	Approved	
	P	Date
MINUTES OF THE Senate COM	MMITTEE ONElections	
The meeting was called to order by	enator Don Sallee Chairperson	at
g	Chairperson	at.
	7, 1989, 19 in room _	
All members were present except:		
All members were present	t	

### Committee staff present:

Myrta Anderson, Research Department Fred Carmen, Revisor of Statutes' Office Elizabeth Handke, Committee Secretary

### Conferees appearing before the committee:

Ron Thornburgh, Secretary of State Office Douglas Merritt, Libertarian Harriet Lang, Kansas Association of Broadcasters

Others attending: see attached list (attachment 1)

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Sallee at 1:39 P.M.

Ron Thornburgh, Secretary of State Office, appeared before the committee in support of  $\underline{\text{H.B.}}$  2393 (attachment 2). He listed four main points in favor of the bill which are:

- 1. HB 2393 requires all retention judges to file for retention no later than the day before the primary election. Currently, they do not have to file until 60 days prior to the general election, causing delays in ballot preparation and distribution.
- 2. HB 2393 prohibits filing for office both as a partisan candidate for the primary election and again as an independent candidate for the general election. Several potential candidates have inquired about this procedure as a way of guaranteeing ballot status for the general election.
- 3. HB 2393 gives the Secretary of State authority to prescribe the form for declarations of intention to become a candidate. Several of the statutory forms currently in use are inconsistent thereby causing some confusion.
- 4. Lastly, HB 2393 requires the Secretary of State to send a copy of all candidate petitions filed in the office to the county election officer of the county in which the petitions were circulated. This will allow for more precise verification of petitions by our office.

Senator Sallee concluded the hearings on HB 2393. Senator Bond made a motion to recommend the bill favorably. Senator Kerr seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Ron Thornburgh, Secretary of State Office, again appeared before the committee in support of  $\underline{\text{H.B. }2428}$  (attachment 3). He stated that in this bill they are asking that several statutes regarding an independent candidate's nomination be changed. He listed three changes:

- 1. We are asking to raise the number of signatures necessary for an independent candidate to obtain ballot status for a state-wide office.
- 2. We are asking that the petition requirements for district candidates be lowered from 5% of the total vote for the office of the Secretary of State in such district to 3% of the total vote for the

#### **CONTINUATION SHEET**

MINUTES OF THE Senate COMMITTEE ON Elections

room 529-S, Statehouse, at 1:30 XXm./p.m. on March 27, 1989 , 19\_

office of Secretary of State in such district.

3. Because this bill would, in some instance, increase the number of signatures required on petitions, we are also asking that the time in which to circulate nomination petitions be extended to 180 days.

A question was raised concerning how much does this bill raise the threshold. Ron replied that for state-wide independent candidates, as it stands now, signature requirements are 2,500 and it would be raised to 1% of the vote for the Office of Secretary of State, based on last election, which would be 7,990. Another question was raised about keeping the 2,500 figure the same and lower the other to threshold. Ron replied that they don't feel 2,500 signatures are appropriate for state-wide office. He also stated that they are raising signature requirements for 5 state-wide offices and lowering the signature requirements for approximately 2,000 district offices.

Douglas Merritt, Libertarian Party, appeared as a opponent of  $\underline{\text{H.B.}}$  2428 (attachment 4). Mr. Merritt stated that the Secretary of State had two options: he could either raise the 2,500 figure, as he did, or lower the requirements for district offices. Mr. Merritt also felt that the legislature has built barriers so the small parties aren't allowed to participate.

Harriet Lang, Kansas Association of Broadcasters, appeared before the committee in support of  $\underline{\text{H.B.}}$   $\underline{2418}$  with a suggested amendment for the bill (attachment 5). Senator Johnston made a motion to accept the amendment. Senator Reilly seconded the motion. The motion carried. Senator Johnston made a motion to recommend  $\underline{\text{H.B.}}$   $\underline{2418}$  favorably. Senator Bond seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The Senators began discussion on H.B. 2428. Senator Martin made a motion that in state-wide races the number of signatures, to get a name on the ballot, remain at 2,500 and in district races the number of signatures needed would be graduated down proportionately. Senator Rock seconded the motion. Discussion was held and a comment was made that if the number of signatures to get a name on the ballot get too low the process could be confusing and a dangerous thing to do. Senator Martin replied he would like to see a bigger ballot and more openness in government. Senator Kerr made a substitute motion to pass H.B. 2428. Senator Bond seconded the motion. After a voice vote there was a call for division and the vote was 2 yes and 3 no votes. The motion failed. No action was taken on Senator Martin's motion.

Senator Reilly made a motion to approve the minutes of March 20 and 21. Senator Bond seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Chairman Sallee adjourned the meeting at 2:25 P.M.

## GUEST LIST

## SENATE ELECTIONS COMMITTEE

DATE: March 27, 1989

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	COMPANY/ORGANIZATION
Lebecca Bossemeyer	Topeka	505
Kon Thorn hope L	Topeka	11
Jana Atchison	Topeka	KADC
Michael Woolf	//	Common Canse
Douglas Mervitt	Dtehison	50/f
Harriet Lange	Topika	Ks Assa of Brogdrasters
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	V	
	,	
		March 27, 1989 Elections
		Attachment 1

Bill Graves Secretary of State



2nd Floor, State Capitol Topeka, KS 66612-1594 (913) 296-2236

# STATE OF KANSAS

Testimony of Ron Thornburgh House Elections Committee February 21, 1989

HB 2393

Thank you Mr. Chairman and members of the committee.

The Secretary of State's office asked for introduction of HB 2393 in order to clean up several items in the statutes regarding filing for public office.

- 1. HB 2393 requires all retention judges to file for retention no later than the day before the primary election. Currently, they do not have to file until 60 days prior to the general election, causing delays in ballot preparation and distribution.
- 2. HB 2393 prohibits filing for office both as a partisan candidate for the primary election and again as an independent candidate for the general election. Several potential candidates have inquired about this procedure as a way of guaranteeing ballot status for the general election.
- 3. HB 2393 gives the Secretary of State authority to prescribe the form for declarations of intention to become a candidate. Several of the statutory forms currently in use are inconsistent thereby causing some confusion.
- 4. Lastly, HB 2393 requires the Secretary of State to send a copy of all candidate petitions filed in the office to the county election officer of the county in which the petitions were circulated. This will allow for more precise verification of petitions by our office.

I ask for you support on HB 2393 and that you recommend it favorably for passage.

March 27, 1989 Elections Attachment 2 Bill Graves Secretary of State



2nd Floor, State Capitol Topeka, KS 66612-1594 (913) 296-2236

# STATE OF KANSAS

Testimony of Ron E. Thornburgh Rouse elections committee February 28, 1989

HB 2428

In this bill we are asking that several statutes regarding an independent candidate's nomination be changed.

1 - We are asking to raise the number of signatures necessary for an independent candidate to obtain ballot status for a state-wide office. In 1971, in Jenness v. Fortson, the United States Supreme Court upheld a requirement that independent candidates demonstrate substantial support in the community by securing supporting signatures amounting to 5% of the total registered voters to obtain ballot access. As you can see, on line 27, we are only asking for 1% of the actual votes for the office of Secretary of State at the last general election. Today, this would require 7,990 signatures.

In the case of the Libertarian Party of Oregon v. Roberts, the court stated "To be sure, candidates must demonstrate, through their ability to secure votes at the primary election, that they enjoy a modicum of support in order to advance to the general election." Partisan candidates do not have access to the general election unless they are nominated by their party. We are asking that statewide independent candidates show similar nominating support in order to advance directly to the general election with their nomination petitions.

The state has a compelling interest in preserving the electoral process. Avoiding voter confusion and frivolous candidacies are important reasons to restrict ballot access.

- 2 We are asking that the petition requirements for district candidates be lowered from 5% of the total vote for the office of the Secretary of State in such district to 3% of the total vote for the office of Secretary of State in such district. No candidate for a district office should be forced to show support beyond that for which a candidate for a state-wide office should show.
- 3 Because this bill would, in some instances, increase the number of signatures required on petitions, we are also asking that the time in which to circulate nomination petitions be extended to 180 days.

March 27, 1989 Elections Attachment 3 KSA 25-303. Independent nominations; requirements; number of signers. (as amended by SB 501 effective March --, 1988) This section shall not apply to city and school elections, nor to election of other officers provided by law to be elected in April. All nominations other than party nominations shall be independent nominations. Independent nominations of candidates for any office to be filled by the voters of the state at large may be made by nomination petitions signed by not less than 2,500 qualified voters of the state for each candidate and in the case of governor and lieutenant governor for each pair of such candidates.

Independent nominations of candidates for offices to be filled by the voters of a county, district or other division less than a state may be made by nomination petitions signed by not less than 5% of the qualified voters of such county, district or other division voting for secretary of state at the last preceding general election for each candidate, and in no case to [exceed 2,500 or] be signed by less than 25 voters of such county, district or division, for each candidate.

Independent nominations of candidates for office to be filled by the voters of a township may be made by nomination papers signed by not less than 5% of the qualified voters of such township, computed as above provided, for each candidate, and in no case to be signed by less than 10 such voters of such township for each candidate.

The signatures to such nomination petitions need not all be appended to one paper, but each registered voter signing an independent certificate of nomination shall add to the signature such petitioner's place of residence and post office address. All signers of each separate nominations petition shall reside in the same county and election district of the office sought. The affidavit of a qualified elector who resides in such county and election district or of the candidate shall be appended to each petition and shall contain, at the end of each set of documents carried by each circulator, a verification, signed by the circulator, to the effect that the circulator personally witnessed the signing of the petition by each person whose name appears thereon. The person making such affidavit shall be duly registered to vote. No such nomination paper shall contain the name of a candidate for governor without the same such paper containing the name of a candidate for lieutenant governor, and if it does shall be void. No person shall join in nominating more than one person for the same office, and if this is done, the name shall not be counted on any certificate.

> March 27, 1989 Elections Attachment 4

## HOUSE BILL No. 2418

By Committee on Elections

2-15

16

17 18

19

20 21 22

23 24 25

26

27 28 29

30 31 12

33 34

35 36

37 38 39

40 AT.

کہ 44 AN ACT concerning supplemental notice of state and subdivision matters by radio and television broadcast.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

- Section 1. Any official of the state or any of its political subdivisions who is required by law to publish any notice required by law may supplement publication thereof by radio or television broadcast, or both, when such official determines the public interest will be served thereby, except that the time, place and nature of such notice only shall be read or shown, and no reference shall be made to any person by name, who is at the time of notice a candidate for political office, and no such person shall be seen or heard in the broadcast.
- Sec. 2. (a) Each radio or television station broadcasting any legal notice or notice of event shall for a period of six months subsequent to such broadcast retain at its office a copy or transcription of the text of the notice as actually broadcast which shall be available for public inspection.
- (b) Proof of broadcast of legal notice or notice of event by radio or television shall be by affidavit of the manager, an assistant manager or a program director of the station broadcasting the same.
- Sec. 3. (a) The secretary of state shall [may] supplement publication of legal notice of proposed constitutional amendments by radio and television broadcast as provided under section 1, at such times and with such frequency as determined suitable by the secretary of state during the week immediately preceding the election at which the proposition is to be submitted.
- (b) Failure to broadcast notices provided for in subsection (a) at any station or in a particular geographic location of the state, shall

the broadcast of legal advertisements concerning

1989

Ъ

March 27, 1 Elections Attachment

an unbiased summary of the resolution or question

question submitted elections, only

such official

- in no way affect the validity of such notice or legal advertisement and shall not subject any such notice or advertisement to legal attack upon such grounds.
- Sec. 4. (a) The charges made for legal advertisements on broadcast shall not exceed the comparable rate charged by the station to commercial customers.
- (b) Any contract rates or volume discounts given to commercial customers by the station shall be available to persons or political subdivisions causing broadcast of legal advertisements, under the same terms and conditions as for commercial advertisements.
- (c) As used in this section, "legal advertisement" and "political subdivision" have the meanings provided in K.S.A. 28-137b and amendments thereto.
- Sec. 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the Kansas register.