This provision seeks to discourage boycotting requiring any company that decides to stop selling particular types of insurance to submit a plan to the commissioner. The plan should provide for orderly withdrawal so as to minimize the impact of discontinued insurance coverages on affected consumers. It would also give the commissioner assistance in finding alternate coverage for consumers whose insurance has been discontinued.

By Committee on Insurance

2-16

oncerning rate making with respect to certain insurers; providing requirements for certain insurers upon cessation of business in the state; amending K.S.A. 40-928, 49-929 and 40-1113 and K.S.A. 1987 Supp. 40-927 and 40-1112 and repealing the existing sections.

0022 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 1987 Supp. 40-927 is hereby amended to 0024 read as follows: 40-927. (a) Rates shall be made in accordance 0025 with the following provisions:

(1) Manual, minimum, class rates or rating schedules, shall 0026 0027 be made and adopted, except in the case of specific inland 0028 marine rates on risks specially rated. Such rates for personal lines 0029 of property insurance may be modified to produce rates for 0030 individual risks in accordance with rating plans which establish 0031 standards for measuring variations in hazards or expense provi-0032 sions, or both. Rates for commercial lines of property insurance 0033 may be modified to produce rates for individual risks in accord-0034 ance with rules and regulations promulgated by the commis-0035 sioner establishing reasonable standards for rating plans, in-0036 cluding experience rating plans, schedule rating plans, 0037 individual risk premium modification plans and expense reduc-0038 tion plans, designed to modify rates in the development of premiums for individual risks insured in a property market. Such 0040 standards shall permit recognition of expected differences in loss 0041 or expense characteristics, and shall be designed so that such plans are reasonable and equitable in their application, and are 0043 not unfairly discriminatory, violative of public policy or other-0044 wise contrary to the best interests of the people of this state. Such 0045 standards shall not prevent the development of new or innovaEXHIBIT III

tive rating methods which otherwise comply with this act. Such rating plans shall be filed or refiled by insurers in compliance with the rules and regulations. The commissioner shall review such plans and shall disapprove a plan that does not comply with the rules and regulations. The rules and regulations shall establish maximum debits and credits that may result from the application of a rating plan, encourage loss control, safety programs, and other methods of risk management and require insurers to maintain documentation of the basis of the debits and credits applied under any plan. Once it has been filed and approved, use of the rating plan shall become mandatory and such plan shall be applied uniformly for eligible risks in a manner that is not unfairly discriminatory.

- 0059 (2) Rates shall not be excessive, inadequate or unfairly dis-0060 criminatory. In applying the rate standards provided in this 0061 subsection, a rate may be found by the commissioner to be 0062 excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory based upon but 0063 not limited to the following standards:
- (A) Rates shall be deemed excessive if they are likely to most produce a profit that is unreasonably high in relation to the risk most involved in the class of business or are based on expenses that most are unreasonably high in relation to services rendered.
- 0068 (B) Rates shall be deemed excessive if the rate structure 0069 established by a stock insurance company provides for any 0070 replenishment of surpluses from premiums when the need for 0071 replenishment is attributable to investment losses, other than 0072 Investment losses otherwise considered in the rates.
- 0073 (C) Rates shall be deemed inadequate if they are clearly 0074 insufficient, together with the investment income attributable 0075 to them, to sustain projected losses and expenses in the class of 0076 business to which they apply.
- (D) A rate shall be deemed inadequate as to the premium cors charged to a risk or group of risks if discounts or credits are not allowed which exceed a reasonable reflection of expense savings and reasonably expected loss experience from the risk or group that of risks.
  - (E) A rate shall be deemed unfairly discriminatory as to a

line or

unrealized

-line or

ooms risk or group of risks if the application of premium discounts or credits among such risks does not bear a reasonable relationship to the expected loss and expense experience among the various oms risks.

- Oss (3). Due consideration shall be given to past and prospective loss experience within and outside this state, to the conflagration and catastrophe hazards, to a reasonable margin for underwriting profit and contingencies, to dividends, savings or unabsorbed premium deposits allowed or returned by insurers to their policypholders, members or subscribers, to past and prospective expenses both countrywide and those specially applicable to this state, to earnings or losses resulting from the investment of unearned premiums; and loss reserves and to all other relevant the insurance rates consideration shall be given to the experience of the insurance rates consideration shall be given to the experience of the most recent five-year period for which such experience is available.
- (4) The systems of expense provision included in the rates for use by any insurer or group of insurers may differ from those of other insurers or groups of insurers to reflect the requirements of the operating methods of any such insurer or group with respect to any kind of insurance, or with respect to any subdivision or combination thereof for which subdivision or combination the commissioner of insurance, hereinafter referred to as commissioner, approves the application for separate expense provisions.
- 0109 (b). Except to the extent necessary to meet the provisions of 0110: subdivision (2) of subsection (a) of this section, uniformity among 0111 insurers in any matters within the scope of this section is neither 0112 required nor prohibited.
- 0113 (c) Rates made in accordance with this section shall be used 0114 subject to the provisions of this act.
- Sec. 2. K.S.A. 40-928 is hereby amended to read as follows: 0116 40-928. (a) Every insurer shall file with the commissioner, except 0117 as to those inland marine risks which by general custom of the 0118 business are not written according to manual rates or rating 0119 plans, every manual, minimum, class rate, rating schedule or

rating plan and every other rating rule and every modification of 0121 any of the foregoing which it proposes to use. Every such filing 0122 shall state the proposed effective date thereof and shall indicate the character and extent of the coverage contemplated. When a filing is not accompanied by the information upon which the 0125 insurer supports such filing, and the commissioner does not have 0126 sufficient information to determine whether such filing meets 0127 the requirements of the act, the commissioner shall require such 0128 insurer to furnish the information upon which it supports such 0129 filing, and in such event the waiting period shall commence as of 0130 the date such information is furnished. The information fur-0131 nished in support of a filing may include (1) the experience or 0132 judgment of the insurer or rating organization making the filing; 0133 (2) its interpretation of any statistical data it relies upon; (3) the 0134 experience of other insurers or rating organizations; or (4) any 0135 other relevant factors. A filing and any supporting information 0136 shall be open to public inspection after it is filed with the 0137 commissioner. Specific inland marine rates on risks specially 0138 rated, made by a rating organization, shall be filed with the 0139 commissioner.

- 0140 (b) An insurer may satisfy its obligation to make such filings 0141 either individually or by authorizing the commissioner to accept 0142 on its behalf the filings made by a licensed rating organization or 0143 another insurer. Nothing contained in this act shall be construed 0144 as requiring any insurer to become a member of or a subscriber 0145 to any rating organization.
- 0146 (c) The commissioner shall review filings as soon as reason-0147 ably possible after they have been made in order to determine 0148 whether they meet the requirements of this act. In reviewing a 0149 rate filing the commissioner may require the insurer to provide, 0150 at the insurer's expense, all information necessary to evaluate 0151 the condition of the company and the reasonableness of the 0152 filing according to the criteria enumerated in this section, in-0153 cluding an independent evaluation of the filing.
- o154 (d) Subject to the exception specified in subsection (e) of this o155 section, each filing shall be on file for a waiting period of fifteen o156 (15) 15 days before it becomes effective, which period may be

o157 extended by the commissioner for an additional period not to o158 exceed fifteen (15) 15 days if the commissioner gives written o159 notice within such waiting period to the insurer or rating orga- o160 nization which made the filing that such additional time is o161 needed for the consideration of such filing. Upon written application by such insurer or rating organization, the commissioner o163 may authorize a filing which he or she the commissioner has o164 reviewed to become effective before the expiration of the wait- o165 ing period or any extension thereof. A filing shall be deemed to o166 meet the requirements of this act unless disapproved by the o167 commissioner within the waiting period or any extension o168 thereof.

- 0169 (e) Specific inland marine rates on risks specially rated by a 0170 rating organization shall become effective when filed and shall 0171 be deemed to meet the requirements of this act until such time as 0172 the commissioner reviews the filing and so long thereafter as the 0173 filing remains in effect.
- 10174 (f) Under such rules and regulations adopted by the com0175 missioner, the commissioner may, by written order, suspend or
  0176 modify the requirement of filing as to any kind of insurance,
  0177 subdivision or combination thereof, or as to classes of risks, the
  0178 rates for which cannot practicably be filed before they are used.
  0179 Such orders, and rules and regulations shall be made known to
  0180 insurers and rating organizations affected thereby. The commis0181 sioner may make such examination as deemed advisable to
  0182 ascertain whether any rates affected by such order meet the
  0183 standards set forth in subdivision 2 of subsection (a) of K.S.A.
  0184 40-927, and amendments thereto.
- 0185 (g) Upon the written application of the insured, stating the 0186 reasons therefor, filed with and approved by the commissioner, a 0187 rate in excess of that provided by a filing otherwise applicable 0188 may be used on any specific risk.
- 0189 (h) No insurer shall make or issue a contract or policy except 0190 in accordance with the filings which are in effect for said insurer 0191 as provided in this act or in accordance with subsections (f) or (g) 0192 of this section. This subsection shall not apply to contracts or 0193 policies for inland marine risks as to which filings are not

0194 required.

SERVICE SERVIC

Sec. 3. K.S.A. 40-929 is hereby amended to read as follows: 0196 40-929. (a) If within the waiting period or any extension thereof 0197 as provided in subsection (d) of K.S.A. 40-928, and amendments 0198 thereto, the commissioner finds that a filing does not meet the 0199 requirements of this act, he the commissioner shall send to the 0200 insurer or rating organization which made such filing, written 0201 notice of disapproval of such filing specifying therein in what 0202 respects he finds such filing fails to meet the requirements of this 0203 act and stating that such filing shall not become effective. In any 0204 administrative proceeding under this act, the insurer or rating 0205 organization shall carry the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence to show that the rate is not excessive, inadequate 0207 or unfairly discriminatory.

- (b) If within thirty (30) 30 days after a specific inland marine rate on a risk specially rated by a rating organization, subject to subsection (e) of K.S.A. 40-528, and amendments thereto, has pecome effective, the commissioner finds that such filing does not meet the requirements of this act, he the commissioner shall send to the rating organization which made such filing written notice of disapproval of such filing specifying therein in what respects he finds that such filing fails to meet the requirements of this act and stating when, within a reasonable period thereafter, such filing shall be deemed no longer effective. Said disapproval shall not affect any contract made; issued and effective
- occopied (c) If at any time subsequent to the applicable review period provided for in subsection (a) or (b) of this section, the commissioner finds that a filing does not meet the requirements of this act, he the commissioner shall, after a hearing held upon not less than ten (10) 10 days' written notice, specifying the matters to be considered at such hearing to every insurer and rating organization which made such filing, issue an order specifying in what respects he finds that such filing fails to meet the requirements of this act, and stating when, within a reasonable period thereafore, such filing shall be deemed no longer effective. Copies of this act, order shall be sent to every such insurer and rating

Said disapproval shall not affect any contract made, issued and effective prior to the expiration of the period set forth in said notice. The second of th

THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF T

0231 organization. Said order shall not affect any contract or policy 0232 made, issued and effective prior to the expiration of the period 0233 set forth in said order.

0234. (d) Any person or organization aggrieved with respect to any 0235 filing which is in effect may make written application to the 0236 commissioner for a hearing thereon: Provided, however, That, 0237. except that the insurer that made the filing shall not be autho-0238 rized to proceed under this subsection. Such application shall 0239 specify the grounds to be relied upon by the applicant and such 0240 application must shall, show that the person or organization 0241 making such application has a specific economic interest af-0242 fected by the filing. If the commissioner shall find that the 0243 application is made in good faith, that the applicant has a specific 0244 economic interest, that the applicant would be so aggrieved if his 0245 such applicant's grounds are established, and that such grounds 0246 otherwise justify holding such a hearing, he the commissioner 0247 shall, within thirty (30) 30 days after receipt of such application, 0248 hold a hearing upon not less than ten (40) 10 days' written notice 0249 to the applicant and to every insurer and rating organization 0250 which made such filing. No rating or advisory organization shall 0251 have any status under this act to make application for a hearing 0252 on any filing made by an insurer with the commissioner.

O253 If, after such hearing, the commissioner finds that the filing O254 does not meet the requirements of this act, he the commissioner O255 shall issue an order specifying in what respects he finds that such O256 filing fails to meet the requirements of this act, and stating when, O257 within a reasonable period thereafter, such filing shall be O258 deemed no longer effective. Copies of said order shall be sent to O259 the applicant and to every such insurer and rating organization. O260 Said order shall not affect any contract or policy made or issued O261 prior to the expiration of the period set forth in said order.

0262 (e) In the event the commissioner finds that a rate or rate or rate of change is excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory after 0264 hearing, the commissioner shall issue an order disapproving 0265 such rate or rate change and specifying that a new rate or rate 0266 schedule be filed by the insurer which responds to the findings 0267 of the commissioner within 30 days. The commissioner may

Said order shall not affect any contract or policy made, issued and effective prior to the expiration of the period set forth in said order.

Said order shall not affect any contract or policy made or issued prior to the expiration of the period set forth in said order.

(e) If after hearing, the commissioner finds that a rate or rate change is excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory, the commissioner shall issue an order disapproving such rate or rate change and shall further order that premiums be adjusted prospectively to reflect the findings of the commissioner regarding the rate or rate change.

order that premiums be adjusted retroactively to the order of the rate or rate change to reflect the findings of the commissioner regarding the rate or rate change.

- (e) (f) No manual, minimum, or class rate, rating schedule, 0272 rating plan, rating rule or any modification of any of the foregoing 0273 which has been filed pursuant to the requirements of K.S.A. 0274 40-928, and amendments thereto, shall be disapproved if the 0275 rates thereby produced meet the requirements of this act.
- O276 Sec. 4. K.S.A. 1987 Supp. 40-1112 is hereby amended to read O277 as follows: 40-1112: All rates shall be made in accordance with O278 the following provisions:
- 0279 (a) Due consideration may shall be given: (1) To past and 0280 prospective loss experience within and outside the state;
- 0281 (2) to catastrophe hazards, if any;
- 0282 (3) to a reasonable margin for profit and contingencies;
- 0283 (4) to dividends, savings or unabsorbed premium deposits 0284 allowed or returned by insurers to their policyholders, members 0285 or subscribers;
- 0286 (5) to policyholders' dividends in the case of participating 0287 insurers; and
- 0288 (6) to earnings or losses resulting from investment of un-0289 earned premiums and loss reserves; and
- 0200 (6) (7) to all other relevant factors within and outside the 0291 state.
- (b) The systems of expense provisions included in the rates for use by any insurer or group of insurers may differ from those of other insurers or groups of insurers to reflect the requirements of the operating methods of any such insurer or group with respect to any kind of insurance, or with respect to any subdivition or combination or combination thereof for which subdivision or combination the commissioner of insurance approves the application of separate expense provisions. This paragraph shall not be considered to require uniformity among all insurers with respect to one of the application of other paragraphs of this section.
- 0302 (c) Risks may be grouped by classifications for the establish-0303 ment of rates and minimum premiums. Classification rates for 0304 personal lines of casualty insurance may be modified to produce

rates for individual risks in accordance with rating plans which establish standards for measuring variations in hazards or expense provisions, or both. Classification rates for commercial 0308 lines of casualty insurance may be modified to produce rates for 0309 individual risks in accordance with rules and regulations promulgated by the commissioner establishing reasonable standards for rating plans, including experience rating plans, schedule rating plans, individual risk premium modification plans and 0313 expense reduction plans; designed to modify rates in the devel-0314 opment of premiums for individual risks insured in a casualty market. Such standards shall permit recognition of expected differences in loss or expense characteristics, and shall be de-0317 signed so that such plans are reasonable and equitable in their application, and are not unfairly discriminatory, violative of public policy or otherwise contrary to the best interests of the people of this state. Such standards shall not prevent the devel-0321 opment of new or innovative rating methods which otherwise 0322 comply with this act. Such rating plans shall be filed or refiled by insurers in compliance with the rules and regulations. The commissioner shall review such plans and shall disapprove a plan that does not comply with the rules and regulations. The rules and regulations shall establish maximum debits and credits 0327 that may result from the application of a rating plan, encourage 0328 loss control, safety programs, and other methods of risk manage-0329 ment and require insurers to maintain documentation of the 0330 basis of the debits and credits applied under any plan. Once it has been filed and approved, use of the rating plan shall become 0332 mandatory and such plan shall be applied uniformly for eligible risks in a manner that is not unfairly discriminatory.

- (d) Rates shall be reasonable, adequate and not unfairly dis-0335 criminatory. In applying the rate standards provided in this 0336 subsection, a rate may be found by the commissioner to be 0337 excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory based upon but 0338 not limited to the following standards:
- 1339 (1) Rates shall be deemed excessive if they are likely to 1340 produce a profit that is unreasonably high in relation to the risk 1341 involved in the class of business or are based on expenses that

line or

0342 are unreasonably high in relation to services rendered.

- (2) Rates may be deemed excessive if the rate structure established by; a stock insurance company provides for any replenishment of surpluses from premiums when the need for replenishment is attributable to investment losses, other than investment income or loss otherwise considered in the rates:
- 0348 (3) Rates shall be deemed inadequate if they are clearly 0349 insufficient, together with the investment income attributable 0350 to them, to sustain projected losses and expenses in the class of 0351 business to which they apply.
- 0352 (4) A rate shall be deemed inadequate as to the premium 0353 charged to a risk or group of risks if discounts or credits are 0354 allowed which exceed a reasonable reflection of expense savings 0355 and reasonably expected loss experience from the risk or group 0356 of risks.
- 0357 (5) A rate shall be deemed unfairly discriminatory as to a 0358 risk or group of risks if the application of premium discounts or 0359 credits among such risks does not bear a reasonable relationship 0360 to the expected loss and expense experience among the various 0361 risks.
- Sec. 5. K.S.A. 40-1113 is hereby amended to read as follows: 40-1113. (a) Every insurer shall file with the commissioner every manual of classifications, rules and rates, every rating plan and every modification of any of the foregoing which it proposes to use. Every such filing shall indicate the character and extent of the coverage contemplated and shall be accompanied by the information upon which the insurer supports the filing. A filing and any supporting information shall be open to public inspection after it is filed with the commissioner.
- 0371 (b) An insurer may satisfy its obligation to make such filings 0372 by authorizing the commissioner to accept on its behalf the 0373 filings made by a licensed rating organization or another insurer. 0374 Nothing contained in this act shall be construed as requiring any 0375 insurer to become a member of or a subscriber to any rating 0376 organization.
- 0377 (c) Any filing made pursuant to this section shall be approved 0378 by the commissioner unless the commissioner finds that such

unrealized

-line or

filing does not meet the requirements of this act or establishes an unreasonable or excessive rate. As soon as reasonably possible after the filing has been made, the commissioner shall in writing approve or disapprove the same, except that any filing shall be deemed approved unless disapproved within thirty (30) 30 days.

(d) In reviewing a rate filing the commissioner may require the insurer to provide, at the insurer's expense, all information

oss the insurer to provide, at the insurer's expense, all information osses necessary to evaluate the condition of the company and the osses reasonableness of the filing according to the criteria enumeross ated in this section including an independent evaluation of the osses filing.

(d) (e) Any such filing with respect to a fidelity, surety or 0391 guaranty bond shall be deemed approved from the date of filing 0392 to the date of such formal approval or disapproval.

(e) (f) In the event that the commissioner disapproves a one filing, the commissioner shall specify in what respect he or she one finds that such filing does not meet the requirements of this act. In any administrative proceeding under this act, the insurer or rating organization shall carry the burden of proof by a presume ponderance of the evidence to show that the rate is not excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory.

(f) (g) If at any time the commissioner finds that a filing so of the approved no longer meets the requirements of this act, the commissioner may, after a hearing held on not less than twenty (20) 20 days' written notice, specifying the matters to be considered at such hearing, to every insurer and rating organization which made such filing, issue an order withdrawing his er her of the approval thereof. Said Such order shall specify in what respects the commissioner finds that such filing no longer meets the requirements of this act and shall be effective not less than thirty (30) 30 days after its issuance. Copies of such order shall be sent to every such insurer and rating organization.

(g) (h) Any person or organization aggrieved by the action of the commissioner with respect to any filing may, within thirty (30) 30 days after such action, make written request to the commissioner for a hearing thereon. This section shall not apply to any insurer or rating organization with respect to a withdrawal

0416 of a filing made by it. The commissioner shall hear such ag-0417 grieved party within thirty (30) 30 days after receipt of such 0418 request and shall give not less than ten (10) 10 days' written 0419 notice of the time and place of the hearing to the insurer or rating 0420 organization which made the filing and to any other aggrieved 0421 party. Within thirty (30) 30 days after such hearing the commis-0422 sioner shall affirm, reverse or modify his or her such commis-0423 sioner's previous action specifying the reasons therefor. Pending 0424 such hearing and decision thereon the commissioner may sus-0425 pend or postpone the effective date of his or her such previous 0426 action. In the event the commissioner finds that a rate or rate 0427 change is excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory after 0428 hearing, the commissioner shall issue an order disapproving 0429 such rate or rate change and specifying that a new rate or rate 0430 schedule be filed by the insurer which responds to the findings 0431 of the commissioner within 30 days. The commissioner may 0432 further order that premiums be adjusted retroactively to the 0433 effective date of the rate or rate change to reflect the findings of 0434 the commissioner regarding the rate or rate change.

0435 (h) (i) No insurer shall make or issue a contract or policy 0436 except in accordance with filings which have been approved for 0437 said insurer as provided in this act.

New Sec. 6. An insurer may cease to transact insurance in this state, or discontinue the writing or renewal of one or more kinds of property or casualty insurance specified in K.S.A. 40-901 and 40-1102, and amendments thereto, or classes of property of casualty insurance risks, only after the submission of a plan which provides for an orderly withdrawal from the market and a minimization of the impact of the surrender or discontinuance on the public generally and on the insurer's policyholders. The plan shall-be approved by the commissioner, and the insurer shall comply with the plan's provisions before the withdrawal or discontinuance takes effect. Enforcement of the provisions of this section shall be in accordance with article 24 of chapter 40 of the Kansas Statutes Annotated, and acts amendatory thereof and supplemental thereto.

Sec. 7. K.S.A. 40-928, 40-929 and 40-1113 and K.S.A. 1987

(e) If after hearing, the commissioner finds that a rate or rate change is excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory, the commissioner shall issue an order disapproving such rate or rate change and shall further order that premiums be adjusted prospectively to reflect that findings of the commissioner regarding the rate or rate change.

(1)

or, (2) upon loss of adequate reinsurance, or (3) when deemed to be in hazardous financial condition, or (4) when deemed to be insolvent or potentially insolvent.

Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to authorize the commission to order an insurer to write a kind of property or casualty insurance or a class of property or casualty insurance risks that the insurer does not write in its normal course of business.

0453 Supp. 40-927 and 40-1112 are hereby repealed.

0454 Sec. 8. This act shall take effect and be in force from and

0455 after its publication in the statute book.

TESTIMONY OF LORI M. CALLAHAN
KANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
AMERICAN INSURANCE ASSOCIATION
BEFORE THE
HOUSE INSURANCE COMMITTEE
MARCH 1, 1988
H.B. 2971

I would like to thank you for the opportunity to testify at this hearing on H.B. 2971, on behalf of AIA and its member companies. AIA is a national trade association representing more than 187 companies that write property and casualty insurance.

defines the terms This bill opposes H.B. 2971. "excessive", "inadequate" or "unfairly discriminatory." are terms which have been utilized universally in the field of insurance regulation, and have a long history concerning their The bill does not utilize the model definition in Kansas. language of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, and appears to be unique from all other state's except Florida, which have attempted to define these terms. The bill would shift the burden of proof in rate filings from the Insurance Department to the insurers, thereby allowing the Insurance Commissioner to disapprove a rate unreasonably, while requiring the insurer to prove this unreasonableness, rather than the Commissioner being required to justify his actions. In administrative and regulatory law, the regulatory body traditionally has the burden of proving the validity of its actions. The bill further would provide that valid contracts of insurance which were carefully negotiated between insurers and sophisticated insurance purchasers could be voided by the Commissioner at some later date to the detriment of both the insurer and the insurance purchaser at some later date.

The bill would allow the Commissioner of Insurance to disapprove rates by setting a new rate, thereby making insurance rates state-made rather than company-made. This again is a unique provision within the states. The bill would further provide that if a rate was later found inadequate, insurance companies would be required to demand a higher premium from their customers for insurance which has already been provided. Further, if a rate was found excessive, companies would be required to refund premiums previously paid to the company.

Finally, new Section 6 of H.B. 2971 undoes many years of cooperation between insurers and the Kansas Department of Insurance, resulting from the Department's extremely successful Market Availability Program (MAP). New Section 6 would make companies virtual hostages of the Insurance Commissioner requiring fulfillment of a plan, which the bill does not define, and which might be impossible to fulfill, all the while allowing the Insurance Commissioner to take as much time as the Commissioner chooses since new Section 6 has no time limitations.

The American Insurance Association is comprised of companies

Writing in some of the most volatile lines of insurance in Kansas. Each company has its own unique perspective on the problems which H.B. 2971 would create for their book of business in Kansas. St. Paul Fire & Marine, writing medical malpractice insurance has a perspective on the bill unique from U.S.F.& G. and from Hartford writing in commercial lines of business. Thus, after careful consideration and discussion of possible amendments to this bill, the AIA companies have been unable to reach a consensus. Three companies will present their perspective today. I will present amendments for Henry Katz of the Hartford, who could not be with us today. Kimberly Yelkin, Senior Government Affairs Manager for St. Paul Insurance Company, will discuss St. Paul's perspective; and Fred Bosse, Assistant Vice President of Government Affairs for U.S.F.& G. will present the perspective of his company.

Hartford Amendments. Attached hereto you will find a balloon of amendments proposed by the Hartford Insurance Company. On lines 68-72 of the bill, the Hartford proposes deleting that section since it appears to be discriminatory towards stock insurance companies. At line 82, the word "rate" should be deleted and the word "rating plan" should be utilized to more clearly reflect where premium discounts and credits are utilized. At line 151, the words "the condition of the company and" are eliminated to address the problems many states have faced when

they have established rating plans wherein the company must prove it will be virtually insolvent without the rate change prior to approval of its rates. As you can imagine, a company such as Hartford would withdraw from a state if it was required to prove that it would be insolvent without a rate change.

At line 152-153, the term "including an evaluation of the filing" is deleted since this would require an additional cost on the insurance company when in fact the Insurance Commissioner should have staff which can provide an evaluation of the filing.

At lines 203-207, deletion prevents the shifting of the burden of proof from the Insurance Commissioner to the insurer, thereby avoiding a unique and unjustified statute with regard to regulatory hearings.

Lines 217-219, 231-233, and 259-261, are deleted so that valid insurance contracts which have been negotiated and agreed to by both parties could not at some date in the future be voided by actions of the Insurance Commissioner, thereby protecting both the insured and the insurer.

Language changes in 262-270 would disallow the Commissioner from setting rates for companies. This provision, if passed, would be extremely unique and would set an onerous burden on the Insurance Commissioner to set rates for all insurance companies doing business in Kansas. Further, it addresses the possibility that a rate found to be inadequate could result in the Commission

mandating that additional premium be obtained from insured; as well as preventing the Insurance Commissioner from ordering rate roll backs after a rate has been previously approved.

Additional amendments on pages 8-12 are the same amendments previously discussed but cover casualty insurance.

With regard to new Section 6, the Hartford would propose that this Section be eliminated. There has not been demonstrated need for such a proposal. Quite to the contrary, the MAP program of Insurance Commissioner has helped address availability in a manner which has illicited cooperation from problems insurance companies. Further, it is questionable that such a requirement would be constitutional since it could result in the Insurance Commissioner mandating that a company write a form or type of insurance. Finally, if the problem which new Section 6 hopes to address is to alert the Insurance Department as to companies which may be departing from a line of business, a more constitutional, and probably more helpful solution would be to require companies to provide written notice to the Department as to its intention to stop writing a kind or class of insurance, without any mandatory withdrawal provisions.

#### CONCLUSION

In this time of availability and affordability with property and casualty insurance, this bill which supposedly addresses these problems, merely causes further availability and affor-

dabilty problems. Availability will be hindered by putting onerous requirements on companies currently writing in volatile lines in the state of Kansas. Further, the ability of the state of Kansas to attract new companies to these volatile lines will be sharply hindered to the extent that new companies will see that they must deal with provisions which are undefined, untested, and virtually without limit.

With regard to affordability, requiring independent evaluations of filings, establishing new definitions and new regulations unique from other states, shifting the burden of proof, allowing for rate roll backs, at tremendous expense to the most volatile and unavailable of lines in the state of Kansas, virtually eliminating any incentive that these companies currently have in these unprofitable areas to continue to do business in Kansas. The proponents of this bill have not identified an availability and affordability problem with property and casualty insurance that this bill would eliminate. The bill would merely cause tremendously greater affordability and availability problems at a time when every indication is that we should be preventing any further tightening in these areas.

If you have any questions in deliberating on these matters, please do not hesitate to contact us. We too are interested in seeking viable solutions to the availability and affordability of property and casualty insurance in the state of Kansas, however, H.B. 2971 is not a viable solution.

### HOUSE BILL No. 2971

By Committee on Insurance

2-16

0017 AN ACT relating to insurance; concerning rate making with respect to certain insurers; providing requirements for certain insurers upon cessation of business in the state; amending 0019 K.S.A. 40-928, 49-929 and 40-1113 and K.S.A. 1987 Supp. 0020 40-927 and 40-1112 and repealing the existing sections. 0021

0022 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas: Section 1. K.S.A. 1987 Supp. 40-927 is hereby amended to 0024 read as follows: 40-927. (a) Rates shall be made in accordance

0025 with the following provisions:

(1) Manual, minimum, class rates or rating schedules, shall 0027 be made and adopted, except in the case of specific inland 0028 marine rates on risks specially rated. Such rates for personal lines of property insurance may be modified to produce rates for 0030 individual risks in accordance with rating plans which establish 0031 standards for measuring variations in hazards or expense provi-0032 sions, or both. Rates for commercial lines of property insurance 0033 may be modified to produce rates for individual risks in accord-0034 ance with rules and regulations promulgated by the commis-0035 sioner establishing reasonable standards for rating plans, including experience rating plans, schedule rating plans, 0037 individual risk premium modification plans and expense reduction plans, designed to modify rates in the development of premiums for individual risks insured in a property market. Such 0040 standards shall permit recognition of expected differences in loss 0041 or expense characteristics, and shall be designed so that such 0042 plans are reasonable and equitable in their application, and are 0043 not unfairly discriminatory, violative of public policy or other-0044 wise contrary to the best interests of the people of this state. Such 0045 standards shall not prevent the development of new or innova-

AI EXHIBIT tive rating methods which otherwise comply with this act. Such rating plans shall be filed or refiled by insurers in compliance with the rules and regulations. The commissioner shall review such plans and shall disapprove a plan that does not comply with the rules and regulations. The rules and regulations shall establish maximum debits and credits that may result from the application of a rating plan, encourage loss control, safety programs, and other methods of risk management and require insurers to maintain documentation of the basis of the debits and credits applied under any plan. Once it has been filed and approved, use of the rating plan shall become mandatory and such plan shall be applied uniformly for eligible risks in a manner that is not unfairly discriminatory.

- (2) Rates shall not be excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory. In applying the rate standards provided in this subsection, a rate may be found by the commissioner to be excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory based upon but not limited to the following standards:
- (A) Rates shall be deemed excessive if they are likely to produce a profit that is unreasonably high in relation to the risk involved in the class of business or are based on expenses that are unreasonably high in relation to services rendered.
- 0068 (B) Rates shall be deemed expossive if the rate structure 0069 established by a stock insurance company provides for any 0070 replenishment of surpluses from premiums when the need for 0071 replenishment is attributable to investment losses other than 0072 investment losses otherwise considered in the rates.
- 0073 (C) Rates shall be deemed inadequate if they are clearly 0074 insufficient, together with the investment income attributable 0075 to them, to sustain projected losses and expenses in the class of 0076 business to which they apply.
- 0077 (D) A rate shall be deemed inadequate as to the premium 0078 charged to a risk or group of risks if discounts or credits are 0079 allowed which exceed a reasonable reflection of expense savings 0080 and reasonably expected loss experience from the risk or group 0081 of risks.

(E) A rate shall be deemed unfairly discriminatory as to a

rating plan

0083 risk or group of risks if the application of premium discounts or 0084 credits among such risks does not bear a reasonable relationship 0085 to the expected loss and expense experience among the various 0086 risks.

- O087 (3) Due consideration shall be given to past and prospective 0088 loss experience within and outside this state, to the conflagration 0089 and catastrophe hazards, to a reasonable margin for underwriting 0090 profit and contingencies, to dividends, savings or unabsorbed 0091 premium deposits allowed or returned by insurers to their policypolders, members or subscribers, to past and prospective expenses both countrywide and those specially applicable to this state, to earnings or losses resulting from the investment of 0095 unearned premiums and loss reserves and to all other relevant 10096 factors within and outside this state; and in the case of fire 10097 insurance rates consideration shall be given to the experience of 10098 the fire insurance business during a period of not less than the 10099 most recent five-year period for which such experience is available.
- 0101 (4) The systems of expense provision included in the rates for 0102 use by any insurer or group of insurers may differ from those of 0103 other insurers or groups of insurers to reflect the requirements of 0104 the operating methods of any such insurer or group with respect 0105 to any kind of insurance, or with respect to any subdivision or 0106 combination thereof for which subdivision or combination the 0107 commissioner of insurance, hereinafter referred to as commissioner, approves the application for separate expense provisions.
- 0109 (b) Except to the extent necessary to meet the provisions of 0110 subdivision (2) of subsection (a) of this section, uniformity among 0111 insurers in any matters within the scope of this section is neither 0112 required nor prohibited.
- 0113 (c) Rates made in accordance with this section shall be used 0114 subject to the provisions of this act.
- O115 Sec. 2. K.S.A. 40-928 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  O116 40-928. (a) Every insurer shall file with the commissioner, except
  O117 as to those inland marine risks which by general custom of the
  O118 business are not written according to manual rates or rating
  O119 plans, every manual, minimum, class rate, rating schedule or

0120 rating plan and every other rating rule and every modification of 0121 any of the foregoing which it proposes to use. Every such filing 0122 shall state the proposed effective date thereof and shall indicate 0123 the character and extent of the coverage contemplated. When a 0124 filing is not accompanied by the information upon which the 0125 insurer supports such filing, and the commissioner does not have 0126 sufficient information to determine whether such filing meets 0127 the requirements of the act, the commissioner shall require such 0128 insurer to furnish the information upon which it supports such 0129 filing, and in such event the waiting period shall commence as of 0130 the date such information is furnished. The information fur-0131 nished in support of a filing may include (1) the experience or 0132 judgment of the insurer or rating organization making the filing: 0133 (2) its interpretation of any statistical data it relies upon; (3) the 0134 experience of other insurers or rating organizations; or (4) any 0135 other relevant factors. A filing and any supporting information 0136 shall be open to public inspection after it is filed with the 0137 commissioner. Specific inland marine rates on risks specially 0138 rated, made by a rating organization, shall be filed with the 0139 commissioner.

- 0140 (b) An insurer may satisfy its obligation to make such filings 0141 either individually or by authorizing the commissioner to accept 0142 on its behalf the filings made by a licensed rating organization or 0143 another insurer. Nothing contained in this act shall be construed 0144 as requiring any insurer to become a member of or a subscriber 0145 to any rating organization.
- 0146 (c) The commissioner shall review filings as soon as reason0147 ably possible after they have been made in order to determine
  0148 whether they meet the requirements of this act. In reviewing a
  0149 rate filing the commissioner may require the insurer to provide,
  0150 at the insurer's expense, all information necessary to evaluate
  0151 the condition of the company and the reasonableness of the
  0152 filing according to the criteria enumerated in this section in
  0153 cluding an independent evaluation of the filing.
- 0154 (d) Subject to the exception specified in subsection (e) of this 0155 section, each filing shall be on file for a waiting period of fifteen 0456 (15) 15 days before it becomes effective, which period may be

**\*** ...

HB 2971

o157 extended by the commissioner for an additional period not to o158 exceed fifteen (15) 15 days if the commissioner gives written o159 notice within such waiting period to the insurer or rating orga-0160 nization which made the filing that such additional time is o161 needed for the consideration of such filing. Upon written application by such insurer or rating organization, the commissioner may authorize a filing which he or she the commissioner has o164 reviewed to become effective before the expiration of the waiting period or any extension thereof. A filing shall be deemed to o166 meet the requirements of this act unless disapproved by the o167 commissioner within the waiting period or any extension o168 thereof.

5

- 0169 (e) Specific inland marine rates on risks specially rated by a 0170 rating organization shall become effective when filed and shall 0171 be deemed to meet the requirements of this act until such time as 0172 the commissioner reviews the filing and so long thereafter as the 0173 filing remains in effect.
  - (f) Under such rules and regulations adopted by the commissioner, the commissioner may, by written order, suspend or modify the requirement of filing as to any kind of insurance, subdivision or combination thereof, or as to classes of risks, the rates for which cannot practicably be filed before they are used. Such orders, and rules and regulations shall be made known to insurers and rating organizations affected thereby. The commissioner may make such examination as deemed advisable to ascertain whether any rates affected by such order meet the standards set forth in subdivision 2 of subsection (a) of K.S.A. 1984 40-927, and amendments thereto.
  - 0185 (g) Upon the written application of the insured, stating the 0186 reasons therefor, filed with and approved by the commissioner, a 0187 rate in excess of that provided by a filing otherwise applicable 0188 may be used on any specific risk.
- 0189 (h) No insurer shall make or issue a contract or policy except
  0190 in accordance with the filings which are in effect for said insurer
  0191 as provided in this act or in accordance with subsections (f) or (g)
  0192 of this section. This subsection shall not apply to contracts or
  0193 policies for inland marine risks as to which filings are not

•	
•	
 and the second of the second second second of the second o	
and the state of t	

0194 required.

Sec. 3. K.S.A. 40-929 is hereby amended to read as follows: 40-929. (a) If within the waiting period or any extension thereof as provided in subsection (d) of K.S.A. 40-928, and amendments thereto, the commissioner finds that a filing does not meet the requirements of this act, he the commissioner shall send to the insurer or rating organization which made such filing, written notice of disapproval of such filing specifying therein in what respects he finds such filing fails to meet the requirements of this act and stating that such filing shall not become effective. In any administrative proceeding under this act, the insurer or rating organization shall carry the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence to show that the rate is not excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory.

- (b) If within thirty (30) 30 days after a specific inland marine rate on a risk specially rated by a rating organization, subject to subsection (e) of K.S.A. 40-928, and amendments thereto, has become effective, the commissioner finds that such filing does not meet the requirements of this act, he the commissioner shall send to the rating organization which made such filing written notice of disapproval of such filing specifying therein in what respects he finds that such filing fails to meet the requirements of this act and stating when, within a reasonable period thereafter, such filing shall be deemed no longer effective. Said disapproval shall not affect any contract made, issued and effective prior to the expiration of the period set forth in said notice.
- (c) If at any time subsequent to the applicable review period provided for in subsection (a) or (b) of this section, the commissioner finds that a filing does not meet the requirements of this act, he the commissioner shall, after a hearing held upon not less than ten (10) 10 days' written notice, specifying the matters to be considered at such hearing to every insurer and rating organization which made such filing, issue an order specifying in what respects he finds that such filing fails to meet the requirements of this act, and stating when, within a reasonable period thereafter, such filing shall be deemed no longer effective. Copies of this act, order shall be sent to every such insurer and rating

'said disapproval shall not affect any contract made, Issued and effective prior to the expiratron of the period set forth in said notice.

organization. Said order shall not affect any contract or policy made, issued and effective prior to the expiration of the period order.

(d) Any person or organization aggrieved with respect to any filing which is in effect may make written application to the 0236 commissioner for a hearing thereon: Provided, however, That, 0237 except that the insurer that made the filing shall not be autho-0238 rized to proceed under this subsection. Such application shall 0239 specify the grounds to be relied upon by the applicant and such 0240 application must shall show that the person or organization 0241 making such application has a specific economic interest af-0242 fected by the filing. If the commissioner shall find that the 0243 application is made in good faith, that the applicant has a specific 0244 economic interest, that the applicant would be so aggrieved if his 0245 such applicant's grounds are established, and that such grounds 0246 otherwise justify holding such a hearing, he the commissioner 0247 shall, within thirty (30) 30 days after receipt of such application, 0248 hold a hearing upon not less than ten (10) 10 days' written notice 0249 to the applicant and to every insurer and rating organization 0250 which made such filing. No rating or advisory organization shall 0251 have any status under this act to make application for a hearing 0252 on any filing made by an insurer with the commissioner.

If, after such hearing, the commissioner finds that the filing does not meet the requirements of this act, he the commissioner shall issue an order specifying in what respects he finds that such filing fails to meet the requirements of this act, and stating when, within a reasonable period thereafter, such filing shall be deemed no longer effective. Copies of said order shall be sent to the applicant and to every such insurer and rating organization. Said order shall not affect any contract or policy made or issued prior to the expiration of the period set forth in said order.

(e) In the event the commissioner finds that a rate or rate or change is excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory efter of the commissioner shall issue an order disapproving such rate or rate change and specifying that a new rate or rate of schedule be filed by the insurer which responds to the findings of the commissioner within 30 days. The commissioner may

Said order shall not affect any contract or policy made, issued and effective prior to the expiration of the period set forth in said order.

Said order shall not affect any contract or policy made or issued prior to the expiration of the period set forth in said order.

If after hearing,

0268 further order that premiums be adjusted retroactively to the 0269 effective date of the rate or rate change to reflect the findings of 0270 the commissioner regarding the rate or rate change.

(e) (f) No manual, minimum, or class rate, rating schedule, 0272 rating plan, rating rule or any modification of any of the foregoing 0273 which has been filed pursuant to the requirements of K.S.A. 0274 40-928, and amendments thereto, shall be disapproved if the 0275 rates thereby produced meet the requirements of this act.

Sec. 4. K.S.A. 1987 Supp. 40-1112 is hereby amended to read 0277 as follows: 40-1112. All rates shall be made in accordance with 0278 the following provisions:

- (a) Due consideration may shall be given: (1) To past and prospective loss experience within and outside the state; 0280
- (2) to catastrophe hazards, if any: 0281
- (3) to a reasonable margin for profit and contingencies: 0282
- (4) to dividends, savings or unabsorbed premium deposits 0283 0284 allowed or returned by insurers to their policyholders, members 0285 or subscribers;
- (5) to policyholders' dividends in the case of participating 0286 0287 insurers; and
- (6) to earnings or losses resulting from investment of un-0288 0289 earned premiums and loss reserves; and
- (6) (7) to all other relevant factors within and outside the 0200 0291 state.
- (b) The systems of expense provisions included in the rates 0292 0293 for use by any insurer or group of insurers may differ from those 0294 of other insurers or groups of insurers to reflect the requirements 0295 of the operating methods of any such insurer or group with 0296 respect to any kind of insurance, or with respect to any subdivi-0297 sion or combination thereof for which subdivision or combina-0298 tion the commissioner of insurance approves the application of 0299 separate expense provisions. This paragraph shall not be con-0300 strued to require uniformity among all insurers with respect to 0301 the application of other paragraphs of this section.
- (c) Risks may be grouped by classifications for the establish-0303 ment of rates and minimum premiums. Classification rates for 0304 personal lines of casualty insurance may be modified to produce

or after the date of the order

0305 rates for individual risks in accordance with rating plans which 0306 establish standards for measuring variations in hazards or ex-0307 pense provisions, or both. Classification rates for commercial 0308 lines of casualty insurance may be modified to produce rates for 0309 individual risks in accordance with rules and regulations 0310 promulgated by the commissioner establishing reasonable stan-0311 dards for rating plans, including experience rating plans, sched-0312 ule rating plans, individual risk premium modification plans and 0313 expense reduction plans, designed to modify rates in the devel-0314 opment of premiums for individual risks insured in a casualty 0315 market. Such standards shall permit recognition of expected 0316 differences in loss or expense characteristics, and shall be de-0317 signed so that such plans are reasonable and equitable in their 0318 application, and are not unfairly discriminatory, violative of 0319 public policy or otherwise contrary to the best interests of the 0320 people of this state. Such standards shall not prevent the devel-0321 opment of new or innovative rating methods which otherwise 0322 comply with this act. Such rating plans shall be filed or refiled by 0323 insurers in compliance with the rules and regulations. The 0324 commissioner shall review such plans and shall disapprove a 0325 plan that does not comply with the rules and regulations. The 0326 rules and regulations shall establish maximum debits and credits 0327 that may result from the application of a rating plan, encourage 0328 loss control, safety programs, and other methods of risk manage-0329 ment and require insurers to maintain documentation of the )330 basis of the debits and credits applied under any plan. Once it 0331 has been filed and approved, use of the rating plan shall become 0332 mandatory and such plan shall be applied uniformly for eligible 0333 risks in a manner that is not unfairly discriminatory.

- 0334 (d) Rates shall be reasonable, adequate and not unfairly dis-0335 criminatory. In applying the rate standards provided in this 0336 subsection, a rate may be found by the commissioner to be 0337 excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory based upon but 0338 not limited to the following standards:
- 0339 (1) Rates shall be deemed excessive if they are likely to 0340 produce a profit that is unreasonably high in relation to the risk 0341 involved in the class of business or are based on expenses that

0342 are unreasonably high in relation to services rendered.

- (2) Rates may be deemed excessive if the rate structure
  0344 established by a stock insurance company provides for any
  0345 replentshment of surpluses from premiums when the need for
  0346 replentshment is attributable to investment losses other than
  0347 investment income or loss otherwise considered in the rates.
- 0348 (3) Rates shall be deemed inadequate if they are clearly 0349 insufficient, together with the investment income attributable 0350 to them, to sustain projected losses and expenses in the class of 0351 business to which they apply.
- 0352 (4) A rate shall be deemed inadequate as to the premium 0353 charged to a risk or group of risks if discounts or credits are 0354 allowed which exceed a reasonable reflection of expense savings 0355 and reasonably expected loss experience from the risk or group 0356 of risks.
- 0357 (5) A rate shall be deemed unfairly discriminatory as to a 0358 risk or group of risks if the application of premium discounts or 0359 credits among such risks does not bear a reasonable relationship 0360 to the expected loss and expense experience among the various 0361 risks.
- Sec. 5. K.S.A. 40-1113 is hereby amended to read as follows: 40-1113. (a) Every insurer shall file with the commissioner every manual of classifications, rules and rates, every rating plan and every modification of any of the foregoing which it proposes to use. Every such filing shall indicate the character and extent of the coverage contemplated and shall be accompanied by the information upon which the insurer supports the filing. A filing and any supporting information shall be open to public inspection after it is filed with the commissioner.
- 0371 (b) An insurer may satisfy its obligation to make such filings 0372 by authorizing the commissioner to accept on its behalf the 0373 filings made by a licensed rating organization or another insurer. 0374 Nothing contained in this act shall be construed as requiring any 0375 insurer to become a member of or a subscriber to any rating 0376 organization.
- 0377 (c) Any filing made pursuant to this section shall be approved 0378 by the commissioner unless the commissioner finds that such

Krating plan

**i** :



o379 filing does not meet the requirements of this act or establishes an o380 unreasonable or excessive rate. As soon as reasonably possible o381 after the filing has been made, the commissioner shall in writing o382 approve or disapprove the same, except that any filing shall be o383 deemed approved unless disapproved within thirty (30) 30 days.

- (d) In reviewing a rate filing the commissioner may require the insurer to provide, at the insurer's expense, all information necessary to evaluate the condition of the company and the reasonableness of the filing according to the criteria enumeross ated in this section, including an independent evaluation of the sample.
- 6390 (d) (e) Any such filing with respect to a fidelity, surety or 0391 guaranty bond shall be deemed approved from the date of filing 0392 to the date of such formal approval or disapproval.
- 0393 (e) (f) In the event that the commissioner disapproves a 0394 filing, the commissioner shall specify in what respect he or she 0395 finds that such filing does not meet the requirements of this act. 0396 In any administrative proceeding under this act, the insurer or 0397 rating organization shall carry the burden of proof by a pre-0398 ponderance of the evidence to show that the rate is not excessory sive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory.
- 0400 (f) (g) If at any time the commissioner finds that a filing so 0401 approved no longer meets the requirements of this act, the 0402 commissioner may, after a hearing held on not less than twenty 0403 (20) 20 days' written notice, specifying the matters to be considered at such hearing, to every insurer and rating organization 0405 which made such filing, issue an order withdrawing his or her 0406 approval thereof. Said Such order shall specify in what respects 0407 the commissioner finds that such filing no longer meets the 0408 requirements of this act and shall be effective not less than thirty 0409 (30) 30 days after its issuance. Copies of such order shall be sent 0410 to every such insurer and rating organization.
- 0411 (g) (h) Any person or organization aggrieved by the action of 0412 the commissioner with respect to any filing may, within thirty 0413 (30) 30 days after such action, make written request to the 0414 commissioner for a hearing thereon. This section shall not apply 0415 to any insurer or rating organization with respect to a withdrawal

0416 of a filing made by it. The commissioner shall hear such aggrieved party within thirty (30) 30 days after receipt of such 0418 request and shall give not less than ten (10) 10 days' written notice of the time and place of the hearing to the insurer or rating organization which made the filing and to any other aggrieved party. Within thirty (30) 30 days after such hearing the commissioner shall affirm, reverse or modify his or her such commissioner's previous action specifying the reasons therefor. Pending such hearing and decision thereon the commissioner may suspend or postpone the effective date of his or her such previous action. In the event/the commissioner finds that a rate or rate change is excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory after hearing, the commissioner shall issue an order disapproving such rate or rate change and specifying that a new rate or rate schedule be filed by the insurer which responds to the findings of the commissioner within 30 days. The commissioner may 0432 further order that/premiums be adjusted personnely to the effective date of the rate or rate change to reflect the findings of the commissioner regarding the rate or rate change. (h) (i) No insurer shall make or issue a contract or policy except in accordance with filings which have been approved for

said insurer as provided in this act.

New Sec. 6. An insurer may cease to transact insurance in this state, or discontinue the writing or renewal of one or more 0440 kinds of property or casualty insurance specified in K.S.A. 40-901 and 40-1102, and amendments thereto, or classes of property or casualty insurance risks, only after the submission of a planwhich provides for an orderly withdrawal from the market and a minimization of the impact of the surrender or discontinuance on-0445 the public generally and on the insurer's policyholders. The plan 0446 shall be approved by the commissioner, and the insurer shall comply with the plan's provisions before the withdrawal or discontinuance takes effect. Enforcement of the provisions of this section shall be in accordance with article 24 of chapter 40 of the Kansas Statutes Annotated, and acts amendatory thereof and supplemental thereto.

(Shall

on or after the date of the order

Sec. 7. K.S.A. 40-928, 40-929 and 40-1113 and K.S.A. 1987

Supp. 40-927 and 40-1112 are hereby repealed.

O454 Sec. 7. This act shall take effect and be in force from and

O455 after its publication in the statute book.

31

1	be subject to approval of the department. Any ceding
2	commission received by an insurer purchasing reinsurance for
3	catastrophes shall be placed in the catastrophe reserve.
4	(e) After consideration of the rate factors provided
5	in paragraphs (b), (c), and (d), a rate may be found by the
6	department to be excessive, inadequate, or unfairly
7	discriminatory based upon the following standards:
8	1. Rates shall be deemed excessive if they are likely
9	to produce a profit from Florida business that is unreasonably
10	high in relation to the risk involved in the class of business
11	or if expenses are unreasonably high in relation to services
12	rendered.
13	2. Rates shall be deemed excessive if, among other
14	things, the rate structure established by a stock insurance
15	company provides for replenishment of surpluses from premiums,
16	when the replenishment is attributable to investment losses.
17	3. Rates shall be deemed inadequate if they are
18	clearly insufficient, together with the investment income
19	attributable to them, to sustain projected losses and expenses
20	in the class of business to which they apply.
21	4. A rating plan, including discounts, credits, or
22	surcharges, shall be deemed unfairly discriminatory if it
23	fails to clearly and equitably reflect consideration of the
24	policyholder's participation in a risk management program
25	adopted pursuant to s, 627,0625.
26	5. A rate shall be deemed inadequate as to the premium
27	charged to a risk or group of risks if discounts or credits
28	are allowed which exceed a reasonable reflection of expense
29	savings and reasonably expected loss experience from the risk
30	or group of risks

1	6. A rate shall be deemed unfairly discriminatory as
2	to a risk or group of risks if the application of premium
3	discounts, credits or surcharges among such risks does not
4	bear a reasonable relationship to the expected loss and
5	expense experience among the various risks.
6	(f) In reviewing a rate filing, the department may
7	require the insurer to provide at the insurer's expense all
8	information necessary to evaluate the condition of the company
9	and the reasonableness of the filing according to the criteria
10	enumerated in this section.
11	(q) The department may at any time review a rate.
12	rating schedule, rating manual, or rate change, the pertinent
13	records of the insurer, and market conditions. If the
14	department finds on a preliminary basis that a rate may be
15	excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory, the
16	department shall initiate proceedings to disapprove the rate
17	and shall so notify the insurer. However, the department may
18	not disapprove as excessive any rate for which it has given
19	final approval or which has been deemed approved for a period
20	of 1 year after the effective date of the filing unless the
21	department finds that a material misrepresentation or material
22	error was made by the insurer or was contained in the filing.
23	Upon being so notified, the insurer or rating organization
24	shall, within 60 days, file with the department all
25	information which, in the belief of the insurer or
26	organization, proves the reasonableness, adequacy, and
27	fairness of the rate or rate change. In such instances and in
28	any administrative proceeding relating to the legality of the
29	rate, the insurer or rating organization shall carry the
30	burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence to show
31	that the rate is not excessive, inadequate, or unfairly