Approved:	1-15-98	
	Date	

## MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY.

The meeting was called to order by ChairpersonTim Emert at 10:12 a.m. on January 13, 1998 in Room 514-S of the Capitol.

All members were present.

Committee staff present: Mike Heim, Legislative Research Department

Jerry Donaldson Legislative Research Department

Gordon Self, Revisor of Statutes Mary Blair, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee: Jim Welch, Assistant Attorney General for Consumer Protection

Don McNeely, Kansas Auto Dealers Association

Art Griggs, Chief Attorney, Department of Administration

Others attending: See attached list

## SB 404 - Increasing the civil penalty for odometer fraud to not more than \$10,000

Following the Chair's brief synopsis of <u>SB 404</u>, Conferee Welch testified in support of the bill. He reviewed the history of the bill which amends the odometer fraud (OF) statute as well as the violation of the title search disclosure (VTSD) statute. The amendments would increase the civil penalty for the latter and would allow the Attorney General (AG) and county and district attorneys to recover operating costs incurred in investigations of OD and VTSD. Discussion and clarification on portions of the bill followed. (attachment 1)

Conferee McNeely, testified in support of <u>SB 404</u>. He pointed out that victims of odometer fraud include not only consumers but members of his organization as well. Discussion followed. On inquiry by the Chair regarding the number of prosecutions against KADA members, Jim Clark, County District Attorney's Association offered to research this and forward the information to the committee. (attachment 2)

# SB 405 - Change the name of administrative law judges to presiding officers' allowing, not requiring, the office to employ court reporters.

Conferee Griggs testified in support of <u>SB 405</u>. He discussed the benefits of making changes in the authorizing statutes and requested four additional amendments which he covered. He stated that <u>SB 405</u>, with the balloon amendments, "will assist in a smooth transfer of the hearing functions of the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services (SRS) to the new Office of Administrative Hearings within the Department of Administration." (attachment 3)

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 11:00 a.m.

The next meeting is scheduled for January 14.1998

# SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE GUEST LIST

NAME	REPRESENTING
Victilynn Helsel	Division of Budget
Katy Porto	OJA
Canelle Urchite	Budget
Jahr Reel &	Thiosa
Farre an Brown	KS GOV. Consult
Janes Clarle	KCDAA .
Sout Jones	K5C
7 any Lindberg	Aá
STEVE RARRICIC	A6
Jehn Badge-	5/25
Gelen Stephen	KPOA/KSA
Randy M. Gearrell	gudiciál Council
Carol Form.	SRS
ant Griger	Dopt of Adm
Jems Welch	ATTORNEY GENERAL'S Office
But Alet	ATTORNER GENERAL'S OFFICE
Chair man	KANSAS ALTO DEALERS ASSN
Heather Handal	Whothey Jamein, PA.
Hene Trabell	KALA



#### State of Kansas

# Office of the Attorney General

### CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION

301 S.W. 10th, Lower Level, Topeka 66612-1597 Phone: (785) 296-3751 Fax: 291-3699 TTY: 291-3767

> Consumer Hotline 1-800-432-2310

Testimony of
James J. Welch, Assistant Attorney General
Before the Senate Judiciary
RE: SB 404
January 13, 1998

Chairperson Emert and Members of the Senate Judiciary:

Thank you for the opportunity to appear before you today on behalf of Attorney General Carla Stovall to testify in support of SB 404. My name is Jim Welch and I am an Assistant Attorney General for Consumer Protection.

The Attorney General supports SB 404, which amends K.S.A. 50-651, the odometer fraud statute. However, the Attorney General would ask that additional language be added to SB 404, which is contained in the substitute wording for SB 404 attached to my testimony.

The amendment to K.S.A. 50-651 contained in SB 404 was one of several automobile related proposals made by the Attorney General last session in SB 266. In 1995, a National Association of Attorneys General Report found serious problems in the auto repair industry, which led her to create an Attorney General Task Force to examine these problems. As a result of recommendations from that Task Force, the Attorney General submitted SB 266 last session. SB 266 was referred to the Special Committee on Judiciary, which ultimately recommended only the odometer portion of SB 266. Unfortunately, the language contained in SB 404 does not contain all of the amendments requested by the Attorney General relating to the odometer fraud statute.

The language proposed by the Attorney General would amend the odometer law by increasing the civil penalties from the current amount, \$2,000, to \$10,000 for violations of the criminal odometer fraud statute (K.S.A. 21-3757), and \$5,000 per violation of the title search disclosure statute (K.S.A. 50-653). The current version of SB 404 increases the civil penalty for criminal odometer fraud to \$10,000, but fails to increase the civil penalty for violations of the title search disclosure requirements of K.S.A. 50-653. The current penalty is simply too low to address the conduct involved, especially considering the \$5,000 penalty that can apply to other violations of the Consumer Protection Act.

The Attorney General's suggested language would also amend the odometer law to allow the Attorney General and county and district attorneys to recover reasonable expenses and investigative fees incurred in investigations of odometer fraud and disclosure violation cases. Recovery of

Senate Judicing 1-13-98 att. 1 investigative fees and expenses is allowed under the Kansas Consumer Protection Act, and Attorney General Stovall believes recovery in odometer fraud cases should be allowed as well.

On behalf of Attorney General Stovall, I urge your favorable consideration of Senate Bill 404. Thank you.

O:\RARRICKS\PUBLIC\LEGISLAT.98\SB404.TST

- K.S.A. 50-651 is hereby amended to read as follows: 50-651. (a) The commission of any act or practice declared to be a violation of K.S.A. 21-3757 or K.S.A. 50-653, *and amendments thereto*, shall make the violator liable to the aggrieved consumer, or to the state, for the *following*:
- (1) pP ayment of a civil penalty, recoverable in an individual action or in an action brought by the attorney general in a sum set by the court of not more than \$2,000 \$5,000 per violation: of K.S.A. 50-653, and amendments thereto, and not more than \$10,000 per violation for violations of K.S.A. 21-3757, and amendments thereto; and
- (2) reasonable expenses and investigation fees incurred by the attorney general, county, or district attorney.
- (b) The remedies provided in subsection (a) are in addition to any remedies available under federal odometer law.



## KANSAS AUTOMOBILE DEALERS ASSOCIATION

January 13, 1998

To: The Honorable Chairman Tim Emert

and Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee

From: Don L. McNeely, KADA Executive Vice President

Re: SB 404 - Support

Chairman Emert and Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee:

Good morning, my name is Don McNeely, Executive Vice President of the Kansas Automobile Dealers Association, a state trade association representing the 302 franchised new car and truck dealers in the state of Kansas.

I appear before you this morning in support of the Special Committee on Judiciary's recommendation regarding 1997 legislation, SB 266, that resulted in SB 404, which would amend the odometer rollback statute, K.S.A. 21-3757, by increasing the penalty for criminal odometer fraud from \$2,000 to \$10,000.

I believe we would all agree that consumers should be protected from such illegal acts. However, I would be remiss if I did not respectfully point out that consumers are not the only victims of such acts. It is not unusual for our members to also become victims of such fraudulent acts perpetuated by individuals seeking to increase the value of the vehicle they are trading-in or those seeking to avoid excess mileage fees on vehicles which they have leased.

In closing, I would like to thank the Chairman and Committee members for allowing me to appear before you today. I would be pleased to stand for any questions.

DLM:md

800 S.W. Jackson, Suite 1110 • Topeka, KS 66612 Telephone (913) 233-6456 • Fax (913) 233-1462 Serate Judicing

# TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

By

Art Griggs, Department of Administration Concerning SB 405

I am appearing today to testify on behalf of the Department of Administration in support of SB 405, and to request four additional amendments that will assist in a smooth transfer of the hearing functions of the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services (SRS) to the new Office of Administrative Hearings within the Department of Administration.

With the passage of L. 1997, Ch. 182, §88 (now codified at K.S.A. 75-37,121), the SRS administrative hearings office will be transferred on July 1, 1998 to a newly created Office of Administrative Hearings within the Department of Administration. SRS and the Department of Administration have been working closely over the past six months to develop a plan for implementation, funding, and administering the new Office. During that process, we identified several changes to the authorizing statutes that would make that process more efficient. Two of these changes have been incorporated in SB 405.

Use the term "presiding officer" in lieu of "administrative law judge." The civil service system includes an "administrative law judge" (ALJ) classification that currently is assigned to Pay Grade 33 (\$47,838 per year at the job rate, Step 5). However, the hearing officers used by SRS currently include attorneys classified at an Attorney I level (Pay Grade 28, which pays \$37,475 annually at the job rate) or an Attorney II level (Pay Grade 31, which pays \$43,365 annually at the job rate). Those hearing officers classified as Attorney I or II are performing work at a level which is appropriate for that classification, rather than the ALJ classification. Therefore, implementation of K.S.A. 75-37,121 and related statutes that refer to use of an ALJ could unnecessarily increase salary expenditures unless amended to remove the apparent requirement to use ALJ's. "Presiding officer" is a term that is consistent with the Administrative Procedures Act. For this reason, SB 405 replaces the term "administrative law judge" with the term "presiding officer" in K.S.A. 75-37,121 and three related sections.

<u>Clarify having court reporters on staff is optional.</u> Under SB 405, the requirement that the Office hire court reporters will become permissive. See subsection (b) of Section 2. (Line 9 of page 3).

In addition to these changes, the Department of Administration requests four other amendments to K.S.A. 75-37,121. (See Attachment I with proposed balloon amendments.)

<u>Permit persons directly supervised by an attorney to act as a presiding officer.</u> This amendment provides the flexibility to use non-attorney personnel when the administrative hearing is of a routine, straightforward nature. For example, the Department of Administration currently uses law clerks for debt setoff hearings with a staff attorney supervising, thereby freeing the attorneys to handle more complex matters. See Section 3, subsection (b). (Line 16 of page 3).

Eliminate requirement to adopt separate personnel regulations. The provisions in K.S.A. 75-37,121 that require adoption of regulations establishing procedures for recruiting and selecting ALJ's, ALJ qualifications, and standards and procedures for evaluation, training, promotion, and

Sinale Judiciary

discipline are deleted. There is no need to establish a separate, parallel personnel system for these employees. Existing regulations and provisions of the civil service system are appropriate and adequate. See Section 3, subsection (e). (Lines 34-37 of page 3 and lines 3-5 of page 4).

Provide permissive authority to adopt regulations. K.S.A. 75-37,121 requires the "Department of Administration" to adopt regulations January 1, 1999 that address certain matters. This provision is amended to provide permissive authority for the Secretary of Administration to adopt regulations covering the listed areas. This approach provides an opportunity to assess which aspects of the new office's policies and procedures require the force and effect of law. See Section 3, subsection (e). (Lines 32-33 of page 3).

Provide authority to establish fees without regulations. K.S.A. 75-37,121 provides that the "department of administration may adopt rules and regulations to establish fees to charge a state agency" for the cost of using a presiding officer. The current funding agreements between SRS and the Department of Administration do not involve fees based on units of service, such as billable hours or hearings held. However, if the two agencies were to conclude that such fees would be useful, it is counterproductive to require that the fees be established by regulation. The process for adopting regulations takes more than three months at best and is cumbersome. That process is necessary and appropriate when an agency is implementing policies that must have the force and effect of law. However, as a provider of services to other state agencies, the Department of Administration sets numerous fees without adopting regulations, including motor pool rates, printing rates, personnel training class fees, health insurance premiums, building rental rates, leave assessment rates, selfinsurance workers' compensation rates, telephone service rates, central data processing rates and other information system charges. In none of these instances, which involve fees charged to state agencies rather than private individuals or organizations, has there been any perceived need to set rates with the force and effect of law. If at some point fees for use of presiding officers are charged to SRS or some other state agency, there is no sound policy basis for imposing a different requirement on those fees than any other established by the Department of Administration.

It should be noted that each of the proposed amendments to SB 405 other than the one relating to fees was also included in HB 2604, which was introduced by the Special Committee on the Judiciary as a result of its interim study. However, HB 2604 would dramatically and rapidly expand the responsibilities of the new Office of Administrative Hearings to include all hearings held under the Kansas Administrative Procedures Act, effective July 1, 1999. This would involve the hearings of over 42 state agencies. Our experience to date in planning for the transfer of the SRS hearing section suggests that there would be numerous issues to resolve relating to location, facilities, funding, and staffing of an expanded office. Moreover, there are extensive gaps in information about the resources currently required to handle hearings in other agencies, which greatly hinder our ability to develop reasonable assumptions about staffing, needed facilities, and cost. Therefore, it is the position of the Department of Administration that if the responsibilities of the Office of Administrative Hearings are to be expanded at all, it should be done only in small, manageable stages so that adequate datagathering and planning can be completed in advance. SB 405, amended as proposed in Attachment I, provides a flexible statutory framework within which the new Office can be implemented and further incremental expansion could be evaluated.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak in support of SB 405 and for your consideration of the proposed amendments to the bill. I would be happy to stand for questions.

# w

#### SENATE BILL No. 405

By Special Committee on Child Support Enforcement Oversight

#### 12-17

AN ACT concerning administrative procedure; relating to presiding officers; amending K.S.A. 75-3306, as amended by section 91 of chapter 182 of the 1997 Session Laws of Kansas, 75-37,121, 77-514, as amended by section 92 of chapter 182 of the 1997 Session Laws of Kansas, and 77-551 and repealing the existing sections.

13 14 15

16

32

33

38

10 11

12

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 75-3306, as amended by section 91 of chapter 182 of the 1997 Session Laws of Kansas, is hereby amended to read as follows: 75-3306. (a) The secretary of social and rehabilitation services, except as set forth in the Kansas administrative procedure act and subsections (f), (g), (h) and (i), shall provide a fair hearing for any person who is an applicant, client, inmate, other interested person or taxpayer who appeals from the decision or final action of any agent or employee of the secretary. The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Kansas administrative procedure act.

It shall be the duty of the secretary of social and rehabilitation services to have available in all intake offices, during all office hours, forms for filing complaints for hearings, and appeal forms with which to appeal from the decision of the agent or employee of the secretary. The forms shall be prescribed by the secretary of social and rehabilitation services and shall have printed on or as a part of them the basic procedure for hearings and appeals prescribed by state law and the secretary of social and rehabilitation services.

- (b) The secretary of social and rehabilitation services shall have authority to investigate (1) any claims and vouchers and persons or businesses who provide services to the secretary of social and rehabilitation services or to welfare recipients, (2) the eligibility of persons to receive assistance and (3) the eligibility of providers of services.
- (c) The secretary of social and rehabilitation services shall have authority, when conducting investigations as provided for in this section, to issue subpoenas; compel the attendance of witnesses at the place designated in this state; compel the production of any records, books, papers or other documents considered necessary; administer oaths; take testimony; and render decisions. If a person refuses to comply with any sub-

poena issued under this section or to testify to any matter regarding which the person may lawfully be questioned, the district court of any county, on application of the secretary, may issue an order requiring the person to comply with the subpoena and to testify, and any failure to obey the order of the court may be punished by the court as a contempt of court. Unless incapacitated, the person placing a claim or defending a privilege before the secretary shall appear in person or by authorized representative and may not be excused from answering questions and supplying information, except in accordance with the person's constitutional rights and lawful privileges.

- (d) The presiding officer may close any portion of a hearing conducted under the Kansas administrative procedure act when matters made confidential, pursuant to federal or state law or regulation are under consideration.
- (e) Except as provided in subsection (d) of K.S.A. 77-511 and amendments thereto and notwithstanding the other provisions of the Kansas administrative procedure act, the secretary may enforce any order prior to the disposition of a person's application for an adjudicative proceeding unless prohibited from such action by federal or state statute, regulation or court order.
- (f) Except as provided in this subsection, decisions and final actions relating to the administration of the support enforcement program set forth in K.S.A. 39-753 et seq. and amendments thereto shall be exempt from the provisions of the Kansas administrative procedure act and subsection (a). Decisions and final actions relating to the support enforcement program may be reviewed pursuant to this section if the decision or final action relates directly to federal debt set-off activities or the person is specifically permitted by statute to request a fair hearing under this section.
- (g) Decisions relating to administrative disqualification hearings shall be exempt from the provisions of the Kansas administrative procedure act and subsection (a).
- (h) The department of social and rehabilitation services shall not have jurisdiction to determine the facial validity of a state or federal statute. An edministrative law judge A presiding officer from the office of administrative hearings shall not have jurisdiction to determine the facial validity of an agency rule and regulation.
- (i) The department of social and rehabilitation services shall not be required to provide a hearing if: (1) The department of social and rehabilitation services lacks jurisdiction of the subject matter; (2) resolution of the matter does not require the department of social and rehabilitation services to issue an order that determines the applicant's legal rights, duties, privileges, immunities or other legal interests; (3) the matter was

11

15

16

17

18

20

31

34



not timely submitted to the department of social and rehabilitation services pursuant to regulation or other provision of law; or (4) the matter was not submitted in a form substantially complying with any applicable provision of law.

Sec. 2. K.S.A. 75-37,121 is hereby amended to read as follows: 75-37,121. On and after July 1, 1998: (a) There is created the office of administrative hearings within the department of administration, to be headed by a director appointed by the secretary of administration.

(b) The office shall may employ administrative law judges presiding officers, court reporters and other support personnel as necessary to conduct proceedings required by the Kansas administrative procedure act for adjudicative proceedings of the department of social and rehabilitation services. The office shall conduct adjudicative proceedings of the department of social and rehabilitation services which are not under the Kansas administrative procedure act when requested by such agency. Only a person admitted to practice law in this state may be employed as an administrative law judge a presiding officer. The office may employ regular part-time personnel. Persons employed by the office shall be under the classified civil service.

(c) If the office cannot furnish one of its administrative law judges presiding officers in response to the department of social and rehabilitation services request, the director shall designate in writing a full-time employee of an agency other than the department of social and rehabilitation services to serve as administrative law judge presiding officer for the proceeding, but only with the consent of the employing agency. The designee must possess the same qualifications required of administrative law judges presiding officers employed by the office.

(d) The director may furnish administrative law judges presiding officers on a contract basis to any governmental entity to conduct any proceeding not subject to the Kansas administrative procedure act or not listed in K.S.A. 77-551 and amendments thereto.

(e) On or before January 1, 1999 the Repartment of administration shall adopt rules and regulations:

(1) To establish further qualifications for administrative law judges presiding officers, procedures by which candidates will be considered for

presiding officers, procedures by which candidates will be considered for employment, and the manner in which public notice of vacancies in the staff of the office will be given.

[(2)] to establish procedures for agencies to request and for the director to assign administrative law judges presiding officers. The department of social and rehabilitation services may neither select nor reject any individual administrative law judge presiding officer for any proceeding except in accordance with the Kansas administrative procedure act:

(3) to establish procedures and adopt forms, consistent with the Kan-

The director shall be in the unclassified service under the Kansas civil service act.

or a person directly supervised by a person admitted to practice law in this state

secretary

may

9

10

12

15

17

24 25

31

34

35

11

19

and

(f) The director may:

(1) Maintain a staff of reporters and other personnel; and

(2) implement the provisions of this section and rules and regulations adopted under its authority.

(g) The department of administration may adopt rules and regulations to establish fees to charge a state agency for the cost of using an administrative law judge a presiding officer.

- (h) Effective July 1, 1998, personnel in the administrative hearings section of the department of social and rehabilitation services and support personnel for such administrative law judges presiding officers, shall be transferred to the office of administrative hearings. Such personnel shall retain all rights under the state personnel system and retirement benefits under the laws of this state, and such person's services shall be deemed to have been continuous. This act shall not affect any matter pending before an administrative hearing a presiding officer at the time of the effective date of the transfer, and such matter shall proceed as though no transfer of employment had occurred.
- Sec. 3. K.S.A. 77-514, as amended by section 92 of chapter 182 of the 1997 Session Laws of Kansas, is hereby amended to read as follows: 77-514. (a) The agency head, one or more members of the agency head, an administrative law judge a presiding officer assigned by the office of administrative hearings, or, unless prohibited by K.S.A. 77-551, and amendments thereto, one or more other persons designated by the agency head may be the presiding officer.
- (b) Any person serving or designated to serve alone or with others as presiding officer is subject to disqualification for administrative bias, prejudice or interest.
- (c) Any party may petition for the disqualification of a person promptly after receipt of notice indicating that the person will preside or promptly upon discovering facts establishing grounds for disqualification, whichever is later.
- (d) A person whose disqualification is requested shall determine whether to grant the petition, stating facts and reasons for the determination.
- (e) If a substitute is required for a person who is disqualified or becomes unavailable for any other reason, any action taken by a duly ap-

secretary

(3)

3

6

11

13

14

18

19

pointed substitute for a disqualified or unavailable person is as effective as if taken by the latter.

- (f) If the office of administrative hearings cannot provide a presiding officer, a state agency may enter into agreements with another state agency to provide presiding officers to conduct proceedings under this act.
- (g) Notwithstanding any quorum requirements, if the agency head of a professional or occupational licensing agency is a body of individuals, the agency head, unless prohibited by law, may designate one or more members of the agency head to serve as presiding officer and to render a final order in the proceeding.
- Sec. 4. K.S.A. 77-551 is hereby amended to read as follows: 77-551. On and after July 1, 1998: (a) In hearings of the department of social and rehabilitation services under K.S.A. 39-1807, 65-4015, 65-4606, 65-4927, 75-3306 and 75-3340, and amendments thereto, the presiding officer shall be the agency head, one or more members of the agency head or an administrative law judge a presiding officer assigned by the office of administrative hearings.
- (b) This section shall be part of and supplemental to the Kansas administrative procedure act.
- Sec. 5. K.S.A. 75-3306, as amended by section 91 of chapter 182 of the 1997 Session Laws of Kansas, 75-37,121, 77-514, as amended by section 92 of chapter 182 of the 1997 Session Laws of Kansas, and 77-551 are hereby repealed.
- Sec. 6. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

3-6





## DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

DAN STANLEY Secretary of Administration Room 263-E State Capitol Topeka, KS 66612-1572 (785) 296-3011 FAX (785) 296-2702

### **LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY**

Bill Number: SB 405
Name of person testifying and division: Art Grigg 5
Name of Committee: Senate Judiciary
Chamber:Senate
Date: Jan. 13
Time: 10 AM
Room Number: 514 S
Explanation/Summary of Testimony: Support bill and
recommend some minor amendments.
Bill relates to the SRS administrative
appeals hearing office which by virtue
of 1997 legislation is due to be
transferred to the Dept. of Adu.
ON July 1, 1998.