Approved _	5-2-92	
ripproved =	Date	

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON	JUDICIARY
The meeting was called to order byRepresentative	John Solbach at Chairperson
12:30 axxx/p.m. onApril 30	
All members were present except:	

Representatives Allen, Snowbarger and Vancrum who were excused.

Committee staff present:

Jill Wolters, Revisor of Statutes Judy Goeden, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

The chairman called the meeting to order.

State Representative Campbell introduced the Senior class from Teska High School who were in attendance at the committee meeting.

The chairman received direction from the committee to request interim and Judicial Council studies as deemed appropriate by the chairman in consent with Representatives O'Neal, Garner and Everhart.

It was requested that the following which was similarly included in the 1991 interim Judiciary Committee minutes and in the Senate Committee on Judiciary minutes of January 24, 1992 relating to the question of judicial discretion and <u>SB 479</u> be included on record in the House Committee of Judiciary minutes.

"The Committee wishes to emphasize several factors concerning the court's power to depart for substantial and compelling circumstances.

First, the list of aggravating and mitigating factors set forth does not purport in any way to be an exclusive list of factors. The court may take into account when it determines whether the offender or offense are so atypical that a departure is warranted. Factors such as the youth of the offender, the offender's mental and emotional condition, and the offender's physical condition, including drug and alcohol dependence, may render that individual's behavior less culpable that the typical offender for a particular crime. Likewise, a sophisticated offender whose crime requires special planning and skill may be more culpable than the typical offender. Other factors not mentioned here or in the guidelines may also be relevant to the culpability of the offender. It is the Committee's expectation that precision in the factors that may be considered will develop over time as the appellate courts of the State develop a common law of sentencing.

Second, the Committee recognized that the guidelines are designed to structure judicial discretion, not to eliminate it. The guidelines contemplate that a typical offense and offender will be sentenced within the guidelines. For an individual somewhat more or less culpable than a typical offender, the court may choose a sentence at the top or bottom of the applicable guideline. However, where the individual is substantially more or less culpable than the typical offender, the court may consider a departure.

Finally, the Committee believes that no individual should be sentenced to prison solely or primarily to be rehabilitated. However, that general consideration does not mean that rehabilitative factors are always irrelevant in deciding whether to sentence an individual to probation rather than imprisonment. In exceptional cases, the court should be able to consider a defendant's amenability to probation when deciding whether to grant a dispositional departure."

SCR 1634 was considered for action.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THEHO	OUSE COMMITTEE ON	JUDICIARY	,
room <u>313-s</u> , Statehouse, a	at <u>12:30</u> & mx/p. m. onA	pril 30	1992.

Rep. O'Neal moved to amend SCR 1634 on page 1, line 27 by adding, "or as otherwise provided by law" after the word "court". Rep. Hochhauser seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Rep. O'Neal moved to further amend SCR 1634 on page 2 by deleting lines 16 thru 18 and inserting in lieu thereof, "A vote against this amendment would continue the current practice where victims of crime are provided certain statutory rights but are not guaranteed these rights in the constitution". Rep. Everhart seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Rep. Pauls submitted a proposed amendment to SCR 1634 to the committee. (Attachment #1)

Rep. Pauls moved to further amend SCR 1634 per her proposal. Rep. Macy seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Rep. Gomez moved to amend SCR 1634 on page 1, line 28, after the word "constitutional", adding "or statutory or as defined by law". Rep. Everhart seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Rep. Heinemann moved to amend SCR 1634 on page 1, line 36 by deleting the word "insure" and inserting in lieu thereof, "ensure". Rep. Gomez seconded the motion. Motion carried.

Rep. Rock moved to table SCR 1634. Rep. Hochhauser seconded the motion. Motion failed.

Rep. O'Neal moved to report SCR 1634 as amended favorably for passage. Rep. Everhart seconded the motion. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 1:10 P.M.

Session of 1992

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 1634

By Committee on Judiciary

2-6

A PROPOSITION to amend article 15 of the constitution of the state of Kansas by adding a new section thereto, prescribing certain rights for victims of crime.

Be it resolved by the Legislature of the State of Kansas, two-thirds of the members elected (or appointed) and qualified to the Senate and two-thirds of the members elected (or appointed) and qualified to the House of Representatives concurring therein:

Section 1. The following proposition to amend the constitution of the state of Kansas shall be submitted to the qualified electors of the state for their approval or rejection: Article 15 of the constitution of the state of Kansas is amended by adding a new section thereto to read or follows:

21 to read as follows:

"§ 15. Victims' rights. (a) Victims of crime as defined by law shall be entitled to certain basic rights, including the right to be informed of, and to be present at, and to be heard at all eritical stages public hearings, as defined by law, of the criminal justice process, and to be heard at sentencing or at any other time deemed appropriate by the court, to the extent that these rights do not interfere with the constitutional rights of the accused.

"(b) Nothing in this section shall be construed as creating a cause of action for money damages against the state, a county, a municipality, or any of the agencies, instrumentalities, or employees thereof provided that the legislature may, by statutory enactment, reverse, modify or supersede any judicial decision or rule arising from any eause of action brought pursuant to this section. The legislature may provide for other remedies to insure adequate enforcement of this section.

"(c) Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize a court to set aside or to void a finding of guilt, or innocence or an acceptance of a plea of guilty or to set aside any sentence imposed in any criminal case."

Sec. 2. The following statement shall be printed on the ballot with the amendment as a whole:

"Explanatory statement: This amendment would prescribe that

guilty or not guilty

Hypordon y

 victims of crime would be guaranteed certain basic rights during the criminal justice process as long as they do not interfere with the constitutional rights of the accused. Such rights include the right to be informed, and be present at public hearings and be heard at all stages of the eriminal justice process sentencing or at any other time deemed appropriate by the court. This amendment does not provide or create a cause of action for money damages against the state, a county, a municipality or any agency, instrumentality or employee thereof nor does this amendment authorize a court to set aside or void a finding of guilt or innocence or an acceptance of a plea of guilty or set aside any sentence imposed in any criminal case.

"A vote for this amendment would guarantee certain basic rights for victims of crime as long as such rights do not interfere with

the rights of the accused.

"A vote against this amendment would continue the present situation where victims of crime are not provided certain specific guaranteed constitutional rights."

Sec. 3. This resolution, if approved by two-thirds of the members elected (or appointed) and qualified to the senate and two-thirds of the members elected (or appointed) and qualified to the house of representatives, shall be entered on the journals, together with the yeas and nays. The secretary of state shall cause this resolution to be published as provided by law and shall cause the proposed amendment to be submitted to the electors of the state at the general election in the year 1992 unless a special election is called at a sooner date by concurrent resolution of the legislature, in which case it shall be submitted to the electors of the state at the special election.

guilty or not guilty

130-92 meeting 130-92 meeting 15ecorch 2 15ecorch 2 15ecorch 2