Approved: 3-7-94

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Duane Goossen at 3:30 p.m. on February 22, 1994 in Room 519-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except: Representative Reardon (excused)

Committee staff present: Ben Barrett, Legislative Research Department

Dale Dennis, Deputy Commissioner, Department of Education

Avis Swartzman, Revisor of Statutes Lois Thompson, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee: Dale Dennis, Department of Education

Ben Barrett, Legislative Research

Others attending: See attached list

Explanation of **HB 3029** relating to special education finance was presented by staff, Dale Dennis and Ben Barrett.

The Task Force Committee on Special Education Funding (Attachment 1) was given the following charge:

The State Board of Education should conduct a study designed to propose alternatives for a new system of funding for special education that utilizes pupil weights or some alternative allocation method and which is designed to avoid incentives for over-identification by school districts of exceptional pupils. Such funding alternatives should support a program results or "outcomes" orientation. The alternatives should be designed to constrain the rate of increase of special education costs. Also, the study should include specific consideration of ways to address extremely high cost cases for which the expenses of providing mandated special education services are extraordinarily great. The study, which also should include analysis of current special education costs disaggregated by category of exceptionality, should be presented to the legislature at the earliest feasible time. (Attachment 2)

Issues addressed in the new formula to comply with the legislative request are listed in (Attachment 3).

Calculations for individual schools may be found in (Attachment 4).

The floor was opened to questions by the committee.

Representative Pettey moved and Representative Morrison seconded motion to pass favorably **HB 2899** relating to agreements for attendance of nonresident pupils at school. Motion carried.

Representative Morrison moved and Representative Pettey seconded motion to pass favorably HB 2975 relating to age of eligibility for school attendance. Motion carried.

Representative Empson moved to amend **HB 2566** relating to providing for the Kansas postsecondary review program to call for 9 members rather than 12. Representative Kline seconded the motion to amend.

Representative Lane moved a substitute motion on **HB 2566** to call for a 10 member review committee. (Attachment 5) Representative Pottorff seconded the motion to amend. The substitute motion carried on a division with 12 affirmative votes.

CONTINUATION SHEET MINUTES OF HOUSE EDUCATION COMMITTEE, meeting February 22, 1994, at 3:30 p.m. in Room 519-S

Representative Wooten moved bill as amended be passed favorably. Representative Lynch seconded motion. Motion carried.

Representative Ballard moved and Representative Petty seconded motion to approved the minutes of February 14, 15, 16 and 17. Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 4:58 p.m.

The next meeting of the House Education Committee will be February 23, 1994, at 3:30 p.m. in Room 519-S.

GUEST LIST

Committee: Education		Date: 2-22-94
NAME: (Please print)	Address.	Company/Organization:
Casse Laure	1	KDHF
Robert Harder	L50B	KOHE
Elvalouro	Tapaka	Washbura Co
mkiel	V	Kacc
Veryl feter	-1/	KSBE
Comie flieses	Varela	St Bd of Ed
Craig Drant	Topeka	FNEA
Kathy Sexton	10	Div. of Budget
Rathy Johnson	Topeka	TARC
Rance Dardow	Topeka	Honewas Office
Arun Divell	Topula	16gs for networker
for Baly	· pc	The Entellment USD's
Tou Laing	11	Ks Assoc of Rehab Facilities
SHELDY Smith	Wichelen	US ADM_ 5) 6-
Gerald Medican	Topela	USAJAS
Sie Torrez	Topoka	Families Together Inc
Newise apt	Mopeka	4.5.0
Newise apt	1 // 1	SOE
	~1	
~	-	

SPECIAL EDUCATION TASK FORCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS

SPECIAL EDUCATION DIRECTORS

Roger Allen, Central Kansas Cooperative in Education, 3023 Canterbury Drive, Salina, KS 67401, (913) 827-0301

Don Herbel, Special Services, USD #497, 3705 Clinton Parkway, Lawrence, KS 66047, (913) 832-5000

Bruce Givens, South Central Kansas Special Education Cooperative, Box 177, Iuka, KS 67066, (316) 546-2227

SUPERINTENDENTS

L.D. Curran, USD #506, Box 188, Altamont, KS 67330-0188, (316) 784-5326

Earl Guiot, USD #440, 520 West Sixth, Halstead, KS 67056-2197, (316) 835-2641

Melva Owens, USD #260, 120 East Washington, Derby, KS 67037, (316) 788-8410

SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER

Bill Meek, 19750 South Pflumm, Bucyrus, KS 66013, (913) 686-3269

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION MEMBERS

Elizabeth Baker, 601 Honeybrook Lane, Derby, KS 67037, (316) 788-3058

Mildred McMillon, 15812 214th Street, Tonganoxie, KS 66086, (913) 845-3039

BUSINESS MANAGERS

Kent Hurn, Superintendent, USD #345, 901 NW Lyman Road, Topeka, KS 66608-1900, (913) 233-3045

John Harris, Assistant Supt., USD #428, 201 Patton Road, Great Bend, KS 67530-4613, (316) 793-1500

PARENT

Patrick Dow, Box 108, Eureka, KS 67045, (316) 583-7479

LEGISLATORS

Senator Tim Emert, 3131 Terra Vista, Independence, KS 67301, (316) 331-4831

Representative Duane Goossen, PO Box 97, Goessel, KS 67503, (316) 367-2464

TEACHER

Gene Neely, 715 West Tenth Avenue, Topeka, KS 66612-1686, (913) 232-8271

SUPPORT STAFF

Dale Dennis, Asst. Commissioner, Division of Fiscal Services & Quality Control, 120 E. 10th, Topeka, KS 66612, (913) 296-3871

Veryl Peter, Team Leader, LEA Finance, 120 E. 10th, Topeka, KS 66612, (913) 296-3871

Betty Weithers, Team Leader, Special Education, 120 E. 10th, Topeka, KS 66612, (913) 296-3869

House Education Fab. 22, 1994 Attachment

RECOMMENDATION OF TASK FORCE ON SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDING

The Task Force Committee on Special Education Funding was given the task of reviewing special education funding formulas for the state of Kansas. The charge to the committee is listed below.

The State Board of Education should conduct a study designed to propose alternatives for a new system of funding for special education that utilizes pupil weights or some alternative allocation method and which is designed to avoid incentives for over-identification by school districts of exceptional pupils. Such funding alternatives should support a program results or "outcomes" orientation. The alternatives should be designed to constrain the rate of increase of special education costs. Also, the study should include specific consideration of ways to address extremely high cost cases for which the expenses of providing mandated special education services are extraordinarily great. The study, which also should include analysis of current special education costs disaggregated by category of exceptionality, should be presented to the legislature at the earliest feasible time.

The committee discussed several alternative funding programs. After reviewing numerous plans adopted by other states in the nation and based upon the charge given to the committee from the legislature and the State Board of Education, we recommend the following plan.

1. The special education funding would become part of the weighting formula under the School District Finance and Quality Performance Act. The extra weighting would eliminate the current special education formula and would increase unified school districts general fund budget authority in order to pay special education services needed by the special education students in Kansas. The formula is listed below.

Full-time Equivalency (FTE) of the school district x 13.4% = Adjusted FTE (13.4% is the statewide prevalency rate of special education students)

Adjusted FTE x .83 x \$3,600 (base state aid per pupil) = Additional general fund budget authority

FORMULA CALCULATION

Statewide FTE 445,200 students x 13.4% = 59,657 (Adjusted FTE)

 $59,657 \times .83 \times \$3,600 = \$178,255,116$

House Education Feb. 22,1994 Attachment 2

THE SPECIAL EDUCATION FORMULA MONEY WAS CALCULATED USING THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION.

Est. FY 1994 costs Percent increase (3.00%) Added teachers (175 teachers x \$42,445)	\$ 302,888,434 9,086,653 7,427,922
PROJECTED FY 1995 TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 319,403,009
EXCESS COST COMPUTATION	
Projected expenditures Less: Average per pupil costs of "regular" ed (\$4,456) times 18,000 FTE special ed pupils (except SRS residents) Less: Federal aid from State Department of Education Less: SRS contribution	\$ 319,403,009 80,208,000 23,728,000 5,000,000
FY 1995 EXCESS COST - 100 PERCENT Less: State transportation aid Less: USDs with high number of special ed. children Less: High cost students state aid Less: State institutions state aid Estimated State Aid Distributed through Formula	\$ 210,467,009 25,308,926 2,000,000 2,000,000 3,000,000 \$ 178,158,083

The estimated state aid amount of \$178,158,083 would be distributed through the School District Finance and Quality Performance Act formula.

2. The state would continue to pay 80% of all special education transportation costs which is the same as current law.

SPECIAL EDUCATION TRANSPORTATION CALCULATION

Special education transportation cost (1994-95) $$31,636,158 \times 80\% = $25,308,926$ (state total)

3. There would also be a catastrophic fund at the state level to assist school districts with exceptional high cost special education students. The figure discussed by the committee was that if the cost for a student exceeded \$20,000 per year and the services are required by the special education IEP then the state would pay 75% of all costs above the \$20,000 threshold. The school district

would be responsible for the other 25%. Services provided students must be contained in their IEP and an application must be submitted to the Kansas State Board of Education for approval of the extra funding. Listed below are the statewide figures which are projected for the 1994-95 school year.

HIGH COST STUDENT CALCULATION

267 (estimated students statewide) who's average cost would be above \$20,000. Average estimated cost above \$20,000 would be \$10,000

 $10,000 \times 75\% = 7,500$

 $$7,500 \times 267 = $2,000,000$

4. It is recommended that a special appropriation be set aside for school districts which experience a high number of special education students. A monitoring committee will review applications submitted to the State Board of Education. In order to get the extra funding the State Board must approve all applications. A unified school district can apply only if their special education student prevalency rate exceeds 16%. If the extra percentage is approved the district would add it to the 13.4% for their district, for that school year. Listed below is an example of how the extra funding would be computed.

USD special education prevalency rate 19.5%

19.5% - 16.0% = 3.5%

13.4% + 3.5% = 16.9%

16.9% would be the prevalency rate which the USD would use in computing the additional general fund budget authority under Recommendation #1.

5. It is recommended that the state continue to fund the special teachers in state institutions that have a contract with a school district, interlocal or cooperative. It is further recommended an appropriation be made to the State Board of Education and that the current law be used as a distribution system for funding these special education programs. These contractees would also be eligible for state transportation aid as provided in current law. The estimated appropriation for 1994-95 would be \$3,000,000. Listed below is an example of this portion of the formula.

Estimated number of teachers/paraprofessionals to serve students in the state institutions - $171.4 \times 17,500 \text{ per FTE} = \$3,000,000$

Full time teachers would count as 1.0 and full time paraprofessionals would count as .4 as under current law

ISSUES ADDRESSED IN THE NEW FORMULA TO COMPLY WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REQUEST

The Task Force has tried diligently to design a formula that met the criteria as outlined by the School District Finance and Quality Performance Monitoring Committee. The charge to the committee was as follows.

The State Board of Education should conduct a study designed to propose alternatives for a new system of funding for special education that utilizes pupil weights or some alternative allocation method and which is designed to avoid incentives for over-identification by school districts of exceptional pupils. Such funding alternatives should support a program results or "outcomes" orientation. The alternatives should be designed to constrain the rate of increase of special education costs. Also, the study should include specific consideration of ways to address extremely high cost cases for which the expenses of providing mandated special education services are extraordinarily great. The study, which also should include analysis of current special education costs disaggregated by category of exceptionality, should be presented to the legislature at the earliest feasible time.

Listed below are some comments on how the committee felt they responded to the legislative request.

- 1. The system as proposed will eliminate the problems and confusion on services provided to "exceptional children" and "regular children" because many districts are now including exceptional children into the regular classrooms as a part of inclusion. This system will eliminate paperwork in trying to determine whether a program is for exceptional children or regular children.
- 2. This formula will not encourage school districts to add additional personnel unless there is a need and the IEPs justify the services. The current formula reimbursement is funded based upon the number of teachers and paraprofessionals. The charge to the committee was to avoid the incentive for overidentification of exceptional pupils by school districts. The committee felt schools were not overidentifying students but they believe the proposed formula would not provide any incentives to overidentify.
- 3. The proposed formula does not encourage school districts to spend money for special education costs unless there is justification and documentation for such need.
- 4. The proposed funding formula provides more flexibility for school districts in providing services to exceptional children. For example, it is much simpler under this formula for a student to receive special education services part of the day and regular education services for the remainder and not have to worry about which service is special education and which is regular education. The importance is serving the students.
- 5. This formula provides a special appropriation for extremely high cost special education students. This issue was a part of the charge.

House Education Feb. 22, 1994 Attachment 3

- 6. The committee also reviewed special education units (cooperatives, interlocals, etc.) to determine the variance in prevalency rates of special education students. The results of this review determined that the prevalency rates for the cooperatives and interlocals are much closer together than individual school districts. This formula would continue to support the concept of cooperatives and interlocals in order for individual school districts to offer cost effective programs.
- 7. The proposed formula will not encourage special education expenditures beyond the current state mandate. (This will help constrain special education expenditures which was a part of the charge.)
- 8. The committee also discussed the educational results for (outcomes) exceptional children. It was determined that IEPs comply with this requirement.
- 9. The plan also responded to the variation of transportation costs between school districts. The formula will continue paying 80% of the cost of transportation services to students.
- 10. The committee also provided a special appropriation to the State Department of Education for schools that are under contract to state hospitals. The committee felt it was important to maintain the quality of the educational programs for these medical fragile students.
- 11. The formula as recommended utilizes a pupil weighting system which is consistent with the School District Finance and Quality Performance Act and is based upon the actual special education costs of schools at this time.

(1)

PAGE 1

		,-,	127	107	(57
COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	* * ******	9-20-93 FTE ENROLLHENT	13.4X OF COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * .84)	(COL 3 * \$ 3600)
ALLEN MARMATON VALLEY IOLA MUMBOLDT	001	375.0 1,835.0 617.5	50.3 245.9 82.7	42.3 206.6 69.5	152,280 743,760 250,200
ANDERSON GARNETT CREST	002 D0365 D0479	1,082.5 314.0	145.1 42.1	121.9 35.4	438,840 127,440
ATCHISON ATCHISON CO COM ATCHISON PUBLIC		819.5 1,682.9	109.8 225.5	92.2 189.4	331,920 681,840
BARBER BARBER COUNTY N SOUTH BARBER	004 D0254 D0255	759.0 35 5.5	101.7 47.6	85.4 40.0	307,440 144,000
BARTON CLAFLIN ELLINYOOD PUBLI GREAT BEND HOISINGTON	005 D0354 D0355 D0428 D0431	329.0 576.8 3,417.5 821.7	44.1 77.3 457.9 110.1	37.0 64.9 384.6 92.5	133,200 233,640 1,384,560 333,000
BOURBON FORT SCOTT UNIONTOWN	006 D0234 D0235	2,108.3 460.0	282.5 61.6	237.3 51.7	854,280 186,120
BROWN HIAWATHA SOUTH BROWN COU	007 D0415 D0430	1,231.9 697.7	165.1 93.5	138.7 78.5	499,320 282,600
BUTLER LEON REMINGTON-WHITE CIRCLE ANDOVER ROSE HILL PUBLI DOUGLASS FUBLIC AUGUSTA EL DORADO FLINTHILLS	008 D0205 D0206 D0375 D0395 D0394 D0396 D0402 D0490 D0492	825.0 550.0 1,388.5 1,989.5 1,585.1 787.0 2,193.1 2,305.9	110.6 73.7 186.1 266.6 212.4 105.5 293.9 309.0 34.2	92.9 61.9 156.3 223.9 178.4 88.6 246.9 259.6 28.7	334,440 222,840 562,680 806,040 642,240 318,960 888,840 934,560 103,320
CHASE CHASE COUNTY	009 D0284	558.0	74.8	62.8	226,080

(2)

(3)

(5)

HOUSE EDUCATION
Feb. 22,1994
Attachment 4

(2)

(1)

COUNTY NAME \$ DISTRICT NAME \$	9-20-93 FTE Enrollhent	13.4X OF COLUHN-1	(COL 2 \$.84)	(COL 3 * \$3600)
**************	*************	********	****************	**********
CHAUTAUQUA 010 CEDAR VALE D0285 CHAUTAUQUA CDUN D0286	174.0 470.5	23.3 63.0	19.6 52.9	70,560 190,440
CHEROKEE 011 RIVERTON D0404 COLUMBUS D0493 GALENA D0499 BAXTER SPRINGS D0508	745.0	99.8	83.8	301,680
	1,372.0	183.8	154.4	555,840
	755.6	101.3	85.1	306,360
	904.0	121.1	101.7	366,120
CHEYENNE 012 CHEYLIN D0103 ST FRANCIS COHH D0297	222.0	29.7	24.9	89,640
	435.0	58.3	49.0	176,400
CLARK 013 HINNEOLA D0219 ASHLAND D0220	258.5	34.6	29.1	104,760
	255.5	34.2	28.7	103,320
CLAY 014 CLAY CENTER D0379	1,699.8	227.8	191.4	689,040
CLGUD 015 CONCORDIA D0333 SOUTHERN CLOUD D0334	1,330.0 263.5	178.2 35.3	149.7 29.7	538,920 106,920
COFFEY 016 LEBO-WAVERLY D0243 BURLINGTON D0244 LEROY-GRIDLEY D0245	578.5	77.5	65.1	234,360
	977.0	130.9	110.0	396,000
	354.0	47.4	39.8	143,280
COMANCHE 017 COMANCHE COUNTY D0300	412.0	55.2	46.4	167,040
COMLEY 018 CENTRAL D0462 UDALL D0463 WINFIELD D0465 ARKANSAS CITY D0470 DEXTER D0471	371.0	49.7	41.7	150,120
	430.5	57.7	48.5	174,600
	2,574.5	345.0	289.8	1,043,280
	3,036.9	406.9	341.8	1,230,480
	182.5	24.5	20.6	74,160
CRAYFORD 019 NORTHEAST D0246 CHEROKEE D0247 GIRARD D0248 FRONTENAC FUBLI D0249 PITISBURG D0250	606.0	81.2	68.2	245,520
	836.8	112.1	94.2	339,120
	1,124.0	150.6	126.5	455,400
	523.5	70.1	58.9	212,040
	2,971.8	398.2	334.5	1,204,200

COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	* * ******	9-20-93 FTE ENROLLHENT	13.4% OF COLUMN-1	(COL 2 \$.84)	(COL 3 * \$3600)
DECATUR OBERLIN PRAIRIE HEIGHT	020 D0294 S D0295	613.0 96.5	82.1 12.9	69.0 10.8	248,400 38,880
DICKINSON SOLOMON ABILENE CHAPHAN RURAL VISTA HERINGTON	021 D0393 D0435 D0473 D0481 D0487	372.0 1,478.9 1,310.5 395.0 561.0	49.8 198.2 175.6 52.9 75.2	41.8 166.5 147.5 44.4 63.2	150,480 599,400 531,000 159,840 227,520
DONIPHAN WATHENA HIGHLAND TROY FUBLIC SCI HIDHAY SCHOOLS ELWOOD		482.5 293.0 438.5 221.0 194.5	64.7 39.3 58.8 29.6 26.1	54.3 33.0 49.4 24.9 21.9	195,480 118,800 177,840 89,640 78,840
DOUGLAS BALDWIN CITY EUDORA LAWRENCE	023 D0348 D0491 D0497	1,124.6 885.7 8,937.2	150.7 118.7 1,197.6	126.6 99.7 1,006.0	455,760 358,920 3,621,600
EDWARDS KINSLEY-OFFERLI LEHIS	024 E D0347 D0502	421.5 192.0	56.5 25.7	47.5 21.6	171,000 77,760
ELK West elk Elk valley	025 D0282 D0283	508.0 208.5	68.1 27.9	57.2 23.4	205,920 84,240
ELLIS ELLIS VICTORIA HAYS	026 D0388 D0432 D0489	374.5 370.0 3,447.7	50.2 49.6 462.0	42.2 41.7 388.1	151,920 150,120 1,397,160
ELLSHORTH ELLSHORTH LORRAINE	027 D0327 D0328	869.5 558.2	116.5 74.8	97.9 62.8	352,440 226,080
FINNEY HOLCOMR GARDEN CITY	028 D0363 D0457	723.0 6,781.9	96.9 908.8	81.4 763.4	293,040 2,748,240
FORD SPEARVILLE DODGE CITY BUCKLIN	029 D0381 D0443 D0459	306.5 4,492.7 384.0	41.1 602.0 51.5	34.5 505.7 43.3	124,200 1,820,520 155,880

COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	٠,	9-20-93 FTE Enrollment	13.4% OF COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * .84)	(COL 3 * \$3600)
***********	*******	: ** ************	***************	!	**************
FRANKLIN WEST FRANKLIN CENTRAL HEIGHTS WELLSVILLE OTTAWA	030 D0287 D0288 D0289 D0290	823.0 621.5 763.5 2,324.1	110.3 83.3 102.3 311.4	92.7 70.0 85.9 261.6	333,720 252,000 309,240 941,760
GEARY JUNCTION CITY	031 00475	6,759.5	905.8	760.9	2,739,240
GOVE GRINNELL PUBLIC 9HEATLAND QUINTER PUBLIC	032 D0291 D0292 D0293	165.0 167.0 372.0	22.1 22.4 49.8	18.6 18.8 41.8	66,960 67,680 150,480
GRAHAM WEST GRAHAM-MOR HILL CITY	033 D0280 D0281	119.0 537.0	15.9 72.0	13.4 60.5	48,240 217,800
GRANT ULYSSES	034 D0214	1,706.7	228.7	192.1	691,560
GRAY CIMARRON-ENSIGN MONTEZUMA COPELAND INGALLS	035 D0102 D0371 D0476 D0477	619.5 181.5 111.5 276.0	83.0 24.3 14.9 37.0	69.7 20.4 12.5 31.1	250,920 73,440 45,000 111,960
GREELEY GREELEY COUNTY	036 D0200	353.0	47.3	39.7	142,920
GREENHOOD MADISON-VIRGIL EUREKA HAMILTON	037 D0386 D0389 D0390	295.9 850.5 125.0	39.7 114.0 16.8	33.3 95.8 1 4. 1	119,880 344,880 50,760
HAHILTON SYRACUSE	038 D0494	397.5	53.3	44.8	161,280
HARPER ANTHONY-HARPER ATTICA	039 D0361 D0511	1,053.8 182.0	141.2 24.4	118.6 20.5	4 26,960 73,800
HARVEY BURRTON NEWTON SEDGHICK PUBLIC HALSTEAD HESSTON	040 D0369 D0373 D0439 D0440 D0460	291.5 3,489.6 389.5 738.0 792.5	39.1 467.6 52.2 98.9 106.2	32.8 392.8 43.8 83.1 89.2	118,080 1,414,080 157,680 299,160 321,120

(1) (2) (3)

COUNTY NAME		9-20-93 FTE	13.4% OF		
DISTRICT NAME	*	ENROLLHENT	COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * .84)	(COL 3 * \$3600)
HASKELL SUBLETTE SATANTA	041 D0374 D0507	516.0 372.0	69.1 49.8	58.0 41.8	208,800 150,480
HODGEMAN JETMORE HANSTON	042 D0227 D0228	294.0 151.0	39.4 20.2	33.1 17.0	119,160 61,200
JACKSON NORTH JACKSON HOLTON MAYETTA	043 D0335 D0336 D0337	411.5 1,001.5 817.5	55.1 134.2 109.5	46.3 112.7 92.0	166,680 405,720 331,200
JEFFERSON VALLEY FALLS JEFFERSON COUNT JEFFERSON WEST OSKALOOSA PUBLI MCLOUTH FERRY PUBLIC SC	044 D0338 D0339 D0340 D0341 D0342 D0343	483.0 451.7 846.1 707.0 564.5 995.6	64.7 60.5 113.4 94.7 75.6 133.4	54.3 50.8 95.3 79.5 63.5	195,480 182,880 343,080 286,200 228,600 403,560
JEHELL WHITE ROCK MANKATO JEWELL	045 D0104 D0278 D0279	195.0 303.5 201.5	26.1 40.7 27.0	21.9 34.2 22.7	78,840 123,120 81,720
JOHNSON BLUE VALLEY SPRING HILL GARDNER-EDGERTO DESOTO OLATHE SHAWNEE MISSION	D0232 D0233	11,584.2 1,249.5 1,806.0 1,832.9 15,808.6 30,623.0	1,552.3 167.4 242.0 245.6 2,118.4 4,103.5	1,303.9 140.6 203.3 206.3 1,779.5 3,446.9	4,694,040 506,160 731,880 742,680 6,406,200 12,408,840
KEARNY LAKIN DEERFIELD	047 D0215 D0216	731.1 337.7	98.0 45.3	82.3 38.1	296,280 137,160
Kinghan Kinghan Cunningham	048 D0331 D0332	1,219.4 316.5	163.4 42.4	137.3 35.6	494,280 128,160
KIOHA GREENSBURG MULLINVILLE HAVILAND	049 D0422 D0424 D0474	352.0 99.5 186.4	47.2 13.3 25.0	39.6 11.2 21.0	142,560 40,320 75,600

COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	* * *******	9-20-93 FTE ENROLLMENT	13.4X OF COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * .84)	(COL 3 \$ \$3600)
LABETTE PARSONS OSHEGO CHETOPA LABETTE COUNTY	050 D0503 D0504 D0505 D0506	1,942.2 467.5 284.5 1,665.0	260.3 62.6 38.1 223.1	218.7 52.6 32.0 187.4	787,320 189,360 115,200 674,640
LANE HEALY PUBLIC SC DIGHTON	051 D0468 D0482	117.0 404.0	15.7 54.1	13.2 45.4	47,520 163,440
LEAVENHORTH FT LEAVENHORTH EASTON LEAVENHORTH BASEHOR-LINHOOD TONGANOXIE LANSING	052 D0207 D0449 D0453 D0458 D0464 D0469	1,844.5 610.5 4,346.8 1,504.0 1,522.0 1,921.0	247.2 81.8 582.5 201.5 203.9 257.4	207.6 68.7 489.3 169.3 171.3 216.2	747,360 247,320 1,761,480 609,480 616,680 778,320
LINCOLN LINCOLN SYLVAN GROVE	053 D0298 D0299	405.0 195.5	54.3 26.2	45. 6 22.0	164,160 79,200
LINN PLEASANTON JAYHANK PRAIRIE VIEW	054 D0344 D0346 D0362	421.5 565.5 887.9	56.5 75.8 119.0	47.5 63.7 100.0	171,000 229,320 360,000
LOGAN OAKLEY TRIFLAINS	055 D0274 D0275	506.4 110.5	67.9 14.8	57.0 12.4	205,200 44,640
LYON NORTH LYON COUN SOUTHERN LYON C EMPORIA	056 D0251 D0252 D0253	730.8 603.8 4,622.0	97.9 80.9 619.3	82.2 68.0 520.2	2 9 5,920 2 44 ,800 1,872,720
MARION CENTRE PEARODY-BURNS MARION DURHAM-HILLSBOR GOESSEL	057 D0397 D0398 D0408 D0410 D0411	288.0 443.5 646.0 642.0 283.5	38.6 59.4 86.6 86.0 38.0	32.4 49.9 72.7 72.2 31.9	116,640 179,640 261,720 259,920 114,840
MARSHALL MARYSVILLE VERHILLION AXTELL VALLEY HEIGHTS	058 D0364 D0380 D0488 D0498	1,025.5 645.5 365.5 464.8	137.4 86.5 49.0 62.3	115.4 72.7 41.2 52.3	415,440 261,720 148,320 188,280

(5)

COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	* *	9-20-93 FTE Enrollhent	13.4X OF COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * . 84)	(COL 3 * \$3600)
MCPHERSON LINDSBORG MCPHERSON CANTON-GALVA MOUNDRIDGE INHAN	059 D0400 D0418 D0419 D0423 D0448	932.5 2,658.4 477.0 469.0 463.5	125.0 356.2 63.9 62.8 62.1	105.0 299.2 53.7 52.8 52.2	378,000 1,077,120 193,320 190,080 187,920
MEADE Fowler Meade	060 D0225 D0226	153.5 429.0	20.6 57.5	17.3 48.3	62,280 173,880
MIAMI OSAWATOMIE PAOLA LOUISBURG	061 D0367 D0368 D0416	1,136.5 1,779.0 1,140.0	152.3 238.4 152.8	127.9 200.3 128.4	460,440 721,080 462,240
MITCHELL WACONDA BELOIT	062 D0272 D0273	581. 5 815.8	77.9 109.3	65.4 91.8	235,440 330,480
MONTGOMERY CANEY VALLEY COFFEYVILLE INDEPENDENCE CHERRYVALE	063 D0436 D0445 D0446 D0447	808.0 2,546.5 2,331.9 638.5	108.3 341.2 312.5 85.6	91.0 286.6 262.5 71.9	327,600 1,031,760 945,000 258,840
HORRIS HORRIS COUNTY	064 D0417	1,100.0	147.4	123.8	445,680
MORTON ROLLA ELKHART	065 D0217 D0218	196.5 528.5	26.3 70.8	22.1 59.5	79,560 214,200
NEMAHA SABETHA NEMAHA VALLEY S B & B	066 D0441 D0442 D0451	1,063.5 528.5 245.0	142.5 70.8 32.8	119.7 59.5 27.6	430,920 214,200 99,360
NEOSHO ERIE-ST PAUL CHANUTE PUBLIC	067 D0101 D0413	1,165.6 1,993.5	156.2 267.1	131.2 224.4	472,320 807,840
NESS NES TRE LA GO SMOKY HILL NESS CITY BAZINE	068 D0301 D0302 D0303 D0304	79.0 193.5 357.5 135.5	10.6 25.9 47.9 18.2	8.9 21.8 40.2 15.3	32,040 78,480 144,720 55,080

COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	*	9-20-93 FTE Enrollment	13.4X OF COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * . 84)	(CDL 3 * \$3600)
**********	******	*************	***********	************	*************
NORTON NORTON COMMUNIT NORTHERN VALLEY WEST SOLOMON VA	069 D0211 D0212 D0213	7 49.5 205.0 96.5	100.4 27.5 12.9	84.3 23.1 10.8	303,480 83,160 38,880
OSAGE OSAGE CITY LYNDON SANTA FE TRAIL BURLINGAME PUBL MARAIS DES CYGN	070 D0420 D0421 D0434 D0454 D0456	627.5 463.5 1,287.2 368.0 273.5	84.1 62.1 172.5 49.3 36.6	70.6 52.2 144.9 41.4 30.7	254,160 187,920 521,640 149,040 110,520
OSBORNE OSBORNE COUNTY	071 D0392	484.0	64.9	54.5	196,200
OTTAWA NORTH OTTAWA CO TWIN VALLEY	072 D0239 D0240	729.0 462.5	97.7 62.0	82.1 52.1	295,560 187,560
PANNEE FT LARNED PANNEE HEIGHTS	073 D0495 D0496	1,178.7 168.5	157.9 22.6	132.6 19.0	477,360 68,400
PHILLIPS EASTERN HEIGHTS PHILLIPSBURG LOGAN	074 D0324 D0325 D0326	172.0 727.5 223.0	23.0 97.5 29.9	19.3 81.9 25.1	69,480 294,840 90,360
POTTAWATOMIE WAHEGO KAY VALLEY ONAGA-HAVENSVIL POTTAWATOMIE WE	075 D0320 D0321 D0322 D0323	1,378.7 1,027.5 462.5 699.5	184.7 137.7 62.0 93.7	155.1 115.7 52.1 78.7	558,360 416,520 187,560 283,320
PRATT PRATT SKYLINE SCHOOLS	076 D0382 D0438	1,353.1 370.5	181.3 49.6	152.3 41.7	548,280 150,120
RAMLINS HERNDON ATHOOD	077 D0317 D0318	86.5 476.5	11.6 63.9	9.7 53.7	34, 920 19 3,3 20
RENO HUTCHINSON PURL NICKERSON FAIRFIELD PRETTY PRAIRIE HAVEN PURLIC SC	078 00308 00309 00310 00311 00312	5,156.0 1,423.5 476.5 306.0 1,166.9	690.9 190.7 63.9 41.0 156.4	580.4 160.2 53.7 34.4 131.4	2,089,440 576,720 193,320 123,840 473,040

(5)

CDUNTY NAME # DISTRICT NAME #	9-20-93 FTE ENROLLMENT	13.4X OF COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * .84)	(COL 3 * \$3600)
RENO 078 BUHLER D0313	2,198.5	294.6	247.5	891,000
REPUBLIC 079 PIKE VALLEY D0426 BELLEVILLE D0427 HILLCREST RURAL D0455	280.0	37.5	31.5	113,400
	671.0	89.9	75.5	271,800
	152.0	20.4	17.1	61,560
RICE 080 STERLING D0376 CHASE D0401 LYONS D0405 LITTLE RIVER D0444	545.5	73.1	61.4	221,040
	194.5	26.1	21.9	78,840
	883.5	118.4	99.5	358,200
	279.0	37.4	31.4	113,040
RILEY 081 RILEY CDUNTY D0378 HANHATTAN D0383 BLUE VALLEY D0384	645.5	86.5	72.7	261,720
	6,456.6	865.2	726.8	2,616,480
	293.5	39.3	33.0	118,800
RODKS 082 PALCO D0269 PLAINVILLE D0270 STOCKTON D0271	176.0	23.6	19.8	71,280
	512.5	68.7	57.7	207,720
	439.0	58.8	49.4	177,840
RUSH 083 LACROSSE D0395 D11S-BISON D0403	356.5 355.0	47.8 47. 6	40.2 40.0	144,720 144,000
RUSSELL 084 FARADISE D0399 RUSSELL COUNTY D0407	109.8 1,208.0	14.7 161.9	12.3 136.0	44, 280 489,600
SALINE 085 SALINA D0305 SOUTHEAS) OF SA D0306 ELL-SALINE D0307	7,342.8	983.9	826.5	2,975,400
	609.5	81.7	68.6	246,960
	403.1	54. 0	45.4	163,440
SCOTT 086 SCOTT COUNTY D0466	1,074.0	143.9	120.9	435,240
SEDGMICK 087 WICHITA D0259 DERBY D0260 HAYSVILLE D0261 VALLEY CENTER P D0262 MULVANE D0263 CLEARMATER D0264 GDDDARD D0265	44,925.3	6,020.0	5,056.8	18,204,480
	6,204.2	831.4	698.4	2,514,240
	3,583.6	480.2	403.4	1,452,240
	2,166.0	290.2	243.8	877,680
	1,930.5	258.7	217.3	782,280
	1,032.0	138.3	116.2	418,320
	2,351.0	315.0	264.6	952,560

COUNTY NAME DISTRICT NAME	* *******	9-20-93 FTE ENROLLMENT	13.4% OF COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * .84)	(COL 3 * \$3600)
SEDGHICK MAIZE RENHICK CHENEY	087 D0266 D0267 D0268	3,531.8 1,469.5 666.5	473.3 196.9 89.3	397.6 165.4 75.0	1,431,360 595,440 270,000
SEWARD LIBERAL KISMET-PLAINS	088 D0480 D0483	3,804.3 614.5	509.8 82.3	428.2 69.1	1,541,520 248,760
SHAWNEE SEAMAN SILVER LAKE AUBURN WASHBURN SHAWNEE HEIGHTS TOPEKA PUBLIC S	D0450	3,378.8 658.0 4,695.5 3,381.3 13,955.1	452.8 88.2 629.2 453.1 1,870.0	380.4 74.1 528.5 380.6 1,570.8	1,369,440 266,760 1,902,600 1,370,160 5,654,880
SHERIDAN HOXIE COMHUNITY	090 D0412	488.0	65.4	54.9	197,640
SHERMAN GOODLAND	091 D0352	1,195.1	160.1	134.5	484,200
SMITH SMITH CENTER MEST SMITH COUN	092 D0237 D0238	631.0 191.5	84.6 25.7	71.1 21.6	255,960 77,760
STAFFORD STAFFORD ST JOHN-HUDSON MACKSVILLE	093 D0349 D0350 D0351	316.5 472.5 279.0	42.4 63.3 37.4	35.6 53.2 31.4	128,160 191,520 113,040
STANTON STANTON COUNTY	094 D0452	539.5	72.3	60.7	218,520
STEVENS MOSCOW PUBLIC S HUGOTON PUBLIC	095 D0209 D0210	179.0 979.0	24.0 131.2	20.2 110.2	72,720 396,720
SUMNER WELLINGTON CONMAY SPRINGS BELLE PLAINE OXFORD ARGONIA PUBLIC CALDWELL SOUTH HAVEN	096 D0353 D0356 D0357 D0358 D0359 D0360 D0509	2,030.5 484.2 777.0 465.5 243.0 339.0 237.5	272.1 64.9 104.1 62.4 32.6 45.4 31.8	228.6 54.5 87.4 52.4 27.4 38.1 26.7	822,960 196,200 314,640 188,640 98,640 137,160 96,120

COUNTY NAME #	9-20-93 FTE	13.4X OF		
DISTRICT NAME #	ENROLLMENT	COLUMN-1	(COL 2 * .84)	(COL 3 * \$3600)
THOMAS 097 BREWSTER D0314 COLBY PUBLIC SC D0315 GOLDEN PLAINS D0316	148.0 1,300.5 151.0	19.8 174.3 20.2	16.6 146.4 17.0	59,760 527,040 61,200
TREGO 098 WAKEENEY D0208	679.0	91.0	76.4	275,040
MABAUNSEE 099 MILL CREEK VALL D0329 MABAUNSEE EAST D0330	585.7 617.5	78.5 82.7	65.9 69.5	237,240 250,200
WALLACE COUNTY D0241 WESKAN D0242	298.5 119.5	40.0 16.0	33.6 13.4	120,960 48,240
WASHINGTON 101 NORTH CENTRAL D0221 WASHINGTON SCHO D0222 BARNES D0223 CLIFTON-CLYDE D0224	164.5 398.5 366.0 390.0	22.0 53.4 49.0 52.3	18.5 44.9 41.2 43.9	66,600 161,640 148,320 158,040
WICHITA 102 LEDTI D0467	607.5	81.4	68.4	246,240
HILSON 103 ALTODNA-HIDWAY D0387 NEODESHA D0461 FREDONIA D0484	374.5 758.7 929.0	50.2 101.7 124.5	42. 2 85.4 104.6	151,920 307,440 376,560
MOODSON 104 YATES CENTER DO366	631.5	84.6	71.1	255,960
HYANDOTTE 105 TURNER-KANSAS C D0202 PIPER-KANSAS CI D0203 BONNER SPRINGS D0204 KANSAS CITY D0500	3,835.9 1,212.6 2,016.6 21,093.8	514.0 162.5 270.2 2,826.6	431.8 136.5 227.0 2,374.3	1,554,480 491,400 817,200 8,547,480

STATE TOTALS	437,880.8	58,676.0	49,288.3	177,437,880