Approved: Os Distributed

Date march 26, 1999

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Alicia Salisbury at 8:00 a.m. on March 24, 1999 in Room 123-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Committee staff present:

Jerry Donaldson, Legislative Research Department

Lynne Holt, Legislative Research Department

Bob Nugent, Revisor of Statutes Betty Bomar, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Ann Wickcliffe, Kansas Corporation Commission

Mikel Miller, Kansas, Inc.

David Zin, Department of Revenue

Others attending: See attached list

The Chair noted that a letter addressed to Senator Brownlee from Western Wireless regarding $\underline{\bf SB}$ was distributed to the Committee. (Attachment 1)

A memorandum from Beth Canuteson and Mike Murray, Sprint PCS, regarding <u>SB 86</u> was distributed to the Committee. (<u>Attachment 2</u>)

A letter from Associated General Contractors of Kansas, Inc., requesting **SB 211** be withdrawn as the situation has been resolved. was distributed to the Committee. (<u>Attachment 3</u>)

A copy of the written testimony from Marion R. Hughes, an opponent who testified on <u>HB 2166</u>, was distributed to the Committee. (<u>Attachment 4</u>)

HB 2197 Consumer protection, negative option invitation or announcement

The Chair informed the Committee that there is no agreement on the proposed amendment relating to sight drafts, and the Attorney General has withdrawn the requested amendment until the issue has received further study. Senator Ranson stated that in talking with the Kansas Bankers Association, she was advised that if unauthorized paper drafts are honored by banks and a complaint is made, the bank reimburses the individual and bears the financial loss.

Senator Ranson moved, seconded by Senator Jordan, that HB 2197 be recommended favorable for passage. The recorded vote was in favor of the motion.

SB 211 Public works contracts; costs of delay

The Chair called the Committee's attention to the letter from Associated General Contractors.

Senator Brownlee moved, seconded by Senator Barone, that SB 211 be reported adversely. The voice vote was in favor of the motion.

SB 86 Definition of Enhanced Universal Service

The Chair advised <u>SB 86</u> amends the Telecommunications Act. The bill does not include the same definitions of enhanced universal service as <u>SB 290</u>. Any changes in statement of public policy, and the concern of the telecommunications providers that if they have already made investments for enhanced universal service under the old rules, that those investments be honored. The definition of

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE, Room 123-S of the Statehouse, at 8:00 a.m. on March 24, 1999.

enhanced universal service in **SB** 86 is non-technology specific.

Senator Donovan noted that he had a note relating to Envision's testimony relating to the transfer of voice to written word. The KCC responded that there was nothing to preclude that technology.

Senator Brownlee proposed that the definitions of universal service and enhanced universal service as defined in <u>SB 290</u> be incorporated into <u>SB 86</u>; that <u>SB 84</u>, declaration of public policy recommended by the Working Group, be inserted into <u>SB 86</u>; that the phrase: "as established by rules and guidelines by the commission" be substituted for "July 1, 2003, capabilities" on Page 4, line 27; and the following sentence: "Those local exchange carriers that have deployed enhanced universal service will be eligible for reimbursement from the KUSF pending verification of the expenditure, the timing of the expenditure and the associated costs." be added.

Senator Ranson referred to the Memorandum from Sprint and noted a case has been made for deleting the requirement to provide equal access to long distances services from the definition of "Universal service" inasmuch as the requirement may preclude wireless carriers from receiving KUSF support. Wireless carriers should have an opportunity to receive KUSF support even if they do not offer equal access inasmuch as: 1) the Federal Act specifically excludes wireless carriers from the equal access requirement; 2) will create competition; and 3) carriers should be able to receive KUSF support in high cost areas or customers in those areas may be denied the choice of wireless service.

The Committee discussed portability and multi-providers for local service (land line and wireless) as they relate to payments from the KUSF. Ann Wickcliffe, Kansas Corporation Commission, stated the Commission will consider this issue in Docket 99-326. If the Commission decides to limit KUSF support to one primary residential line, adding wireless will have no effect on the fund. The Commission is yet to make a decision.

Senator Feleciano inquired whether deletion of the requirement to provide equal access and allow wireless carriers to access the KUSF would increase the size of the Fund. Ann Wickcliffe, stated there is no guarantee at this time what the level of the Fund will be. If the KUSF is completely portable and follows the customer, and limited to a primary residential line, there should be no increase in the size of the Fund. Also, removing equal access doesn't guarantee that any wireless carrier will be considered an eligible telecommunications carrier because there are other requirements that might affect what areas they are certificated in.

Senator Umbarger inquired as to whether the addition on Page 4 of the phrase "rules and guidelines" refers to those now in place or those to be developed by the Commission? Ms. Wickcliffe responded they are "rules and guidelines" to be developed by the Commission in compliance with its decision. Mr. Umbarger stated it seemed the proposed amendments are incorporating the provisions of <u>SB 290</u>. Senator Brownlee stated the controversial provisions contained in <u>SB 290</u> are not proposed to be included in <u>SB 86</u>.

Senator Brownlee moved, seconded by Senator Ranson, SB 86 be amended on Page 1, in line 40, by striking all after the period; by striking lines 41 through 43; Page 2, by striking lines 1 and 2; in line 37, by striking "and equal"; also in line 37, before the period, by inserting "and toll blocking or toll control"; and in line 38, by striking all after "means"; by striking lines 39 and 40; on Page 3, by striking line 4; in line 5, by striking all before the period and inserting ", without regard to any transmission media or technology, high-speed, switched, broadband telecommunications capability that enables users to originate and receive high-quality voice, data, graphics and video telecommunications using any technology"; by striking lines 8 through 10; in line 11, by striking "authorize" and inserting "Authorize"; by striking lines 19 through 21; by striking line 43; on page 4, by striking line 1; by relettering the subsections accordingly. Also on Page 4, in line 2, by striking ", review"; by striking line 3, in line 4, by striking all before "taking" and inserting "establish, and to the extent necessary, modify rules and guidelines to determine what service qualify as enhanced universal services, pursuant to subsection (q) of K.S.A. 66-1,187 and amendments thereto,"; after

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE, Room 123-S of the Statehouse, at 8:00 a.m. on March 24, 1999.

line 20 by inserting "The commission may submit the report by posting the report's contents on the commission's internet homepage and notifying the legislature of the report's availability."; in line 27, by striking all after "capabilities"; by striking line 28; in line 29, by striking all before the period and inserting "as the commission may determine by rules and guidelines"; On page 5, in line 2, after the period by inserting "Those local exchange carriers that have deployed enhanced universal service, prior to July 1, 1999, will be eligible for reimbursment from the KUSF pending verification of the expenditure, the timing of the expenditures and the associated costs."; On page 6, in line 25, by striking "touch-tone" and inserting "tone dialing"; in line 29, by striking "touch-tone" and inserting "tone dialing". The voice vote was in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Senator Brownlee moved, seconded by Senator Ranson, that SB 86 be further amended on Page 3, after line 5, by inserting an additional section as follows: "Sec. 2. K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2001 is hereby amended to read as follows: 66-2001. It is hereby declared to be the public policy of the state to: (a) Ensure that every Kansan will have access to a first class telecommunications infrastructure that provides excellent services at an affordable price; (b) ensure conditions exist for consumers throughout the state to realize the benefits of competition through increased services and improved telecommunications facilities and infrastructure at reasonable rates; (c) promote consumer access to a full range of telecommunications services, including advanced telecommunications services that are comparable in urban and rural areas throughout the state; (d) advance the development of a statewide telecommunications infrastructure that is capable of supporting applications, such as public safety, telemedicine, services for persons with special needs, distance learning, public library services, access to internet providers and others; (e) protect consumers of telecommunications services from fraudulent business practices and practices that are inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity; and (f) foster conditions for continuous innovation of information networking and telecommunications."; and by renumbering sections accordingly." The voice vote was in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Senator Steineger moved, seconded by Senator Barone, that SB 86 be further amended on Page 10 by inserting, "any carrier that collects amounts from its customers to offset its KUSF contribution shall specifically identify the amount as a separate item on each bill. The voice vote was in favor of the adoption of the amendment.

Senator Feleciano moved, seconded by Senator Brownlee, that SB 86 be amended on Page 10, Line 9 by striking the words "statute book" and insert "Kansas register". The voice vote was in favor of the motion.

Senator Steineger moved, seconded by Senator Brownlee that SB 86 be recommended favorable for passage as amended. The recorded vote was: Yes - 8; No - 1; Pass - 2.

SB 315 - Certified Capital Company Act

Bob Nugent, Revisor of Statutes, briefed the Committee on a balloon version of <u>SB 315</u> containing amendments requested by Kansas, Inc., Department of Revenue and the Securities Commissioner. (<u>Attachment 5</u>)

The Committee raised the question whether credits provided for on Page 11, line 28 could be carried forward inasmuch as there is cap of \$5 million tax credits per year.

David Zin, Department of Revenue, stated the Department did not visualize being able to transfer to another year, but the credits could be transfered to other assets as a deduction.

Senator Umbarger distributed a paper prepared by KTEC relating to the accountability of Capcos. (Attachment 6)

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE, Room 123-S of the Statehouse, at 8:00 a.m. on .

The Chair informed the Committee there would be a meeting on Friday at which time **SB 315** will be further considered.

<u>Upon motion by Senator Gooch, seconded by Senator Barone, the Minutes of the March 22 and March 23, 1999 meetings were unanimously approved.</u>

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 a.m.

The next meeting is scheduled for March 25, 1999.

SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE GUEST LIST

DATE: march 24. 1999

NAME	DEDDECEMENT
	REPRESENTING
Rob Hodges	KTIA
Miles Morray	Sprint
STEVE RARRICIC	ATTORNEY GENERAL
Gail Bright	A.G.
TOM DAY	KCC
Charles H. Freeman	HARP
Both Canuteson	Sprint PCS
Mark Johnson	Wastern Wireless
Bos Starey	DMA
ED SCHAUB	WESTERN RESOURCES
John A. Pinegar	SITA
	H



March 24, 1999

Senator Karin S. Brownlee State Capitol Room Number 143-N Topeka, KS 66612

RE: Senate Bill 86

Dear Senator Brownlee:

Thank you for inviting Western Wireless to provide comments on Senate Bill 86. We appreciate your desire to adopt amendments to the bill that will strengthen this legislation.

My name is Jim Blundell and I am the Director of External Affairs for Western Wireless Corporation, a Bellevue, Washington company with over 4,000 employees nationwide. Western Wireless is a cellular and personal communications service (or PCS) carrier specializing in providing high-quality, affordable, and reliable wireless services to subscribers in both rural, high-cost areas and higher density urban areas. Western Wireless provides PCS service in Wichita under the VoiceStream brand name and throughout much of eastern Kansas under the Cellular One brand name.

Western Wireless has significant experience providing high-quality wireless telecommunications services in high-cost areas across the U.S. over both fixed wireless local loops and conventional mobile cellular and PCS technologies.

This past January, in Regent, North Dakota, Western Wireless launched its Wireless Residential Service, a local telephone service that provides subscribers with "dial tone" using wireless local loops. With a population of 268 spread out over a large geographical area and a calculated cost of more than \$200.00 per month for local telephone service, Regent is truly a rural, high-cost area.

Western Wireless prices its Wireless Residential Service offering in Regent at \$14.99 per month for unlimited local usage and offers a local calling area much larger than the local calling area offered by the incumbent local exchange carrier, or ILEC. The incumbent carrier in Regent offers a smaller local calling area at a rate of \$16.00 per month. The expanded local calling area offered by Western Wireless is a significant benefit to consumers in Regent because it allows them to place local calls to the only major business and residential community in the area, Dickinson, which is approximately 50 miles from Regent. Western Wireless' offering of Wireless Residential Service is precisely the type of local competition and competitive universal

Senate Commerce Committee

Date: 3-24-99

Attachment # /-/ thus/-3

service system envisioned by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the Federal Communications Commission's rules.

The ability of Western Wireless to offer Wireless Residential Service in Regent and other rural, high-cost areas depends on establishing a universal service system that allows competitive carriers to serve the communications needs of consumers by receiving universal service funding to cover its costs. With the vision of a competitive universal service program in mind, Western Wireless has applied for eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) status, in order to be eligible for universal service support, in 13 states including Kansas. Western Wireless is dedicated to expanding Wireless Residential Service to these other states, and beyond, assuming the regulatory environment in each state welcomes such competition.

In order to complete the transition to a competitive universal service system, barriers to competitive entry into the universal service market must be eliminated.

Turning to Senate Bill 86, Western Wireless supports its adoption, but suggests several amendments to the bill that address current problems.

Availability of Universal Service Funding—Under the current Kansas Corporation Commission rules, it is unclear whether wireless carriers are eligible to receive universal service funding. Furthermore, the KCC has limited the availability of universal service funding to Alternative LECs that serve exchange areas with 10,000 or fewer access lines. In order to ensure consistency with federal law, the eligibility of wireless carriers to receive universal service support in all exchanges should be explicitly recognized in the statute. Senate Bill 86 should be amended in that respect.

<u>Universal Service System</u>—The Kansas universal service system today is not based on the cost of providing service, but instead is based on the misguided principle of revenue neutrality. Language from Senate Bill 290 would eliminate references to revenue neutrality and seeks to establish a cost-based system. Western Wireless urges the committee to amend Senate Bill 86 with this language from Senate Bill 290.

<u>Cost-based Intrastate Access</u>—Intrastate access charges should be cost-based. Current law provides for rate rebalancing that is revenue neutral. This requirement only serves to make the incumbents whole, regardless of competitive forces. The bill should be amended to replace the current system of revenue neutrality with cost-based intrastate access, similar to Senate Bill 290.

<u>Definitions</u>—Current Kansas law defines universal service to include "equal access to long distance services." On the other hand, the FCC's rules require access to long distance but not "equal" access. Furthermore, federal law specifically states that wireless carriers are not required to provide "equal access." If the current Kansas definition is not changed, it will continue to be inconsistent with federal law. Wireless carriers provide access to long distance

services and, therefore, meet the requirements of federal law. The current definition is inconsistent with federal law and should be corrected, to remove the possibility that federal regulators or a federal court will step in. Senate Bill 86 should be amended to remove the word "equal" from the definition of universal service.

<u>Audits and Investigations</u>—In order to base the Kansas Universal Service Fund on accurate cost information, the KCC should have the authority to conduct audits and investigations and obtain cost and revenue information from local exchange carriers, as those costs relate to universal service. The bill should be amended with similar language found in Senate Bill 290.

Thank you for this opportunity to address Senate Bill 86. If you or the other committee members have any questions, I would be delighted to address them.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

JAMES H. BLUNDELL Director, External Affairs

Smost Bludll



March 23, 1999

TO: Members of the Senate Commerce Committee

FROM: Beth Canuteson, Sprint PCS, and Mike Murray, Sprint

RE: SB 86

It is our understanding that tomorrow the Commerce Committee will consider SB 86 with amendments incorporating changes in policy language adopted by the KUSF Working Group (as contained in SB 84) and defining universal service, enhanced universal service, and recovery of costs associated with enhanced universal service (as contained in SB 290).

Sprint supports the language from SB 84 and SB 290 which would be basis of these amendments.

As it pertains to the definition of universal service, Sprint supports the SB 290 language defining universal service (page 2, line 39 of the bill) which deletes the words "and equal."

If the words "and equal" are not deleted, wireless carriers like Srint PCS may be precluded from receiving Kansas Universal Service Fund support. Wireless carriers should have an opportunity to receive KUSF support even if they do not offer equal access. Here are three good reasons:

First, the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 specifically excludes wireless carriers from the equal access requirement. In fact, SB 290 as written on line 39 of page 2, mirrors the Federal Act. In addition, Sprint PCS has already been declared eligible for Federal Universal Service Fund support in California and Arkansas.

Second, Sprint supports a cost-based KUSF, and one in which the KUSF support is portable. In other words, the Fund size would not grow because the KUSF support follows the end user.

Third, putting "and equal" back in the bill would be anti-competitive. Customer choice would be thwarted. If Sprint PCS cannot receive high cost KUSF support, Kansans living in high cost areas may be denied the choice of wireless service of carriers like Sprint PCS. KUSF support is required under the Federal Act to be competitively neutral.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Senate Commerce Committee

Date: 3-24-99

Attachment # 2



Associated General Contractors of Kansas, Inc.

P.O. Box 5253 • Topeka, Kansas 66605-0253 • 200 West 33rd • Topeka, Kansas 66611 Telephone 785-266-4015 • Fax 785-266-2561 • Email: agcks@ibm.net

OFFICERS -

Timothy Nightingale President

Bob Simpson Vice President

Darwin McClung Treasurer

Thomas E. Slattery Executive Vice President

Kill Shall

Senator Alicia Salisbury State Capitol 300 SW 10th, Rm 120-S Topeka, KS 66612-1504

March 19, 1999

Dear Senator Salisbury:

The Associated General Contractors of Kansas requested introduction of Senate Bill 211. This was in an effort to address some differences we had with the Kansas Department of Architectural Services. I am happy to report that through meetings and discussions we have resolved our problems with the Department. Therefore, I am requesting that Senate Bill 211 be withdrawn from any further consideration and disposed of in whatever manner you consider appropriate.

As always, thank you for your assistance and consideration.

Dan Foltz

Mark Hutton

Steve Kaaz Rick McCafferty

Steve Mohan

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Slattery

Executive Vice President

TES/lah

cc:

Thaine Hoffman



J.D. Munley, Associat

Statement to Kansas Senate Commerce Committee 23 March 1999 re Wonderful World of Oz:

I am Marion R. Hughes, 6708 Cherokee Lane, Mission Hills.

I represent no one but myself, but I would like to acknowledge the persons who have expressed opposition to the Oz project in letters to the Kansas City Star and the Johnson County Sun , the only local papers I have access to. The Johnson County commissioners have received dozens of letters and 200 phone calls, most in opposition. To my knowledge, there has never been an open forum to address our concerns.

I find it fascinating that there is so little public support and so much opposition, unorganized, I must admit, to this project, and yet it goes rolling along, gathering official support and action day by day, in spite of the fact that the General Services Administration has not yet officially announced which disposal option it will take. Admittedly, in its February Environmental Assessment GSA appeared to be leaning toward conveyance to the state for a public benefit discount conveyance; but it's always possible they might heed the concerns of the Environmental Protection Agency official who is overseeing the clean-up, and decide on a different action. His concerns are how the Oz development would affect the quality of drinking water, air, groundwater run-off, and wildlife habitat, as well as increased traffic and urban sprawl. The GSA official conceded "We're not doing an environmental assessment in the depth you'd expect for major developments. We're not developers."

I would add my own concerns that Oz will offer mostly low-paying, seasonal jobs; noise (would you want to live near a roller coaster?), and a recreational vehicle park adjacent to parklands. The GSA report noted many locations where there were such notations as "additional sampling is required", "the extent of contamination is undetermined", "groundwater, surface water, and soil are media of concern". There was no specific survey made for wetlands, which would be required before any future reuse activity. Only a small portion of the property has been surveyed for prehistoric and historic archaeological resources. The Kansas State Historic Preservation Officer will have 180 days after conveyance of the property to survey the property before any ground-disturbing activities begin. GSA says there will be deed restrictions; is Oz prepared to accept them and do the additional studies?

I feel that letting the Army put the burden of the environmental clean-up on the citizens of Kansas is just another example of an unfunded Federal mandate. If a miracle occurs, and the land doesn't go to Oz, I'd like to see it developed in a similar fashion to the 25,000-acre Joliet Arsenal near Chicago, where most of the property has been set aside for the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. The prairie won't be completely restored for another hundred years, but in the meantime it will be used. Wouldn't that be a magnificent gift to give to the people of the twenty-second century!

I do not understand the necessity for such a rush on this project. It has been promoted in this area for many years, only the last several months in Johnson County. Your vote AGAINST this project will give us the opportunity to explore if there are better options out there. One of the mayors in Johnson County described the developers as ". . . a couple of people who say they dream of a \$700 million project and the only obstacles in their way are the facts that they have no money, no land, no expertise and no track record." If you vote Yes, let's hope he's wrong.

Thank you.

Senate Commerce Committee

Date: 3-24-99

Attachment #

SENATE BILL No. 86

By Committee on Commerce

1-21

AN ACT concerning telecommunications; relating to the definition of enhanced universal service; amending K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-1,187, 66-2002 and 66-2005 and repealing the existing sections.

12 13 Be it

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

29

30

32

33

36

42

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-1,187 is hereby amended to read as follows: 66-1,187. As used in this act:

(a) "Broadband" means the transmission of digital signals at rates equal to or greater than 1.5 megabits per second.

(b) "CLASS services" means custom local area signaling services, which include automatic callback, automatic recall, calling number identification, selective call rejection, selective call acceptance, selective call forwarding, distinctive ringing and customer originated trace.

(c) "Commission" means the state corporation commission.

(d) "Dialing parity" means that a person that is not an affiliate of a local exchange carrier is able to provide telecommunications services in such a manner that customers have the ability to route automatically, without the use of any access code, their telecommunications to the telecommunications carrier of the customer's designation from among two or more telecommunications carriers, including such local exchange carrier.

(e) "Federal act" means the federal telecommunications act of 1996, P.L. 104-104 (amending the communications act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. 151, et seq.)

(f) "ISDN" means integrated services digital network which is a network and associated technology that provides simultaneous voice and data communications over a single communications channel.

(g) "LATA" has the meaning ascribed to it in the federal act.

(h) "Local exchange carrier" means any telecommunications public utility or its successor providing switched telecommunications service within any local exchange service area, as approved by the commission on or before January 1, 1996. However, with respect to the Hill City exchange area, in which multiple carriers were certified by the commission prior to January 1, 1996, the commission's determination, subject to any court appeals, of which authorized carrier shall serve as the earrier.

Senate Commerce Committee

of last resort will determine which earrier shall be deemed the local exchange earrier for that exchange.

- (i) "Number portability" has the meaning ascribed to it in the federal act.
- (j) "1+ intraLATA dialing parity" means the ability of a local exchange service customer to specify the telecommunications or local exchange carrier that will carry the intraLATA long distance messages when that customer dials either "1" or "0" plus a 10-digit number.
 - (k) "Operating area" means:

.41

- (1) In the case of a rural telephone company, operating area or service area means such company's study area or areas as approved by the federal communications commission;
- (2) in the case of a local exchange carrier, other than a rural telephone company, operating area or service area means such carrier's local exchange service area or areas as approved by the commission.
- (l) "Rural telephone company" has the meaning ascribed to it in the federal act, excluding any local exchange carrier which together with all of its affiliates has 20,000 or more access lines in the state.
- (m) "Telecommunications carrier" means a corporation, company, individual, association of persons, their trustees, lessees or receivers that provides a telecommunications service, including, but not limited to, interexchange carriers and competitive access providers, but not including local exchange carriers certified before January 1, 1996.
- (n) "Telecommunications public utility" means any public utility, as defined in K.S.A. 66-104, and amendments thereto, which owns, controls, operates or manages any equipment, plant or generating machinery, or any part thereof, for the transmission of telephone messages, as defined in K.S.A. 66-104, and amendments thereto, or the provision of telecommunications services in or throughout any part of Kansas.
- (o) "Telecommunications service" means the provision of a service for the transmission of telephone messages, or two-way video or data messages.
- (p) "Universal service" means telecommunications services and facilities which include: single party, two-way voice grade calling; stored program controlled switching with vertical service capability; E911 capability; tone dialing; access to operator services; access to directory assistance; and equal access to long distance services.
- (q) "Enhanced universal service" means telecommunications services, in addition to other than those included in universal service, which shall include Signaling system seven capability, with GLASS service capability; basic and primary rate ISDN capability; or the technological equivalent; full-fiber interconnectivity, or the technological equivalent, between central offices; and broadband capable facilities to: All schools

and toll blocking or toll control.

necredited pursuant to K.S.A. 72 1101 et seq., and amendments thereto; hospitals as defined in K.S.A. 65 425, and amendments thereto; public libraries; and state and local government facilities which request broadband services such advanced telecommunication services as the commission may determine.

- Sec. 2. K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2002 is hereby amended to read as follows: 66-2002. The commission shall:
- (a) Adopt and periodically update a definition of "universal service" and "enhanced universal service," pursuant to subsections (p) and (q) of K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-1,187, and amendments thereto;
- (b) authorize any requesting telecommunications carrier to provide local exchange or exchange access service pursuant to subsection (a) of K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2003, and amendments thereto;
- (c) on or before July 1, 1996, the commission shall initiate a proceeding to adopt guidelines to ensure that all telecommunications carriers and local exchange carriers preserve and enhance universal service, protect the public safety and welfare, ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services and safeguard the rights of consumers;
- (d) review, approve and ensure compliance with network infrastructure plans submitted by local exchange carriers pursuant to K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66:2005, and amendments thereto;
- (e) review, approve and ensure compliance with regulatory plans submitted by local exchange carriers pursuant to K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2005, and amendments thereto;
- (f) on or before January 1, 1997, establish, pursuant to K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2006, and amendments thereto, the Kansas lifeline service program, hereinafter referred to as the KLSP;
- (g) initiate and complete a proceeding by January 1, 1997, to establish a competitively neutral mechanism or mechanisms to fund: dual party relay services for Kansans who are speech or hearing impaired; telecommunications equipment for persons with visual impediments; and telecommunications equipment for persons with other special needs. This funding mechanism or mechanisms shall be implemented by March 1, 1997;
- (h) on or before January 1, 1997, establish the Kansas universal service fund pursuant to K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2008, and amendments thereto, hereinafter referred to as the KUSF, and make various determinations relating to the implementation of such fund;
- (i) authorize all local exchange carriers to provide internet access as outlined in K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2011, and amendments thereto, and report on the status of the implementation provisions to specified legislative committees;
 - (j) review the federal act and adopt additional standards and guide-

, without regard to any transmission media or technology, high-speed, switched, broadband telecommunications capability that enables users to originate and receive high-quality voice, data, graphics and video telecommunications using any technology.

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

20

21

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

33

34

35

37

38

39

41

(k) commencing on June 1, 1997 and periodically thereafter, review and, to the extent necessary, modify the definition of universal service and enhanced universal service, and KUSF taking into account advances in telecommunications and information technology and services;

(l) on or before January 1, 1997, initiate and complete a proceeding to establish minimum quality of service standards which will be equally applicable to all local exchange carriers and telecommunications carriers in the state; any local exchange carrier or telecommunications carrier violating such standards, for each occurrence, shall forfeit and pay a penalty of not less than \$100, nor more than \$5,000; violations of such standards shall be enforced in accordance with provisions of K.S.A. 66-138 and 66-177, and amendments thereto; and

(m) on January 1, 2000, prepare and submit a report to the legislature. The report shall include an analysis of the manner in which the regulatory framework has served to: Protect consumers; safeguard universal service; ensure that consumers have reaped the benefits of competition; maximize the use of market forces; and promote development of the telecommunications infrastructure throughout the state. The commission also shall recommend if and how the KUSF should be modified.

Sec. 3. K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2005 is hereby amended to read as follows: 66-2005. (a) Each local exchange carrier shall file a network infrastructure plan with the commission on or after January 1, 1997, and prior to January 1, 1998. Each plan, as a part of universal service protection, shall include schedules, which shall be approved by the commission, for deployment of universal service capabilities by July 1, 1998, and the deployment of enhanced universal service capabilities by July 1, 2003, asdefined pursuant to subsections (p) and (q) of K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-1,187 and amendments thereto, respectively. With respect to enhanced universal service; such schedules shall provide for deployment of ISDN, or its technological equivalent, or broadband facilities, only upon a firm customer order for such service, or for deployment of other enhanced universal services by a local exchange earrier. After receipt of such an order and upon completion of a deployment plan designed to meet the firm order or otherwise provide for the deployment of enhanced universal service, a local exchange earrier shall notify the commission. The commission shall approve the plan unless the commission determines that the proposed deployment plan is unnecessary, inappropriate, or not eost effeetive, or would ereate an unreasonable or excessive demand on the KUSF. The commission shall take action within 90 days. If the commission fails to take action within 90 days; the deployment plan shall be deemed approved. This approval process shall continue until July 1, 2000. Each plan shall demonstrate the capability of the local exchange carrier

establish and, to the extent necessary, modify rules and guidelines to determine what services qualify as enhanced universal services, pursuant to subsection (q) of K.S.A. 66-1,187 and amendments thereto,

The commission may submit the report by posting the report's contents on the commission's internet homepage and notifying the legislature of the report's availability.

as the commission may determine by rules and guidelines

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

to comply on an ongoing basis with quality of service standards to be adopted by the commission no later than January 1, 1997.

(b) In order to protect universal service, facilitate the transition to competitive markets and stimulate the construction of an advanced telecommunications infrastructure, each local exchange carrier shall file a regulatory reform plan at the same time as it files the network infrastructure plan required in subsection (a). As part of its regulatory reform plan, a local exchange carrier may elect traditional rate of return regulation or price cap regulation. Carriers that elect price cap regulation shall be exempt from rate base, rate of return and earnings regulation. However, the commission may resume such regulation upon finding, after a hearing, that a carrier that is subject to price cap regulation has: violated minimum quality of service standards pursuant to subsection (I) of K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2002 and amendments thereto; been given reasonable notice and an opportunity to correct the violation; and failed to do so. Regulatory reform plans also shall include: (1) a commitment to provide existing and newly ordered point-to-point broadband services to: Any hospital as defined in K.S.A. 65-425, and amendments thereto; any school accredited pursuant to K.S.A. 72-1101 et seq., and amendments thereto; any public library; or other state and local government facilities at discounted prices close to, but not below, long-run incremental cost; and

(2) a commitment to provide basic rate ISDN service, or the technological equivalent, at prices which are uniform throughout the carrier's service area. Local exchange earriers shall not be required to allow retail eustomers purchasing the foregoing discounted services to resell those services to other eategories of eustomers. Telecommunications earriers may purchase basic rate ISDN services, or the technological equivalent, for resale in accordance with K.S.A. 1008 Supp. 66-2003 and amendments thereto. The commission may reduce prices charged for services outlined in provisions (1) and (2) of this subsection, if the commitments of the local exchange carrier set forth in those provisions are not being kept.

(c) Subject to the commission's approval, all local exchange carriers shall reduce intrastate access charges to interstate levels as provided herein. Rates for intrastate switched access, and the imputed access portion of toll, shall be reduced over a three-year period with the objective of equalizing interstate and intrastate rates in a revenue neutral, specific and predictable manner. The commission is authorized to rebalance local residential and business service rates to offset the intrastate access and toll charge reductions. Any remaining portion of the reduction in access and toll charges not recovered through local residential and business service rates shall be paid out from the KUSF pursuant to K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 66-2008 and amendments thereto. Rural telephone companies shall reduce their intrastate switched access rates to interstate levels on March

Those local exchange carriers that have deployed enhanced universal service, prior to July 1, 1999, will be eligible for reimbursement from the KUSF pending verification of the expenditure, the timing of the expenditure and the associated costs.

5-5

AN ACT concerning venture capital; enacting the Kansas certified capital

company act; amending K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 17-1262 and repealing the

New Section 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the

10 11

existing section.

12

13

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas: 14

15 16

18

19

24 25 26

31 32

33

"Kansas Certified Capital Company Act". The purpose of this act is to enhance the development of seed and venture capital in Kansas and to support the modernization and expansion of the state's rural economy, As used in this act, the following terms mean: (a) "Certified capital company" means any partnership, corporation, trust or limited liability company, whether organized on a profit or not

for profit basis, that is domiciled in and qualified to conduct business in Kansas and that has as its primary business activity, the investment of cash in qualified Kansas businesses, and which is feertified by the securities commissioner of Kansas as satisfying the eriteria of this act.

"Capco" means any certified capital company.

- (c) "Tax credit" means a transferable, non-refundable credit against the tax imposed by the Kansas income tax act, the premium tax or privilege fee imposed pursuant to K.S.A. 40-252, and amendments thereto, or the privilege tax as measured by net income of financial institutions imposed pursuant to chapter 79, article 11 of the Kansas Statutes Annotated.
 - "Applicable percentage" means one hundred percent.
- (e) "Capital in a qualified Kansas business" means any note, stock, partnership or membership interest or other form of equity investment or hybrid security, of any nature and description whatsoever, including a debt instrument or security which has the characteristics of indebtedness but which provides for conversion into equity or equity participation instruments such as options or warrants which are acquired by a Kansas certified capital company as a result of a transfer of cash to a business. Capital in a qualified Kansas business shall not include secured debt instruments.
- (f) "Certified capital investment" means an investment of cash by an investor made in such manner as to acquire a beneficial ownership inter-

unless the context clearly requires otherwise

Senate Commerce Committee

regulated

in accordance with the provisions

which is certified by the securities commissioner to have been

certified capital investment made by investors in the certified capital a certified capital company equal to the amount of

(h) "Commission" means the Kansas securities commission.

(i) "Commissioner" means the securities commissioner of Kansas or a person acting under the supervision of the commissioner.

(j) "Investor" means any natural person or entity, including a corporation, limited liability company, general or limited partnership, trust or limited liability company that invests cash.

(k) "Liquidating distribution" means payments remitted to investors or to the certified capital company derived from earnings.

(l) "Person" means any natural person or entity, including a corpo-14 ration, limited liability company, general or limited partnership, trust or 15 limited liability company.

(m) "Qualified distribution" means any distribution or payment remitted to equity holders of a certified capital company in connection with the following:

(1) Reasonable costs and expenses of forming, syndicating, managing or operating the certified capital company;

(2) Fees paid to qualified managers for managing or operating the certified capital company.

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28 29

30

31

33

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

(n) "Qualified venture capital investment" means the investment of cash by a Kansas certified capital company in such a manner as to acquire (o) "Qualified Kansas business" means:

(1) A business that satisfies the requirements of paragraphs (A) through (B) of this subsection.

(A) Such business is independently owned and operated and has its principal business office located in Kansas or, in the case of a company domiciled outside the state of Kansas, which certifies that the company's principal business office will be located in Kansas within six months following the date of the initial investment;

(B) such business shall, at the time of the initial qualified venture capital investment, have no more than 50 full time equivalent employees, at least fifty percent of whom are resident in Kansas or, in the case of a company domiciled outside the state of Kansas, certifies that at least-fifty percent of its employees will be resident in Kansas within six months following the date of the initial qualified venture capital investment

such business is in need of venture capital and cannot obtain conventional financing to fund its further development and future

No certified capital investment by any one person in a capco shall be less than \$25,000.

If the investor is an individual natural person, the investor shall have a personal net worth of not less than \$1,000,000 and such net worth shall be at least ten times the amount of the investor's certified capital investment in a capco. The investor's net worth shall not include any equity in the investor's primary residence.

At least 50% of the employees of such business shall be resident within the state or such business shall certify that at least 50% of its employees shall be residents of the state within six months of initial investment.

(C) Such business shall, at the time of the initial qualified venture capital investment, have been in existence for five years or less and have gross sales in the most recent fiscal year of not more than \$1,000,000

manufacturing, processing or assembling or distributing products, conducting research and development or providing services in interstate commerce.

3

5

10

11

14

15

16

19 20

27

28

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

38

39

(E) For businesses involved in commerce for the purpose of providing services in interstate commerce, that business must demonstrate that more than fifty percent of its gross revenues are derived from sales outside the state of Kansas or in the case of an early stage business, provide reasonable documentation that the company will derive at least fifty percent of its gross sales outside the state within a three-year period.

(2) Any business which, subject to audit, is properly classified as a qualified Kansas business at the time of the first qualified venture capital investment in such business by a Kansas certified capital company shall, for a period of seven years following the date of such first investment, continue to be classified as a qualified Kansas business and may receive follow-on investments from any Kansas certified capital company, and such follow-on investments shall constitute qualified venture capital investments even though such business may not meet other qualifications of this subsection at the time of such follow-on investments.

(3) A qualified Kansas business shall not include:

- (A) Any commercial enterprise primarily engaged in the sale at retail of goods or services taxable under the Kansas retailer's sales tax act; any service provider set forth in K.S.A. 17-2707, and amendments thereto; any bank, savings and loan or lending institution; any real estate, real estate development or insurance company; or any commercial enterprise deriving its revenues directly from noncommercial customers in exchange for personal services;
- (B) a business engaged primarily as a passive business, irregular or noncontinuous operations, or which derives substantially all of its income from passive investments that generate interest, dividends, royalties or capital gains;
 - (C) a business engaged in oil and gas exploration and development;
 - (D) a subsidiary of a certified capital company;
 - (E) another certified capital company;
 - (F) an affiliate of the certified capital company;
- (G) an investor of the certified capital company or an affiliate or subsidiary of an investor of the certified capital company unless approved in writing by the commissioner.
- (4) At the time of the initial qualified venture capital investment, the qualified Kansas business shall certify that the business shall remain domiciled in Kansas for the next 10 years and any new manufacturing facility financed directly by a qualified investment shall be located in and shall remain in Kansas for the 10 years following.

to the commissioner

(E)

(F)

the power or ability to vote ten percent or more of the outstanding voting securities or other beneficial ownership interests of the Kansas certified capital company;

(2) any person ten percent or more of whose outstanding voting securities or other beneficial ownership interests are directly or indirectly owned, controlled or possessed with the power to be voted by the Kansas certified capital company;

(3) any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the Kansas certified capital company;

(4) any partnership in which the Kansas certified capital company is a general partner;

(5) any person who is an officer, director, general partner, managing member, manager director or agent of the Kansas certified capital company or an immediate family member of such person.

(q) "Affiliate of an investor" means:

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

35

37

38 39 (1) Any person that directly or indirectly, owns, controls or possesses the power or ability to vote ten percent or more of the outstanding voting securities or other beneficial ownership interests of the investor;

(2) any person ten percent or more of whose outstanding voting securities or other beneficial ownership interests are directly or indirectly owned, controlled, or possessed with the power to be voted by the investor;

(3) any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by or under common control with the investor;

(4) a partnership in which the investor is a general partner;

(5) any person who is an officer, director or agent of the investor or an immediate family member of such officer, director or agent.

New Sec. 2. (a) Any investor that makes a certified capital investment shall earn a vested tax credit against state tax liability equal to 100% of the amount of such investor's certified capital investment. An investor, or person to whom the credits were duly transferred, shall be entitled to not more than 10% of the vested credit per vear beginning with tax filings for calendar year 2001. Any tax credit not used by an investor, or a person to whom the credits were duly transferred, in any single year may be carried forward and applied against tax liabilities of such investor or transferree for subsequent calendar years.

(b) A tax credit claimed against state tax liability as described in subsection (a) may not exceed the state tax liability of the investor, or person to whom the credits were duly transferred, for any taxable year. All such credits against state tax liability may be carried forward indefinitely until the credits are utilized.

(r) "in existence" means the date of the first sale

claim

earned

taxable year for taxable years commencing after December 31, 2000.

If the amount of the credit allowed under subsection (a) exceeds the state tax liability of the investor or transferee for any taxable year, the amount of the credit which exceeds such tax liability or a portion thereof may be carried for credit in the same manner in succeeding taxable years until such credit is exhausted.

,

- (c) If the investor is an individual, the investor shall have a personal net worth of at least \$1,000,000 and at least ten times the amount of such investor's certified capital investment in a capco. The investor's net worth shall not include the value of any equity in such investor's primary residence.
- (d) No certified capital investment in a capco by any one person shall be less than \$50,000.
- (e) The commission shall be responsible for the administration of the tax credits authorized by this act.

- The total amount of tax eredits which may be allocated by the commissionershall not exceed \$50,000,000. The total amount of tax credits which may be claimed under this act shall not exceed \$5,000,000 per year.
- New Sec. 3. (a) The commissioner may certify profit or not-for-profit entities which submit an application to be designated as a capco. The commissioner shall compile a list of every capco, including the address and telephone number of the capco's principal place of business. The commissioner shall forward the list to the secretary of commerce and housing. The secretary of commerce and housing shall publicize the list in order to inform Kansas companies of the availability of potential investment capital. The commission shall review the organizational documents for each applicant for certification and the business history of the applicant to determine:
- (1) that at the time of application, the applicant owns cash, marketable securities and other liquid assets valued at no less than \$500,000, or that the applicant is designated as an innovation and commercialization corporation or an affiliate of an innovation and commercialization corporation created under the Kansas technology enterprise corporation innovation and commercialization corporation program;
- (2) that the officers and the board of directors, general partners, trustees, managing members, or managers, as the case may be, are thoroughly acquainted with the requirements of this act and acknowledge such by a signed certification.
- (b) To continue to be certified, the capco must own and shall periodically provide information to the commissioner as the commissioner may require in order for the commissioner to determine that the liquid asset base for the certified capital company is at least \$500,000 at all times during the capco's participation in the program authorized by this act or that such moneys have been used for making qualified venture capital investments.
- (c) No entity which submits an application to be designated as a capco shall be certified by the commissioner if any of its directors, trustees, managers, officers, general partners, beneficial owners of 10% or more

(b)

|tax credits earned

allowed

fiscal

commissioner

erwise associated with it at the time of such application:

(1) Has been affiliated with any company that has filed a registration statement which is subject to a currently effective stop order entered pursuant to any state law;

(2) has been convicted of any felony or misdemeanor in connection with the purchase or sale of any security or any felony involving fraud or deceit including, but not limited to, forgery, embezzlement, obtaining money under false pretenses, larceny or conspiracy to defraud;

(3) is currently subject to any state administrative order or judgment entered by a state securities administrator or is subject to any state administrative order or judgment in which fraud or deceit was found and an order or judgment was entered;

(4) is currently subject to any state administrative order or judgment which prohibits the use of any exemption from registration in connection with the purchase or sale of securities;

(5) is subject to any order, judgment or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction temporarily or preliminarily restraining or enjoining, or is subject to any order, judgment or decree of any court of competent jurisdiction permanently restraining or enjoining that person from engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with the purchase or sale of any security, rendering investment advice, or involving the making or any false filing with any state;

(6) has been convicted of or plead nolo contendere to any criminal offense other than a misdemeanor involving motor vehicle violations.

(d) The commissioner shall further review documentation regarding the qualifications of the persons who will actively manage the capco and make a determination as to whether such persons possessed sufficient knowledge and professional experience in the areas of investment, venture capital, business management and evaluation, portfolio management, and such other area of expertise to the degree that a reasonable person would be confident in such manager's ability to manage the capco. No certification shall be issued when it is the opinion of the commissioner that such persons do not possess this requisite degree of knowledge and expertise.

(e) No investor shall individually, or collectively with or through one or more affiliates, by means of ownership, agreement or otherwise, own, control, or possess the power or ability to cause or direct the making of any qualified venture capital investments by a capco.

(f) Within 75 days of application, the commission shall either issue the certification and notify the secretary of the department of revenue of such certification or shall refuse the certification and communicate in detail to the applicant the grounds for the refusal, including any sugges-





tions for the removal of those grounds.

:19

New Sec. 4. (a) A capco shall have a period of 365 days from the date of receiving certification from the commissioner in which to procure the amount of certified capital investment required by subsection (b). All certified capital investments in the capco shall be received within such three-hundred-sixty-five-day funding period, notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (c).

(b) Before closing its fund of certified capital investment, and pursuant to subsection (a) of section 3, and amendments thereto, a capco shall raise a minimum aggregate certified capital investment of no less than \$5,000,000. In the case of a capco designated as an innovation and commercialization corporation or an affiliate of an innovation and commercialization corporation created under the KTEC innovation and commercialization corporation program, such minimum certified capital investment shall be no less than \$1,000,000. No tax credits shall be issued by the commissioner until such time when these minimum cumulative investments are met. Failure of a capco to raise the minimum cumulative investments may result in the revocation of the certification by the commissioner.

(c) Once fully capitalized pursuant to the provisions of subsection (b), a capco may make application to the commissioner for authorization to seek additional certified capital investment.

New Sec. 5. (a) To continue to be certified, a capco shall make qualified venture capital investments according to the following schedule:

(1) Within three years after the date on which a capco is certified as a capco at least 25% of its certified capital shall be, or have been, used for making qualified venture capital investments;

(2) within four years after the date on which a capco is certified as a capco at least 40% of its certified capital shall be, or have been, used for making qualified venture capital investments;

(3) within five years after the date on which a capco is certified as a capco at least 50% of its total certified capital shall be, or have been, used for making qualified venture capital investments;

(4) within seven years after the date on which a capco is certified as a capco at least 70% of its total certified capital shall be, or have been, used for making qualified venture capital investments.

(5) A capco shall not make an investment in an affiliate of the capco or an affiliate of an investor. For the purposes of this subsection, if a legal entity is not an affiliate before a capco initially invests in the entity, it shall not be deemed to be an affiliate if such capco provides additional qualified venture capital investment to such entity subsequent to its initial investment. No corporate officer, employee or shareholder, no limited or general partner or other person personally affiliated with any capco shall

an

aggregate

Capital deemed certified for the purpose of earning tax credits shall not exceed \$10,000,000 in a single capco.

capital investments shall be certified

personally invest in any portfolio company regardless of whether the portfolio company is affiliated with the capco.

- (6) A capco, at least 15 working days prior to making what it determines to be any initial qualified venture capital investment, shall first certify to the commissioner that the company in which it proposes to invest meets the definition of a qualified Kansas business pursuant to paragraph (15) of subsection (a) of section 1, and amendments thereto. The capco shall state the amount of capital it intends to invest and identify 8 the business in which it intends to make the investment. The capco shall also provide to the commissioner a written explanation of the basis for its 10 determination that the business meets the definition of a qualified Kansas 11 business, if the commissioner determines that the business does not meet the definition of a qualified Kansas business, the commissioner shall, 13 within the fifteen-working-day period prior to the making of the proposed 14 investment, notify the capco of the determination and provide the capco 15 an explanation thereof. If the commissioner fails to notify the capco of 16 his or her determination within the 15 working day period prescribed 17 herein, the business in which the capco proposes to invest shall be 18 deemed to be a qualified Kansas business. If a capco fails to notify the 19 commissioner prior to making an initial investment in a business, the 20 business in which the capco invested shall be deemed not to be a qualified 21 Kansas business even though the business, at the time of the investment, 22 met the requirements of paragraph (a) of subsection (a) of section 1, and 24 amendments thereto; 25
 - (7) All certified capital which is not then required to be invested in qualified venture capital investments or which has been previously invested in qualified venture capital investments and returned by the company, may be held or invested in such manner as the capco, in its discretion, deems appropriate. The proceeds of all certified capital which is returned by a capco after it was originally invested in qualified venture capital investments, may be invested in other qualified venture capital investments and shall be credited toward any requirement in this act with respect to placing certified capital in qualified venture capital investments.

26

30

31 32

34

35

36 37

38

41

42

· 39

- (b) A capco may make qualified distributions at any time. In order to lawfully make liquidating distributions, a capco must have invested an aggregate amount equal to 100% of its certified capital in qualified venture capital investments.
- (c) Cumulative liquidating distributions to equity holders in excess of the certified capital company's original certified capital and any additional capital contributions to the certified capital company shall be subject to audit by a nationally recognized, certified public accounting firm acceptable to the commissioner, at the expense of the certified capital company.

'subsection (o)

tributions to all investors and equity holders, when combined with all tax credits utilized by investors pursuant to this act, have resulted in an annual internal rate of return of 15% computed on the sum of total original certified capital of the certified capital company and any additional capital contributions to the certified capital company.

(d) If at any time of any such distribution made by the capco which has achieved the annual internal rate of return specified under subsection (c) such distribution taken together with all other such distributions made by the certified capital company, other than qualified distributions, exceeds in the aggregate the sum of the certified capital company's original certified capital and any additional capital contributions to the certified capital company shall, prior to any additional distributions, pay to the Kansas state treasurer(s-office) 25% of the proportion of such distribution in excess of such amount.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

24

26

27

28

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

- (e) Documents and other materials submitted by Kansas certified capital companies or by businesses for purposes of original certification or the continuance of certification shall not be public records if it is determined by the commissioner that disclosure of such information would compromise trade secrets of qualified Kansas businesses or the privacy rights of any investor and shall be maintained in a secured environment by the commissioner.
 - (f) Each capco shall report the following to the eommission:
- (1) As soon as practicable, but in any case no later than 15 days, after the receipt of a certified capital investment, the name of each investor from whom the certified capital investment was received, the amount of each investor's certified capital investment, and the date when the certified capital investment was received;
- (2) Each capeo shall provide to the commissioner, annual audited financial statements to the commission within 90 days of the close of the fiscal year. The audit shall address the methods of operation and conduct of business of the capeo to determine if the capeo is complying with the statutes and program rules and that the funds received by the capeo have been invested in accordance with the time limits provided by this act.
- (3) At the end of each quarter, that no more than 20% of the assets of a capco shall be invested in a single qualified Kansas business at any one time unless the capco can demonstrate that a greater percentage in a single qualified Kansas business at any one time is the result of losses suffered by the capco in other qualified venture capital investments.

New Sec. 6. To ensure that no qualified venture capital investment or investor's certified capital investment has been made in violation of this act, the commissioner shall conduct an annual review of each capco

commissioner

(g) Any materials related to the sale of ownership in a capco or soliciting investment in a capco shall include the following statement:

"By authorizing the formation of a certified capital company. The State of Kansas does not endorse the quality of management or the potential for earnings of a particular company and is not liable for damages or losses to an investor in the company. The use of the term "certified" in an offering does not constitute a recommendation or endorsement of the investment by the Kansas Securities Commission."

(h) The commissioner may establish reasonable initial filing fees for applications for certification pursuant to this act and may also establish an annual nonrefundable fee for capcos seeking ongoing certification.

cation, and shall advise the capco as to the status of its investments as qualified venture capital investments. The costs of the annual review shall be paid by each capco according to a reasonable fee schedule adopted by the commission.

(b) Any material violation of this act shall be grounds for decertification under this section. If the eommission determines that a company is not in compliance with any requirements for continuing in certification, it shall, by written notice, inform the officers of the company and the board of directors, managers, trustees or general partners that they may be decertified within 120 days from the date of mailing of the notice, unless they correct the deficiencies detailed in the notice and demonstrate to the commissioner's satisfaction that the capco is again in compliance with the requirements for certification as determined by the commissioner.

(c) At the end of the one-hundred-twenty-day grace period, if the capco is still not in compliance, the commission may then send a notice of decertification to the capco and to the secretary of department of revenue Decertification of a capco prior to the capco meeting all requirements of paragraph (1) through (3) of subsection (a) of section 5, and amendments thereto, shall cause the recapture of all tax credits previously claimed by an investor and the forfeiture of all future tax credits to otherwise be claimed by an investor with respect to his or her certified capital investment in the capco. Decertification of a capco after it has met all requirements of paragraphs (1) to (3) of subsection (a) of section 5, and amendments thereto, shall cause the forfeiture of tax credits commencing with the taxable year of the investoriin which the decertification arose and for all future taxable years with no recapture of tax credits obtained by an investor with respect to the investor's tax years which ended before the decertification occurred. Once a capco has invested 100% of its certified capital in qualified Kansas businesses, all future tax credits to be claimed by investors with respect to said capco pursuant to this act shall be nonforfeitable.

New Sec. 7. The commissioner shall prepare and submit an annual report to the governor and the legislature no later than October 1 of each year. Such report shall be presented to the standing committee on commerce in the senate, standing committee on economic development in the house of representatives, and the joint committee on economic development. Such report shall include but not be limited to:

(1) The total dollar amount each capco received from all investors receiving tax credits and any other investors and the identity of all investors receiving tax credits;

(2) the total amount invested by each capco in qualified Kansas busi-

commissioner

by a capco

commissioner

commissioner

 along with a list of the decertified capital investment by investor and transferee

~(4)

or transferee

61

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

1-11

in each qualified Kansas business, and the total number of permanent full-time jobs created or retained by each qualified Kansas business as a result of the investment.

(3) The cumulative amount of any liquidating disbursements received by the state from the Kansas certified capital companies.

New Sec. 8. The commission may revoke the certification of a capco if any material representation to the commission in connection with the application process proves to have been falsely made or if the application materially violates any requirement established by the commission pursuant to this act.

New Sec. 9. (a) The tax credit established pursuant to this act may be sold or transferred in accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the eommission. The eommission, in cooperation with the secretary of the department of revenue, shall develop such rules and regulations to facilitate the operation of the program consistent with the interest of the state in tracking the transfer of ownership and the use of tax credits earned by the holder in due course.

(b) Any such sale or transfer shall not affect the time schedule for taking the tax credit, as provided in this act. Any tax credits recaptured pursuant to section 6 shall be the liability of the taxpayer which actually claimed the tax credit. In approving the sale or transfer of the tax credit pursuant to this section, the eommission may require the transferor or the transferee or both the transferor and the transferee to execute guarantees or post bonds with respect to any potential tax credit recapture.

(c) Any payment received for tax credits is taxable income of the transferor of the credit and any difference between the transfer price and the sale price of the tax credit/shall be taxable income of the transferee.

- (d) The commission shall make and promulgate rules and regulations consistent with the provisions of this act as are necessary or useful to carryout the provisions of this act which are necessary to implement the act.
- (e) Every final order, decision, license or other official act of the commissioner pursuant to this act is subject to administrative review in accordance with the Kansas administrative procedure act.
- (f) In view of the objectives of these requirements and the underlying policies of the act, the act is not available with respect to any transaction or series of transactions that, although in technical compliance with these rules, is part of a plan or scheme to evade the requirements of this act or to distort the benefits entitled to be realized under the act. In such cases, no investor in any capco shall be entitled to the benefit of any tax credits provided for hereunder.

Sec. 10. K.S.A. 1998 Supp. 17-1262 is hereby amended to read as

- commissioner

an amount equal to the difference resulting when the amount paid by the transferee for the tax credit is subtracted from the amount of the tax credit



7

10 11

12

13

· 14

15

17

18 19

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

43

SB 315

What's to prevent a CapCo from claiming tax credits for its investors but never making investments?

The bill has several provisions to prevent any such abuse of the tax credit provisions. To maintain certification - and hence, the tax credits - a CapCo must invest 25 percent of its capital within three years, 40 percent within five years, and 70 percent within seven years (page 7, line 23). Furthermore, 100 percent of a CapCo's capital must be invested before a liquidating distribution of the CapCo can occur (page 8, line 35). The bill also prohibits a CapCo from investing in an affiliate of the CapCo or an affiliate of an investor (page 7, line 37). This provision precludes the possibility of a "shell game" with only the appearance of qualified investments and ensures that the intent of the bill is carried out.

How will the state know if jobs have actually been created as a result of the tax credits?

The Securities Commissioner is required to conduct an annual review of each CapCo to determine whether the CapCo is still in compliance with the certification requirements (page 9, line 41). The Securities Commissioner is also required to submit an annual report to the Governor and the Legislature. The bill stipulates that the annual report contain, among other criteria, the number of permanent jobs created or retained by each company in the CapCo portfolio (page 11, line 2). Any material violation of the act is grounds for decertification of the CapCo (page 10, line 6) and the forfeiture and recapture of all tax credits issued to that CapCo's investors (page 10, line 19).

What if a CapCo portfolio company moves out of the state?

As a condition for investment from a CapCo, the company must certify to the CapCo that the company shall remain domiciled in Kansas for ten years (page 3, line 39). Should a company move from Kansas before ten years pass, this would not be grounds for decertification. Since the CapCo will seldom if ever have a controlling interest in the company, it would be unfair to penalize the CapCo and its investors for actions of the company which are beyond their control. The CapCo could, however, build domicile provisions into its investment documents with a company. To monitor its investments and avoid friction with the state, it is reasonable to assume that a CapCo would make every effort to keep its portfolio companies in Kansas.

Senate Commerce Committee

Date 3-24-99

Attachment # 7