Approved: Lehruary 23, 1993

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INSURANCE.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson William Bryant at 3:30 p.m. on February 22, 1993 in Room 527-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except: Representative Standifer, Excused

Representative Cox, Excused

Committee staff present: William Wolff, Legislative Research Department

Bruce Kinzie, Revisor of Statutes Nikki Feuerborn, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Bill Caton, Consumer Credit Commissioner Frank, Dennick, State Banking Commissioner Jim Maag, Kansas Bankers Association

Others attending: See attached list

Hearing on HB 2465: UCCC, computation of finance charges

Bill Caton, Consumer Credit Commissioner, explained that this pro-consumer bill would require all consumer loans and consumer credit sales to have finance charges figured on an actuarial basis method rather than a pre-computed method (Attachment 1). The pre-computed method does not give equitable treatment in the event of a substantial prepayment. Presently if the loan is paid off early, the refund is figured on the amount of time remaining on the loan and not on the unpaid balance. This happens oftentimes in vehicle loans especially those carried by Ford and GMC. The majority of creditors have already discontinued the pre-computed contract which was quite popular prior to the availability of inexpensive calculators. Delaying the effective date of this change until January 1, 1994, allows all creditors now using pre-computed contracts sufficient time to convert their systems and forms to the actuarial method. Most states adopted the actuarial basis method some time ago.

Hearing on SB 31: Critically undercapitalized banks

Frank Dennick, State Banking Commissioner, stated that the FDIC Improvement Act of 1991 mandated certain procedures federal regulators must follow in dealing with banks whose capital position has declined to a level of 2 percent or less of the bank's assets (Attachment 2). These regulations went into effect in December of 1992. The new law forced the FDIC to take control and close those institutions which fall under the category of critically undercapitalized. The power to close a bank has only been vested with the bank's chartering authority in the past. This bill would grant the same authority in this area to the banking department. The exception would be that instead of being required to seize and close such a bank, this amendment makes that action simply one option available. This bill is needed for the following reasons:

- 1. State laws, regulations and policies governing banks are heavily interrelated with those at the federal level.
- 2. The Bank Commissioner should be allowed to grant an emergency charter to a successor bank if the closing may result in inconvenience or loss to depositors.
- 3. Ensure that the state maintains an active role in the oversight of bank closings whether as a result of insolvency or critical undercapitalization. Without the amendment, the decision could rest solely with the federal authorities.

Jim Maag of the Kansas Bankers Association voiced endorsement of the bill.

Representative Helgerson moved that the balloon amendments as presented be approved. Representative Crabb seconded the motion. The motion carried.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INSURANCE, Room 527-S Statehouse, at 3:30 p.m. on February 22, 1993.

Representative Helgerson moved that the bill be passed favorably as amended. The motion was seconded by Representative Weiland. Motion carried.

Representative Cornfield moved that the minutes of February 15, 17, and 18 be accepted. Representative Gilbert seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 4:05 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for February 23, 1993.

GUEST LIST

COMMITTEE:		DATE: Feb-22
NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	COMPANY/ORGANIZATIO
In Mana	Topola	KRA
Leone Barber	Topeka	:KAKS
JudiStork		Ks Banking Dept.
Levin Glendening		
FRANCE D DUNNICH		Ks Brung Rept
Cheryl Aillara	Kansons Cit	
DAVID A. Ross	Fanses City	Ks. HSSN
toger Frankle	'')	Ks 900 CouseOfing
		The state of the s
		·
·		



Office of Consumer Credit Commissioner

Ioan Finney Governor

Wm. F. Caton Commissioner

TESTIMONY FOR HOUSE BILL 2465 BY: BILL CATON, COMMISSIONER CONSUMER CREDIT

Effective January 1, 1994, House Bill 2465 will require all consumer loans and consumer credit sales to have finance charges figured on an actuarial method rather than a pre-computed method. These amendments to the Kansas Consumer Credit Code will have a positive effect on consumers as the pre-computed method of calculating finance charge does not give equitable treatment in the event of a substantial prepayment.

Pre-computed interest calculation assumes that all payments will be made on the due date and the interest is calculated at the beginning of the loan and added to the principal amount. When payments are made, they are inclusive of principal and interest. If the loan is paid off early, the refund is figured on the amount of time remaining on the loan and NOT on the unpaid balance. This method would be accurate if EVERY payment is made for the exact amount on the exact due date.

If a consumer prepays a substantial amount towards the balance, a precomputed contract does not give the consumer full advantage of the prepayment. An example of this situation occurs frequently on vehicle loans that have insurance physical damage payments applied to the loan versus making repairs to the vehicle. Many times hail damage is not repaired and insurance payments are applied to loans. prudent consumers prepay loan payments if money is available. situation came to our attention this fall when a Wichita consumer prepaid \$5,000 on a \$10,000 vehicle loan in the 3rd month of the contract due to hail damage on the vehicle. This consumer continued to make the normal scheduled payments for several more months and paid the loan off in full. The pre-computed calculation of interest on this loan was over \$1,100 more than the actuarial method.

The majority of creditors have already discontinued the pre-computed Those creditors that still use the pre-computed method cannot give a good economic reason for the continued use of these Prior to the availability of calculators that have financial function abilities, pre-computed contracts were prevalent. Today, there are inexpensive calculators available to all businesses that are capable of computing principal and interest for contracts.

Delaying the effective date of this change until January 1, 1994 allows all creditors now using pre-computed contracts sufficient time to convert their systems and forms to the actuarial method. consider adopting this change as it provides progressive and needed reforms in interest calculation methods.

2-22-93

JAYHAWK TOWER, 700 S.W. JACKSON, SUITE 1001 / TOPEKA. KANSAS 66603 / (913) 296-3151 attachymerit/

STATE OF KANSAS

Frank D. Dunnick Bank Commissioner

Judi M. Stork Deputy Commissioner



Kevin C. Glendening Assistant Deputy Commissional

Ruth E. Glover Administrative Officer

BANKING DEPARTMENT TOPEKA

TESTIMONY BEFORE

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INSURANCE

February 22, 1993

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, attached to your handout is the text of our testimony provided to the Senate committee on SENATE BILL 31. We requested Chairman Bryant move up your committee's hearing on this bill in light of recent developments which directly affect a Kansas state bank. I'll address some of the specifics of that case in a moment, but first I would like to briefly describe the purpose of Senate Bill 31.

The FDIC Improvement Act of 1991 mandated certain procedures federal regulators must follow in dealing with banks whose capital position has declined to a level of 2 percent or less of the bank's assets. These procedures for so called "critically undercapitalized" banks became effective approximately two months ago in December 1992. With some exceptions, this new federal law requires the FDIC to take control of and close those institutions which fall under the category of critically undercapitalized. Historically, the power to close a bank has only been vested with the bank's chartering authority.

Hause FA I Attachment 2 Senate Bill 31 would grant the same authority in this area to the banking department, as that presently held by the FDIC. One important exception, however, is that rather than being <u>required</u> to seize and close a critically undercapitalized bank, this amendment makes that action simply one <u>option</u> available to the banking department.

In essence, the importance and need for this amendment can be summarized in three general categories:

First, state laws, regulations, and policies governing banks are heavily interrelated with those at the federal level. In order to successfully carry out our joint supervision responsibilities, particularly in the area of problem bank supervision, we must coordinate our activities and utilize the same general guidelines. This amendment would facilitate that process by matching up the guidelines used by each of our respective agencies.

Second, in the event of a bank closing as a result of critical undercapitalization, provisions within this bill would also facilitate the Bank Commissioner's ability to grant an emergency charter to a successor bank if it appears the closing may result in serious inconvenience or losses to depositors or public interest in the community.

Third, as I stated earlier, the responsibility to close a bank has historically been given solely to the chartering authority. Undoubtedly, that decision was based on the appropriate belief that the state, through its own regulatory process, should have primary control over banks operating within its borders. This amendment would ensure that the state maintains an active role in the oversight of bank closings whether as a result of insolvency or critical undercapitalization. Without this amendment, that decision could rest solely with the federal authorities in Washington.

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, as I stated at the beginning of my testimony, since our original presentation of this bill in the Senate, continuing developments involving a Kansas state bank have created a sense of additional urgency and in our opinion warrant expedited treatment of this bill. While confidentiality requirements and our desire to limit public alarm and the possibility of a run on deposits, prevents me from disclosing the name or location of the specific institution, there is at present a bank in our state which falls within the critically undercapitalized guidelines. Preliminary activity is underway by the FDIC which may give Kansas the unfortunate distinction of having the first bank in the nation seized and closed under the new federal regulation.

It is our hope and belief that, the adoption of this bill and resulting parity in procedural matters between our agency and the FDIC, will facilitate the possibility of locating a buyer for this and other institutions which may be in a similar situation in the future. At the very least, this bill will certainly permit our agency to function within more uniform guidelines in working with the federal regulators to ensure any disruption to the public is minimized in the event the institution is closed.

We ask for your favorable consideration of this bill and that, in view of the recent developments I have just relayed to you, we request the effective date of this bill be changed to be upon publication in the Kansas Register.

TESTIMONY BEFORE

THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INSURANCE

Thursday, January 28, 1993

SENATE BILL 31, amends K.S.A. 9-1801, 9-1903, 9-1904, 9-1905, 9-1906, and 9-1907. Currently, Article 19 defines when a bank is insolvent and mandates certain actions which the commissioner must undertake. They require the commissioner to take control of an insolvent institution and, if such cannot resume business, he must appoint a receiver.

Under the new Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) now has the power and is mandated to take control of an institution, and to close such institution within 270 days, if the bank is considered to be critically undercapitalized. A bank is critically undercapitalized when its Capital Stock, Surplus, Undivided Profits, Contingencies or Capital Reserves, Non Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, less disallowed intangibles and any known loss plus outstanding cumulative perpetual preferred stock to total assets is equal to or less than two percent. This law went into effect as of December 19, 1992 and was referred to by many as the "December Surprise." In reality it turned out to be the "December Fizzle." Only 26 banks in the United States, with \$7 billion dollars in assets, fall under the category of critically undercapitalized. In Kansas, we have no state chartered banks with capital of less than two percent. This change in the law gives the FDIC the new power to take control of banks and to close those institutions. FDIC has never had such authority before; only the chartering authority could close an institution.

The amendments we are asking the legislature to approve would give the banking department parity with the new power the FDIC has received. It would broaden the power of the Commissioner to take control and close a bank, previously allowed only at insolvency, to do such action when a bank is critically undercapitalized. We feel that it is important that the issue of whether a Kansas state chartered bank remain open or be closed rest with the state chartering authority versus the Board of Governors of the FDIC in Washington, D.C. By amending our statutes to mirror the threshold for taking control of a bank to that of the FDIC, we will accomplish this goal. While amending our statute does not limit the power of the FDIC to take charge of, or close, a critically undercapitalized bank, it gives the Bank Commissioner equal authority.

Additionally, K.S.A. 9-1801 is being amended to allow the Commissioner to grant an emergency charter to applicants wishing to acquire a bank or trust company that was closed as a result of being critically undercapitalized. The statute currently only allows this upon dissolution or insolvency.

The department has met with Fred Carmen of the Revisor's Office and a balloon amendment is attached. Technical changes in the language have been made.

We would ask for favorable consideration of this bill.

50/2

SENATE BILL No. 31

By Committee on Financial Institutions and Insurance

1-15

and trust companies
receiver for financial institutions

AN ACT concerning banks, critically undercapitalized; simending K.S.A. 9-1801, 9-1903, 9-1904, 9-1905, 9-1906 and 9-1907 and repealing the existing sections.

10 11 12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

New Section I. A bank or trust company shall be deemed to be critically undercapitalized when the ratio of its Tier-I capital plus the amount of outstanding cumulative perpetual preferred stock (including related surplus) to total assets is equal to or less than 2.0 percent. Any bank deemed to be insolvent pursuant to K.S.A. 9-1902 and amendments thereto shall be deemed critically undercapitalized.

Sec. 2. K.S.A. 9-1801 is hereby amended to read as follows: 9-1801. (a) No bank or trust company hereafter shall be organized or incorporated under the laws of this state, nor shall any such institution transact either a banking business or a trust company business in this state, until the application for its incorporation and application for authority to do business has been submitted to and approved by the board. The board shall approve or disapprove the organization and establishment of any such institution in the city or town in which the same is sought to be located. The form for making any such application shall be prescribed by the board and any application made to the board shall contain such information as it shall require. The board shall not approve any such application until it first investigates and examines such application and the applicants.

(b) If upon the dissolution or insolveney eritical undercapital isation, as defined in section I, of any bank, trust company, national bank association, savings and loan association, savings bank or credit union, it is the opinion of the commissioner that by reason of the loss of services in the community, an emergency exists which may result in serious inconvenience or losses to the depositors or the public interest in the community, the commissioner may accept and approve an application for incorporation and application for authority to do business from applicants for the organization and establishment of a successor bank or trust company, subject to confirmation and subsequent approval by the board. Upon approval of an application

Section 1. New Α bank trust or company is critically undercapitalized when the ratio of its to total assets is equal to or less than 2.0%. For the purposes of this section, capital shall be total of the institution's common stock, surplus, undivided profits, capital reserves, noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and outstanding cumulative perpetual preferred stock (including related surplus).

, insolvency or appointment of a receiver

· : .



J. 12

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

29 21

22

24

28

30

31

32

33

34

35

41

for the organization and establishment of any such successor bank or trust company, the commissioner shall no later than the next regular meeting of the board submit such application to the board for its confirmation and approval.

Sec. 3. K.S.A. 9-1903 is hereby amended to read as follows: 9-1903. If it shall appear upon the examination of any bank or trust company or from any report made to the commissioner that any bank or trust company is insolvent, then it shall be the duty of the commissioner forthwith to critically undercapitalized, the commissioner may take charge of such bank or trust company and all of its property and assets. If from such examination or reports it shall appear any bank or trust company is insolvent the commissioner shall take charge of such bank or trust company and all of its property and assets. In so doing the commissioner may appoint a special deputy commissioner to take charge temporarily of the affairs of such insolvent critically undercapitalized bank or trust company until a receiver is appointed. Such deputy shall qualify, give bond and receive compensation the same as the regular examiner/determined by the commissioner, but such compensation shall be paid by the insolvent critically undercapitalized bank or trust company or in case of the appointment of a receiver allowed by the court as costs in the cases Provided, That in no case shall any bank or trust company continue in charge of a special deputy for a longer period than six months. After appointment, the special deputy shall continue to serve under the direction of the commissioner for such period of time as deemed reasonable by the commissioner before returning charge of the bank or trust company back to the board of directors of the institution or appointing a receiver. In no case shall any bank or trust company continue in the charge of a special deputy for a period exceeding nine months.

Sec. 4. K.S.A. 9-1904 is hereby amended to read as follows: 9-1904. The stockholders of any insolvent bank or trust company and its depositors and creditors may formulate a plan for the reorganization of such bank or trust company while the same is in charge of the commissioner or a special deputy commissioner or a receiver, at any time before a dividend has been paid. The depositors and creditors of such insolvent bank or trust company may formulate a plan for the reorganization thereof, and if such plan is subscribed to in writing by creditors and depositors having not less than eighty percent 80% in amount of the known claims against said such bank or trust company, and such plan shall be approved by the board, and a copy thereof filed with the commissioner, the same shall be held to be legal, valid and binding upon all depositors and creditors

insolvent or

as

insolvent or

and necessary

insolvent or critically undercapitalized

insolvent or critically undercapitalized



of such insolvent bank or trust company to the same extent and with the same effect as if all of the depositors and creditors had joined in the execution thereof.

As used in this section "depositors" and "creditors" shall mean and include the pooled money investment board acting for and on behalf of the state of Kansas and the governing body of any county, township, city, drainage district, school district, sewer district or other governmental subdivision and as such they are hereby authorized to join in the execution of any plan for the reorganization of any insolvent bank with the same legal effect and validity as any individual depositor or creditor.

Sec. 5. K.S.A. 9-1905 is hereby amended to read as follows: 9-1905. When the commissioner shall take charge of any bank or trust company he or she pursuant to article 19 of chapter 9 of the Kansas Statutes Annotated and amendments thereto, the commissioner shall ascertain its actual condition as soon as possible by making a thorough investigation into its affairs and condition, and if the commissioner shall be satisfied that such bank or trust company cannot sufficiently recapitalize, resume business or liquidate its indebtedness to the satisfaction of its depositors and creditors, then the commissioner forthwith shall appoint a receiver therefor and require the receiver to give such bond as the commissioner deems proper. The commissioner also shall fix reasonable compensation for the receiver but the same shall be subject to the approval of the district court of the county wherein such bank or trust company is located upon the application of any party in interest.

Any receiver shall be a resident of the state of Kansas and shall have had at least five years credit experience: Provided, That. Upon written application made within thirty 30 days after the finding of insolvency the commissioner shall appoint as receiver any person whom the holders of more than sixty persont 60% in amount of the claims against such bank or trust company shall agree upon in writing; and. The creditors so agreeing may also agree upon the compensation and charges to be paid such receiver. Each receiver so appointed shall make a complete report to the commissioner covering the receiver's acts and proceedings as such. The commissioner may remove for cause any receiver and appoint the receiver's successor.

Sec. 6. K.S.A. 9-1906 is hereby amended to read as follows: 9-1906. (a) The A receiver appointed pursuant to K.S.A. 9-1905 and amendments thereto, under the direction of the commissioner, shall take charge of any insolvent bank or trust company and all of its assets and property, and liquidate the affairs and business thereof

insolvent or critically undercapitalized

insolvent or critically undercapitalized or trust company

insolvent or critically undercapitalized

insolvent or critically undercapitalized



11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

24

26

27

29

31

34

for the benefit of its depositors, creditors and stockholders. The receiver may sell or compound all bad and doubtful debts and sell all the property of the bank or trust company upon such terms as the district court of the county where the bank or trust company is located shall approve. The receiver shall pay over all moneys received to the creditors and depositors of such bank or trust company as ordered by the commissioner.

- (b) In distributing assets of an insolvent the bank or trust company in payment of its liabilities, the order of payment, in the event its assets are insufficient to pay in full all of its liabilities, shall be by category as follows:
- (1) The costs and expenses of the receivership and real and personal property taxes assessed against the bank pursuant to applicable law:
- (2) claims which are secured or given priority by applicable law;
- (3) claims of unsecured depositors;
- (4) all other claims exclusive of claims on capital notes and debentures;
 - (5) claims on capital notes and debentures.

Should the assets be insufficient for the payment in full of all claims within a category, such claims shall be paid in the order provided by other applicable law or, in the absence of such applicable law, pro rata.

Sec. 7. K.S.A. 9-1907 is hereby amended to read as follows: 9-1907. The federal deposit insurance corporation or its successor, hereby is authorized and empowered to be and act without bond as receiver or liquidator of any inselvent/bank, the deposits in which are to any extent insured by such corporation, and which bank shall have been closed. In the event of any such closing of any bank the commissioner may tender to the insurance corporation the appointment as receiver or liquidator of such bank, and if the insurance corporation accepts the appointment then such insurance corporation shall have and possess all the powers and privileges and shall assume all the duties and requirements provided by the laws of this state with respect to a state receiver or liquidator, respectively, of a bank, its depositors and other creditors, and shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the district courts and supreme court of Kansas.

Sec. 8. K.S.A. 9-1801, 9-1903, 9-1904, 9-1905, 9-1906 and 9-1907 are hereby repealed.

Sec. 9. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

insolvent or critically undercapitalized

insolvent or critically undercapitalized

