Approved: <u>January</u> 33, 1992

MINUTE	S OF THE _	SENATE COMMIT	TEE ON JU	DICIARY	·
The meet	ing was calle	ed to order by	Chairperson Senato	or Wint Winte	er Jr. at
10:05	_ a.m. on	January 21, 1992	in room _	514-S	of the Capitol.

All members were present except: Senators Moran and Feleciano who were excused.

Committee staff present: Mike Heim, Legislative Research Department Jerry Donaldson, Legislative Research Department Gordon Self, Office of Revisor of Statutes Judy Crapser, Secretary to the Committee

Conferees appearing before the committee: Sydney Hardman, Kansas Action for Children, Inc. Helen Stephens, Kansas Police Officers Association Bill Arnold, Kansas Council on Crime and Delinquency Board of Directors Ben Coates, Kansas Sentencing Commission

Chairman Winter opened the meeting by asking for requests for introduction of legislation.

Sydney Hardman, Advocacy Coordinator of Kansas Action for Children, Inc., requests introduction of legislation to create Citizen Review Boards, also known as Foster Care Review Boards. (ATTACHMENTs 1 and 2)

Senator Parrish moved to introduce the bill as requested by Ms. Hardman. Senator Bond seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Helen Stephens, Kansas Police Officers Association and on behalf of the Kansas Chiefs and Sheriffs, requested introduction of legislation to institute a five-dollar municipal court fee on criminal and traffic cases, designated for the operation and rehabilitation of the Kansas Law Enforcement Training Center in Yoder.

Senator Bond moved to introduce the bill as requested by Ms. Stephens. Senator Kerr seconded the motion. The motion carried.

Chairman Winter turned the committee's attention  $\underline{SB\ 479}$  to continue staff briefings and limited hearings.

SB 479 - enacting the Kansas Sentence Guidelines Act.

Bill Arnold, Kansas Council on Crime and Delinquency Board of Directors, testified in support of <u>SB 479</u>. (<u>ATTACHMENT 3</u>)

Gordon Self, Office of Revisor of Statutes, continued his review of <u>SB 479</u>. (see Attachment 1 of minutes dated January 15, 1992, 3:00 p.m.)

Ben Coates, Kansas Sentencing Commission, further reviewed the KSC recommendations of <u>SB</u> <u>479</u>. He reminded the committee that one amendment is necessary to the bill to incorporate both the KSC and 1991 Interim Special Committee on Judiciary intentions, and to resolve the concern of the Securities Exchange Commission; to adopt a special rule for crimes involving dollar amounts over \$25,000.

The hearing was continued to Wednesday, January 22, 1992 at 10:05 a.m. in Room 514-S.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

Date Jan 21, 1.12

# VISITOR SHEET Senate Judiciary Committee

(Please sign)

Name/Company

Name/Company

name, company	Name/Company
andlin Dilden	Rage
Ashley Doldern Leston Potonia	Pag
Katio ashter	Page
Donna Achneweis	Υ
SThereso Barret (Comm.	
Sugn Class	TNO DA
Sydney Hardman	K5 Action for Children Kansas Council on Crime & Rollins
Jacy Robinson	hansa Council on Clime Realin!
Mancy Kingeling LWV	
Baro Cartos	Kansas Sentencing Commission
JUSTIN OLMSTEDD	J
LEFF DEGRAFFENDEID	
- CHD Cleants	
Paul Dhelley	OJA CHULTAN SIGNICA CONTESTA
KETTH & LANDIS	CHRISTIAN SCIENCE COMMITTE ON PURLICATION FOR KANSAS
Than Wall	
* *	



715 SW 10th P.O. Box 463 Topeka, Kansas 66601 (913) 232-0550 Johannah Bryant Executive Director

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Borbara Alcantar
Topeka
Howard Barnes
Manhattan
Merle Bolz
Emporia
Joe Chalker
Moran
Kathie Champlin
Shawnee
Charles Crane, M.D.
Manhattan
Janet Fanska
Prairie Village
Judy Frick
Wichita

Marilyn Greathouse Colby Kathleen Holt

Cimarron
Aletha Huston
Lawrence
Ann Johnson

Topeka
Bruce Kienapfel
Ulysses
Sue Lockett

Topeka

Diana Loevenguth

Overland Park

Eleanor Lowe
Shawnee Mission
Wanda Macy

Wanda Macy Salina Katie Mallon

Ted Mintun Salina Cynthia Martinez

Cynthia Martinez Newell Hutchinson Michelle Reagan

Wichita
Joyce Romero

Topeka Sharon Rooney

Minneola Ninia Smith

Nancy McCarthy Snyder
Wichita

Marion Springer Lawrence Linda Starrett

Mary Tikwart Shawnee Mission

# ADVISORY BOARD

Senator Nancy Kassebaum (Honorary)
Senator Richard Bond
James Lynn Casey, M.D.
Mark Chamberlin
Ben Craig
SuEllen Fried
Richard A. Guthrie, M.D.
Dr. Robert C. Harder
Nancy Hiebert
Walt Hiersteiner
Betty Keim
Ellen B. Laner
Jo Ann Myers, M.D.
Senator Nancy Parrish

Senator Alicia Salisbury Patricia Schloesser, M.D. Roonie Sellers

#### MEMORANDUM

**DATE:** January 21, 1992

TO: Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee

FROM: Sydney Hardman, Advocacy Coordinator

RE: Citizen Review Boards

Kansas Action for Children (KAC) requests a bill draft and introduction of legislation to create Citizen Review Boards (also known as Foster Care Review Boards).

# HISTORY

The first review board in Kansas was established in Douglas County in 1986, by appointment of Judge Jean Shepherd. Currently, there are three boards in Douglas County, and two new boards established this month in Saline County. The boards have been very successful in Douglas County, as proven by research and information from families and professionals.

#### **PURPOSE**

The goal of the review board is to assure that systems are effective in providing services to families and that children under the supervision of the court achieve permanent homes as quickly as possible. This is both good public policy and good management of the financial resources of the state.

## **PROCESS**

A judge appoints citizens to the board. The board can save judicial time by bringing all the parties together, reviewing the facts, and summarizing the events to date. Recommendations are sent to the judge, who makes all case decisions. The judge continues to review each case in court once a year.

#### PROPOSED LEGISLATION

A work group formed by KAC (list of members attached) met several times to work out the details for local review boards and a state board to review the entire system of handling children's cases. The cornerstones of the proposal are citizen input, accountability by all agencies, and better outcomes for children and families.

Snate Judiciary Committee 1-21-92 Attachment /

# FOSTER CARE REVIEW BOARD WORK GROUP

Joyce Allegrucci 2411 SW 35th Terrace Topeka, Kansas 66611 (913) 266-4061

Doug Bowman, C & Y Coordinator Smith-Wilson Building 300 SW Oakley Topeka, Kansas 66606 (913) 296-4646

Monica Dutton-Hurt Girls' Achievement Place 637 Tennessee Lawrence, Kansas 66044 (913) 842-4699

Ann Henderson 8434 Twilight Avenue Lenexa, Kansas 66219 (913) 492-2345

Carolyn Hill SRS Youth and Adult Services Smith-Wilson Building 300 SW Oakley Topeka, Kansas 66606 (913) 296-3284 Linda Schmidt Visions of Hope CASA 206 W 1st Street Hutchinson, Kansas 67501 (316) 662-1688

Judge Jean Shepherd Law Center 111 E 11th Street #172 Lawrence, Kansas 66044 (913) 841-7700 x 230

Marion Springer Kansas Action for Children 127 Providence Lawrence, Kansas 66044 (913) 843-1222

Ron Stegel
Douglas County Court Services
Law Center
111 E 11th Street
Lawrence, Kansas 66044
(913) 841-7700

Mary Tikwart Kansas Action for Children 2109 W 49th Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66205 (913) 432-0304

# STAFF:

Sydney Hardman
Kansas Action for Children
PO Box 463
Topeka, Kansas 66601
(913) 232-0550 (work)
(913) 843-0733 (home) (Lawrence)

# PROPOSED LEGISLATION ON CITIZEN REVIEW BOARDS

(As suggested by the CRB Work Group and Kansas Action for Children, Inc.)

December, 1991

# LOCAL CITIZEN REVIEW BOARDS

Subject to the availability of funds in the CRB fund, there shall be local Citizen Review Boards in each Judicial District, or portion of a district as needed.

#### FUNCTIONS

- 1. To review each case of a child who is the subject of a Child in Need of Care petition or who has been adjudicated CINC. Receive verbal information from all of the persons with pertinent knowledge of the case. Read materials contained in the court's files on the case.
- 2. Determine the progress which has been made to acquire a permanent home for the child.
- 3. Suggest an alternative case goal if progress has been insufficient.
- 4. Make recommendations to the judge regarding further actions on the case.

#### TIME PERIOD

The initial review may take place anytime after a petition is filed. (Encourage "early review," which is within 45 days after a petition is filed.) Review must occur within six months after the initial disposition hearing.

# FREQUENCY OF REVIEWS

The CRB will review each case at least once every year.

# RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE JUDGE

The judge will consider the CRB recommendations in making an order on disposition, and may incorporate the CRB recommendations into an order in lieu of having a sixmonth review hearing. The CRB does not take the place of the 18-month hearing in K.S.A. 38-1563 or the 12-month hearing in K.S.A. 38-1563.

# CRB MEMBERS

CRB members are citizens who serve without compensation. They shall be chosen to represent the community and, as far as practicable, the various socioeconomic and ethnic groups of the area served. They shall have a special interest in children.

#### APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS

Members are appointed by the Administrative Judge, or by another judge as designated by the Administrative Judge.

## LENGTH OF APPOINTMENT

Length of appointment shall be for two years, and members can be re-appointed with no limit.

# FREQUENCY OF MEETINGS

Each board shall meet monthly, except where the caseload determines that meetings can be less frequent, but no less than once every four months.

# NUMBER OF MEMBERS PER BOARD

There shall be three to seven members on each board. At least three members must be present to review a case. Alternates can be substituted when necessary.

Senate Judiciary Committee 1-21-92 Attackment 2 TRAINING OF MEMBERS

hours Members and alternates shall receive at least six months of training before reviewing a case.

#### PLACE OF REVIEWS

The court shall provide a place for reviews to be held. If CRB members live in a different county than the child and parents, the CRB members will travel to the county of the family's residence to hold the review.

#### REIMBURSEMENT FOR MILEAGE

CRB members may be reimbursed for mileage for trips out-of-county for a review. No other expenses are reimbursable.

#### SUBPOENA/NOTICE

Those persons whose presence is deemed necessary shall be subpoenced to appear at CRB reviews. Parties shall receive notice.

#### STATE CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD

Subject to the availability of funds in the CRB fund, there shall be a State Citizen Review Board.

#### **FUNCTIONS**

- To receive reports from local CRB's regarding the status of children under the 1. supervision of the local courts and regarding systemic barriers to permanence
- Assure that appropriate data is maintained and compiled at least once a year by 2. local boards on all cases reviewed.
- Assure that the effectiveness of local boards is evaluated on an ongoing basis. 3. Where possible, use random selection of boards and cases for such evaluation and include client outcome data to determine effectiveness.
- Prepare an annual written report to the Supreme Court to include #1, 2, and 3 4. above.
- Disseminate information regarding the status of children under court supervision 5. whenever possible,
- Determine the Judicial Districts to receive funding for local CRB's and the 6. amount of such funding.

## ANNUAL REPORT TO THE SUPREME COURT

The annual report to the Supreme Court shall be distributed by the Supreme Court to the following:

> Governor Legislature All Administrative Judges Secretary of SRS Commissioner of Education Secretary of the Department of Health and Environment

#### MEETINGS

The State CRB shall meet at least four times a year, with additional meetings on call of the Chair.

#### NUMBER OF MEMBERS

There shall be fifteen members.

# APPOINTMENTS

The Supreme Court shall appoint all members.

## LENGTH OF APPOINTMENT

Each appointment shall be for a term of three years, except for the initial appointments, which shall be as follows:

- 5 members: one year
- 5 members: two years
- 5 members: three years

No member may be appointed for consecutive terms, except those five members who are initially appointed for one year, who may be re-appointed to a second term of three years.

#### MEMBERSHIP

Members shall receive no compensation and shall be appointed on the basis of Kansas Congressional Districts, with three from each of the four districts, and three selected at-large.

#### NOMINATION OF MEMBERS

For initial appointments, all Administrative Judges will be asked to submit nominations of citizens to serve on the State CRB. Where possible, past or present members of local CRB's should be nominated.

## MEMBERSHIP QUALIFICATIONS

Beginning in FY 1997, all appointments shall be past or present members of local CRB's. Nominations shall be made by local boards.

#### CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR

The Chair and Vice-Chair of the State CRB shall be elected by the members to serve two-year terms.

#### REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES

Mileage to attend State CRB meetings will be reimbursed from the CRB fund. Reimbursement for actual room and meals for state meetings will be paid from the CRB fund as needed.

## THE CRB FUND

The CRB fund is created to receive funds as determined by the Legislature and to disburse them for the following purposes:

- 1. Compensation and benefits for State CRB Coordinator.
- 2. Compensation and benefits for additional State CRB staff as needed.
- 3. Expenses for the State CRB office.
- 4. Reimbursement of travel expenses by State CRB members and State CRB staff.
- 5. Compilation of data and printing of State CRB report, and other informational materials as needed.
- 6. Establishment of local CRB's. Judicial Districts apply for funding, and the following expenditures can be covered:
  - a. Local staff CRB Coordinator(s) + clerical
  - b. Telephone
  - c. Photocopying
  - d. Mileage expenses of staff and local CRB members (as outlined on page 2)
  - e. Office, equipment, and supplies costs but only if the local court shows that these expenses cannot be covered locally.
  - f. Training expenses for staff and citizen reviewers.

2-3/4

## HIRING OF STAFF

The Supreme Court shall hire the State CRB Coordinator. The Administrative Judge or designee shall hire the local CRB Coordinator.

#### LOCAL CRB ADVISORY COMMITTEE

In those districts where there are five or more local CRB's, the members of those boards shall elect delegates to a local CRB Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee shall meet at least twice a year, or more often on call of the Chair. It shall be responsible for:

- 1. Communicating concerns to the local judge(s) regarding administration of the program or the review process.
- 2. Sending annual (or more frequent) reports to the State CRB.
- Assisting the local boards and staff with problems or concerns.
- 4. Assisting with data collection and the evaluation (if an evaluation is currently being done).

## ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROGRAM

The Supreme Court shall assume responsibility for over-all administration of the CRB program and the CRB Fund, as delegated to the State CRB and the State CRB Coordinator.

#### COVERAGE

Local boards will be phased in upon request over a period of five years. Beginning in FY 1997, and funds permitting, every Judicial District must have CRB's. By FY 1999, and funds permitting, every child under the supervision of the court (CINC) must have his/her case reviewed annually by a CRB.

#### NOTE:

- The model for the administration of the program is taken from K.S.A. 7-124(b), Rules 204 and 205 (the Board for Discipline of Attorneys). The CRB Work Group felt very strongly that the Office of Judicial Administration should not be involved in order to assure the greatest amount of autonomy and ability to review the entire system.
- Statutes on review board from many other states are available at the Kansas Action for Children office. Call Sydney Hardman at 232-0550 for the statutes or other information.
- Another person very familiar with this proposal and its goals is Judge Jean Shepherd in Douglas County.

2-44

Subject: Kansas Council on Crime and Delinquency position on sentencing guidelines

From: Kansas Council on Crime and Delinquency Board of Directors

To: Kansas Senate Committee on the Judiciary

Date: 21 Jan 1992

Several different groups in Kansas began, as long ago as 1988 and 1989, to conclude that sentencing guidelines designed like those in Minnesota would be desirable in Kansas. The Kansas Council on Crime and Delinquency was among those groups, and the Council first submitted a proposal for such guidelines to this committee at the beginning of the 1989 legislative session. At that time, the Board of the KCCD recommended such guidelines primarily as a way of controlling the Wansas prison population. While such control is still the primary rationale for the Board's favoring guidelines, the work of the Kansas Sentencing Commission has demonstrated additional reasons for having guidelines on the model proposed: eliminating racial disparities in sentencing, providing reasonable calculations for personnel and facility needs for various parts of the Kansas correctional system, and making certain that the most personally threatening offenders are punished more severely than are property offenders. These rationales are entirely consistent with past positions taken by the Kansas Council on Crime and Delinquency through its twenty to year history of advocacy of humane corrections oriented as much as possible to getting offenders to solve their problems where their problems arose. in their communities.

Certain features of sentencing guidelines must, in the view of the Kansas Council on Crime and Delinquency, be included to achieve the ends we hope guidelines will achieve:

- 1. The legislation must stipulate that control of prison population is a purpose of the guielines. Our having made Community Corrections a reality throughout the state makes this an even more reasonble purpose of the guidelines than it has been in the past.
- 2. The Sentending Guidelines Commission must be maintained as a continuing organization to adjust the guidelines/achieve both prison population control and sentencing equity.

Senate Judiciary Committee 1-21-92 Attachment 3