			Approved	Feb. 1	, 1988 Date	
MINUTES OF THE SENATE	COMMITTEE ON	ASSI	ESSMENT_	& TAXATI	ON	·
The meeting was called to order by	Senator I	Fred A.	Kerr Chairpers	on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	at
8:00 <sub>a,m</sub> ,%%%. on	January 29		, 19_	88n room _	123-S	of the Capitol.
All members were present except: Senator Bud Burke						
Committee staff present:						

rom Severn, Research Chris Courtwright, Research Don Hayward, Revisor's Office Sue Pettet, Secretary to the Committee

Conferees appearing before the committee:

T.C. Anderson, CPA's Marian George, Ifft, George, Barber, CPA's Jim McBride Gerhard Metz, KCCI

Chairman Kerr called the meeting to order and announced continued hearings on S.B. 490. He said that there would be a hearing on Monday, February 1 regarding the portion of the bill pertaining to bonds.

 $\underline{\text{T.C. Anderson}}$  testified, stating that he appeared before the committee two years ago to speak in support of "simplification." He stated that he was happy for the opportunity to speak to the aspects of S.B. 490 and the effects it would have on taxpayers. He offered assistance to any of the committee members that have questions that his organization might be able to answer. He introduced Mrs. Marian George, CPA from Leawood, Ks.

Marian George, testified. (Att. 1) She stated that she realized the Kansas legislature is faced with a difficult and unpopular task of tax reform. She stated that she felt the individual income tax proposals offered by the Governor are based on the principles of simplification, equity and economic development. She stated that she felt some Kansas tax law changes are needed. Without change Kansas taxpayers will be faced with increasingly complicated tax returns. She stated that she has a hard time justifying time and expense to her clients regarding Kansas tax returns. She felt that on the whole, the package appears to be an improvement over current law. Mrs. George stated that she felt there would be no significant changes in the amount of taxes paid by the individual with the new tax reform, and in many cases, the individual's taxes could be lower.

Jim McBride testified. (Att. 2) He stated that he supported S.B. 490. He stated that he felt it was a major improvement, and that for himself personally, it lowered the amount of taxes he would otherwise pay. especially appreciated the relief it would give the impoverished.

Gerhard Metz testified. (Att. 3) He stated that his organization had reconsidered since his testimony the previous day and wished to withdraw the previously stated opposition to the disallowance of deductibility of federal taxes paid from Kansas taxable income for individual taxpayers.

#### Committee Discussion

There was committee discussion concerning requests from the committee regarding S.B. 490. <u>Senator Salisbury</u> stated that she felt there had been ample testimony from large business institutions and how they would be affected with the tax reform, but wished to hear from the other side, namely, smaller businesses.

Chairman Kerr stated that he requested the Department of Revenue to provide the committee with information in several areas.

More details regarding the alternative minimum tax. (AMT) How many other states are involved, how does it work, and what the Unless specifically noted, the individual remarks recorded herein have not been transcribed verbatim. Individual remarks as reported herein have not been submitted to the individuals appearing before the committee for

#### CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE	_ COMMITTEE ON	ASSESSMENT &	TAXATION	,
room <u>123-S</u> , Statehouse, at <u>8:0</u>	00a.m./ <b>xx</b> n. on	January 29	, 1	9.88

fiscal note would be.

- He also asked for a fiscal note for retroactivity for 1987, exempting non-filers from state income tax.
- 3. Fiscal note for reducing the corporate tax rate 1/4%. Meeting adjourned.

## ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION

# OBSERVERS (PLEASE PRINT)

DATE	NAME $1-29-5$	ADDRESS	REPRESENTING
1-29-88	Jin Masilan	Topeka	Phserry
1 "	MARK BURGHART	11	REVENUE
/	H Dunca	( /	Reven
	JOHN LUIJOHANN		
y y	VINCE PATTON	WICHITA	KAKETV
	LARRY BUELL	ic.	tr
	Jan Vacet	Topelca	KSCPA
And the second s	marian Genge	heaurood	KSCPA
A SALON CONTRACTOR OF THE SALO	Bill George	Leawird	KSCPA
A. IAIRA - WOOD MARKET THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	GERITARD METZ	TOPETLA	KCCI
TI SHEEL AND SUPPRINTENSIONS	JOE A. Noreis	TOPEKA	KLSI
(APAST 1. WA) 2 SEPON	Sim TurnER	TOREKA	KLSI
es estre survementation	Jeff Kockett	TODEKA	KASB
Man, and Constitution and	Mary Harses	Scott City	Jarmen
AND WESTERN BLOOM	Have Ken	Hatch	Sanate
Andreas de la Constantina del Constantina de la	Matt Truel	to selver	AP.
James - Address - Company (Control Control Con	Dlew Hawkens	Tulsa	Cities Servece
ACATO AND CONTRACTOR	Bullow	Topicka	Dept. of Rev.
Commission of the Mark of the Commission of the	Said B. Swind	0 11	0 411
Secretary of the secret	Repecca Rice	Topeloa	Amoco
COMMENT OF THE STATE AND ADDRESS.	BUD GRANT	'n	(CC)
	SEFFROSSIC		CNTED TO GO 8)
	Richard Funk	C	KAJU
Share, and delated have been also	70 Inderson	11	KSCPA
Lat. Ac., ad-25718-1	Wana Finill	71	Budget
A Name of the State of the Stat	,	1	-
المناسب والمناسبة والمناسب			
which will the track of Later Control			
			1

Testimony Before The
Senate Assessment and Taxation Committee
January 28, 1988

Marian S. George, CPA
IFFT GEORGE BARBER
Leawood, Kansas
Chairman - 1988 Kansas Tax Conference
Member - Federal & State Taxation Committee
Kansas Society of CPAs

The Kansas legislature is faced with a difficult and sometimes unpopular task - tax reform. With tax reform comes the unavoidable problem of what is equitable for some taxpayers may not be perceived as equitable for others. The legislature must also be committed to insuring that the tax base necessary to support the state's revenue needs is maintained.

Governor Mike Hayden, with the aid of the Task Force on Tax Reform created by the Governor in December 1986, has presented his proposal on tax reform to the legislature in "The Tax Reform Proposals of Governor Mike Hayden" dated January 21, 1988. These proposals are based on the principles of simplification, equity and economic development. The report states that these principles are best accomplished by "- - -adopting a tax base that is broadly and comprehensively defined, contains few provisions for special treatment of certain income or expenses, and has marginal tax rates that, while graduated, are as low as possible."

Following are several calculations intended to cover a representative cross-section of Kansas taxpayers but not be all inclusive. Because every individual situation is unique, we could not simulate all possible combinations of income, deductions, exemptions and filing status.

#### EXAMPLES

#### Single-1988

Personal Exemptions	<u>A</u> 1	<u>B</u> 1	<u>· C</u> 1	<u>D</u> 1	<u>E</u> 1
Kansas Adjusted Gross Income Tax Under	18,720	29,982	45,378	57,117	95,045
-Current Law	735	1,363	1,949	2,400	5,034
Tax Under -Proposed Law	661	1,202	2,100	2,487	5,236
Decrease (Increase)	74	161	<151>	<87>	<202>
Percentage Change	10%	12%	<8%>	<4%>	<4%>
		<u>M</u> 2	arried-198	8	
Personal Exemptions	<u>A</u> 2	<u>B</u> 2	<u>C</u> 5		<u>E</u> 4
Kansas Adjusted Gross Income	_29,922	58,000	77,919	91,959	104,787
Tax Under -Current Law	1,047	1,467	1,555	4,563	4,530
Tax Under -Proposed Law	872	1,554	1,325	3,914	4,070
Decrease (Increase)	175	<87>	230	649	460
	·				

Certain other examples we tested rendered results even more favorable than those projected by the Governor's proposal. To extend our results to all taxpayers would not be justified, given the non-statistical nature of our limited sample, but our examples confirmed the Governor's basic premise that most taxpayers suffer no significant detriment or are benefitted by the proposed changes. One exception seems to be high-income, single taxpayers who experience a slight percentage increase.

Changes of some nature to Kansas tax law are needed. Without change Kansas taxpayers will be faced with increasingly complicated tax returns.

The Governor's tax reform package clearly provides for simplification and a broad-based reform of the individual income tax system, and the majority of taxpayers would pay less. On balance, the package appears to be an improvement over current law.

Age 74 James W. McBride

ST Reppertue Lane

Topeka, Kansas 66611 900 Sur 3157

Kansas Individual Encome Trux

1986

1987

1988 FST. 2600

1987

1988

Cain

Lose External Tax Deduct 141
1988

1988

Cain

Lower Tax Rates

Higher Structured And wet 1615

Fix 2 myst 1600

1987

1987

1980

1987

1980

1987

1980

1987

1980

1987

1980

1988

1980

1988

1980

1988

1980

1988

1980

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988

1988



## Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry

A consolidation of the Kansas State Chamber of Commerce, Associated Industries of Kansas, Kansas Retail Council

# TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION

by

Gerhard Metz Director of Taxation

Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee, for this opportunity to clarify a portion of the testimony I offered here yesterday morning.

After returning to my office yesterday afternoon, I had the chance to speak with some of our members on the issue of the disallowance of deductibility of federal income taxes from Kansas taxable income. The result of these discussions was to demonstrate that Mr. Chalker's conclusions about the effect of such a change on most taxpayers were, in fact, quite supportable. Given the evidence in support of such a view, and concurring views of others with more experience in this area, I feel it necessary to withdraw the previously-stated opposition to the disallowance of deductibility of federal taxes paid from Kansas taxable income for individual taxpayers.

Thank you once again for this opportunity to set matters straight.

I will gladly take any questions at this time.