Approved: 5/7/99

Date /

#### MINUTES OF THE SENATE WAYS AND MEANS.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Dave Kerr at 11:00 a.m. on March 30, 1999 in Room 123S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Committee staff present: Alan C

Alan Conroy, Legislative Research Department Debra Hollon, Legislative Research Department Rae Anne Davis, Legislative Research Department

Norman Furse, Revisor of Statutes Michael Corrigan, Revisor of Statutes Judy Bromich, Administrative Assistant Ann Deitcher, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee: President Jerry Farley, Washburn University

Topeka Mayor Joan Wagnon

Christy Caldwell, Greater Topeka Chamber of Commerce

Joseph Ledbetter

Vic Miller

Others attending:

Secretarist list.

### HB 2565

### Washburn University retailers' sales tax imposition authorized.

Chris Courtwright, of the Legislative Research Department, spoke to the Committee in explanation of **Supplemental HB 2565**.

Avis Swartzman of the Revisor's Office explained the Washburn Board of Regents.

Letters in support of <u>HB 2565</u> were distributed from Representative Annie Kuether, (<u>Attach. 1</u>) and the Shawnee County Board of Commissioners, (<u>Attach. 2</u>) and the Sherwood Improvement District, (<u>Attach. 3</u>).

Speaking before the Committee as a proponent of <u>HB 2565</u> was President Jerry Farley of Washburn University. (Attach. 4).

Topeka Mayor Joan Wagnon, appeared in support of HB 2565. (Attach. 5).

Senator Petty asked the Mayor in regard to discussions with the City Council if there was a specific vote to not have a county-wide sales tax vote.

Mayor Wagnon said yes there was. The Council is on record as having two resolutions on the agenda the same night and they passed the one, 9-0 and removed the other from the agenda. The other would have called upon the county, using the authority that's in the local option sales tax, to put a resolution on the ballot for people to vote on but the City Council chose this option. She said no one appeared in opposition.

Next to appear as a proponent was Christy Caldwell of the Chamber of Commerce. (Attach. 6). Senator Salisbury asked if the Chamber Board was convinced that an increase in sales tax would not discourage those who come to Topeka to shop. Ms. Caldwell said that businesses feel that the property tax was the problem, not sales tax and she added that they would still be lower than surrounding areas.

Joe Ledbetter spoke in favor of **HB 2565**. (Attach.7).

When Chairman Kerr asked him what he calculated the difference to be in the sales tax, Mr. Miller said he thought there was about a \$3 million gap which was levied at the full amount of .65%. He understood that approximately \$12 million was what the 15 mill levy generated. The projected sales tax revenue at .65 % is just under \$15 million.

The Chairman said that he favors the bill and thinks it responds to some of Washburn's problems of lack of growth in property taxes. He didn't believe that an 18 mill levy was out of line with others around the state that support community colleges and if this is the direction this community chooses to utilize as their funding mechanism, and the fact that it brings in county-wide support, he thinks they're on the right track and he supports it. (ATTACHMENTS 9 + 10)

It was moved by Senator Morris and seconded by Senator Lawrence that **HB 2565** be recommended favorably for passage.

Senator Petty offered a substitute motion, seconded by Senator Downey that (1) there would be a mandatory county-wide vote, (2) in order to address the concern of reduced state funding, not less than state funding for FY 99 would continue and that the growth of the amount put in for Washburn would be not less than the current percentage of the university's total budget less the smoothing fund.

Senator Salisbury said she was concerned with the timing of all of this. It was her understanding that if an election was required, it would have to be a special election and she wondered who was going to pay for that special election.

Senator Petty said she felt that the cost was small compared to the amount that would be pulled from the sales tax.

It was agreed that the substitute motion would be made in two parts. The Chairman asked for all who agreed on it being a mandatory county-wide vote to say aye. The motion failed. A division in the vote was called for and the motion failed by a show of hands.

The Chairman asked for those in favor of the second part of the substitute motion to say aye. The motion failed.

The Committee returned to the original motion and recommended **HB 2565** favorably for passage. The motion carried on a roll-call vote.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:30 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, March 31, 1999.

STATE OF KANSAS

ANNIE KUETHER
REPRESENTATIVE, FIFTY-FIFTH DISTRICT
SHAWNEE COUNTY
1346 SW WAYNE AVE.
TOPEKA, KANSAS 66604-2606
(785) 232-0717

STAŢE CAPITOL—ROOM 279-W TOPEKA, KS 66612-1504 (785) 296-7669 1-800-432-3924



REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT—RANKING DEMOCRAT EDUCATION & LEGISLATIVE BUDGET COMMITTEE JOINT COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT UTILITIES

March 30, 1999

HB 2565

Mr. Chairman and Committee members,

Thank you hearing the testimony regarding HB 2656, concerning Washburn University.

I support HB 2565. Washburn University is the only remaining Municipal University in the United States. My constituents pay a high level of property taxes to support the school. While many other communities have a similar problem, the Shawnee County delegation decided to find a suitable method to address this problem. We have worked together in a bipartisan effort to find a way to support our University. This created challenges, considering the fact that several members of the delegation represent a district with both city and county. So their constituents pay for Washburn, either in property taxes or by being charged out-district tuition.

It is our concern that our property tax situation is affecting the potential economic growth of our city and so, our county. We came to the consensus that this legislation will help all of our constituents, the city of Topeka and Shawnee county.

Washburn has aggressively pursued additional state funding over the years. Our goal is <u>still</u> to be recognized as a four-year institution that educates students from all over the state of Kansas as well as outside our borders.

This remains an elusive goal. There have been over 20 studies concerning post secondary education. Last year the task to bring all institutions to the table to try to bring this subject to a conclusion was not resolved.

Washburn University and its Shawnee County delegation, bring this proposal to you because we are losing ground. Our county needs a viable community to bolster our economy. Our heavy load of property taxes does not supply an incentive for businesses to find a way to stay here.

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Date 3/30/99
Attachment # / -/

All of us in the Kansas Legislature desire a healthy economy for our communities.

I ask for your support of this local issue that will enable us represent our districts by supporting the passage of HB 2565.

Sincerely

Annie Kuether

page

FROM : FAX 785 354 8044 KANE

PHONE NO. : 913 354 7444



# Shawnee County Board of Commissioners

Rm. 9-11, Courthouco Topoka, Kansas 66603-3935 (785) 233-9200 ext. 4040

> Marice A. Kane, 1st district Michael J. Molor, 2nd district Theodore D. Ensley, 3rd district

Senator Dave Kerr, Chairperson Senate Committee on Ways & Means

Dear Senator Kerr and Members of the Senate Committee on Ways & Means:

I am writing as an individual member of the Shawnee County Commission to express my support for the concepts embodied in House Bill 2565.

In general, this legislation will replace local city property tax support to Washburn University with countywide tax revenues. In doing so, it would eliminate almost one-third of the property tax differential between the city and county residents. This will enable us to better work and grow together.

HB2565 will also eliminate and replace with sales tax revenues between \$200,000 -\$250,000 in out-district tuition currently paid by the townships in Shawnee County to Washburn University. This will afford modest property tax reductions to citizens residing outside the city.

The sales tax is a potentially volatile source of revenue for Washburn University, therefore the provisions in the "sales tax smoothing fund" gives good business practice, and is important in ensuring the years when sales tax collections are high, revenues can be put aside in savings to be spent in years when revenues are static or on the decline.

Although I am speaking as an individual member of the Shawnee County Commission, I feel this proposal is good for Washburn University, good for the City, and good for our County.

Thank you for you work on this bill.

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Date 3/30/99

# Sherwood Improvement District

3765 SW Clarion Park Drive Topeka, Kansas 66610

March 25, 1999

David Monical
Executive Director of Governmental Relations
Washburn University
1700 SW College
Topeka, Ks 66621

RE: House Bill 2565

Dear Mr. Monical,

The Directors of the Sherwood Improvement District support the concept of a county-wide sales tax dedicated to Washburn University. This would be an equitable way to share the funding of an institution that is an economic and cultural asset for the entire county.

Respectfully,

Sherwood Improvement District Board of Directors

William J. White, President

Bernard E. St./Louis, Jr., Treasurer

1. J. (Bud) Bromich, Secretary

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Date 3/30/1/

Attachment # 3



#### WASHBURN UNIVERSITY

Office of the President

Testimony to
Senate Committee on Ways & Means
March 30, 1999
by
Jerry B. Farley, President

RE: House Bill 2565

### Chairman Kerr, Members of the Senate Committee on Ways & Means:

We are here today to support House Bill 2565. In general summary, this bill will replace 15 mills of property tax on the city of Topeka, out-district tuition paid by the townships within Shawnee County to the University, and make funding adjustments to the Debt Retirement and Construction Fund through imposition of a .65 percent sales tax on Shawnee County.

Attached is a March 18, 1999 memorandum sent to the Shawnee County Legislative Delegation with our Board's endorsement of the concepts embodied in House Bill 2565. The technical amendments requested in paragraph four of the letter have been made although one further technical adjustment is necessary.

We request the Committee amend the bill on page 2, line 23, by inserting the word "and" between the words "University" and "eliminating" thereby correcting and clarifying the potential ballot proposition.

Also attached is a memorandum outlining these "proposed local funding revisions." This memorandum offers a rationale for the proposal and a more detailed consideration of the provisions embodied in House Bill 2565.

Nothing in this proposal reduces our reliance or dependence upon state funds. Nor is there anything contained within this proposal that prohibits or restricts Washburn from being a full partner in a coordinated system of higher education. In essence, the proposal simply alters the way Washburn University receives its local tax support by shifting much of the support from property taxes to sales taxes.

We request the Committee make the technical adjustment and recommend HB 2565 favorably for passage.

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Date 3/30/99 Attachment # 4.



### WASHBURN UNIVERSITY

Office of the President

# MEMORANDUM

TO:

Members of the Shawner County Legislative Delegation

FROM:

Dr. Jerry B. Farley, President

DATE:

March 18, 1999 V

RE:

Sales Tax Proposal

Members of the Shawnee County Legislative Delegation:

This memorandum constitutes the University's endorsement of a proposed .65 cent county-wide sales tax for support of Washburn University coupled with the replacement of over 15 mills currently being levied on the city of Topeka for the General Fund and the Employee Benefits Contribution Fund.

The Washburn University Board of Regents met on March 10 and considered this matter in some detail. Following considerable discussion, it was moved and seconded "that the Board of Regents communicate to members of the Shawnee County Legislative Delegation expressing support for substitution of a sales tax for the property tax and that it be pursued this session if possible." The motion passed unanimously.

Since that time we have been working with you to craft legislation to effect this and other changes related to Washburn's local funding sources. We are all in agreement that these proposals only go forward with the understanding that there be no reduction in Washburn's state support and, indeed, we continue our efforts to secure additional state support for the University in recognition of Washburn's state wide role. This being said, we view the replacement of property taxes with sales taxes as a viable intermediate funding step for Washburn University.

The concepts which we have been working on are now embodied in House Bill 2565 which, if some technical amendments are added to the bill, will have our full support. Because of some inadvertent adjustments made with respect to our Board of Regents membership as part of the drafting, it will be necessary to amend the bill to clarify that, except for the current city at large position which will be allocated to county representation, no other change is intended or included with respect to the membership on the Washburn Board of Regents. A second technical adjustment requires that, per our agreements, we continue to have the levy authority for the Liability Expense Fund which provides for highly restricted expenditures for legal activities.

With these technical amendments, we urge the Delegation to support HB 2565 and assist us in securing passage during the 1999 Legislative Session.



### WASHBURN UNIVERSITY

## Washburn University Proposed Local Funding Revisions

### Rationale:

For a number of years Washburn University has consistently and aggressively pursued additional state funding. The goal has been to have the state of Kansas, through the Washburn University Operating Grant, accept greater fiscal responsibility for the University and its operations in recognition of Washburn's state-wide role in higher education. While the proportion of Washburn's state funding has remained relatively constant over the past decade, the University has been unsuccessful in getting the proportion of state funding increased beyond the current 19 percent of operating revenues level.

Local ad valorem tax funds, which provide approximately 28 percent of Washburn University's revenue, are also a critical source of the University's financial support. Until such time as state support is increased to cover the University's operating expenditure increases, there is little or no possibility that the University can lower its local ad valorem tax levies. These levies, in the aggregate, currently total over 18 mills with approximately 7 mills levied for the General Fund, 8 mills for the Employee Benefits Contribution Fund, 3 mills for Debt Retirement and Construction, and .3 mill for liability expenses. Due to Washburn's reliance on local property taxes, their increasing unpopularity has obscured Washburn's contribution to the community as local resource and some have begun to view the University as a liability and not an asset.

While the city of Topeka ranks 4<sup>th</sup> among cities of the first class in total property tax levies, its local sales taxes, at 1.25 percent, ranks 20<sup>th</sup> among the 24 first class cities. Based upon negative perceptions of the property tax burden and potential sales tax flexibility, the following revisions to Washburn's local funding base are offered.

#### **Questions:**

## 1. What is the proposal?

The revised local funding proposal for Washburn University consists of the imposition of a .65 cent county-wide sales tax which would replace the 15 mills currently levied by Washburn University for support of its General Fund and its Employee Benefits Contribution Fund. Washburn University would no longer have the authority to levy property taxes in these two funds. Revenues from the sales tax are estimated to generate between \$13.9 and \$14.9 million annually. Approximately ninety percent of these sales tax receipts will be collected by businesses within the city of Topeka, approximately thirty percent will be paid by individuals residing outside the city, and approximately fifteen percent by individuals residing outside the county.

Of the additional revenue, approximately \$850,000 will be assigned to the University's Debt Retirement and Construction Fund. Initial considerations of this change included taking the University's three mill capital levy county wide. Negotiations over this proposal led to the conclusion that it was not appropriate to extend the capital mill levy beyond the city but that it was appropriate to provide the University, through the sales tax, with the revenue such an extension would have generated thus ensuring future flexibility in the University's capital funds. Another approximately \$250,000 is required to offset the reduction of motor vehicle taxes to the Debt Retirement and Construction Fund. These allocations are based on the basis which the aggregate University levy bears to the total of all city levies. With a reduction of 15 mills, the University allocation will fall from over 11 percent to less than 2 percent.

One of the levies being replaced is for employee fringe benefits, including health insurance. Because many of the benefit costs are dictated by external forces, it is important to insure resources are available to avoid fluctuations in employee benefits.

In addition to replacing dollars and allowing for future fluctuations within sales tax revenue, the final reason for setting sales tax receipts above direct property tax replacement revenues is to ensure that the University does not have to approach the Legislature or the public in the near future to request either an increase in the sales tax rate or a reimposition of mill levy authority. The purpose of this proposal is to eliminate operating budget reliance on local property taxes and to replace it with sales taxes. In doing so, sufficient flexibility must be included to not put the University into a fiscal crisis due to fluctuations with sales tax collections.

### 3. Why is the sales tax county wide?

The proposal is for a county wide rather than a city wide sales tax. There are several reasons for proposing this as a county-wide rather than simply a city tax even though approximately ninety percent of the sales tax collected in Shawnee County is collected within the corporate city limits of Topeka. As noted above, approximately 30 percent of the sales tax will paid by non-residents of Topeka and about 15 percent by non-residents of Shawnee County.

Currently the state of Kansas imposes a 4.9 percent retailers sales tax. The city of Topeka imposes statutory limited one cent and Shawnee County imposes .25 cents. The tax in the county outside the city is 5.15 cents and within the city is 6.15 cents. Under this proposal, city residents would pay a total of 6.8 cents and county residents 5.8 cents thus maintaining the one cent differential.

If the sales tax were imposed only in the city and the same revenue requirements met, this would necessitate a city sales tax of .715 cents. If this were the case, the county sales tax would remain at 5.15 cents while the city sales tax would move to 6.9 cents thus creating an almost two cent sales tax differential between the city and the county.

6. How do these changes modify Washburn's property/sales tax position with respect to other communities in the state?

Currently, Washburn ranks fourth among cities of the first class with a 1998 composite mill rate of 146.61 (Exhibit 3). If this proposal is adopted and the 15 mills reduced on the city of Topeka, Washburn's composite levy will be 131.161 mills, ranking it 8th out of the 24 first class cities. What is even more significant is that among cities of the first class the mean mill levy is 119.854 and the median 121.82, with eight communities having levies between 119 and 128. By bringing Topeka's aggregate levy down to 131 mills this brings the community much closer to the median range of mill levies among cities of the first class in the state of Kansas.

As to sales tax, Topeka currently ranks 20th out of the 24 first class cities in local sales tax with one cent levied by the city and .25 cents by the county (Exhibit 4). Adding .65 cents for Washburn University will have Topeka ranking 17th among cities of the first class with a total 1.9 cent local sales tax. The community will still rank below other northeast Kansas communities including those in Johnson County with whom it may be in competition for retail sales. This does not appear to create any competitive disadvantage based upon the imposition of a county-wide .65 cent sales tax for support of Washburn University.

7. What is the impact of this proposal on individual homeowners and sales tax payers?

The impact of this proposal on an individual paying sales tax is 6.5 cents for every \$10 spent, 65 cents for every \$100, and \$6.50 for every \$1,000 in retail purchases. Given that approximately 15 percent of the revenue collected could be from non-residents of the county, using the sales tax rather than the property tax provides a mechanism to share the University's operating support with other residents of the state of Kansas.

Residents and businesses within the city of Topeka will see a 15 mill decrease in property taxes. This proposal saves \$86.25 on a home within the city of Topeka with a value of \$50,000 (Exhibit 5). Stated another way, for every \$50,000 in residential property value, there is a savings of \$86.25. In the case of a business with a property value of \$100,000 in its assessed valuation of 25%, the savings are \$375. So, too, this savings of \$375 annually translates into \$375 of savings for every \$100,000 in property value.

EXHIBIT 1

HISTORY OF PROPERTY TAXES

Ad Valorem plus LAVTR, Motor Vehicle, Back & in Lieu of Taxes

Compared with Proforma Sales Tax Information

Property Taxes				Sales Tax Data						
Facal Year	General	Benefit	Total	% Change	0.50%	% Change	0 60%	0 65%	0.75%	Imputed Base
1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	3,267,519 3,878,556 4,276,828 4,503,160 4,206,377 4,312,714 4,627,001 4,812,196 5,131,465 5,301,613	3,488,091 4,058,985 4,450,958 4,840,609 5,116,722 5,140,782 5,680,107 5,901,400 6,227,240 6,537,382	6,755,610 7,935,541 8,727,786 9,343,769 9,343,769 9,453,496 10,307,108 10,713,596 11,358,705 11,838,995	17.47% 9.98% 7.06% -0.22% 1.40% 9.03% 3.94% 6.02% 4.23%	8,479,510 8,425,468 8,932,934 9,387,732 9,466,844 10,143,074 10,287,902 10,416,964 11,340,670 11,522,120	-0.64% 6.02% 5.09% 0.84% 7.14% 1.43% 1.25% 8.87% 1.60%	10,175,412 10,110,562 10,719,521 11,265,278 11,360,213 12,171,689 12,345,482 12,500,357 13,608,804 13,826,544	11,023,363 10,953,108 11,612,814 12,204,052 12,306,897 13,185,996 13,374,273 13,542,053 14,742,871 14,978,756	12,719,265 12,638,202 13,399,401 14,081,598 14,200,266 15,214,611 15,431,853 15,625,446 17,011,005 17,283,180	1,695,902 1,685,094 1,786,587 1,877,546 1,893,369 2,028,615 2,057,580 2,083,393 2,268,134 2,304,424

(1) Assumes a 1.6% increase in sales tax revenue over 1998 actual.

	0.	50% Sales Ta	x	0	0.60% Sales Tax		0.65% Sales Tax						etë.
1990	0 50% 8,479,510	3 Year Moving Avg	5 Year Moving Avg	0.60%	3 Year Moving Avg	5 Year Moving Avg	0.65%	3 Year Moving Avg	5 Year Moving Avg	0 75%	.75% Sales Ta: 3 Year Moving Avg		Total General & Benefit Taxon
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	8,425,468 8,932,934 9,387,732 9,466,844 10,143,074 10,287,902 10,416,964 11,340,670 11,522,120	8,612,637 8,915,378 9,262,503 9,665,883 9,965,940 10,282,647 10,681,845 11,093,251	8,938,498 9,271,210 9,643,697 9,940,503 10,331,091 10,742,146	10,110,562 10,719,521 11,265,278 11,360,213 12,171,689 12,345,482 12,500,357 13,608,804	10,335,165 10,698,454 11,115,004 11,599,060 11,959,128 12,339,176 12,818,214 13,311,902	10,726,197 11,125,452 11,572,437 11,928,604 12,397,309 12,890,575	11,023,363 10,953,108 11,612,814 12,204,052 12,306,897 13,185,996 13,374,273 13,542,053 14,742,871 14,978,756	11,196,429 11,589,991 12,041,254 12,565,648 12,955,722 13,367,441 13,886,399 14,421,227	11,620,047 12,052,574 12,536,806 12,922,654 13,430,418 13,964,790	12,719,265 12,638,202 13,399,401 14,081,598 14,200,266 15,214,611 15,431,853 15,625,446 17,011,005 17,283,180	12,918,956 13,373,067 13,893,755 14,498,825 14,948,910 15,423,970 16,022,768 16,639,877	13,407,746 13,906,816 14,465,546 14,910,755 15,496,636 16,113,219	6,755,610 7,935,541 8,727,786 9,343,769 9,323,096 9,453,496 10,307,106 10,713,596 11,358,706

WASHBURN UNIVERSITY **CUT DISTRICT PROFORMA** as of Fobruary 15 1999

OBJECTIVE, Estimate Out Cistrict additional dollars for townships and counties if the 54772 hour cap is removed from undergraduate programs

Show community college counties hours, even though not billed

-						Projected	Increase (3)	7		- 7		
County/			ar 98 Actual		7	Excluding	Including	+	Excluding	n Increase (3)	_	Projected
Township	Fai	7	Summer	Total	1	202 -313d (2	) Post-grad (2)	1 1		including Post-grad (		Total
→ acatu	1	180   9.00	1 100	16.584		18.574			35,258	39.56		Simplified (4)
Cover	2.5	3.48	0 524	5.936	1	7,810		1 1	14.746			33,168
Grove		0 9	5 0	96		108	133	1 1	204	1 .0.5-	2207	13.872
Menaken	5.4	32 3.528	524	10.584		11,918	14.669	1 1	22,502	_		192
Mission	15,0	96 16.58	2.015	33,596		37,942	46,703	1 !	71,638			21,168
Monmouth	6.:	68 4.284	552	11,004		12,391	15,252	1 1	23,395			67,392
Rossville	3.6	96 1,824	192	5,712		6,432	7,917	1 1	12,144	26,25		22.008
Silver Lake	3.8		648	7,968		8,972	11,044		16,940	13.62	1	11,424
Soldier	31.2	24 28,560	4.272	64,056	ı	72,127	88,782		136,183	19.012	5.00	15,936
Tecumseh	14,42	24 14,400	2,136	30,960	- 1	34,361	42,911		65,821	152,838	1 1	128,112
Topeka	31	2 864	0	1,176	- 1	1,324	1,530	- 1	2,500	73,871		61,920
Williamsport	10.29	6 10,008	1,800	22,104		24,889	30,636	- 1	46,993	2,306		2,352
Total Townships	100.77	6   96,132	13,968	210,876	Ė	237,446	292,274	-	448,322	52,740		44.208
Allen (1)		0   0	01	720	H	811	998	-		503,150	4 L	421,752
Anderson		0 288	1 01	288		324	399	- 1	1,531	1,718	1 1	1,440
Atchison	3,96	2,136	192	6,288	- 1	7.080	8,715	- 1	612	587	1 1	575
Barber	360	624	0	984		1,108		- 1	13,368	15,003	1 1	12,576
Bourbon (1)			o l	1,152		1,297	1,364	- 1	2,092	2,348		1,968
Brown	1,968	1,896	254	4,128	- 1	4,648	1,597	1	2,449	2,749	1 1	2,304
Barton	360		240	912	- 1	1,027	5.721	1	8,776	9,849	1 1	8,256
Sutler (1)	1 0		0	5.712		6,432	1,264	- 1	1,939	2,176		1,824
Clark	360		o l	360		405	7,917		12.144	13,629		11,424
Coffey	456	-	o l	792	-	892	499	- 1	765	859		720
Cowley (1)	0		٥١	3,504	1	3,946	1,098	1	1,684	0.00	- 1	1,584
Comanche	288	144	ől	432	1	486	4,857	1	7,450	8,361	- 1	7.008
Сћеуепле	1,392	572	ől	2.064	1		599	- 1	918	1,031	1	864
Chautauqua	1 0	312	0	312	1	2,324 351	2,861	1	4.388	4,925	- 1	4,128
Crawford	1 72	360	0	432		486	432		663	744		524
Clay	2,352	1,532	264	4.248		4,783	599		918	1,031	1	864
Decatur	336	0	250	336	1		5,888	1	9,031	10,136	- 1	8,496
Douglas	16,272	13,296		32.375	1	378	466	1	714	802	- 1	672
Dickinson	2,016	1,992	144	4.152	1	36,455	44,873	1	68,831	77.249	1	64,752
Conipahn (1)	0	0	0	1,632	1	4,675	5,755	1	3.827	9,907		8,304
Elk	24	384	0	408	1	1,838	2,262	1	3,470	3,894	- 1	3,264
Eilis	504	552	٥١	1,056	1	459	565	1	867	973	1	816
Ellsworth	336	528	144	1,008		1,189	1,464	1	2.245	2,520	1	2,112
Finney (1)	0	0		7,392		1,135	1,397	1	2,143	2,405	1	2,016
Ford (1)	0	٥١	0	288		8,323	10,245	1	15,715	17,637	1	14,784
Franklin	1,728	1,488		3.624		324	399		612	687		576
Geary	2,232	1,272		3,696		4,081	5.023		7,705	8.647	1	7.248
Gove	360	0	0	360		4.162	5,123		7,858	8,819	1	7,392
Grant	384	o l	0	384		405	499		765	859	1	720
Greenwood	312	312	0	524		432	532		816	916	1	768
Gray	720	456	- 1	.248		703	865		1,327	1,489		1.248
Harper	72	384	0	456		1,405	1,730		2.553	2,978	1	2,496
Haskell	600	336	0	936		513	632		969	1,088	1	912
Harvey	888	2.040		.048		1,054	1,297		1,990	2,233	1	1,872
Jackson	14,544			.000		3,432	4,225		6,480	7,273	1	6,096
Jefferson	19,728					30,402	37,422		57,402	64,422	1	54,000
Johnson (1)	0	13,546	and the same of th	400		39,860	49.064		75,260	84,464		70,800
Jewell	0	72		152		28,321	34,861	5	3,473	60,013	1	50,304
Kingman	552	624	0 .	72		81	100		153	172		144
Kiowa	0	264	252.4	176		1,324	1,630		2,500	2,806		2,352
ದbette (1)	0			264		297	366		561	630		528
Lincoln	336	0		576		649	798		1,225	1,374		1,152
_ine □	1,344	480	2020 B	336		378	466		714	802		672
ogan	336	480 360		396		2.135	2,628		4,031	4.524		3,792
inn	288	312		96		784	965		1,480	1,661		1,392
1	200	312	0   6	00		676	832	1	.276	1,432		1,200

County/		Caler	idar 98 Actu			Excluding	Including	1	Excluding	Includir	na I	Total	
Township	Fal	II Sprin	ig Sumn	ner Total		Post-grad (2	Post-grad (2	)	Post-grad (			Simplified	
Louvenworth	5.	256 3.5	76	9,19	2	10,350			19.54				384
Lyon	2.9	904   2.0	16 4	80 5.40	0	5,080	7,484		11,480		- 1	10.5	
Mitchell	1 0	2   800	88	0 129	6	1,459	1,796		2.755		192	E	592
Montgomery (1)	1	0	0	0 2,16	0	2,432	2,994		4.592	1,000,000			20
Miami	6	1 84	12	0 96	0	1,081	1,331		2.041				20
Marion	1.5	60 2	10	0 1.30	0	2,027	2,455	1 1	3.827				
McPherson	4.3	32 2.04	10 19	92 5,56	4	7,391	9,098	1 1	13,955			3,6	2007/2007/2011
Morris	7	68 34	10	0 1,50	в	1,811	2,229	1 1	3,419	3.8		13.1	
Marshall	2,3	28 1.32	20 9	3.74	4	4,216	5,189		7.960	8.93		3,2	
Morton	5	76 57	6 7	7 1,22	1	1,378	1,696	1 1	2,502	2,9	2000	7.4	2000
Nemaha	8.20	08 5.47	2 67	7 14.352	2	16,160	19,892		30,512	34.24		2.4	3000
Neosho (1)		0	0	0 3.024	200	3,405	4.191		6.429	7,21		28.70	_
Ness	5	24 33	6	0 960	1	1,081	1,331		2,041	2.29		5.04	
Norton	69	6 16	8	0 864		973	1,198		1,337	2.06		1.92	
Osage	9.43		S.		1	21,808	26,844		41,176	46,21		1.72	
Ottawa	33	100 N	1	624	1	703	865		1,327	1,48	200	38.73	
Phillips	38		- 1	1,152		1,297	1.597		2,449			1,24	
Pawnee	38		5774			432	532		816	2,74 91		2,30	
Pratt (1)	1000000					757	931	- 1	1,429		200	76	
Pottawatomie	3,91	-				9,729	11,975			1,60		1,34	1
Rawlins	360		1994 H. 1995 H.	870.		811	998		18,369	20,61	1	17,28	
Rice	192	50 II 1,0000000							1,531	1,718		1,440	
Rush	132					1,027	1,264		1,939	2,176		1.82	
Riley	4.920		1,152		1 1	135	166		255	286	. (	240	1
	4,920		1,152			11,891	14,636		22,451	25,196		21,120	S 1
Reno (1) Rooks	8,472		1 0			9,134	11,243		17,246	19,355		16,224	- 1
Republic	384		1			20,106	24,748		37,962	42,604	1	35,712	
Russell	288	1	120			649	798		1,225	1,374		1,152	
Saline			0	624	- 1	703	865		1,327	1,489	1	1,248	~ 1
Scott	1,296 888		72	3,768	- 1	4,243	5,222	- 1	8,011	8,990		7,536	
	1		0	1,368		1,540	1,896		2,908	3,264	1	2,736	
Shendan	696	144	0	840	- 1	946	1,164	1	1,786	2,004		1,680	1
Stafford	360	360	0	720	- 1	811	998	- 1	1,531	1,718	1	1,440	1
Sedgwick	10,296	7,152	456	17,904		20,160	24,815	1	38,064	42,719		35,808	1
Sherman	0	168	0	168	- 1	189	233	- 1	357	401		- 336	1
Smith	288	288	144	720	- 1	811	998		1,531	1,718	1 1	1,440	l
Sumner	768	960	0	1,728		1,946	2,395		3,674	4,123		3,456	l
Seward (1)	0	0	0	576		649	798		1,225	1,374		1,152	1
Thomas (1)	0	0	0	2,400	- 1	2,702	3,326		5,102	5,726		4,800	
Trego	0	552	0	552		622	765		1,174	1,317		1,104	
Vabaunsee	3,408	2,448	360	6,216		6,999	8,615	1	13,215	14,831		12,432	
Vichita 45	360	360	_0	720		811	998		1,531	1,718		1,440	
Vilson	1,104	624	72	1,800		2,027	2,495		3,827	4,295		3,600	
Voodson	960	408	0	1,368	- 1	1,540	1,896		2,908	3,264	- 1	2,736	
Vashington	888	792	48	1.728		1,946	2,395		3,674	4,123	- 1	3,456	
Vyandotte (1)	0	0	0	12,000	$\perp$	13,512	16,632	_	25,512	28,632	L	24.000	
otal Counties	154,764	121,344	15,384	366,564	_	412,751	508,058		779,315	874,622		733,128	
Total Billings (1)	255,540	217,476	29,352	577,440	L	650,197	800,332	1	227,637	1.377.772	Г	1,154,880	

<sup>(1)</sup> These are proforma billings, including the Community College counties. Actual billings totalled \$505,056.

<sup>(2)</sup> Post-grad indicates those individuals holding and undergraduate degree who are enrolled in undergraduate courses.

<sup>(3)</sup> Based upon an analysis of calendar 1998 actual enrollment.

<sup>(4)</sup> This projection is simply a doubling of the actual out district billings.

## Total Mill Levy Rankings for Citiesof the First Class

Wy. Co./KC	155.280
Coffeyville	154.365
Dodge City	146.273
Topeka	146.161
Atchison	144.906
Junction City	143.797
Fort Scott	141.292
Parsons	133.669
Hutchinson	128.611
Newton	127.893
Emporia	125.356
Olathe	122.284
Leavenworth	122.276
Riley Co. (Manhattan Pt.)	121.176
Garden City	119.955
Liberal	119.939
Lenexa	117.824
Shawnee	115.187
Pottawatomie Co. (Manhattan Pt.)	110.366
Pittsburg	108.372
Overland Park	105.124
Lawrence	100.328
Prairie Village	97.555
Salina	88.360
Mean=119.854	
Median=121.820	

Source: League of KS. Municipalities

## Total Mill Levy Rankings Minus 15 Mills for Washburn Univ.

Wy. Co./KC	155.280
Coffeyville	154.365
Dodge City	146.273
Atchison	144.906
Junction City	143.797
Fort Scott	141.292
Parsons	133.669
Topeka	131.161
Hutchinson	128.611
Newton	127.893
Emporia	125.356
Olathe	122.284
Leavenworth	122.276
Riley Co. (Manhattan Pt.)	121.176
Garden City	119.955
Liberal	119.939
Lenexa	117.824
Shawnee	115.187
Pottawatomie Co. (Manhattan Pt.)	110.366
Pittsburg	108.372
Overland Park	105.124
Lawrence	100.328
Prairie Village	97.555
Salina	88.360
Mean=119.254	
Median=121.820	

## Local Sales Tax Rankings for Cities of the First Class

	_		_
City	City Tax	Co. Tax	Total Tax
Atchison	1.000	1.500	2.500
Coffeyville	1.500	1.000	2.500
Liberal	1.000	1.500	2.500
Pittsburg	1.000	1.500	2.500
Junction City	1.000	1.250	2.250
Dodge City	1.000	1.000	2.000
Kansas City	1.000	1.000	2.000
Lawrence	1.000	1.000	2.000
Leavenworth	1.000	1.000	2.000
Manhattan	1.000	1.000	2.000
Parsons	1.000	1.000	2.000
Lenexa	1.000	0.975	1.975
Olathe	1.000	0.975	1.975
Overland Park	1.000	0.975	1.975
Prairie Village	1.000	0.975	1.975
Shawnee	1.000	0.950	1.975
Garden City	1.000	0.750	1.750
Hutchinson	0.750	1.000	1.750
Salina	0.750	1.000	1.750
Topeka	1.000	0.250	1.250
Emporia	1.000		1.000
Fort Scott	1.000		1.000
Newton		1.000	1.000
Wichita		1.000	1.000

Source: KS. Dep. of Revenue

# Rankings After the .650 Increase for Washburn University Funding

City	Total Tax
	1 ax
Atchison	2.500
Coffeyville	2.500
Liberal	2.500
Pittsburg	2.500
Junction City	2.250
Dodge City	2.000
Kansas City	2.000
Lawrence	2.000
Leavenworth	2.000
Manhattan	2.000
Parsons	2.000
Lenexa	1.975
Olathe	1.975
Overland Park	1.975
Prairie Village	1.975
Shawnee	1.975
Topeka	1.900
Garden City	1.750
Hutchinson	1.750
Salina	1.750
Emporia	1.000
Fort Scott	1.000
Newton	1.000
Wichita	1.000

City of Topeka	Residential Assessed	Residential	Commercial Assessed	Commercial
Prop Value	Valuation at 11.5%	15 Mill Decrease	Valuation (a) 25%	15 Mill Decrease
,000.00	<b>\$</b> 3,450 00	1551 751	\$7,500.00	(5112.50)
\$40,000.00	\$4,600.00	(500) (30)	\$10,000.00	(\$150,00)
\$50,000.00	\$5,750.00	1580 251	\$12,500.00	15:37.500
\$60,000.00	\$6,900.00	15103 501	\$15,000.00	(5225 (4))
\$70,000.00	\$8,050.00	(\$120.75)	\$17,500.00	15262 500
\$80,000.00	\$9,200.00	(\$133.00)	\$20,000.00	18:11:11
\$90,000.00	\$10,350.00	(\$135.25)	\$22,500.00	(\$337.50)
\$100,000.00	\$11,500.00	(\$172.50)	\$25,000.00	(\$375.00)
\$110,000.00	\$12,650.00	(5139.75)	\$27,500.00	(\$412.50)
\$120,000.00	\$13,800.00	(\$207.00)	\$30,000.00	(\$450.00)
\$130,000.00	\$14,950.00	(\$224.25)	\$32,500.00	(\$487.50)
\$140,000.00	\$16,100.00	(\$241.50)	\$35,000.00	(\$525.00)
\$150,000.00	\$17,250.00	(\$258,75)	\$37,500.00	(\$562.50)
\$160,000.00	\$18,400.00	(\$276.00)	\$40,000.00	(\$600.00)
\$170,000.00	\$19,550.00	(\$293.25)	\$42,500.00	(\$637.50)
\$180,000.00	\$20,700.00	(\$310.50)	\$45,000.00	(\$675.00)
\$190,000.00	\$21,850.00	(\$327.75)	\$47,500.00	(\$712.50)
\$200,000.00	\$23,000.00	(\$345.00)	\$50,000.00	(\$750.00)
\$210,000.00	\$24,150.00	(\$362.25)	\$52,500.00	(\$787.50)
\$220,000.00	\$25,300.00	(\$379.50)	\$55,000.00	(\$825.00)
\$230,000.00	\$26,450.00	(\$396.75)	\$57,500.00	(\$862.50)
\$240,000.00	\$27,600.00	(\$414.00)	\$60,000.00	(\$900.00)
\$250,000.00	\$28,750.00	(\$431.25)	\$62,500.00	(\$937.50)
\$260,000.00	\$29,900.00	(\$448.50)	\$65,000.00	(\$975.00)
\$270,000.00	\$31,050.00	(\$465.75)	\$67,500.00	(\$1,012.50)
\$280,000.00	\$32,200.00	(\$483.00)	\$70,000.00	(\$1,050.00)
\$290,000.00	\$33,350.00	(\$500.25)	\$72,500.00	(\$1,087.50)
\$300,000.00	\$34,500.00	(\$517.50)	\$75,000.00	(\$1,125.00)
\$310,000.00	\$35,650.00	(\$534.75)	\$77,500.00	(\$1,162.50)
\$320,000.00	\$36,800.00	(\$552.00)	\$80,000.00	(\$1,200.00)
\$330,000.00	\$37,950.00	(\$569.25)	\$82,500.00	(\$1,237.50)
\$340,000.00	\$39,100.00	(\$586.50)	\$85,000.00	(\$1,275.00)
\$350,000.00	\$40,250.00	(\$603.75)	\$87,500.00	(\$1,312.50)
\$360,000.00	\$41,400.00	(\$621.00)	\$90,000.00	(\$1,350.00)
\$370,000.00	\$42,550.00	(\$638.25)	\$92,500.00	(\$1,387.50)
\$380,000.00	\$43,700.00	(\$655.50)	\$95,000.00	(\$1,425.00)
\$390,000.00	\$44,850.00	(\$672.75)	\$97,500.00	(\$1,462.50)
\$400,000.00	\$46,000.00	(\$690.00)	\$100,000.00	(\$1,500.00)
\$410,000.00	\$47,150.00	(\$707.25)	\$102,500.00	(\$1,537.50)
\$420,000.00	\$48,300.00	(\$724.50)	\$105,000.00	(\$1,575.00)
\$430,000.00	\$49,450.00	(\$741.75)	\$107,500.00	(\$1,612.50)
\$440,000.00	\$50,600.00	(\$759.00)	\$110,000.00	(\$1,650.00)
\$450,000.00	\$51,750.00	(\$776.25)	\$112,500.00	(\$1,687.50)
\$460,000.00	\$52,900.00	(\$793.50)	\$115,000.00	(\$1,725.00)
\$470,000.00		CO 10 76	\$117,500.00	(\$1,762.50)
£400 000 00	\$54,050.00	(\$810.75)		
\$480,000.00	\$54,050.00 \$55,200.00	(\$810,75) (\$828.00)	\$120,000.00	(\$1,300 00)
\$480,000.00 \$490,000.00	THE PROPERTY OF STREET STREET			

Assessed value = property value x 11.5% residential rate, or 25% commercial rate
Mill decrease = assessed value ÷ \$1,000 x number of mills (15)



# CITY OF TOPEKA

Joan Wagnon, Mayor 215 S.E. 7th Street Room 352 Topeka, Kansas 66603 Phone 785-368-3895 Fax Number 785-368-3850

March 29, 1999

Senator Dave Kerr Chair, Senate Ways & Means State Capitol Topeka, KS 66612

Dear Senator Kerr & Members of the Committee:

The City of Topeka endorses and supports HB 2565 as amended. I am enclosing a copy of the resolution that was adopted by the Topeka City Council on March 16<sup>th</sup>, 1999.

Sincerely,

Joan Wagnon

Mayor

JW:sm

Enclosure

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Date 3/30/99

Attachment #5-/

1 2	RESOLUTION NO. 6968
3 4 5 6	A RESOLUTION introduced by Mayor Joan Wagnon and Councilmember Vince Cook encouraging the Shawnee County Legislative Delegation to support financial assistance for Washburn University of Topeka.
7	WHEREAS, Washburn University of Topeka is a unique educational institution and
8	a valued resource for this community; and
9	WHEREAS, a primary source of funding for Washburn University comes from
10	property taxes levied in the City of Topeka; and
11	WHEREAS, there is a real public concern for the high property taxes here, and high
12	property taxes are a deterrent to economic growth of the City of Topeka and this
13	community; and
14	WHEREAS, Washburn University deserves financial support from a variety of
15	sources to enable it to continue to maintain its level of academic excellence.
16	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Topeka as
17	follows:
18	The Shawnee County Legislative Delegation is encouraged to pursue legislation
19	which will provide financial support to Washburn University whereby a sales tax will be
20	substituted for the property tax support. Further, the City Council pledges its support for
21	such legislation and affirms its willingness to assist the Shawnee County Legislative
22	Delegation in this effort.
23	ADOPTED and APPROVED by City Council MAR 1 5 1999
24 25 26	Joan Wagnon, Mayor  MRESAVAS MONTH 1

27 28	ATTEST:		
29 30			
31 32	Iris E. Walker, City Clerk	_	
33			
34 35		DATE 2 2 9 BY ATT	
		SAIC A TI SI SAIC	_



120 SE 6th Avenue, Suite 110 • Topeka, Kansas 66603-3515 (785) 234-2644 • FAX (785) 234-8656

www.topekachamber.org email: topekainfo@topekachamber.org TEN YEARS

ACCREDITED

CAMERIA OF COMMUNICATION

CONTROL OF CONTROL OF CONTROL

CONTR

Testimony before the:

Senate Ways & Means Committee

Re: House Bill 2565

By: Christy Caldwell, Vice President Government Relations

Greater Topeka Chamber of Commerce

March 30, 1999

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee; my name is Christy Caldwell. I am the vice president of Government Relations for the Greater Topeka Chamber of Commerce.

The Greater Topeka Chamber of Commerce would like to express our support for the concept of exchanging 15 mills of property taxes paid by citizens and businesses of the city of Topeka for a county-wide .65% sales tax increase as long as there is no reduction of state appropriations for any of the local revenues generated.

Even with recent efforts of past legislatures to reduce the property tax burden by reducing the statewide education mill levy, Topeka continues to experience high property taxes from year to year. One of the reasons is the mill levy needed to support Washburn University.

The Topeka business community and citizens continue to support Washburn because of our belief that this university is a tremendous asset to the Capital City and the State of Kansas. However the continuing growth of property tax weighs heavy on our city's shoulders. We believe with the legislature's approval of this exchange in the type of tax levied locally, it will be easier for the taxpayer to pay this tax over the course of a year rather than once or twice a year when our property taxes are due, and we will get a little help from those who come to Topeka to shop as well. Mr. Chairman and committee, we ask that you approve this legislation so that we can begin enjoying a reduction in property taxes that should be of benefit to all Topeka citizens.

Senate Ways an d Means Committee

Date 3/30/99

Attachment #6

PROPONENT HB 2565; 3-22-99

WASHBURN SALES TAX

Committee, I am for this proposal. I am a taxpayer in Topeka and pay 18.3 mills to Washburn University now. It presently is no County-wide tax levy,unlike our Junior Colleges in their home counties. It is a roadblock to people wanting to live here, or business relocation to have to pay this extra high tax load. Originally I proposed this concept in a public comments part of a Topeka City Council meeting two months ago. This is not saying Washburn shouldn't be in the state system, it should; however, this gives the residents a different way to see Washburn funded, and I am for this. Thankyou for your prompt action concerning this matter.

Joseph Ledbetter, Topeka taxpayer, MPA 305 Country Club Drive Topeka, Kansas 66611 232-6946 ph.

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Attachment # 7

Victor W. Hiller

ATTORNEY AT LAW

700 JACKSON, SUITE 404 TOPEKA, KANSAS 66603 (913) 233-9950 FAX (913) 233-2613

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

My name is Vic Miller. I am a resident of and property taxpayer in the City of Topeka and a proud alumnus of the Washburn University Law School. I strongly support the concept of shifting funding of Washburn from the property tax to the sales tax. I also support increases in funding for Washburn.

But equally important to me is "truth in taxation". Until HB 2565 is amended to be revenue neutral, I do object to bootstrapping a tax increase under the guise of a tax shift. If Washburn officials wish to make a case for more spending, I support them. This should be an open discussion, however, standing on its own merit, not one "snuck" through the back door with this legislation being the device.

Please amend HB 2565 to eliminate the multi-million dollar revenue windfall that results from it. I am not interested in circulating a petition to oppose a proposal that shifts the burden away from the property tax. But I do resent the current form of the legislation and predict others will as well as they learn more about the bottom line.

We have too much taxpayer cynicism already. We have too much legislative cynicism already. Please don't add to the problem.

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Date 3/30/99

Attachment # \$

### SELECTED UNIVERSITY BUDGET DATA

Institution	FY 1998 All Funds Oper. Expend	FY 1998 Gen. Use Expend.	FY 1998 SGF Expend.	SGF Percer All Funds Oper. Expend	ntage of Gen. Use Expend
Emporia State University	\$46,637,531	\$34,124,859	\$26,063,353	55.9%	76.4%
Fort Hays State University	48,624,050	34,553,733	26,415,260	54.3%	76.4%
Kansas State University	251,522,080	132,137,218	92,048,814	36.6%	69.7%
KSU - ESARP	86,887,419	48,805,291	41,390,168	47.6%	84.8%
KSU - Vet Med Center	18,272,457	15,707,507	8,773,785	48.0%	55.9%
Pittsburg State University	52,826,930	37,906,923	28,366,336	53.7%	74.8%
University of Kansas	367,751,478	190,132,647	115,237,116	31.3%	60.6%
KU Medical Center	324,424,450	269,759,481	86,778,023	26.7%	32.2%
Wichita State University	116,634,239	81,076,632	56,213,766	48.2%	69.3%
Regents Systemwide	1,313,580,634	844,204,291	481,286,621	36.6%	57.0%
Washburn University*	55,071,765	38,656,578	7,454,876	13.5%	19.3%

<sup>\*</sup> Washburn University's general use budget includes state aid, tuition, local tax support, out district aid, and miscellaneous income. This is a broader definition than is used for the Regents institutions.

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Date 3/30/99

Attachment #9

Agency/Item	State General Fund	All Funds	FTE Positio
Y 1999: lealing Arts dd funding for outside counsel attorney fees for three outstanding cases	Monthly for the many	terte Partito de la como de l	(3)字(4)左15 三 F.有17 F.克.克·克
du luliding for outside courser attorney lees for three outstanding cases	0	158,000 המני זי היות מוניטיה	0.
ttorney General hift \$50,000 in expenditures from the SGF to the Court Cost Fund	(50,000)		
tate Corporation Commission			: ta,450
o not transfer \$400,000 from the Abandoned Oil and Gas Well Fund to the State General Fund.	0	0	0.
epartment of Administration			
elete proviso which authorized the transfer of up to \$10.0 million per year from t tate General Fund to the State Emergency Fund on approval of the State Finan- ouncil	the ce 0	0	0
ansas Racing and Gaming Commission			
ransfer unallocated \$150,000 in Reimbursable Fund to State Gaming Revenues or repaying expenses	Fund 0	0	0.
orrect omission in bill to add Fair Fund account	0	. 0	0.
orrect omission in bill to reduce Racing Fund limit	0	0	0.
and the state of the life and East			1
epartment of Health and Environment hift \$2.0 million of Water Plan funds for the Local Environmental Protection Prog			
om other assistance to aid to local units	gram 0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
epartment of Social and Rehabilitation Services	1.		Mission of Children
crease provider rates for administration of Children's Health Insurance	400,000	1,600,000	·
dd a proviso that any health maintenance organization which provides managed			
nysical health benefits under the HealthWave and under the PrimeCare Program	n may	a Marginal September	my to ted to
e eligible for similar administrative enhanced funding.	0	0	lusting 0.
crease funding for Foster Care, Adoption and Adoption Support	5,000,000	17,100,000	
dd a proviso that reappropriates any balance of the funding for the permanent	sed and sed of the best of the	To saffage out all that	Alta fore
uardianship program to the following year.	0	. 0	10.0 Teleff. 0.0
elete funding for Sexual Predator Treatment Program facility planning	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997	(740,000)	(数10 max() ) 。 213.9点
dd \$1,000,000 for Permanent Guardianship Program	1,000,000	1,000,000	0.0
epartment of Corrections			
emove FTE and funding for Transitional Center at Topeka Correctional Facility	(36,684)	(36,684)	(2.
epartment on Aging orrect the match for Long Term Care Program (Targeted Case Management)	0	(198,912)	0.0
pard of Cosmetology			
emove proviso from 1998 Appropriations Bill which requires funding for compute stem to be released by the State Finance Council	er 0	0	0.
Idicial Council  ift \$50,000 in expenditures from the SGF to the Publications Fee Fund	(50,000)	0	0.0
elete proviso restricting use of the Publications Fee Fund to legal publications	0	0	0.
ansas Neurological Institute emove limitation on Foster Grandparent Fund (federal fund)	0	0	0.
epartment of Human Resources crease OSHA fund expenditure limitation		36,615	V-11
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ecrease Funding for roof repair at 1309 Topeka Ave., Topeka	0	(2,326)	
nese Public Employees Delizement Content (VDFDC)		75,000	t to account
eduction for savings in Technology Project		(75,000)	
	and the second s	and the second second	
ate Board of Tax Appeals Id \$33,812 to correct a posting error made by the KLRD			44

Senate Ways and Means Committee

Date 3/30/99 Attachment # /0 -/

Agency/Item	State General Fund	All Funds	FTEA	(明)
ate Treasurer Id a proviso to remove the limit on bank fees paid by the PMIB	0		CERTI	0.0
estore funding to permit office repair and rehabilitation	198,000	198,000	, 64,03A	0.0
ansas Lottery BA not considered	0	80,000		0.0
ate Historical Society empletion of Bay 3	619,850	619,850	in the MC	0.0
TOTAL CHANGE - FY 1999	7,114,978	19,848,355		(2.0
′ 2000:			V # 3.	
oard of Healing Arts d funding for attorney fees for outside counsel	0	50,000		0.0
pard of Nursing educe 1.0 FTE - Professional Nurse III Investigator position	0	0		(1.0
gislature d \$500,000 for Senate Chamber repair and restoration	500,000	500,000		0.0
vision of Post Audit Id full-time computer data management specialist	40,202	40,202	period of the	1.
torney General lange one unclassified temporary position to permanent status (death penalty unit)	0	0		1.
nange one unclassified temporary position to permanent status (consumer protection vision)	0 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		original original original original	1.
ocretary of State oift \$206,847 for the agency's move from Other Funds to State General Fund	206,847	0	SALE SALES	0.
ate Treasurer	Action of the second of the se	Arm the Self Million Colleges	A Staryo	
lange proviso to remove limit on bank fees paid by the Pooled Money Investment lard		THE PARTY OF THE	A off ex-	0.
ange current demand transfers to revenue transfers	(95,195,000)	.0	1.00 0.00	0.
rect the PMIB to provide a loan of \$20.0 million to the Children's Health Care ograms Fund (tobacco settlement proceeds fund)	0	0	C Majord	0.
udicial Council  Id proviso to Publications Fee Fund stipulating that unencumbered balances at the Id of FY2000 in excess of \$175,000 be transferred to the SGF	0	0		0.
elete proviso to the Publications Fee Fund that limits expenditures from the Fund to sts associated with legal publications	0	0		0.
pard of Indigents' Defense Services  Id \$150,000 to upgrade the salaries of unclassified attorneys	50,000	150,000		0.
idicial Branch Id \$128,103 for 3.0 FTE Central Research Attorneys I for Court of Appeals	128,103	128,103	- Januari	3.
ld \$107,500 to upgrade salaries of the clerks of the district court	107,500	107,500	The retroise	0.
dd 1.0 FTE Assistant Fiscal Officer to be paid for by shifting funds from grants to state perations	0	.0	92.13.13.13	1.
	N 1994 (0) 2611 16	on the content	"明明的证据"	
d proviso to the Judicial Branch operations to allow justices of the Supreme Court, Iges of the Court of Appeals and district courts, and district magistrates to receive lary increases in excess of statutorily-prescribed salaries	egraphy and a second	0	i i speriali ii shekara	0.
elete 4.0 FTE district magistrate judge positions for Shawnee County (request thdrawn)	(208,228)	(208,228)	COMPANIES COM	(4.

	Agency/Item	State General Fund	All Funds	FTE Position
	Kansas Public Employees Retirement System			
34. %	Add funding for unclassified incentive award program with proviso that expenditures are in addition to limit on account	0	75,000	0.0
	Community Ethin Committee	Also li Bon /s Hagering		
	Add one temporary investigator		5,443	
	State Corporation Commission Delete \$400,000 revenue transfer from Abandoned Oil and Gas Well Fund to SGF	0	0	1 373 taba
	Department of Administration  Add 1.5 FTE for Long Term Care Ombudsman - volunteer coordinator and clerical position	20.224		
	Add \$29,408 for temporary Statehouse maintenance staff	36,334	36,334	1.5
	1	29,408	29,408	0.0
	Delete exemption from review by historic preservation office for capital improvement projects at Cedar Crest, the Statehouse, and Dillon House	0	0	0.0
	Delete proviso which authorized the transfer of up to \$10.0 million per year from the State General Fund to the State Emergency Fund on approval of the State Finance Council	0	0	0.0
	Department of Revenue Add \$1,057,000 to expand statewide communications infrastructure	0	1,057,000	0.0
	Add \$100,000 for agriculture property valuation consultant services	100,000	100,000	0.0
	Add \$500,000 for development of Kansas tax model		500,000	och 1 0.0
	Kansas Lottery		NA ILLY	ari isanii
	Reduce State Gaming Revenue Fund transfer rate from 31.0 to 30.0 percent and assume sales of \$198 million with more prizes	0		Conec 1
	Increase estimated no limit expenditures for prizes	::in √ : 7 0	2,000,000	N. 40 % - 1 % - 17 国际国际 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1
	Increase estimated no limit expenditures for cost of sales		796.237	ibach bly 0.0
	Increase estimated transfer to State Gaming Revenue Fund and SGF by \$732,560	est of amagerical nandovar. O		
	GBA not considered	0	80,000	theat 306 0.0
	Kansas Racing and Gaming Commission		Nijer i jedanici saj	AT STATE
	Correct omission in bill to add transfer for Fair Fund account	0	0	0.0
	Department of Commerce and Housing Delete \$100,000 (EDIF) of Eisenhower Museum Grant	0	(100,000)	0.0
	Add EDIF funding for Travel Information Centers rehab. and repair	0	20,000	0.0
	Kansas, Inc.		20,000	0.0
	Add EDIF funding for NATO conference and analysis of Kansas laws for impact on free trade	0	20.000	
	Allow re-appropriation of operating funds	0	20,000	0.0
	Kansas Technology Enterprise Corporation		U	0.0
	Allow for reallocation of funds from FY 1999 to FY 2000	0	490,804	
	Department of Human Resources Increase OSHA expenditure limitation	o 49	57,615	0.0
	Increase travel funding for the Commission of Disability Concerns to current services level	E 000	nonequal to ma	Charles T
	rever	5,000	5,000 383656 - 3 10 - 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0.0
	Add funding for purchase of building on 400 block of SW Jackson, Topeka		20,000	0.0
	Add funding for architectural study for renovation of 401 SW Topeka Blvd, Topeka	0	50,000	0.0
	Commission on Veterans Affairs Add funding and 1.0 unclassified temporary position for Persian Gulf War program	50,000	50,000	0.0

_	Agency/Item	State General Fund	All Funds	FTER	<u>3</u>	
	Add funding for Chaplain at Fort Dodge	43,617	43,617	l de Andre	1.0	
	Add SIBF funding for rehab. and repair at Fort Dodge	0	35,000	Hin	0.0	
	Department of Health and Environment Shift \$50,000 SGF from operations to aid for primary health projects	0		notestally	0.0	
	Add FTE for Health Care Data Governing Board, to be funded with outside dollars	0	0	1 2 10	2.0	
	Add funding for temporary positions for Vital Statistics to correct error in Governor's	72,324	72,324	* 0	0.0	
	budget Shift \$1.8 million of Water Plan funds for the Local Environmental Protection Program	,_,_				
	from other assistance to aid to local units	0	0		0.0	
	Add funding for immunizations for local health departments for replacement of federal Immunization Action Plan grant, required to be used for expansion of immunization programs	500,000	500,000		0.0	
	Allow for re-appropriation of SGF aid to local units dollars from FY99 to FY 00	0	0		0.0	
	Remove expenditure limitation on two special revenue funds	0	0		0.0	
	Require nursing home inspection funds from Dept. on Aging to be shown as non-reportable	0	(3,895,768)	enterdi Otarak	0.0	
	Reduce transfer from the Health Care Stabilization Fund to the Health Care Facilities Review Fund to bring it into compliance with statute	eserve	nach ar nigera na 1814 <b>0</b>	3030 b.34	0.0	
	Delete Innovative Child Health Programs (tobacco money)	V. 3.7 (1) 0	(456,626)	198	0.0	
	Department on Aging Correct Medicaid rate	l ny na islap i a godyk az <b>0</b>	(202,788)	Kertigan L Reduces	0.0	
	Authorize KSIP funds for staff training	192,297	192,297	e-Grander	0.0	12
	Add funding for Home and Community Based Services/Frail Elderly Waiver	500,000	1,250,000	s audios (n)	0.0	
	Add funding for Retired Senior Volunteer Program to increase participation	12,500	12,500		0.0	
	Add funding for state match for Senior Legal Hotline	30,000	30,000	- Significant	0.0	
	Add proviso allowing funds encumbered for the Senior Companion program to reappropriate	0	0		0.0	
	Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services	120,000	120,000		0.0	
	Add funding for antipsychotic medications  Increase adult protective services in rural areas	0	600,000	3 4 4	0.0	
	Add funding for foster care, adoption, and adoption support	5,915,000	8,600,000	S Long.	0.0	
	Add proviso to foster care funding which will allow any savings (up to 2.8 million) due to	0	0	186	0.0	
	caseload reductions be spent on the permanent guardianship program  Add Rehabilitation and Repair funding	0	240,000		0.0	
	Add \$962,167 for the Family Centered System of Care from the tobacco settlement			1004		
	funds	0	962,167	1. 44	0.0	
	Bundle supportive home care services in the Home and Community Based Services/Mental Retardation program and reduce the tiered reimbursement for administration	0	0	e de provinció Companyone	0.0	
	Department of Education	S (Milliand, "A constraint	- Department of the Artist Con-	1947 <b>8</b> 1947 1947)	21.55	
	Add \$150,000 for diagnostic reading tests for second graders as part of the student assessment program	150,000	150,000	KANASA	0.0	
	Add \$50,000 from the EDIF (for a total of \$250,000) for technology innovation grants to community colleges, AVTSs, and technical colleges		.50,000	THE TANK	0.0	
	Add \$100,000 (for a total of \$1.1 million) for adult basic education	100,000	100,000	n distribution	0.0	

Agency/Item	State General Fund	All Funds	FTE Positi
Delete all funding for Skilled Workforce Enhancement Grants to community colleges, AVTSs, and technical colleges	(300,000)	(300,000) Black of the control of th	0.0
Add \$450,000 for technology grants to community colleges and Washburn University	450,000	450,000	0.0
GBA not considered	21,000	21,000	on Add na
change demand transfer for school district capital improvements to revenue transfer	(26,882,000)	0	0.0
elete tobacco money funding for discretionary grants	0	(250,000)	0.0
ansas Arts Commission			
dd funding for long range plan consulting fees	50,000	50,000	0.0
dd funding for Grant Programs	100,000	100,000	0.0
tate School for the Blind crease funding for food and utilities	20,000	20,000	0.0
tate School for the Deaf			
crease funding for vocational class tuition	15,000	15,000	0.0
crease funding for food and supplies	11,704	11,704	0.0
re and safety projects	. 0	200,000	0.0
tate Historical Society crease funding for Humanities Council "Bam Again!" exhibit	10,000	10,000	146 4 4 0.0 14 5 4 7 6 0.0
niversity of Kansas Medical Center	0	(255,541)	
ichita State University ow WSU to participate in tuition equity	148,000	148,000	F. 1866
oard of Regents aculty salary enhancements	700, 28 <b>900,000</b>	900,000	0.0
odify faculty salary proviso to include public service and research faculty	0	A SHRWY Tes O	
nift the portion of the grant to Washburn University characterized as an equity grant to e operating grant	0		MALANA DO
estore Honors Academy funding	60,000	60,000	0.0
crease funding for systemwide rehabilitation and repair (Capital Improvement)	0	2,000,000	0.0
epartment of Corrections elete FTE and funding for transitional center at Topeka Correctional Facility	(399,965)	(399,965)	(15.0)
ijutant General	(223,223)	(555,555)	(10.0
d 1.0 FTE to assist Air National Guard to comply with Environmental Protection gency regulations	0	40,294	1.0
ate Fire Marshal			
eate the Hazardous Material Program Fund to separate costs associated with the ogram from the Fire Marshal Fee Fund	0	0	0.0
ld 1.0 FTE Office Assistant position (last half of fiscal year)	0	12,161	1.0
Id proviso to allow Accounts and Reports to allocate SGF funding during months the fee fund incurs a negative balance	0	i seria kilo sa	
			Heal y
	0	0	n n
dd proviso to allow transfer of \$1.2 million from the Motor Vehicle Fund to SGF	<b>0</b>	O This year common and the second	0.0 ALA V Benja O.0

Agency/Item	State General Fund	All Funds	FTE.	<u>.(S</u>
Kansas Bureau of Investigation	Maintainia Territa	ey Karata ket gas suit.		
Add 4.0 FTE positions (drug chemist, latent fingerprint examiner, office assistant, evidence technician) at Great Bend	118,662	118,662	-21V	4.0
JUVENIIE JUSTICE AUTHORITY	elles Wensteins a con-	confortier in	1.2 55,4	
Add funding for new intervention and graduated sanctions programs to assist communities in responding to juvenile offender needs	1,381,292	1,381,292		0.0
Add funding for purchase of services capacity expansion and rate increases to meet the needs of additional juveniles	1,361,980	1,361,980	· War if	0.0
Add funding for purchase of services caseload increases to cover a higher than expected caseload projection	2,000,000	2,000,000		0.0
Emergency Medical Services Board Increase grants to regional councils	31,906	31,906		0.0
Add federal grant for Emergency Services for Children	0	249,999		0.0
Department of Agriculture Add funding for sericea lespedeza study	50,000	50,000		0.0
Add funding for computer technician services for Conservation Commission and Water Office	20,100	26,800		0.0
Add funding for pesticide use survey	0	100,000	3	0.0
Animal Health Department Add funding for computer upgrade	9,300	9,300	in also -exami	0.0
State Fair Board	34	35,000	e wright!	0.0
Add funding from the EDIF for interstate promotion  Add funding for ADA/EPA/fire code compliance	200,000	200,000	azimali i	0.0
Change State Fair Capital Improvement Demand Transfer to a revenue transfer	(300,000)	维护 4 多统体 4 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	V wolla	0.0
Increase limitation on hospitality fund to \$5,000	. 0	0	o brandi. Vindus	0.0
			5 S. 5 /	34.
Kansas Wheat Commission Add funding for promotion and market development	0	700,000	- 4 Hz	0.0
State Conservation Commission Add funding for DISC services	2,808	2,808	100	0.0
Reduce funding for Water Resource Cost-Share Program	0	(37,536)		0.0
Change Water Plan demand transfer to revenue transfer	(6,000,000)			0.0
Add funding for State Aid to Conservation Districts	0	9,500		0.0
Kansas Water Office				
Shift funding source for one half of GIS Coordinator's salary to State Water Plan Fund				0.0
Shift funds to Department of Agriculture for computer technician services provided	(13,400	(13,400)		0.0
Department of Wildlife and Parks  Delete funding for Local Government Outdoor Recreation Grants	(1,000,000	(1,000,000	)	0.0
Add funding from EDIF for Local Government Outdoor Recreation Grants	0	500,000	al Track	0.0
Delete FTE but leave funding for temporary seasonal wages	. 0	tare a susci, and dela	<b>用新聞</b>	(2.5)
Delete \$100,000 of \$500,000 Wildlife Conservation Fund for land acquisition	O Salamana a salamana s	(100,000	) XxxX	0.0
Add proviso requiring wetland acquisition to be no more than 1.1 miles from existing state wetland property in Barton and McPherson counties		or groups write by day	gag bb/t	0.0
Add proviso requiring purchase of playa lakes property be adjacent to state-owned playa lakes and prohibit pumping of ground water		odracal crutación	uration (	0.0
Department of Transportation  Add funding for the Goodland tourist center's planning costs	C	110,000	<b>建设</b>	0.0

Agency/Item	State General Fund	All Funds	FTE Position
Reduce funding for computer and software purchases	0	(2,992,557)	0.0
Add funding for railroad mitigation in Marysville, Olathe, Salina and Wichita	0	50,000,000	0.0
Change SGF demand transfers to revenue transfers and authorize in FY 2000 to FY 2001; shift reportable expenditures from SGF to all other funds; and cap transfers at 1.7 percent in both FY 2000 and FY 2001	(140,107,000)	(39,531,607)	0.0
TOTAL CHANGE - FY 2000	(254,582,745)	30,507,941	(6.0)
FY 2001:			
Board of Nursing Reduce 1.0 FTE - Professional Nurse III Investigator position	0	0	(1.0)
TOTAL CHANGE - FY 2001	0	0	(1.0)