	Approved February 13, 1992
	Date
MINUTES OF THESENATE COMMITTEE ON	EDUCATION
The meeting was called to order by	SENATOR JOSEPH C. HARDER at
	Chairperson
1:30 xx./p.m. onMonday, February	10, 19_92 in room123-S of the Capitol.
All members were present except:	

Committee staff present:

Mr. Ben Barrett, Legislative Research Department

Ms. Avis Swartzman, Revisor of Statutes Mrs. Millie Randell, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

SB 145 - State educational institutions, student admission qualifications

Proponents:

Senator Audrey Langworthy, primary sponsor of SB 145 Mr. Jim Edwards, Director of Chamber and Association Relations, Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry

After calling the meeting to order, Chairman Joseph C. Harder reminded the Committee that the primary sponsor of <u>SB 145</u>, Senator Audrey Langworthy, and Mr. Jim Edwards, Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry, had relinquished their time to speak on the the first day testimony was heard on <u>SB 145</u> due to the long list of conferees. He thanked the senator and Mr. Edwards for having relinquished their time and then yielded the floor to Senator Langworthy.

Senator Langworthy emphasized two main points in her testimony:

- If scholastic goals are set, young people will meet them. Athletes are already meeting some qualified admissions standards; why not expect more from everyone else?

According to 1984 national data, Senator Langworthy noted that, except for Oklahoma, our peer institutions reflect better graduation rates than Kansas. She pointed out that Oklahoma adopted enhanced admission standards subsequent to 1984. (Attachment 1)

Mr. Jim Edwards, Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry, a proponent of  $\underline{SB}$   $\underline{145}$ , related that although it has been a difficult task for his organization, the Education Committee and the Board of KCCI have reached almost unanimous agreement to endorse a position in support of qualified admissions. (Attachment 2)

The Chair asked if there were additional conferees who wished to speak today either as a proponent or an opponent of  $\underline{SB}$   $\underline{145}$ , but there was no response.

The Chair announced that since there had been no time in which to address questions to conferees on the days on which they had testifed, he had invited both the proponents and opponents of SB 145 to return today. However, before opening the floor for questions, the Chair acknowledged distribution of a handout by Mr. Rick Harman, a member of the State Board of Regents, and asked Mr. Harman to explain it to the Committee.

Harman explained that the information contained in his handout (<u>Attachment 3</u>) is based upon a self-evaluation survey of students taken in conjunction with the ACT test and presents a compelling reason why qualified admissions is needed. Mr. Harman said that if people do not have some kind of standard, it leads them to coast. Mr. Harman illustrated

#### CONTINUATION SHEET

MINU	JTES OF THE _	SENATE	COMMITTEE O	ν	EDUCATION	,
room	<u>123-S</u> , Stateho	ouse, atl:	30 *****/p.m. on .	Monday,	February l	0, 19_92

the importance of taking foreign language as a preparatory course for university and said he anticipates that the Regents will exert a stronger impact upon its students concerning a foreign language requirement.

Mr. Harman noted the tremendous monetary burden placed upon students who have received loans to attend university and then drop out.

Mr. Stan Koplik, Executive Director, State Board of Regents confirmed that students who have taken a foreign language score dramatically better on the ACT test and perform better while undertaking a college curriculum. Also, he continued, students who take a foreign language at their universities "do better at persisting in getting a degree in five years or less".

Mr. Koplik replied that statistics show there is a direct correlation between a student's success in university and completion of the core curriculum in high school. He acknowledged that the qualified admissions policy would apply to students undertaking study in an associate degree program at a Regent's institution but said that such a policy would not cause any great impact. Mr. Koplik reaffirmed that the purpose of SB 145 is to improve motivation, preparation, and commitment. Mr. Koplik further stated that "no state system or single public institution that has ever put standards in place has ever taken them down. If anything, they have been increased some from the point of first installation".

The executive director of The United School Administrators of Kansas, Mr. Gerald Henderson, replying to a question, quoted the percentage differences in his organization's last vote relating to qualified admissions: 52% against and 48% for, and compared it to U.S.A.'s prior vote of 80% against and 20% for qualified admissions.

Ms. Kay Coles, Kansas National Education Association, replied that her Delegate Assembly's last vote in 1988 was 60% against and 40% for qualified admissions. Replying to another question, Ms. Coles said that every district in Kansas can provide for the fulfillment of the foreign language requirement, although it may be on a staggered basis.

Mr. Bob Kelly, Executive Director, Kansas Independent College Association, replied that his organization is in favor of the concept of qualified admissions.

Hearing no further questions, the Chair announced that the hearing on  $\underline{\tt SB}$   $\underline{\tt 145}$  is concluded.

The Chair reminded the Committee that the meeting tomorrow, Tuesday, February 11, will commence at 1 p.m., and he adjourned the meeting.

# SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

TIME: 1:30 p.m. PLACE: 123-S DATE: Monday, February 10, 1992

# GUEST LIST

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	ORGANIZATION
Trent LJuyer	Moundridge, KS	Page
ashley Graber	moundridge, KS	Page
Buth Dalli	Moundridge KS	Page
KRISTEN L. PETT!	LAWPENCE KS	UDR
Greg Furner	Lawrence	UDIC
Paul Johnson	Topolog 155	WRHS
Jim Edwards	Topeke	KOCI
Kay Coles	Topoka	KNEA
Larry Earley	· Wichty	Student - W54
Mark Tallman	Topelca	KASIS
Claudia Patron	Manhallan	Intern-Sen. Ward
amile Apolos	Emporia	95U
Dick Canter	Topeha	Pete McGill & asserialis
Comie Kuewesel	Vigneha	Shate Board of S.
Im Emger	INDEPENDENCE	State Board of Ed
Mike Bohnhoff	Topeka	Division of Budget
PICK HARMAN	PRAIRIE VICIABLE	Croens o
tay. Confi	Topolic	Ryuit
Wel. Shankel	Laurence Ks.	Rep. K. U. and Board of Regent
Fachelado M. Hernando	Topoka	How's Office
Sism Heleson	Mantata	KANSAS Shafe University
En Sepho	Wieliter	Wsa
John Burker	Valley Falls	U5D 338
Mames Willoy	Clarcenter	Close-Up Kansos
	,	ı

# SENATE EDUCATION COMMITTEE

TIME:	1:30 p.m.	PLACE: 123-S	DATE: Monday, February 10, 1992
≟ <del>-</del> -		GUEST LIST	
<u>N</u> .	AME	<u>ADDRESS</u>	ORGANIZATION
Ken	Bokoa	703 Blunt Class C	Penter VS Close - Un
$\sqrt{91}$		Clay Center	Close-Op KS
Cindy 2		Linn	Close-Cla Kangas
James 1	D	Clay Cynter	Close-Up
Mike O	)	Linn	Close - 4p- Kansas
į į	serar (/	Laurence	u
Meil	7	Tuseba	K.U. Kacc
51	(hule)	Topolog	wind
Gerald	Henderson	Topeka	USAJKS
VIIII	ouck?	Lawrence K	·
Tance L	Intal	Laurence, KS	ASK/KU
Marid S	Honical	Topelia	Washban
Bof-R	ally	Topeker	KICA
Ward	Also.	; (	KCOVE
11-31			
the of the state o			
And the second s			
**************************************	A STATE OF THE STA		
	<u></u>		

#### AUDREY LANGWORTHY

SENATOR, 7TH DISTRICT JOHNSON COUNTY 6324 ASH

PRAIRIE VILLAGE, KANSAS 66208-1369

(913) 362-4067

STATE CAPITOL BUILDING, ROOM 143-N TOPEKA, KS 66612 (913) 296-7369

February 5, 1992

TOPEKA

SENATE CHAMBER

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

CHAIRMAN: LOCAL GOVERNMENT
VICE-CHAIRMAN: ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION
VICE-CHAIRMAN: PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE
MEMBER: CONFIRMATIONS

EDUCATION
ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES
JOINT COMMITTEE ON
ARTS AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

ARTS AND CULTURAL RESOURCES
LEGISLATIVE EDUCATIONAL PLANNING
MEMBER: CHILDREN AND YOUTH ADVISORY COUNCIL

To: Members of the Senate Education Committee

I come before you today to speak in favor of qualified admissions.

I am a former secondary school teacher. I am a parent, I am a taxpayer, I am a legislator, and I am a Regents' institution graduate.

As a teacher and as a parent, I strongly feel that students need to know what is expected of them, and in turn they will rise to the occasion. They need goals and they need guidelines. Equally important, they need the confidence of knowing they are prepared when they seek a higher education. Flunking out of college is a personal tragedy.

As a taxpayer, I want to know that my tax dollars are being used wisely -- remedial courses and a 5 - 6 year stint in college due to poor preparation are not wise expenditures.

Concerns that the 15% window is for athletes are unfounded. In 1986, the NCAA adopted Proposition 48, which requires student-athletes to prove themselves in the classroom before they can play sports as college freshmen. The rule says students must have finished high school with at least 2.0 grade point average in 11 core subjects and score at least 700 on the S.A.T. or 18 on the A.C.T. The results are remarkable. There has been a great drop in the number of athletes sidelined because of poor grades.

There are two points to make from this:

- 1. If scholastic goals are set, young people will meet them.
- 2. Athletes are already meeting some qualified admissions standards, why not expect more from everyone else?

Qualified admissions will set the stage for some dramatic improvements in student outcomes. Students will perform better just knowing it matters. The 15% window will ensure late bloomers are given proper attention. We all want educational reform. This proposal is a key link to reform.

As legislators, we are pushing for accountability on all fronts. In education, the <u>student</u> is central to this goal.

A1-1

National data reflects that among 1984 freshman in Division I schools, 50.3 percent had graduated by the summer of 1989 (five years).

Our data reflects that our graduation rates were:

KU 47.0% KSU 41.8%

Data collected from our peer institutions reflects:

54.6% Iowa State Univ of Iowa 55.4% 50.3% Colorado State 56.1% Univ of Colo North Carolina St. 51.1% 72.2% Univ of N.C. 37.6% Oklahoma State 35.0% Univ of Okla.

(Oklahoma has adopted enhanced admission standards subsequent to 1984.)

# **LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY**

# Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry

500 Bank IV Tower One Townsite Plaza Topeka, KS 66603-3460 (913) 357-6321



A consolidation of the Kansas State Chamber of Commerce, Associated Industries of Kansas, Kansas Retail Council

SB 145

February 5, 1992

KANSAS CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

Testimony Before the

Senate Education Committee

by

Jim Edwards Director of Chamber and Association Relations

Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee:

Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today and explain KCCI's support for SB 145, a bill which would establish criteria, other than high school graduation, for admission to regents institutions in Kansas.

The Kansas Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KCCI) is a statewide organization dedicated to the promotion of economic growth and job creation within Kansas, and to the protection and support of the private competitive enterprise system.

KCCI is comprised of more than 3,000 businesses which includes 200 local and regional chambers of commerce and trade organizations which represent over 161,000 business men and women. The organization represents both large and small employers in Kansas, with 55% of KCCI's members having less than 25 employees, and 86% having less than 100 employees. KCCI receives no government funding.

The KCCI Board of Directors establishes policies through the work of hundreds of the organization's members who make up its various committees. These policies are the guiding principles of the organization and translate into views such as those expressed here.

The issue of open admissions, selective admissions, qualified admissions or whatever you want to call it has never been an easy one for KCCI to address. In the past, either

EDUC 2/10/92 A2-1 ducation Committee would support it and our Board reject it or our Board would the Committee to review it and then the Committee would table it. Well, this year, the Education Committee and the Board of KCCI almost unanimously supported a policy which would call for an increase in the minimum graduation requirements for Kansas high school students while also initiating a qualified admissions program, using these enhanced requirements, for all institutions of public post secondary education in Kansas.

We believe that this stance, along with those taken on other key educational issues, will not be a hindrance to the students and future leaders of Kansas but instead will encourage their growth and development. We have all heard from education experts that persons learn more when they feel more is expected from them. This is the bottom line with this issue.

Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today and I would stand for questions.

### EXPRESSED NEED FOR REMEDIAL HELP UPON TAKING ACT TEST (1990-91 FRESHMEN)

	KU	KSU	WSU	ESU	PSU	FHSU
Writing	22%	27%	31%	28%	28%	29%
Reading	26%	28%	34%	28%	27%	29%
Math	34%	37%	44%	45%	40%	40%

# National Averages:

Writing	25%
Reading	26%
Math	38%

Kansas Board of Regents February 10, 1992

> 2/10/9 2 A 3