Approved	5-12-89	
	Date	

__, 19<u>8</u>9n room <u>514-S</u> of the Capitol.

MINUTES OF THE House	COMMITTEE ON	Appropriations	
The meeting was called to order by	Bill	Bunten a Chairperson	at

All members were present except: Representatives Solbach, Shriver and Wisdom (all excused)

Committee staff present: Ellen Piekalkiewicz, Debra Duncan, Alan Conroy,

Carolyn Rampey, Kansas Legislative Research Department

Jim Wilson, Revisor of Statutes Sharon Schwartz, Administrative Aide Sue Krische, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

2:00 xxx/p.m. on _____ March 31

Marshall Crowther, KPERS Kathryn Klassen, Director, Division of Medical Programs, SRS

Others attending: See attached list.

HB 2416 - KPERS, elected state officials, special member provisions, retirement benefits.

Marshall Crowther, KPERS, testified that <u>HB 2416</u>, as amended, would provide that an individual who first becomes an elected state official after February 1, 1989 and who elects to be covered under the special elected officials provisions of KPERS would have all service credited at the rate of 1.75 percent of the member's final average salary. Legislation enacted in the 1988 Legislative Session provided for determination of retirement benefits based upon two percent of the elected official's final average salary. In other words, under HB 2416 all elected state officials who have exercised their election for special provisions will have the election continue in effect until the end of their current terms. At the end of their current terms, their retirement status will revert to that prior to the election. A copy of Mr. Crowther's remarks is included (Attachment 1).

Representative Chronister moved that HB 2416, as amended by the Committee on Pensions, Investments and Benefits, be recommended favorably for passage. Representative Lowther seconded. Motion carried.

SB 302 - Payments to medical vendors by secretary of SRS.

Kathryn Klassen, Director, Division of Medical Programs, SRS, appeared in support of <u>SB 302</u> and provided written testimony (<u>Attachment 2</u>). The bill allows the Secretary of SRS to adopt rules and regulations specifying the circumstances under which claims against the agency for medical payment may be paid beyond the six-month time limitation in current law. Representative Goossen moved that SB 302 be recommended favorably for passage. Representative Pottorff seconded. Motion carried.

SB 210 - Postsecondary education, financial assistance for community colleges.

Representative Chronister reported on the deliberations of the subcommittee on $\underline{\text{SB 210}}$ and reviewed materials outlined in the "Transmittal Memorandum" from the Kansas Legislative Research Department (Attachment 3).

Representative Hensley reviewed a Washburn University Funding Proposal presented to the subcommittee which proposes \$6,283,748 in state aid for Washburn (Attachment 4). Representative Chronister advised

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE House	COMMITTEE ON	Appropriations	,
room <u>514-S</u> , Statehouse, at <u>2</u>	:00 xm./p.m. on	March 31	, 198.9.

that the subcommittee proposes to amend $\underline{\text{HB 2152}}$ into $\underline{\text{SB 210}}$ which increases the student tuition rate at community colleges by \$1/year for five years and removes the lid on student tuition.

Representative Chronister moved to amend SB 210 by adopting the funding proposal in Attachment 4 for Washburn University, by including the provisions of HB 2152 in SB 210, and by raising the tax levy limit on the City of Topeka from 2.25 to 3.5 mills for capital improvements at Washburn University. Representative Hensley seconded. Motion carried.

Chairman Bunten stated if another meeting of the Committee becomes necessary before first adjournment, the time will be announced on the Floor. The meeting was adjourned at 2:30 p.m.

GUEST LIST

COMMITTEE: HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS DATE: 3-31-89

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	COMPANY/ORGANIZATION
David B. Pallam	Topeka	Dir. of Budget
Kathy Vonceehen.	. N	• (
Hanne Hoserer	Topela	Washburn
Don Machen	Topola	Woshlon
Coloria Timmel -	Todeles	503
Marty Kennecks	Topoli	Dolls
morlo thee	· .	Kacc
In Jossenand	1 avent	KU
Candon Wiemagen	l aw rence	Univ. Paily Konson
all Ham	lepeka	155DE
Bell Musick	Mes-	S+BA D/Fd
Chris Graves	Topeka	ASK
Marlin Ken	Lawrence	Xu
RICHARD NIENSTEST	CONCORDIA	CITY OF CONCORDIA
David & Monical	Topeken	WashbuRN
Pat mel	΄ α	Dob · ·
Many Entry	17	1,
Û		
		Ţ.

OVERVIEW OF HOUSE BILL 2416 (As Amended)

Marshall Crowther

All elected state officials who have exercised their election for special provisions (special members) will have the election continue in effect until the end of their current term. At the end of their current term, their retirement status will revert to that prior to the election. Any rights and benefits accruing prior to the end of the term under the special provisions will remain in effect.

At the commencement of a new term, elected state officials may elect to be subject to the special provisions (special members). Those so electing will make employee contributions in the amount certified by KPERS on the advice of the actuary needed to pay the additional cost of benefits to be earned under the special provision, and the employer contribution rate will be the same as for all other KPERS employees.

Such elections must be made within 30 days of taking the oath of office and will remain in effect until written cancellation or the end of service as an elected official. All new elected officials who first take office after the effective date may elect the special provisions, but will pay all additional costs.

Any elected state officials who make elections after the effective date of this legislation would no longer have leadership pay included in their compensation base for contributions and benefits. Any present special members electing the special provisions who are purchasing service credit by double or triple deductions would pay only the additional amount required under current law. Elections to include additional amount as compensation may not revoke the election.

3-31-89 Attachment 1

Department of Social & Rehabilitation Services Winston Barton, Secretary

Statement Regarding Senate Bill 302

Title: An act concerning payment of claims to medical vendors by the Secretary of Social and Rehabilitation Services; amending K.S.A. 39-708a and repealing the existing section.

Purpose: This bill would allow the six (6) month timely filing limitation to be over ridden by a regulation adopted by the Secretary of Social and Rehabilitation Services outlining under what circumstances claims received beyond the six (6) month timely filing limitation may be paid.

Background: In 1969, when the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services began using a fiscal agent to pay claims for medical services, it was realized that frequently claims could not be paid because of the annual closure of books on June 30 as required by Kansas law. This was because providers had not had sufficient time prior to the year-end closing to submit claims. Money could not be encumbered because no one had any knowledge of how many payable claims were outstanding nor the amount. Budgeting was difficult when it was impossible to know the large number of claims that would be received just before year end. To accomodate this, the legislature passed the six (6) month timely filing legislation. This resolved this issue to a very great degree. Providers now had six (6) months to file a claim from the date a service was rendered. Budgets could be projected and claims paid.

There are, however, a few situations which unfairly prevent bills from being paid and cause some one to pay bills not theirs' to pay or the provider is not paid. Some examples are:

- (1) A foster parent not familiar with SRS policy takes their foster child to the doctor of their choice not knowing he is not a provider with Kansas Medicaid. By the time SRS staff has assisted them inresolving the problem and the provider is enrolled, six (6) months have passed. Medicaid cannot pay, foster care funds are used, but the Medicaid match is lost.
- (2) Emergency service may be provided in some other state. The physician or hospital, not being familiar with our Kansas law, submits their claim beyond the six (6) months timely filing deadline and cannot be paid for an emvergency service.
- (3) Occasionally, agency error's are committed in relation to certain claims not being filed timely, error in establishing eligibility on file or retroactive eligibility.

These types of situations occur about 25-50 times annually.

This bill would allow payment by Medicaid/MediKan in the above situations.

HA 3-31-89 Attachment 2 Statement Regarding Senate Bill 302 Page 2

Effects of Passage: Claims for medical service rightfully owed by the State of Kansas could be paid using Medicaid or MediKan funds. Providers foster parents and others would be served as they should be and our commitment would be met.

The three items listed if incorporated in the statute would provide the same flexibility as the wording now in Senate Bill 302.

L. Kathryn Klassen, Director Division of Medical Programs

03-31-89

TRANSMITTAL **MEMORANDUM**

March 30, 1989

To: House Appropriations Subcommittee on S.B. 210

FROM: Kansas Legislative Research Department

RE: Community College and Washburn University Information

Listed below are the items the Subcommittee requested:

- Copy of the Legislative Research Department study comparing expenditures per 1. student at community colleges, Regents universities, and Washburn University (Attachment I).
- Calculation of funding options for Washburn University (Attachment II): 2.
 - The "Senator Johnston amendment," which assumes that Washburn will a. get the same percentage increase per year in an operating grant as community colleges, based on a 5 percent growth in community college enrollment, the implementation of the community college five-year plan, and a \$500,000 per year grant to Washburn University which becomes a part of the base each year. State, county, and township out-district aid and tuition would become part of the base the first year and county and township tuition would be eliminated thereafter.
 - Same as above, except that an additional \$1.8 million is built into the b. base the first year (\$1.8 million is an 8 percent increase over current year's operating expenditures and is the percentage increase received by the Regents' institutions under the Margin of Excellence proposal).
 - Calculate amount of state aid needed to fund Washburn University students at 40 percent of the maximum authorized for independent colleges under the tuition grant program (Attachment III).
- Show total community college mill levies from 1980 to present and show amount of 3. money raised (Attachment IV).
- Show community college and Regents' institution student tuition rates per credit hour 4. (Attachment V).
- Show percentage of community college operating cost that is spent for administrative expenses and define "administrative expenses" (Attachment VI).

 Not Available

 Show how many hours are worked by community college faculty (Attachment VII).
- - Project community college budgets for five years assuming a 5 percent growth in 7. enrollments per year and budget per pupil limits of 102 percent, 103 percent, 104 percent, 105 percent, and 106 percent. Projection made for ten years, assumption

HA 3-31-89 Attachment 3

- of 40 percent state funding at end of ten years, and per pupil limitations on expenditures (Attachment VIII).
- 8. Calculate the cost to Shawnee County townships for out-district tuition if 64 (72) hour limitation were removed. Information is not available to break out undergraduate and graduate and law school hours (Attachment IX).
- 9. Distribute copy of community college information given to Representative Vancrum entitled "Community College Degrees Completion and Transfer Information" (Attachment X).

hecosts.wk1

MEMORANDUM

March 29, 1989

TO: HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE

FROM: KANSAS LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

RE: ESTIMATED FY 1987 COSTS PER FTE STUDENT AT PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS

During the 1988 Legislature, there was a request for comparison of the costs for students at community colleges and at Regents' universities. In particular, the request was to compare the average costs for lower division education and instruction at the Regents' universities with the costs at community colleges. This Memorandum adds Washburn University to the comparison.

Expenditure Data

The data used to determine expenditures were taken from the FY 1987 Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) surveys which each community college, Wasburn and Regents' university completed using the same definitions to compute general education and instruction costs. The data include expenditures from unrestricted and restricted funds.

The expenditure data which reflect the general educational operating costs are derived from summing the categories of instruction, academic support, student services, institutional support, physical plant, and financial aids (scholarships and fellowships). The instruction category reflects only the expenditures for instructional operating costs.

FTE Student Enrollment Data

The data used to determine full-time equivalent (FTE) student enrollments for the 20th day of the fall semester were taken from the Fall 1986 Kansas Higher Education Enrollment Report which each community college, Washburn and Regents' university completed using the same definition to categorize their student enrollments and to calculate their FTE for lower division undergraduates.

The FTE student count for the 20th day of the Fall 1986 semester represents an average number of students who attend a particular college or university in FY 1987. The enrollment data were divided into four categories: lower division undergraduate (freshmen, sophomore, and special students), upper division undergraduate (junior, senior, and fifth year students), masters and professional, and doctoral students.

Average Costs Per FTE Student

For the 19 community colleges, the average instructional cost per FTE student and the average general education cost per FTE student are computed as:

Community Colleges:	Instruction	General Education
Lower Division Undergraduate	\$2,379	\$5,458

Cost analysis studies conducted by the Board of Regents Office and the Regents' universities have revealed that significant cost differences exist among the various levels of instruction. Those findings are similar to the findings of comparable studies in other states. The Board of Regents cost studies have revealed that, on the average, upper division undergraduate unit costs are approximately 1.8 times greater than lower division undergraduate costs; masters and professional unit costs are about 3.1 times greater than lower division undergraduate costs; and doctoral unit costs are about 9.6 times greater than lower division undergraduate costs. When these cost factors are applied to expenditures at the six Regents' universities (with the Medical Center excluded) and Washburn, the following average costs per FTE student are derived for the universities:

Lower Division Undergraduate:	Instruction	General Education
Six Regents' Universities Washburn University	\$1,428 1,378	\$2,830 3,136

As you will note, there appear to be significant cost differences between the Regents' universities, Washburn and community colleges for lower division undergraduate instructional and general eduction expenditures. These differences in average costs are attributable in part to the fact that the higher university costs are allocated to their upper division and graduate or professional levels.

Another method of computing average costs at the Regents' universities would be to disregard the differences in costs for various levels of instruction and to compute the average cost per FTE student for general education and instruction:

All Instructional Levels	Instruction	General Education
Six Regents' Universities Washburn University	\$2,865 2,348	\$5,678 5,345

This last method shows the average costs per FTE more similar to the community college average costs but fails to take into account the differences in costs among levels of instruction or other factors, such as size of institution.

Average Cost Per FTE				
		General		
Lower Division Undergraduate	<u>Instruction</u>	<u>Education</u>		
Community Colleges:	<u>\$2,379</u>	\$5,458		
Allen County CC	1,659	3,585		
Barton County CC	2,321	5,094		
Butler County CC	2,341	4,408		
Cloud County CC	2,420	5,118		
Coffeyville CC	1,895	4,704		
Colby CC	2,204	5,060		
Cowley CC	1,593	4,443		
Dodge City CC	2,015	5,638		
Ft. Scott CC	2,747	6,500		
Garden City CC	2,544	8,047		
Highland CC	2,299	5,339		
Hutchinson CC	2,508	5,720		
Independence CC	2,631	6,196		
Johnson County CC	2,570	5,954		
Kansas City KS CC	2,693	5,642		
Labette County CC	1,749	3,506		
Neosho County CC	2,836	6,397		
Pratt CC	2,801	6,370		
Seward County CC	2,988	6,549		

Cost Level Method Per FTE		
		General
Lower Division Undergraduate	<u>Instruction</u>	Education
Six Regents Universities:	<u>\$1,428</u>	<u>\$2,830</u>
Emporia State	1,516	3,119
Fort Hays State	1,518	3,276
Kansas State	1,542	2,811
Pittsburg State	1,530	3,162
University of Kansas	1,294	2,540
Wichita State	1,497	3,254
Washburn University	\$1,378	\$3,136

Average Cost Per FTE		
		General
All Instructional Levels	<u>Instruction</u>	Education
Six Regents Universities:	<u>\$2,865</u>	<u>\$5,678</u>
Emporia State	2,583	5,313
Fort Hays State	2,608	5,629
Kansas State	3,108	5,666
Pittsburg State	2,528	5,223
University of Kansas	2,972	5,832
Wichita State	2,636	5,731
Washburn University	\$2,348	\$5,345

Kansas Legislative Research Department

Sum87cst.wk1

HE87REG2.WKI REGENTS UNIVERSITIES 29-Mai-89
FY 1987 DATA EXPENDITURES PER FTE STUDENT
Expenditures: Instruction \$ 180,375,200 Academic Support 44,510,884 Student Services 26,273,181 Institutional Support 27,928,389 Physical Plant 47,766,210 Scholarships, Etc. 30,682,847 Total \$ 357,536,711
Fall 1986 FTE Enrollments: Lower Div. (Fr.So.Spec.) 27,059 Upper Div. (Jr.Sr.5th Yr.) 22,836 Grad/Prof. 1 10,357 Grad 2 2,715 Total 62,967
Average Educational Cost Per FTE:\$ 5,678
Weighted Average Educational Cost Per FTE: Lower Div. Cost \$ 2,830 Upper Div. Cost 5,094 Grad/Prof. 1 Cost 8,773 Grad 2 Cost 27,169
Weighted Average Cost of Instruction Per FTE: Lower Div. Cost \$ 1,428 Upper Div. Cost 2,570 Grad/Prof. Cost 4,426 Grad 2 Cost 13,706
Cost Factors: Lower Div. 1.0 Upper Div. 1.8 Grad/Prof. 1 3.1 Grad 2 9.6
Weighted FTE: Lower Div. 27,059 Upper Div. Weighted 41,105 Grad/Prof. 1 Weighted 32,107 Grad 2 Weighted 26,064 Total Weighted 126,335

Total Weighted

7,719

COMMCOLL.WK1	TOTAL-COMM. COL	i. 2	9-Mar-89	
FY 1987 DATA	EXPENDITURES P	ER FTE	STUDENT	
Expenditures: Instruction Academic Support Student Services Institutional Support Physical Plant Scholarships, Eta	s upport	11 13 20 14 9	,458,223 ,406,369 ,086,904 ,407,061 ,795,488 ,508,095 ,662,140	
Fall 1986 FTE En Lower Div. (Fr. 9 Upper Div. (Jr. 9 Grad/Prof. Total	So.Spec.)		22,474 0 0 22,474	
Average Education	onal Cost Per FT	Ξ:\$	5,458	
Weighted Average Educational Co Lower Div. Cost Upper Div. Cost Grad/Prof. Cost		\$	5,458 0 0	
Weighted Average Cost of Instru Lower Div. Cost Upper Div. Cost Grad/Prof. Cost	e uction Per FTE:	\$	2,379 0 0	
Cost Factors: Lower Div. Upper Div. Grad/Prof.			1.0 0.0 0.0	
Weighted FTE: Lower Div. Upper Div. Weigh Grad/Prof. Weighter	hted		22,474 0 0 22,474	

ATTACHMENT II

Washburn University Funding Options

1. The Senator Johnston Amendment. Washburn would get same percentage increase per year as community colleges, assuming a 5 percent growth in community college credit hours per year, the implementation of the five year plan, the assumption in FY 1990 of county and township out-district tuition, and a \$500,000 grant to Washburn per year that becomes a part of the base.

	State Aid	Per Due	mmunity College centage Increase to 5-Year Plan & Enrollment Growth				Grant	t Per Year		Total State Aid	Percent of Operating Budget Assuming a 7% Increase Per Year
FY 1990 Preser Law (amount in S.B. 28)											
County & Town Out-District Tuition	\$ 5,387,308	x	14.5%	=	\$ 6,168,468	+	\$	500,000	=	\$ 6,668,468	27.5%
FY 1991	6,668,468	×	14.2	=	7,615,390	+		500,000	=	8,115,390	31.3
FY 1992	8,115,390	×	13.1	=	9,178,506	+		500,000	=	9,678,506	34.8
FY 1993	9,678,506	×	13.3	=	10,965,747	+		500,000	=	11,465,747	38.6
FY 1994	11,465,747	×	13.0	=	12,956,294	+		500,000	=	13,456,294	42.3

2. Same as above after FY 1990, except add \$1.8 million to the FY 1990 base. (\$1.8 million is an 8 percent increase over current year's operating expenditures and is the same percentage increase received by the Regents' institutions for the Margin of Excellence.)

FY 1990 Present Law (amount in

S.B. 28) \$

\$ 4,716,308

County & Township

Out-District Tuition

671,000

r	Additional Funding	 \$	1,800,000 7,187,308	×	%	=	\$		+	\$ 	=	\$ 7,187,308	29.6%
	FY 1991		7,187,308	х	14.2	=		8,207,906	+	500,000	=	8,707,906	33.5
	FY 1992		8,707,906	X	13.1	=		9,848,642	+	500,000	=	10,348,642	37.2
	FY 1993		10,348,642	х	13.3	=	1	11,725,011	+	500,000	=	12,225,011	41.1
	FY 1994		12,225,011	Х	13.0	=	-	13,814,262	+	500,000	=	14,314,262	45.0

89-127II/cr

ATTACHMENT III

Amount of State Aid Needed to Fund Washburn University Students at 40 Percent of the Maximum Authorized for Independent College Students Under the Tuition Grant Program

The Tuition Grant Program, administered by the Kansas Board of Regents, is a need-based grant made to Kansas residents attending a private college or university in the state. Students may receive a grant for up to eight semesters or for up to ten semesters if they are in a program that takes five years to complete.

The maximum amount that can be awarded is one-half the difference between the average total required tuition and fees for a full-time student at a Regents' university and the average total required tuition plus fees for a full-time student at an independent institution. (The idea is to "halve the gap.") According to the Board of Regents, the amount needed to "halve the gap" in FY 1990 would be \$1,750.

Washburn University estimates that 1,487 undergraduate resident students would qualify for a grant in FY 1990. Forty percent of the maximum grant (\$1,750) would be \$700 per student or a total of \$1,040,900.

Kansas Legislative Research Department March 30, 1989

ATTACHMENT IV

Community College Levies and Amounts Raised

	 1981-82	1982-83		1983-84		1984-85			1985-86	1986-87			1987-88	1988-89		
Allen County Levy Amount	\$ 10.44 641,798	\$	10.46 685,488	\$	12.54 799,257	\$	12.52 774,077	\$	11.77 733,115	\$	12.26 718,825	\$	14.91 847,5,50	\$	17.22 961,239	
Barton County Levy Amount	\$ 10.60 2,273,088	\$	12.18 2,775,363	\$	12.61 2,772,793	\$	14.22 3,138,126	\$	14.66 3,149,989	\$	16.67 2,895,323	\$	21.33 3,383,023	\$	24.23 3,700,396	
Butler County Levy Amount	\$ 11.50 2,355,255	\$	11.48 2,404,840	\$	11.58 2,343,425	\$	11.86 2,420,631	\$	13.35 2,605,694	\$	15.47 2,745,366	\$	16.61 2,870,677	\$	19.14 3,375,338	
Cloud County Levy Amount	\$ 12.59 692,994	\$	13.71 755,858	\$	17.04 863,203	\$	18.61 944,949	\$	24.60 1,233,931	\$	24.31 1,212,556	\$	24.28 1,194,100	\$	24.24 1,191,770	
Coffeyville Levy Amount	\$ 19.00 1,261,232	\$	18.99 1,425,809	\$	20.65 1,459,031	\$	21.95 1,380,522	\$	22.22 1,380,407	\$	23.79 1,537,911	\$	26.27 1,720,064	\$	31.84 2,098,960	
Colby Levy Amount	\$ 15.41 914,363	\$	15.51 950,774	\$	21.95 1,182,394	\$	21.95 1,241,565	\$	21.95 1,283,415	\$	21.95 1,219,591	\$	21.95 1,168,488	\$	22.95 1,176,745	
Cowley Levy Amount	\$ 11.12 1,657,683	\$	12.82 1,981,945	\$	12.97 1,876,040	\$	12.90 1,799,219	\$	13.01 1,823,598	\$	13.37 1,811,061	\$	12.60 1,682,116	\$	16.15 2,087,230	
Dodge City Levy Amount	\$ 16.60 1,992,853	\$	21.10 2,715,626	\$	25.11 3,073,947	\$	24.91 3,124,484	\$	21.98 2,658,997	\$	21.11 2,567,184	\$	20.81 2,500,259	\$	21.96 2,623,341	
Fort Scott Levy Amount	\$ 15.22 731,995	\$	15.72 788,253	\$	20.44 980,067	\$	20.44 973,240	\$	20.21 952,402	\$	19.35 931,812	\$	19.26 896,092	\$	20.90 1,002,694	
Garden City Levy Amount	\$ 12.27 2,696,633	\$	11.19 2,921,307	\$	10.74 3,023,572	\$	12.26 3,505,693	\$	13.26 3,628,084	\$	12.55 3,003,354	\$	12.54 3,345,038	\$	14.53 3,845,550	
Highland Levy Amount	\$ 20.85 649,392	\$	23.40 748,977	\$	31.52 914,040	\$	33.42 1,006,012	\$	33.93 964,207	\$	32.53 928,269	\$	37.55 1,052,182	\$	37.40 1,090,933	

 ω

	 1981-82	 1982-83	1983-84		1984-85		1985-86			1986-87	1987-88			1988-89
Hutchinson Levy Amount	\$ 10.38 2,520,222	\$ 11.88 2,958,628	\$	16.42 3,917,205	\$	17.04 4,151,184	\$	16.64 4,112,838	\$	18.04 4,453,801	\$	18.51 4,554,551	\$	17.30 4,269,737
Independence Levy Amount	\$ 21.61 1,175,249	\$ 22.20 1,301,511	\$	26.03 1,514,486	\$	25.20 1,459,175	\$	24.16 1,410,538	\$	23.87 1,411,182	\$	26.83 1,554,760	\$	27.59 1,630,964
Johnson County Levy Amount	\$ 9.34 8,266,783	\$ 10.27 9,652,589	\$	11.79 1,1553,276	\$	12.27 12,676,799	\$	12.42 13,845,484	\$	13.66 16,145,892	\$	15.26 18,973,727	\$	15.79 20,428,774
Kansas City Levy Amount	\$ 7.75 2,900,901	\$ 7.52 2,898,000	\$	10.70 3,995,931	\$	11.18 4,220,517	\$	14.12 5,584,515	\$	14.47 6,067,281	\$	14.91 6,271,593	\$	15.44 6,564,881
Labette Levy Amount	\$ 12.98 884,966	\$ 19.88 1,412,523	\$	19.58 1,350,599	\$	20.48 1,428,018	\$	20.48 1,442,714	\$	21.48 1,514,085	\$	23.48 1,675,259	\$	23.42 1,677,374
Neosho Levy Amount	\$ 13.94 863,646	\$ 18.98 1,269,926	\$	16.51 1,086,364	\$	21.74 1,372,548	\$	19.47 1,239,587	\$	20.35 1,281,495	\$	22.28 1,353,009	\$	29.21 1,705,021
Pratt Levy Amount	\$ 13.99 1,186,706	\$ 13.99 1,318,909	\$	15.74 1,434,512	\$	15.76 1,493,100	\$	15.68 1,366,850	\$	16.35 1,433,991	\$	19.34 1,458,174	\$	29.08 2,112,188
Seward Levy Amount	\$ 14.52 1,761,244	\$ 16.30 2,035,894	\$	16.17 2,061,826	\$	15.81 2,168,248	\$	17.01 2,556,268	\$	18.10 2,522,637	\$	19.36 2,566,695	\$	21.17 2,754,564

ATTACHMENT V

Community College and Regents' Institution Resident Tuition Per Credit Hour (Excluding Fees) School Year 1988-89

Allen County Barton County Butler County Cloud County Coffeyville Colby Cowley County Dodge City Fort Scott Garden City Highland Hutchinson Independence Johnson County Kansas City Labette Neosho County Pratt	\$ 15.00 17.00 19.50 16.00 14.00 18.00 17.33* 15.00 18.00 16.00 17.00 22.00 20.00 14.00 15.00 15.00
Seward	15.00
Kansas University Kansas State University Wichita State University Emporia State University Fort Hays State University Pittsburg State University Kansas College of Technology	\$ 37.00 37.00 37.00 31.25 31.25 31.25 29.25

* Flat rate of \$260 charged for 12 to 18 hours. Amount shown is calculated for student taking 15 hours.

Kansas Legislative Research Department March 30, 1989

89-127-5/cr

March 30 1989

Includes LAVTR.

w

1. Assumes 5% enrollment growth and 2% inflation. Amounts to 102% budget-per-pupil increase over

ATTACHMENT VIII

Community College Ten-Year Plan (State Aid Amounts at 40 Percent of Operating Budgets Over Ten-Year Period)

prior year's expenditures (not budget). Est. FY 97 Est. FY 98 Est. FY 99 Est. FY 93 Est. FY 94 Est. FY 95 Est. FY 96 Est. FY 92 Est. FY 90 Est. FY 91 Gen. Fund Oper-232,586,333 \$ 177,439,000 \$ 189.859.730 \$ 203,149,911 \$ 217.370.405 \$ 248,867,376 165,831,000 \$ 135,367,000 \$ 144,843,000 \$ 154,982,000 \$ ating Budgets 126,511,000 \$ 82,600,753 90,708,670 99,546,950 56,382,540 62,103,650 68,349,502 75,165,467 41,963,770 46,349,760 51,144,060 38,140,701 Total State Aid* % of State Aid to 38.0 39.0 40.0 35.0 36.0 37.0 34.0 32.0 33.0 30.10 31.0 Operating Budget 2. Assumes 5% enrollment growth and 3% inflation. Amounts to 103% budget-per-pupil increase over prior year's expenditures. Est. FY 97 Est. FY 98 Est. FY 96 Est. FY 99 Est. FY 92 Est. FY 93 Est. FY 94 Est. FY 95 Est. FY 91 Est. FY 89 ____ Est. FY 90 Gen. Fund Oper-234,603,829 \$ 253,372,135 \$ 253,372,136 186,236,083 \$ 201.134.970 \$ 217,225,768 \$ 172,440,818 \$ 136,631,880 \$ 147,562,430 \$ 159.367.424 \$ 126,511,000 \$ ating Budgets 80,373,534 89.149.455 98.815.133 101.348.854 72,408,589 58,629,878 65,182,629 47,219,978 52,591,250 42,355,883 Total State Aid* 38,140,701 % of State Aid to 39.0 37.0 38.0 40.0 36.0 33.0 34.0 35.0 32.0 30.10 31.0 Operating Budget 3. Assumes 5% enrollment growth and 4% inflation. Amounts to 104% budget-per pupil increase over prior year's expenditures. Est. FY 96 Est. FY 97 Est. FY 98 Est. FY 99 Est. FY 92 Est. FY 93 Est. FY 94 Est. FY 95 Est. FY 91 Est. FY 90 Est. FY 89 Gen. Fund Oper-212,171,612 \$ 231,267,057 \$ 252,081,092 \$ 274,768,390 \$ 299,497,545 163,835,414 \$ 178.580.601 \$ 194,652,855 \$ 137.896.990 \$ 150,307,719 \$ 126,511,000 \$ ating Budgets 76,381,780 85.568,811 95,790,815 107,159,672 119,799,018 68,128,499 54.065.687 60,717,404 48,098,470 Total State Aid* 38,140,701 42,748,067 % of State Aid to 37.0 38.0 39.0 40 36.0 33.0 34.0 35.0 30.10 31.0 32.0 Operating Budget

4	Assumes 5% enrollment a	rowth and 5% inflation.	Amounts to 105% budget-per-pupil increase over prior year's expenditures.
---	-------------------------	-------------------------	---

		Est. FY 89		Est. FY 90		Est. FY 91	_	Est. FY 92		Est. FY 93		Est. FY 94		Est. FY 95		Eşl. FY 96	_Es	st. FY 97	 Est FY 98	 Est FY 99	
Gen. Fund Oper- ating Budgets	\$	126,511,000	\$	139,162.100	\$	153,078,310	\$	168,386,141	\$	185,224,755	\$	203,747,231	\$	224,121,954	\$	246,534,149	\$	271,187,564	\$ 298,306,320	\$ 328,136,952	
Total State Aid*		38,140,701		43,140,251		48,985,059		57,251,288		64,828,664		73,349,003		82,925,123		93,682,977		105,763,150	116.339.465	131,254,781	
% of State Aid to Operating Budget		30.10	•	31.0		32.0		33.0		34.0		35.0		36.0		37.0		38.0	39.0	40.0	
5. Assumes 5% en	5. Assumes 5% enrollment growth and 106% inflation. Amounts to 6% budget-per-pupil increase over prior year's expenditures.																				
		Est. FY 89		Est. FY 90		Est. FY 91		Est. FY 92		Est. FY 93		Est. FY 94		Est. FY 95	_	Est. FY 96	_ <u>E</u> :	st. FY 97	 Eşt. FY 98	 Est. FY 99	
Gen. Fund Oper- ating Budgets	\$	126,511,000	\$	140,427,210	\$	155,874,203	\$	173,020,365	\$	192,052,605	\$	213,178,392	\$	236,628,015	\$	262,657,097	\$	291,549,378	\$ 323,619,810	\$ 359,217,989)
Total State Aid*		38,140,701		43,532,435		49,879,745		57,096,720		65,297,886		74,612,437		85,186,085		97,183,126		110,788,764	126,211,726	143,687,196	;
% of State Aid to Operating Budget		30.10		31.0		32.0		33.0		34.0		35.0		36.0		37.0		38.0	39.0	40.0	

89-127-8/CR

b.

Includes LAVTR.

ATTACHMENT IX

Amount of Out-District Tuition Paid by Shawnee County Townships Outside Topeka if 62 (74) Hour Limitation Were Removed

It is estimated that county and township out-district tuition paid to Washburn University will total approximately \$670,000, of which half (\$335,000) is estimated to be from Shawnee County townships outside Topeka. If the existing Washburn removed out-district tuition. limitation were 62 (74)hour University estimates that approximately 7,000 upper division, graduate, and law school hours would become eligible for out-district tuition. At \$24.00 an hour, additional revenue generated from the townships would equal \$168,000. (Added to the \$335,000 collected from townships currently, the total would be \$503,000.)

Kansas Legislative Research Department March 30, 1989

KANSAS LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

Room 545-N - Statehouse

Phone 296-3181

March 1, 1989

TO: Representative Robert Vancrum

Office No. 112-S

RE: Community College Degrees Completion and Transfer Information

Julian Efird supplied the information shown in the following table. It is taken from three reports he compiles for the Legislative Educational Planning Committee: the Kansas Higher Education Enrollment Report, the Student Characteristics Survey, and the Inventory of Higher Education Programs and Degrees.

One limitation of the data is that we do not have a total unduplicated enrollment count for the entire year. That is because the official enrollment-counting day for the community colleges and the Regents' institutions is the 20th day of the fall semester and it is often used as an indicator for academic year enrollments. Thus, we do not account for summer school students or spring semester students who were not enrolled in the fall or for students who enrolled after the 20th day of classes.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6) 87-88	(7)	(8) Fall 88	(9)
	Fall 87	87-88	%	87-88	%	Total	%	Trans.	%
	Enroll.	Certif.	Certif.	<u>Degrees</u>	<u>Degrees</u>	Grads	Complete	Total	Trans.
	Lilion.	OCI III.	OOTHI.	<u>209.000</u>	<u>oog.ooe</u>				
Allen	1,141	4	0.35%	153	13.41%	157	13.76%	105	9.20%
Barton	3,728	39	1.05	304	8.15	343	9.20	192	5.15
Butler	3,621	8	0.22	403	11.13	411	11.35	25 9	7.15
Cloud	1,952	52	2.66	212	10.86	264	13.52	145	7.43
Coffeyville	1,749	0	0.00	149	8.52	149	8.52	99	5.66
Colby	1,514	27	1.78	187	12.35	214	14.13	137	9.05
Cowley	2,006	14	0.70	113	5.63	127	6.33	75	3.74
Dodge City	1,694	97	5.73	230	13.58	327	19.30	125	7.38
Ft. Scott	1,384	35	2.53	284	20.52	319	23.05	104	7.51
Garden City	1,562	21	1.34	160	10.24	181	11.59	87	5.57
Highland	1,606	12	0.75	134	8.34	146	9.09	93	5.79
Hutchinson	3,477	23	0.66	296	8.51	319	9.17	284	8.17
Independence	1,306	10	0.77	151	11.56	161	12.33	99	7.58
Johnson	10,065	585	5.81	201	2.00	786	7.81	715	7.10
Kansas City	3,950	16	0.41	404	10.23	420	10.63	126	3.19
Labette	2,430	0	0.00	223	9.18	223	9.18	80	3.29
Neosho	1,045	62	5.93	86	8.23	148	14.16	66	6.32
Pratt	941	26	2.76	115	12.22	141	14.98	72	7.65
Seward	1,141	36	3.16	70	6.13	106	9.29	46	4.03
	46,312	1,067	2.30%	3,875	8.37%	4,942	10.67%	2,909	6.28%

Fall 1987 20th day headcount enrollment of full and part-time students.

Number of students receiving certificates requiring more than one year of study. (Excludes one-year (2) certificates.)

Percent of certificates awarded in 1987-88 relative to the number of fall headcount students. (Excludes one-(3) year certificates.)

Number of students receiving associate degrees.

- Percent of associate degrees awarded in 1987-88 relative to the number of fall 1987 headcount students. (5)
- Total number of students graduating with either a certificate or degree in 1987-88. (Excludes one-year (6) certificates.)
- Percent of graduates in 1987-88 relative to the number of fall 1987 headcount students.
- Number of students transferring from a community college to a Regents' university for fall 1988. (8)
- Percent of community college fall 1988 transfer students relative to the 1987 fall headcount enrollments.

With regard to the transfer data, all we know is the number of students who enroll in a Regents' institution whose last school attended was a community college. We do not know whether they last attended the community college the semester before they transferred or several years earlier.

We are unable to respond to your question about how many students finished each community college class during the 1987-88 academic year. That information is not collected at the state level.

You might be interested in the results of a study of community college transfer students several years ago by Dr. Donald Doucette, Johnson County Community College.

In 1986, Dr. Doucette presented the results of his study of the move of community college students to Regents' institutions during the period from fall, 1979 to fall, 1984 to the Legislative Educational Planning Committee. Major findings of the study are the following:

- The number of community college students moving to Regents' institutions

 has grown from 1979 to 1984, both in absolute terms and as a proportion
 of community college students.
- 2. Social adjustments presented more problems to students transferring from community colleges to Regents' institutions than did problems in transferring courses.
- 3. The academic performance of community college students who transferred and native university students was essentially the same.

I hope this information is helpful. Call me or Julian if you have any questions.

Carolyn Rampey Principal Analyst

CR/jar

3-18

WASHBURN UNIVERSITY FUNDING PROPOSAL

Washburn would get the same percentage increase per year as community colleges, assuming a 5% growth in community college credit hours per year, the implementation of the five year plan, the assumption in FY 1990 of county out-district tuition and a \$500,000 grant to Washburn per year that becomes part of the base. Out-district tuition paid by Shawnee County townships would continue and the 64/72 hour limitation would be removed.

Present State Aid

\$4,716,308

New State Financing

of Co. Portion

of Out-District Tuition

\$335,000

\$5,051,308 X 14.5%

\$5,783,748 + \$500,000 =

\$6,283,748 State Aid**

Note: Shawnee County township out-district tuition would total an estimated \$495,000 in FY 1990.

** 25.9 percent of operating budget assuming a 7.0% increase per year.

(N

Paid by Shawnee Co. Township (Not Picked Up by State)

(Not Picked up by State)

\$6,778,748 Revenue to Washburn

\$495,000 Out-District Tuition

From State and Townships

Kansas Legislative Research Department

31-Mar-89