Approved	August	4,	1989	
			Date	

MINUTES OF THE House COMMITTEE ON	Transportation
The meeting was called to order by	Rex Crowell at
1:30 xxn./p.m. on February 28	
All members were present excepts	

Committee staff present:

Bruce Kinzie, Revisor of Statutes Hank Avila, Legislative Research Donna Mulligan, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Mr. Brian Cox, Kansas Department of Revenue

Mr. Gary Robbens, Kansas Optometric Association

Mr. Mark Wettig, Kansas Department of Revenue

Ms. Rebecca Rice, Kansas State Ophthalmological Society

Mr. Scott Rothe, Legislative Research Department

Mr. Horace B. Edwards, Secretary, Kansas Department of Transportation

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Crowell, and the first order of business was a hearing on $\underline{HB-2483}$ concerning standards for vision examinations for drivers' licenses.

Mr. Brian Cox, Kansas Department of Revenue, briefed the Committee on ${\tt HB-2483}$.

Mr. Gary Robbens, Kansas Optometric Association, testified in support of HB-2483. (See Attachment 1)

Mr. Mark Wettig, Kansas Department of Revenue, testified concerning HB-2483. (See Attachment 2)

Ms. Rebecca Rice, Kansas State Ophthalmological Society, testified in support of $\underline{HB-2483}$. (See Attachment 3)

The hearing on HB-2483 was concluded.

The next order of business was $\underline{HB-2014}$ concerning the maintenance, building and financing of highways.

Mr. Scott Rothe, Legislative Research Department, briefed the Committee on further details of the comprehensive plan. (See Attachment 4)

Chairman Crowell explained that during the Special Session of 1987, the \$1.7 billion did not reflect the full size of the highway program but it was merely a number which described the new initiatives portion of the program.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE	House	COMMITTEE ON _	Transportation	,
room <u>519-Ş</u> Statehou	se, at1:	30 g.g./p.m. on	February 28	, 19_8.9

Representative Dillon requested further information regarding the adequate maintenance program.

Chairman Crowell distributed further information concerning the highway program. (See Attachment 5)

Chairman Crowell called on each Committee member, allowing them to comment or make requests for further information concerning the comprehensive highway program.

Secretary of Transportation, Horace B. Edwards defined what is meant by adequate levels of maintenance.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:10 p.m.

Rex Crowell, Chairman

COM TEE: Transportation DATE: 2-PLEASE PRINT NAME ADDRESS . COMPANY/ORGANIZATION tood Devens Ass ark Intermi Kansas Coglition on Aging FD DESOIGNIE KS. CONTRACTORS ASSOC BARNES Ks Molor Chrises Assa Josep Brundich Benedictine College Benedictine Coll al moxwell Topera 2-28-89

COM TEE: Transportatio	n	DATE: 2-2 9
PLEASE PRINT		
NAME	ADDRESS	COMPANY/ORGANIZATION
Jun 14. Bride	Topota	06521101
Kay Coles	Toppka	K-NEA
Jan Lupung	TOPEKA	KPL
FRANK EBTON	Manharton	SERGNSES
Janay Wiedendeime	Desceley	
Jim Harrison	Gerard	5 F/45 Community action
Muke Swart	Gual	SEK-CAP INC.
Tout Farmery	Atlanta	Couley Cox Farm Bury
Guald Farrence	Winfield	Lam Bureaug
Ed Henry	Waterville	7 Thin Valler
yo Bestgen	Sopela	KARE
Ten Englise	Comme.	Cur hram
Jim Bush	TOPEKA	KDOT
Charles Hicolog	Topela	KOMA
		10/11
•		
		:
,		
	2	2-28-89

Kansas Optometric Association

Robelians

400 Kansas Ave. Suite A, Topeka, KS 66603

TESTIMONY ON HOUSE BILL 2483 BEFORE THE HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE February 28, 1989

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity to appear to discuss H.B. 2483. I am Gary Robbins, Executive Director of the Kansas Optometric Association. I represent optometrists practicing across the state of Kansas. I am appearing to suggest two technical amendments to H.B. 2483. In 1987, the Vehicle Division proposed several rules and regulations which inadvertently caused some confusion in the drivers' license vision standards. This bill is drafted from those regulations which were corrected with K.A.R. 92-52-1 in May of 1988. Our concern is that, subsection (a) discriminates against individuals who have only one eye. The result of the 1987 changes was that individuals who have 20/40 or better vision with one eye were forced to have an eye examination from an optometrist or ophthalmologist. Previously, the law stated 20/40 in at least one eye. These individuals were unhappy and vented their frustration on the doctors and the drivers' license examiners. To avoid re-creating this situation, we propose amending subsection (a) on line 21 to read: Each applicant testing 20/40 or better in each at least one eye separately with or without corrective lens at the examination station shall meet the vision requirements. The second amendment is in line 25.



Att. 1

Robelians

Kansas Optometric Association

400 Kansas Ave. Suite A, Topeka, KS 66603 913-232-0225

TESTIMONY ON HOUSE BILL 2483 BEFORE THE HOUSE TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE February 28, 1989

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for the opportunity to appear to discuss H.B. 2483. I am Gary Robbins, Executive Director of the Kansas Optometric Association. I represent optometrists practicing across the state of Kansas. I am appearing to suggest two technical amendments to H.B. 2483. In 1987, the Vehicle Division proposed several rules and regulations which inadvertently caused some confusion in the drivers' license vision standards. This bill is drafted from those regulations which were corrected with K.A.R. 92-52-1 in May of 1988. Our concern is that, subsection (a) discriminates against individuals who have only one eye. The result of the 1987 changes was that individuals who have 20/40 or better vision with one eye were forced to have an eye examination from an optometrist or ophthalmologist. Previously, the law stated 20/40 in at least one eye. These individuals were unhappy and vented their frustration on the doctors and the drivers' license examiners. To avoid re-creating this situation, we propose amending subsection (a) on line 21 to read: Each applicant testing 20/40 or better in each at least one eye separately with or without corrective lens at the examination station shall meet the vision requirements. The second amendment is in line 25.



The driver's license examiner shall give each applicant failing to meet this test a vision form and refer the applicant to a vision specialist of their the applicant's choice. Again, this amendment is directly from 92-52-1. I have attached a copy of 92-52-1 for the committee's reference. Thank you for the opportunity to appear on H.B 2483.

- 92-52-1. Vision standards for drivers. Each driver's license examiner shall use the following vision standards for driver's license applicants:
- (a) Each applicant testing 20/40 or better in each at least one eye separately with or without corrective lens at the examination station shall meet the vision requirements. The driver's license examiner shall give each applicant failing to meet this test a vision form and refer the applicant to a vision specialist of their the applicant's choice.
- (b) Each applicant who has received a vision report from a vision specialist shall have 20/60 or better vision in at least one eye with or without corrective lens as determined by the vision specialist in order to be eligible to be issued a driver's license.
- (c) The driver's license examiner shall require each individual with a reading of 20/60 or less in at least one eye with or without corrective lens; to submit to a driver's test for the proper restrictions.
- (d) Any applicant failing to meet any of the above standards may request an administrative review by the the director of vehicles.

(Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 8-234b; effective Jan. 1, 1966; amended, E-71-9, Jan. 1, 1971; amended Jan. 1, 1972; amended May 1, 1979; amended, E-82-26, Dec. 16, 1981; amended May 1, 1982; amended May 1, 1987; effective, amended May 1, 1988.)

ATTORNEY CENERAL

NOV 0 2 1987

APPRELIES EN STATE

OCT 2 2 77M

1-4/

Wetting 2

MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Honorable Rex Crowell, Chairman

House Transportation Committee

FROM:

Mark E. Wettig

Special Assistant to the Secretary

DATE:

February 28, 1989

SUBJECT:

House Bill 2483, As Introduced

I appreciate the opportunity to appear before this committee today to discuss House Bill 2483.

BACKGROUND

House Bill 2483 deals with driver's license vision standards. Below is a synopsis of current vision requirements and rights and what those requirements and rights would be if the bill is adopted.

Currently

Vision requirements of K.A.R. 92-52-1

- 20/40 or better in at least <u>one</u> eye, with or without corrective lense at station.
- 20/40 to 20/60 in at least one eye, with or without corrective lense needs vision report from specialist.
- 20/60 is required to submit to drive test restrictions available under K.S.A. 8-245.

Rights

- Appeal of the Division's denial of license under K.S.A. 8-259.

Under House Bill 2483

Vision Requirements

- 20/40 or better in <u>each</u> eye, with or without corrective lense at station.

- 20/60 or better in at least one eye, with or without corrective lense needs vision report from specialist.
- 20/60 "or less" in at least one eye, with or without corrective lense is required to submit to drive test restrictions available under K.S.A. 8-245.
- If can't meet above standards, license may be issued if: 1) applicant can demonstrate he/she can safely operate vehicle and 2) applicant has good driving record for previous three years.

Rights

- Hearing under K.S.A. 8-255 if applicant can't meet any of above-listed standards.
- Appeal of the Division's denial of license under K.S.A. 8-259.

Costs

The Department estimates that with the passage of House Bill 2983, we would incur yearly administrative costs of \$109,495, beginning in FY 1990, mainly through the increase in the number of drive tests administered.

Possible Problems

The requirements of paragraph (d), that the applicant "can demonstrate that the applicant can <u>safely operate</u> a vehicle "and" has had a <u>good driving record</u> for the previous three years" need to be defined. Also, the question of liability for negligence based on the Department's determination that the applicant has met both standards set out in paragraph (d) must be considered.

Thank you.

Rice

Recommendations and suggestions of the M.D.-O.D. Committee Meeting, December 9, 1985 in Hutchison, Kansas, to Mr. Robert Bugg, Director of Motor Vehicles Department of Kansas and his Medical Advisory Committee concerning the licensing of Kansas Drivers with impaired vision.

STATEMENT

There is a need in the State of Kansas to provide an opportunity for drivers with recorded vision less than 20/60 in the better eye with or without corrective lens (present Kansas law) to <u>demonstrate</u> their ability to drive a motor vehicle to a trained examiner.

RECOMMENDATIONS

A driver with visual acuity from 20/70 up to and including 20/200 in the better or both eyes with correction <u>be permitted to demonstrate</u> their ability to drive a motor vehicle with the following provisions:

- 1. A letter of recommendation to the Motor Vehicle department from a physician or optometrist that includes: a) the best visual acuity in each and both eyes, b) a diagnosis of the cause of the reduced vision, and c) specific recommendations as to any driving restrictions (e.g. day time only driving) or no restrictions be sent to the Director of the Kansas Motor Vehicle Department.
- 2. The Medical Advisory Committee of the Motor Vehicle Department to review the information and make a recommendation that a driving test should or should not be given.
- 3. The person who administers the driving test should have special training in the examination of persons with visual impairment and will know the driver being examined is visually impaired.
 - a) The drivers examiner may refuse to administer a driving test if, in their opinion, this would endanger the driver, examiner or the public.
 - b) The examiner will send a written report to the Medical Advisory Committee of the Motor Vehicle Department with specific recommendation as to whether a license is to be issued and any restrictions.
- 4. The Medical Advisory Committee will then provide the Director of Motor Vehicles a specific recommendation concerning the type of license that may be issued.
- 5. The final decision concerning the issuance of a drivers license will be made by the Director of Motor Vehicles or his designate.

Att. 3

SUGGESTIONS

- 1. Three types of drivers licenses be issued:
 - a) Unrestricted
 - b) Restricted 20/40 to 20/60
 - i) Day time only avoiding heavily traveled roads
 - ii) Highly restricted Farm to home, shopping, church, etc. Daytime only in off hours. Restricted to communities of 25,000 or less.
- 2. Person with restricted license must send yearly vision report to Medical Advisory Committee for renewal of drivers license. If vision is unchanged for three years the Medical Advisory Committee may modify the yearly report.
- 3. The primary evidence for the issuance of a drivers license to persons with 20/70 to 20/200 vision will be in the recommendation of the examiners evaluation of the driving performance. A person requesting this special testing will be responsible for any expenses necessary to go to a regional area where trained examiners are available.
- 4. The establishment of a visual sub-committee to work under the Medical Advisory Committee consisting of at least 2 ophthalmologists and 2 optometrists (one member, a Low Vision specialist) would be desirable. At this time the M.D.-O.D. Committee of Kansas (members from the Kansas Optometric Association and the Ophthalmology Section of the Kansas Medical Society) would be able to recommend these members to the Director of Motor Vehicles.
- 5. The M.D.-O.D. Committee of the KOA-KMS will be willing to provide guidelines and train the special examiners for the Motor Vehicle Department.

SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. The present Kansas drivers license law not be changed at this time, if possible.
- 2. The suggested change for drivers with 20/70 to 20/200 vision be established with careful monitoring of the driver for:
 - i. Motor Vehicle violation
 - ii. Accidents
 - iii. Personal injury accidents
 - iv. Driving reports at least every 4 to 6 months to Motor Vehicle Department.

- 3. Establish a Committee of the ophthalmologist and optometrist on the Medical Advisory Committee of the Motor Vehicle Department, the subcommittee of ophthalmologists and optometrists and a lay representative of the Motor Vehicle Department selected by the Director of Motor Vehicles to study in detail and make recommendations to the Director of Motor Vehicles on the following:
 - a) Legislation in other States in reference to vision
 - b) The Role of Bioptic Telescopic Lenses
 - c) The significance of Visual Field defects and how to obtain information.
 - d) Review of the driving records of drivers in Kansas with restricted licenses.
 - e) Provide a detailed, written report to the Director of Motor Vehicles in a period not to exceed three years with specific recommendation for changes in Kansas Drivers License laws.

HIGHWAY PROGRAM COMPARISONS (In Millions)

	1987 Special Session		1988 Interim Committee		1987 Proposal Annualized FY 1988 to FY 1996		1988 Proposal Annualized FY 1990 to FY 2000	
Federal Aid	\$	180 ^{(a}	\$	220 ^{(b}	\$	20	\$	20
Substantial Maintenance(c	\$	639	\$	886	\$	71	\$	81
New Initiative: Major Modifications ^{(d} System Enhancement Subtotal New Initiative	\$ 	1,471 246 1,717	\$ \$	1,404 700 2,104	\$ 	163.4 27.3 190.8	\$ \$	127.6 63.6 191.2
Aid to Local Units: Special City and County City Connecting Link Subtotal Aid to Local Units	\$ \$	143 <u>5</u> 148	\$ 	519 <u>9</u> 528	\$ 	16 . <u>555</u> 16.6	\$ 	47 .800 48
Elderly and Handicapped	\$	3	\$	3	\$.330	\$.300
TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	2,687	\$	3,741	\$	298.6	\$	347.3

- (a) Would provide sufficient state funds to match federal funds beyond FY 1996.
- (b) Would provide sufficient state funds to match federal funds through FY 2000.
- (c) Both programs assumed the same level of substantial maintenance. Because the 1988 Interim Committee's proposal begins two years later and ends four years later the average costs are higher.
- (d) The new initiative major modifications when combined with available federal aid is estimated to reconstruct 1,900 miles of roadway under the 1988 Committee proposal. The Governor's recommendation to the Special Session combined with available federal aid provided for the construction or reconstruction of 1,689 miles, of which 277 miles would be four-lane construction. Major modification for both programs includes bridge replacement and repair.

Prepared by the Kansas Legislative Research Department with information provided by the Kansas Department of Transportation

February 28, 1989

FINANCIAL COMPARISON

	S.B. 1 Special Session	Interim Committee
Motor Fuels Tax: Initial Increase	5 cents - (FY 1989) Adjusted annually for inflation but limited	4 cents (FY 1990)
	to 1 cent each year (a little more than 1 cent shared with local unit)	2 cents (FY 1992) 1 cent (FY 1994)
Registration Fees: Initial Increase:		
Passenger	50% to 100%	52% average
Freight Subsequent:	50%	30% average
Passenger & Freight	Adjusted annually for inflation	
Sales Tax Transfer		Increase to 10%
Sales & Compensating Tax		Increase from 4.0% to 4.5% (62.5% Highway Fund) (37.5% Local Units)
Bond Issuance	\$1.299 billion	\$651 million
Total Interest on Bonds	\$1.396 billion 20 year term @ 8.25%	\$481 million 15 year term @ 7.875%
	Prepared by the Kansas Legisl with information provided by of Transportation	
	February 28, 1989	

HIGHWAY FINANO	CE ALTERNATIVES				27-Feb-89	10:00:42 AM	(MILLIONS)	L
ALTERNATIVES	MOTOR FUEL TAX INCREASES	REGISTRATION FEE INCREASES	SALES TAX TRANSFER INCREASE	SALES AND COMPENSATING TAX INCREASE	INCREASE TO THE SPECIAL CITY & COUNTY FUND	BOND SALES	PROGRAM	= 5
HB 2014	4 c 7/1/89 2 c 7/1/91 1 c 7/1/93 1,033	52% PASSENGER 30% FREIGHT 318	10% OF SALES TAX COLLECTIONS 329	1/2% 62.5% FOR HIGHWAY FUND 865	37.5% OF SALES & COMPENSATING TAX INCREASE 519	LATE SALE AS NEEDED 15-YEAR @ 7.875% 651		
REQUEST VERSION 20 EIGHT-YEAR LET	4 c 7/1/89 2 c 7/1/91 1 c 7/1/93 740 (293)	52% PASSENGER 30% FREIGHT 348	12% OF SALES TAX COLLECTIONS 582 253	TO KIÖT 1,544 679	35% OF MFT INCREASE 398 (121)	EARLY SALE 15-YEAR @ 7.00% (651)	PER HB 2014 EXCEPT EIGHT-YEAR LET	=
						==========	=======	, =

Rex 2

HICHWAY FINAN	CE ALTERNATIVES			•	27-Feb-89	04:40:06 PM	(MILLIONS)
ALTERNATIVES	MOTOR FUEL TAX INCREASES	REGISTRATION FEE INCREASES	SALES TAX TRANSFER INCREASE	SALES AND COMPENSATING TAX INCREASE	INCREASE TO THE SPECIAL CITY & COUNTY FUND	BOND SALES	PROGRAM
HB 2014	4 c 7/1/89 2 c 7/1/91 1 c 7/1/93 1,033	52% PASSENGER 30% FREIGHT 318	10% OF SALES TAX COLLECTIONS 329	1/2% 62.5% FOR HIGHWAY FUND 865	37.5% OF SALES & COMPENSATING TAX INCREASE 519	LATE SALE AS NEEDED 15-YEAR @ 7.875% 651	
REQUEST VERSION 21 (FY 2002) NINE-YEAR LET	4 c 7/1/89 2 c 7/1/91 1 c 7/1/93 746 (287)	52% PASSENGER 30% FREIGHT 378 60	12% OF SALES TAX COLLECTIONS 644 315	1,709 844	40% OF MFT INCREASE 498 (21)	EARLY SALE 15-YEAR 0 7.00% (651)	PER HB 2014 EXCEPT NINE-YEAR LET THROUGH FY 2002