N

MINUTES OF THE SENATE UTILITIES COMMITTEE.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Senator Stan Clark at 9:40 a.m. on March 21, 2001 in Room 231-N of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Committee staff present:

Raney Gilliland, Legislative Research Emalene Correll, Legislative Research Bruce Kinzie, Revisor of Statutes Lisa Montgomery, Revisor of Statutes

Ann McMorris, Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee: none

Others attending:

See attached list.

Chairman Clark distributed information he had found on the internet on Missouri Revised Statutes, Chapter 135, Tax Relief, Section 135.215. (<u>Attachment 1</u>)

Chairman provided the Senate Utilities Committee with his testimony on **SB 177** before the House Utilities Committee. (Attachment 2)

Chair opened for continued hearing and discussion on:

<u>HB 2268 - Electric public utililties; coal-fired generation; construction work in progress; bonds for pollution control; property tax exemption.</u>

Conferees scheduled to appear had withdrawn. Chairman Clark closed the hearing on **HB 2268**. Discussion was held on various elements of the bill.

Moved by Senator Lee, seconded by Senator Emler, to amend **HB 2268** to abate the state property tax and allow the option to the local government whether or not to abate property tax for ten years. Motion carried. Senator Wagle voted "no".

Reference was made to language in current law K.S.A.12-1740a ".. Any property constructed or purchased in part with the proceeds of revenue bonds shall be exempt from taxation to the extent of the value of that portion of the property financed by the revenue bonds and only for a period of 10 calendar years after the calendar year in which the bonds were issued..."

Moved by Senator Barone, seconded by Senator Brownlee, to insert S.B. 177 language into HB 2268 as regard to fuel sources. Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Brownlee, seconded by Senator Emler, to amend **HB 2268** by striking Section 2. Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Emler, seconded by Senator Barone, to amend HB **2268** by striking the language on page 2, lines 8 and 9 "from a coal-fired" and inserting the language "which will be connected to an". Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Brownlee, seconded by Senator Lyon, to give the Revisor latitude to revise the remaining sections in **HB 2268** and bring them into compliance with the amendments made above and with the intent of the committee. Motion carried.

Moved by Senator Barone, seconded by Senator Brownlee, to pass out **H.B. 2268** favorably as amended. Motion carried.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE UTILITIES COMMITTEE at 9:40 a.m. on March 21, 2001 in Room 231-N of the Capitol.

Approval of Minutes

Moved by Senator Barone, seconded by Senator Tyson, to approve the minutes of the Senate Utilities meeting held on March 20, 2001. Motion carried.

Next meeting of the Senate Utilities Committee will be held on March 22.

Adjournment.

Respectfully submitted,

Ann McMorris, Secretary

Attachments - 2

SENATE UTILITIES COMMITTEE GUEST LIST

DATE: **MARCH 21, 2001**

Name	Representing
- Bon Caches	GBBA
Jack Glaves	Duky -V-71 x 18-11
DC. Long	ucu-
Farrek Husley	HOR
Scott Auglemyer	KDOC&H
Dove Holthous	we
BRUCE GRAHAM	KEP(6
Robert M. Kaderach	KDCR-120
TOMDAY	KCC

Missouri Revised Statutes

Chapter 135 Tax Relief Section 135.215

August 28, 2000

Real property improvements exemption from assessment and ad valorem taxesprocedure--maximum period granted.

- 135.215. 1. Improvements made to "real property" as such term is defined in section 137.010, RSMo, which are made in an enterprise zone subsequent to the date such zone or expansion thereto was designated, may upon approval of an authorizing resolution by the governing authority having jurisdiction of the area in which the improvements are made, be exempt, in whole or in part, from assessment and payment of ad valorem taxes of one or more affected political subdivisions, provided that, except as to the exemption allowed under subsection 3 of this section, at least fifty new jobs that provide an average of at least thirty-five hours of employment per week per job are created and maintained at the new or expanded facility. Such authorizing resolution shall specify the percent of the exemption to be granted, the duration of the exemption to be granted, and the political subdivisions to which such exemption is to apply and any other terms, conditions or stipulations otherwise required. A copy of the resolution shall be provided the director within thirty calendar days following adoption of the resolution by the governing authority.
- 2. No exemption shall be granted until the governing authority holds a public hearing for the purpose of obtaining the opinions and suggestions of residents of political subdivisions to be affected by the exemption from property taxes. The governing authority shall send, by certified mail, a notice of such hearing to each political subdivision in the area to be affected and shall publish notice of such hearing in a newspaper of general circulation in the area to be affected by the exemption at least twenty days prior to the hearing but not more than thirty days prior to the hearing. Such notice shall state the time, location, date and purpose of the hearing.
- 3. Notwithstanding subsection 1 of this section, at least one-half of the ad valorem taxes otherwise imposed on subsequent improvements to real property located in an enterprise zone shall become and remain exempt from assessment and payment of ad valorem taxes of any political subdivision of this state or municipality thereof for a period of not less than ten years following the date such improvements were assessed, provided the improved properties are used for assembling, fabricating, processing, manufacturing, mining, warehousing or distributing properties.
- 4. No exemption shall be granted for a period more than twenty-five years following the date on which the original enterprise zone was designated by the department.
- 5. The provisions of subsection 1 of this section shall not apply to improvements made to real property which have been started prior to August 28, 1991.
- 6. The mandatory abatement referred to in this section shall not relieve the assessor or other responsible official from ascertaining the amount of the equalized assessed value of all taxable property annually as

required by section 99.855, RSMo, and shall not have the effect of reducing the payments in lieu of taxes referred to in subdivision (2) of section 99.845, RSMo, unless such reduction is set forth in the plan approved by the governing body of the municipality pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 99.820, RSMo.

(L. 1982 H.B. 1713, et al. § 5, A.L. 1983 H.B. 559, A.L. 1986 S.B. 727, A.L. 1991 H.B. 294 & 405, A.L. 1992 S.B. 661 & 620, A.L. 1994 H.B. 1248 & 1048, A.L. 1995 H.B. 414)

Effective 1-1-96



Missouri General Assembly

Missouri Revised Statutes

Chapter 137 Assessment and Levy of Property Taxes Section 137.016

August 28, 2000

Real property, subclasses of, defined-political subdivision may adjust operating levy to recoup revenue, when--reclassification to apply, when--placement of certain property within proper subclass, factors considered.

137.016. 1. As used in section 4(b) of article X of the Missouri Constitution, the following terms mean:

- (1) "Residential property", all real property improved by a structure which is used or intended to be used for residential living by human occupants, vacant land in connection with an airport, land used as a golf course, and manufactured home parks, but residential property shall not include other similar facilities used primarily for transient housing. For the purposes of this section, "transient housing" means all rooms available for rent or lease for which the receipts from the rent or lease of such rooms are subject to state sales tax pursuant to section 144.020.1(6), RSMo;
- (2) "Agricultural and horticultural property", all real property used for agricultural purposes and devoted primarily to the raising and harvesting of crops; to the feeding, breeding and management of livestock which shall include breeding and boarding of horses; to dairying, or to any other combination thereof; and buildings and structures customarily associated with farming, agricultural, and horticultural uses. Agricultural and horticultural property shall also include land devoted to and qualifying for payments or other compensation under a soil conservation or agricultural assistance program under an agreement with an agency of the federal government. Agricultural and horticultural property shall further include land and improvements, exclusive of structures, on privately owned airports that qualify as reliever airports under the Nation Plan of Integrated Airports System, to receive federal airport improvement project funds through the Federal Aviation Administration. Real property classified as forest croplands shall not be agricultural or horticultural property so long as it is classified as forest croplands and shall be taxed in accordance with the laws enacted to implement section 7 of article X of the Missouri Constitution;
- (3) "Utility, industrial, commercial, railroad and other real property", all real property used directly or indirectly, for any commercial, mining, industrial, manufacturing, trade, professional, business, or similar purpose, including all property centrally assessed by the state tax commission but shall not include floating docks, portions of which are separately owned and the remainder of which is designated for common ownership and in which no one person or business entity owns more than five individual units. All other real property not included in the property listed in subclasses (1) and (2) of section 4(b) of article X of the Missouri Constitution, as such property is defined in this section, shall be deemed to be included in the term "utility, industrial, commercial, railroad and other real property".
- 2. Pursuant to article X of the state constitution, any taxing district may adjust its operating levy to recoup any loss of property tax revenue, except revenues from the surtax imposed pursuant to article X, section 6.2 of the constitution, as the result of changing the classification of structures intended to be

1-3

Missouri Revised Statutes

Chapter 70 Powers of Political Subdivisions to Cooperate or Contract with Section 70.180

August 28, 2000

Amount of payments-how determined.

70.180. The amount of any payment of sums in lieu of taxes may be based on the estimated cost to each political subdivision, for and on whose behalf an agreement is entered into, of performing services for the benefit of a project during the period of an agreement, after taking into consideration the benefits to be derived by each political subdivision from such project, but shall not be in excess of the taxes which would result to each political subdivision for said period if the real property of the project within each political subdivision were taxable.

(L. 1941 p. 490 § 7)



Missouri General Assembly

23-18-104. Construction of power-generating facilities outside the state. [Effective until January 1, 2002.]

- (a) No public utility subject to the jurisdiction of the Arkansas Public Service Commission shall commence construction of any power-generating facility to be located outside the boundaries of this state without the express written approval of the Arkansas Public Service Commission.
- (b) Any public utility proposing such construction shall render adequate written notice to the commission of its intent in order that the commission may conduct any germane inspection, investigation, public hearing, or take any other action deemed appropriate by the commission.
- (c) Failure on the part of any public utility to obtain prior approval of the commission, as established in this section, shall constitute grounds for disallowance, by the commission, of all costs and expenses associated with the construction and subsequent operation of the facility when computing the utility's cost of service for purposes of any rate-making proceedings.
- (d) Any electric utility which does not own in whole or part another electric utility and which is not owned in whole or part by a holding company and which derives less than twenty-five percent (25%) of its total revenues from Arkansas customers is exempt from the provisions of this section.

History. Acts 1985, No. 328, §§ 1-4; 1985, No. 918, §§ 1-4; A.S.A. 1947, §§ 73-279 - 73-279.3.





COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

CHAIR:

UTILITIES

ASSESSMENT & TAXATION ELECTIONS & LOCAL GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATIONS, CALENDAR, & RULES RULES & REGULATIONS

Testimony before the House Utilities Committee Senate Bill 177 - March 20, 2001

Chairman Holmes and members of the committee:

House Bill 2266 and Senate Bill 177 both encourage independent power producers to construct electric generation facilities in Kansas. I refer to HB 2266 as a gourmet meal and SB 177 as a meat and potatoes bill.

Provisions in SB 177 include:

- 1. Silent on the source of coal (page 2, line 26). Therefore coal, natural gas, nuclear, hydrogen, Helium 3, and any other fuel source qualify.
- 2. New construction (page 2, Line 24) and new additions (page 2, line 23) can qualify as an independent power producer generator so long as the additional generation is not in the rate-base of an electric utility or cooperative.
- 3. Efficiency gains by refurbishing, remodeling or replacing existing equipment (page 2, lines 33-36) do not qualify as being exempt from the public utility definition (page 2, lines 20-32).
- 4. All or a portion of an electric generation plant (page 2, line 38) can be classified as a commercial and industrial property. This allows a public utility to build a large base-load plant, place a portion of the plant in rate-base for its customers in their certified franchise area and market the balance of the generation produced by the plant in the same competitive wholesale market as any other independent power producer. See pages 3 8 of this testimony to see the impact of overbuilding electric generation in the 1980's.
- 5. For property tax purposes we listed specific FERC accounts as tangible personal property (page 3, lines 3-8). See pages 9 to 20 of this testimony.
- 6. Since independent power producer property is classified as commercial and industrial property, it is eligible for property tax abatements and payment in lieu of tax agreements with local government officials if industrial revenue bonds are issued. There are no state-mandated

- property tax abatements. See KSA 12-1740, KSA 79-201a, Kansas Constitution Article 11, Section 13, which are pages 21 to 23 of this testimony.
- 7. Personal property would be depreciated using the constitutionally prescribed 7-year straight-line depreciation schedule to 20 percent residual value rather than appraised fair market value under current law. See Kansas Constitution Article 11, Section 1, Class 2-5, which is, pages 24 & 25 of this testimony.
- 8. Manufacturers inventory is constitutionally exempt property while public utility property is taxed. See Kansas Constitution Article 11, section 1(b), which is page 25 of this testimony.
- 9. Personal property (equipment) would be eligible for the 15% income tax credit currently available to all commercial and industrial business in our state. See KSA 79-32,206, which is page 26 of this testimony.

An article in the February 11, 2001 issue of the *New York Times* stated: "most of the new power plants in the United States are being built not by regulated utilities but by independent and unregulated operators. Almost 20% of the electricity generated in the first 20 months of 2000 came from generators other than traditional utilities, twice the proportion in 1997. More than 100 companies have announced new plants." I have attached a chart that identifies over 450 proposed new plants, none are in Kansas.

Mr. Chairman, I believe that explains the provisions of SB 177, which passed the Senate, last Wednesday on a vote of 38-2 and will stand for questions.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS

Cross References to Related Sections:

Industrial and economic development bonds, see 12-3801 et seq.

Law Review and Bar Journal References:

"Urban Revitalization: Planning for the Future in Our Cities," Lester D. Mardiks, 21 W.L.J. 116, 124 (1981).

"Survey of Kansas Law: Taxation," Sandra Craig McKenzie and Virginia Ratzlaff, 33 K.L.R. 71, 81 (1984). Spurring Economic Development in Kansas Through Property Tax Exemptions Are We Getting the Results We Want?", Laura Ellen Johnson, 30 W.L.J. 82, 84 (1990).

12-1740. Purpose of act; revenue bonds. It is the purpose of this act to promote, stimulate and develop the general welfare and economic prosperity of the state of Kansas through the promotion and advancement of physical and mental health, industrial, commercial, agricultural, natural resources and of recreational development in the state; to encourage and assist in the location of new business and industry in this state and the expansion, relocation or retention of existing business, industry and health development; and to promote the economic stability of the state by providing greater employment opportunities, diversification of industry and improved physical and mental health, thus promoting the general welfare of the citizens of this state by authorizing all cities and counties of the state to issue revenue bonds, the proceeds of which shall be used for the purpose of paying all or part of the cost of purchasing, acquiring, constructing, reconstructing, improving, equipping, furnishing, repairing, enlarging or remodeling facilities for agricultural, commercial, hospital, industrial, natural resources, recreational development and manufacturing purposes and to enter into leases or lease-purchase agreements with any person, firm or corporation for such facilities. For the purpose of this act, the term facility shall include a site and the necessary site preparation, structures, easements, rights-of-way and appurtenances necessary and convenient to the particular type of facility being financed.

History: L. 1961, ch. 81, § 1; L. 1969, ch. 85, § 1; L. 1981, ch. 74, § 1; July 1.

Cross References to Related Sections: Levy for securing industries, see 12-1617h, 12-1617i. Certain promotion funds, see 12-825d, 12-825g.

Law Review and Bar Journal References: Survey of Kansas law, Edward Larson, 10 K.L.R. 158 "Municipal Borrowing in Kansas," Fred W. Rausch, Jr., (1961).

10 K.L.R. 520 (1962).

Survey of constitutional and administrative law, Glenn E. Opie, 12 K.L.R. 146 (1963).

Survey of law of municipal corporations, Albert ... Martin, 12 K.L.R. 289 (1963).

A Guide to Industrial Revenue Bond Financing," Donald A. Bell and Winton M. Hinkle, 9 W.L.J. 372, 376 (1970).

Constitutionality of ten year industrial revenue bond property taxation provision of K.S.A. 79-201a, 24 K.L.R. 723, 724 (1976).

Attorney General's Opinions:

Cities and municipalities buildings, structures and grounds industrial revenue bonds. 81-4.

Investment of funds received from industrial revenue bonds. 81-117.

Tax exempt property; property constructed or purchased with industrial revenue bond proceeds. 82-234.

Buildings, structures and grounds; issuance of revenue bonds by counties. 85-28.

Cities and municipalities; powers and duties; conveying real property for use as federal prison site; home rule powers. 87-164.

County commissioners; general fund tax levies; limita-

tions on use. 88-65. Home rule powers of cities and counties; bond issuance.

CASE ANNOTATIONS

1. Act does not contravene various provisions of Kansas constitution and bill of rights. State, ex rel., v. City of Pittsburg, 188 K. 612, 613, 614, 615, 619, 620, 621, 364 P.2d 71.

2. City ordinance to implement issuance of bonds administrative in character; not subject to initiative and referendum. Rauh v. City of Hutchinson, 223 K. 514, 515, 517, 520, 521, 575 P.2d 517.

3. Act constitutionally valid; any entity may become lessee of IRB property as long as purpose of act served. State ex rel. Tomasic v. City of Kansas City, 237 K. 572, 581, 701 P.2d 1314 (1985).

4. Lease-purchase agreement under act not complete sale; filing requirements of UCC (article 9) inapplicable. In re Petition of City of Moran, 238 K. 513, 520, 522, 713 P.2d 451 (1986).

5. Leases under act true leases, not mortgages, and therefore subject to assumption/rejection requirements of bankruptcy code. In re Petroleum Products, Inc., 72 B.R. 739, 740, 747 (1987)

6. Lesse agreement entered into pursuant to act does not create a mortgage. Bank of Alton v. Tanaka, 247 K. 443, 449, 799 P.2d 1029 (1990).

12-1740a. Use of eminent domain power. No city or county shall exercise its power of eminent domain to acquire property as a site for a facility which is to be financed by revenue bonds issued pursuant to K.S.A. 12-1740 et seq., and amendments thereto. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit a city from issuing revenue bonds for the purpose of paying all or a part of the cost of constructing, reconstructing, improving, equipping, furnishing, repairing, enlarging or remodeling facilities located on property acquired by the exercise of eminent domain under the provisions of K.S.A. 12-1770 et seq., and amendments thereto.

thereto, optometry services by a person licensed by the board of examiners in optometry pursuant to K.S.A. 65-1501 et seq.; and amendments thereto, or K.S.A. 74-1501 et seq., and amendments thereto or podiatry services by a person licensed by the board of healing arts pursuant to K.S.A. 65-2001 et seq., and amendments thereto, shall be construed to be a governmental function, and such property actually and regularly used for such purpose shall be deemed to be used exclusively for the purposes of this paragraph. The lease by a municipality or political subdivision of the state of any real property, or portion thereof, owned or being acquired pursuant to a lease-purchase agreement to any entity for the exclusive use by it for an exempt purpose, including the purpose of displaying or exhibiting personal property by a museum or historical society, if no portion of the lease payments include compensation for return on the investment in such leased property shall be deemed to be used exclusively for the purposes of this paragraph. All property leased, other than property being acquired pursuant to a lease-purchase agreement, to the state or any municipality or political subdivision of the state by any private entity shall not be considered to be used exclusively by the state or any municipality or political subdivision of the state for the purposes of this section except that the provisions of this sentence shall not apply to any such property subject to lease on the effective date of this act until the term of such lease expires but property taxes levied upon any such property prior to tax year 1989, shall not be abated or refunded. Any property constructed or purchased with the proceeds of industrial revenue bonds issued prior to July 1, 1963, as authorized by K.S.A. 12-1740 to 12-1749, or purchased with proceeds of improvement district bonds issued prior to July 1, 1963, as authorized by K.S.A. 19-2776, or with proceeds of bonds issued prior to July 1, 1963, as authorized by K.S.A. 19-38152 and 19-3815b, or any property improved, purchased, constructed, reconstructed or repaired with the proceeds of revenue bonds issued prior to July 1, 1963, as authorized by K.S.A. 13-1238 to 13-1245, inclusive, or any property improved, reimproved, reconstructed or repaired with the proceeds of revenue bonds issued after July 1, 1963, under the authority of K.S.A. 13-1238 to 13-1245, inclusive, which had previously been improved, reconstructed or repaired with the proceeds of revenue bonds issued under such act on or before July 1, 1963, shall be exempt

from taxation for so long as any of the revenue bonds issued to finance such construction, reconstruction, improvement, repair or purchase shall be outstanding and unpaid. Any property constructed or purchased with the proceeds of any revenue bonds authorized by K.S.A. 13-1238 to 13-1245, inclusive, 19-2776, 19-3815a and 19-3815b, and amendments thereto, issued on or after July 1, 1963, shall be exempt from taxation only for a period of 10 calendar years after the calendar year in which the bonds were issued: Any property, all or any portion of which is constructed or purchased with the proceeds of revenue bonds authorized by K.S.A. 12-1740 to 12-1749, inclusive, and amendments thereto, issued on or after July 1, 1963 and prior to July 1, 1981, shall be exempt from taxation only for a period of 10 calendar years after the calendar year in which the bonds were issued. Except as hereinafter provided, any property constructed or purchased wholly with the proceeds of revenue bonds issued on or after July 1, 1981, under the authority of K.S.A. 12-1740 to 12-1749, inclusive, and amendments thereto, shall be exempt from taxation only for a period of 10 calendar years after the calendar year in which the bonds were issued. Except as hereinafter provided, any property constructed or purchased in part with the proceeds of revenue bonds issued on or after July 1, 1981, under the authority of K.S.A. 12-1740 to 12-1749, inclusive, and amendments thereto, shall be exempt from taxation to the extent of the value of that portion of the property financed by the revenue bonds and only for a period of 10 calendar years after the calendar year in which the bonds were issued. The exemption of that portion of the property constructed or purchased with the proceeds of revenue bonds shall terminate upon the failure to pay all taxes levied on that portion of the property which is not exempt and the entire property shall be subject to sale in the manner prescribed by K.S.A. 79-2301 et seq., and amendments thereto-Property constructed or purchased in whole or in part with the proceeds of revenue bonds issued on or after January 1, 1995, under the authority of K.S.A. 12-1740 to 12-1749,, inclusive, and amendments thereto, and used in any retail enterprise identified under the standard industrial classification codes, major groups 52 through 59, inclusive, except facilities used exclusively to house the headquarters or back office operations of such retail enterprises identified thereunder, shall not be exempt from taxation. For the purq. to be special taxes. Southeast Kansas Landowners v. Kansas Turnpike Auth., 224 K. 357, 371, 582 1123.

II. Taxation of incomes; adoption of ral laws by reference. In enacting any law er section 2 of this article 11, the legislamay at any regular, budget or special sesa define income by reference to or erwise adopt by reference all or any part he laws of the United States as they then it, and, prospectively, as they may therer be amended or enacted, with such exitions, additions or modifications as the islature may determine then or thereafter any such legislative sessions.

History: L. 1966, ch. 14-Spec. Sess.; v. 8, 1966.

as References to Related Sections: avation of income, see § 2 of this article.

CASE ANNOTATIONS

. Mentioned in holding that 79-3290 does not constian unlawful delegation of legislative power. Missouri ific Railroad Co. v. McDonald, 207 K. 744, 747, 486 d 1347. Affirmed: 208 K. 479, 493 P.2d 280.

12. Assessment and taxation of land deted to agricultural use. Land devoted to agrultural use may be defined by law and lued for ad valorem tax purposes upon the sis of its agricultural income or agricultural oductivity, actual or potential, and when so lued such land shall be assessed at the same recent of value and taxed at the same rate as al property subject to the provisions of secon 1 of this article. The legislature may, if nd devoted to agricultural use changes from ich use, provide for the recoupment of a part all of the difference between the amount of ie ad valorem taxes levied upon such land uring a part or all of the period in which it as valued in accordance with the provisions f this section and the amount of ad valorem exes which would have been levied upon such and during such period had it not been in gricultural use and had it been valued, asessed and taxed in accordance with section 1 f this article.

History: L. 1975, ch. 516, § 1; Nov. 2,

976.

... Beview and Bar Journal References:

*Differential Assessment of Agricultural Land in Kansas: Discussion and Proposal," 25 K.L.R. 215, 230 (1977). "Comprehensive Land Use Control Through Differential Assessment and Supplemental Regulation," Clarence J. Malone and Mark Ayesh, 18 W.L.J. 432, 445, 453 (1979). . The Kansas Property Tax: Understanding and Surviving

Reappraisal," P. John Brady, Brian T. Howes and Greg L. Musil, 57(3) J.K.B.A. 23, 24 (1988).

Attorney General's Opinions:

Valuation based on agricultural income or productivity. 85-135.

§ 13. Exemption of property for economic development purposes; procedure; limitations. (a) The board of county commissioners of any county or the governing body of any city may, by resolution or ordinance, as the case requires, exempt from all ad valorem taxation all or any portion of the appraised valuation of: (1) All buildings, together with the land upon which such buildings are located, and all tangible personal property associated therewith used exclusively by a business for the purpose of: (A) Manufacturing articles of commerce; (B) conducting research and development; or (C) storing goods or commodities which are sold or traded in interstate commerce, which commences operations after the date on which this amendment is approved by the electors of this state; or (2) all buildings, or added improvements to buildings constructed after the date on which this amendment is approved by the electors of this state, together with the land upon which such buildings or added improvements are located, and all tangible personal property purchased after such date and associated therewith, used exclusively for the purpose of: (A) Manufacturing articles of commerce; (B) conducting research and development; or (C) storing goods or commodities which are sold or traded in interstate commerce, which is necessary to facilitate the expansion of any such existing business if, as a result of such expansion, new employment is created.

(b) Any ad valorem tax exemption granted pursuant to subsection (a) shall be in effect for not more than 10 calendar years after the calendar year in which the business commences its operations or the calendar year in which expansion of an existing business is completed,

as the case requires.

(c) The legislature may limit or prohibit the application of this section by enactment uniformly applicable to all cities or counties.

(d) The provisions of this section shall not be construed to affect exemptions of property from ad valorem taxation granted by this constitution or by enactment of the legislature, or to affect the authority of the legislature to enact additional exemptions of property from ad va-

12%

bill reapportioning house and senate districts upheld; form opinion to follow. In re House Bill No. 3083, 251 K. 595, 833 P.2d 1017 (per curiam); 251 K. 597, 598, 836 P.2d 574 (1992).

Article 11.—FINANCE AND TAXATION

Law Review and Bar Journal References:

"Survey of Kansas Law: Taxation," Sandra Craig McKenzie and Eric B. Milstead, 37 K.L.R. 961, 964 (1989).

§ 1. System of taxation; classification; exemption (a) The provisions of this subsection shall govern the assessment and taxation of property on and after January 1, 1993, and each year thereafter. Except as otherwise hereinafter specifically provided, the legislature shall provide for a uniform and equal basis of valuation and rate of taxation of all property subject to taxation. The legislature may provide for the classification and the taxation uniformly as to class of recreational vehicles, as defined by the legislature, or may exempt such class from property taxation and impose taxes upon another basis in lieu thereof. The provisions of this subsection shall not be applicable to the taxation of motor vehicles, except as otherwise hereinafter specifically provided, mineral products, money, mortgages, notes and other evidence of debt and grain. Property shall be classified into the following classes for the purpose of assessment and assessed at the percentage of value prescribed therefor:

Class 1 shall consist of real property. Real property shall be further classified into seven subclasses. Such property shall be defined by law for the purpose of subclassification and assessed uniformly as to subclass at the following percentages

of value:

Real property used for residential purposes including multi-family residential real property and real property necessary to accommodate a residential community of mobile or manufactured homes including the real property upon which such homes are located (2) Land devoted to agricultural use which shall be valued upon the basis of its agricultural income or agricultural productivity pursuant to section 12 of article 11 of the con-Vacant lots

Real property which is owned and operated by a not-for-profit organization not subject to federal income taxation pursuant to section 501 of the federal internal revenue code, and which is included in this subclass by law Public utility real property, except railroad real property which shall

be assessed at the average rate that all other commercial and industrial property is assessed

Real property used for commercial and industrial purposes and buildings and other improvements located upon land devoted to agricultural use

All other urban and rural real property not otherwise specifically subclassified

30%

25%

Class 2 shall consist of tangible personal property. Such tangible personal property shall be further classified into six subclasses, shall be defined by law for the purpose of subclassification and assessed uniformly as to subclass at the following percentages of value:

Mobile homes used for residential

111/2%

Mineral leasehold interests except oil leasehold interests the average daily production from which is five barrels or less, and natural gas leasehold interests the average daily production from which is 100 mcf or less, which shall be assessed at 25%

Public utility tangible personal property including inventories thereof, except railroad personal property including inventories thereof, which shall be assessed at the average rate all other commercial and industrial property is assessed

All categories of motor vehicles not defined and specifically, valued and taxed pursuant to law enacted prior to January 1, 1985

Commercial and industrial machinery and equipment which, if its economic life is seven years or more, shall be valued at its retail

30%

33%

30%

111/2%

30%

12%

2-6

25%

cost when new less seven-year straight-line depreciation, or which, if its economic life is less than seven years, shall be valued at its retail cost when new less straight-line depreciation over its economic life, except that, the value so obtained for such property, notwithstanding its economic life and as long as such property is being used, shall not be less than 20% of the retail cost when new of such property

(6) All other tangible personal property not otherwise specifically classified

(b) All property used exclusively for state, county, municipal, literary, educational, scientific, religious, benevolent and charitable purposes, farm machinery and equipment, merchants' and manufacturers' inventories, other than public utility inventories included in subclass (3) of class 2, livestock, and all household goods and personal effects not used for the production of income, shall be exempted from property taxation.

History: Adopted by Convention, July 29, 1859; ratified by electors, Oct. 4, 1859; L. 1861, p. 62; L. 1923, ch. 255, § 1; L. 1963, ch. 459, § 1; L. 1974, ch. 460, § 1; L. 1985, ch. 364, § 1; L. 1992, ch. 342, § 1; Nov. 3, 1992.

Law Review and Bar Journal References:

"Reappraisal-How Long Will It Last," Bruce Landeck, 58

J.K.B.A. No. 1, 15, 18 (1989).

"Liberalizing Kansas Real Property Tax Exemptions: The 1988 Legislation," Joan M. Bowen, 37 K.L.R. 597, 615, 639

"Kansas Property Classification and Reappraisal: The 1986 Constitutional Amendment and Statutory Modifications," Nancy Ogle, 29 W.L.J. 26 (1989).

"Spurring Economic Development in Kansas Through Property Tax Exemptions-Are We Cetting the Results We Want?" Laura Ellen Johnson, 30 W.L.J. 82, 83 (1990).

"Survey of Kansas Law: Taxation," Sandra Craig McKenzie,

41 K.L.R. 727, 735 (1993). Tax Law: Braum, a Valuable Tax Crop [Board of County Commissioners v. Smith, 857 P.2d 1386 (Kan. Ct. App. 1993)]," Nels P. Noel, 34 W.L.J. 381, 388 (1995).

Attorney General's Opinions:

Exemption of property for economic development; exclusive use requirement. 88-123.

Shawnee county fair association—tax levy, protest petition and election. 88-136.

Statewide reappraisal of farm land; methods of establishing valuations. 88-144.

Tax exempt property; machinery and equipment of electric utility company. 88-158.

Property valuation, county and district appraisers' duties valuation methods; pasture and rangeland. 89-63.

Coal and gas of public utility; system of taxation; classification; exemption. 89-85.

Statewide reappraisal of real property; CRP land. 89-144. Taxation; classification. 89-145.

Extending deadline for property tax payment; equal protection. 89-146.

Property exempt from taxation; merchants' and manufacturers' inventory. 89-148.

Classification; excise tax on inventories. 89-150.

Classification of property; constitutionality. 90-10.

County planning and zoning; agricultural purposes; greyhound operations. 90-68.

Change in property valuation for tax purposes. 90-82.

System of taxation; classification; exemptions; uniform and equal provisions of constitution. 91-71.

Community colleges; boards of trustees; powers and duties; political campaign posters and signs on campus. 91-112.

Taxation; classification; uniform and equal requirement on state assessed taxes. 91-147.

Taxation; extent of classification for 501 organizations. 93-

Water pollution act; stormwater utility fee; state-owned and operated facility. 93-32.

Public utilities; definition; constitutionality of excluding certain telephone companies. 93-142.

Contracts for assistance in collecting property taxes. 94-8. Property taxation; classification; commercial and industrial

machinery and equipment not in use. 94-52.

Property tax obligation release; escaped personal property; constitutionality. 94-79.

Property tax accumulated interest amnesty program in Wyandotte county; uniform operation of law; constitutionality. 94-

Taxation classification; recreational vehicles; application to houseboats. 95-18.

Classification and valuation of machinery and equipment; "used factor"; ownership by not-for-profit organizations. 95-

Beds, sheets and forks, valued and taxed as commercial and industrial machinery and equipment. 96-41.

Constitutional exemption from property taxation for farm machinery and equipment does not require that property be exclusively used for farming, 97-11.

Classification of property as commercial and industrial machinery and equipment; personal property in process of construction and installation. 97-97.

"Public utility" defined for the purpose of property tax classification. 1999-21.

CASE ANNOTATIONS

197. Cited: allegations regarding illegal or void valuations or assessments of real property prohibited before exhausting administrative remedies examined. Board of Osage County Commr's v. Schmidt, 12 K.A.2d 812, 813, 758 P.2d 254 (1988).

198. Cited; tax exempt status of publicly owned property leased to private business and unavailable to general public examined. Salina Airport Authority v. Board of Tax Appeals, 13 K.A.2d 80, 83, 761 P.2d 1261 (1988).

199. County appraiser authorized (79-1461) to scrutinize and revalue taxpayer's filed inventory statement to fair market value. In re Tax Appeal of Wichita Bldg. Material Co., 14 K.A.2d 39, 779 P.2d 875 (1989).

amou of such tax credit exceeds the taxpayer's income tax liability for such taxable year, the taxpayer may carry over the amount thereof that exceeds such tax liability for deduction from the taxpayer's income tax liability in the next succeeding taxable year or years until the total amount of the tax credit has been deducted from tax liability, except that no such tax credit shall be carried over for deduction after the fourth taxable year succeeding the year in which the costs are incurred.

(c) The provisions of this section shall be applicable to all taxable years commencing after De-

cember 31, 1997.

(d) On or before the first day of the 1999, 2000 and 2001 regular legislative sessions, the secretary of revenue shall submit to the senate standing committee on energy and natural resources, the house standing committee on environment, the senate standing committee on assessment and taxation and the house standing committee on taxation a report of the number of taxpayers claiming the credit allowed by this section and the total amount of such credits claimed by all taxpayers. History: L. 1998, ch. 143, § 28; May 7.

79-32,205. Earned income tax credit.
(a) There shall be allowed as a credit against the tax liability of a resident individual imposed under the Kansas income tax act an amount equal to 10% for tax year 1998, and all tax years thereafter, of the amount of the earned income credit allowed against such taxpayer's federal income tax liability pursuant to section 32 of the federal internal revenue code for the taxable year in which such

credit was claimed against the taxpayer's federal income tax liability.

(b) If the amount of the credit allowed by subsection (a) exceeds the taxpayer's income tax liability imposed under the Kansas income tax act, such excess amount shall be refunded to the tax-

(c) The provisions of this section shall be applicable to all taxable years commencing after De-

cember 31, 1997.

History: L. 1998, ch. 130, § 22; July 1.

on commercial and industrial machinery and equipment. For all taxable years commencing after December 31, 1997, there shall be allowed as a credit against the tax liability of a taxpayer imposed under the Kansas income tax act, the premiums tax upon insurance companies imposed pursuant to K.S.A. 40-252, and amendments

thereto, and the privilege tax as measured by ne income of financial institutions imposed pursuant to article 11 of chapter 79 of the Kansas Statutes Annotated, an amount equal to 15% of the property tax levied for property tax year 1998, and all such years thereafter, actually and timely paid during an income or privilege taxable year upon commercial and industrial machinery and equipment classified for property taxation purposes pursuant to section 1 of article 11 of the Kansas constitution in subclass (5) or (6) of class 2 and machinery and equipment classified for such purposes in subclass (2) of class 2. If the amount of such tax credit exceeds the taxpayer's income tax liability for the taxable year, the amount thereof which exceeds such tax liability shall be refunded to the taxpayer. If the taxpayer is a corporation having an election in effect under subchapter S of the federal internal revenue code, a partnership or a limited liability company, the credit provided by this section shall be claimed by the shareholders of such corporation, the partners of such partnership or the members of such limited liability company in the same manner as such shareholders, partners or members account for their proportionate shares of the income or loss of the corporation, partnership or limited liability company. History: L. 1998, ch. 130, § 23; July 1.

79-32,207. Tax credit for plugging abandoned oil or gas well. (a) As used in this section, "abandoned oil or gas well" means an abandoned well, as defined by K.S.A. 2000 Supp. 55-191 and amendments thereto:

(1) The drilling of which was commenced be-

fore January 1, 1970; and

(2) which is located on land owned by the taxpayer claiming the tax credit allowed by this section.

(b) For any taxable year commencing after December 31, 1997, and before January 1, 2001, a credit shall be allowed against the tax imposed by the Kansas income tax act on the Kansas taxable income of a taxpayer for expenditures made for the purpose of plugging any abandoned oil or gas well in accordance with rules and regulations of the state corporation commission applicable thereto, in an amount equal to 50% of such expenditures made in the taxable year.

(c) If the amount of the tax credit allowed by this section exceeds the taxpayer's income tax liability for such taxable year, the amount thereof which exceeds such tax liability may be carried

249 26 PLAINS

NON PLAINS

							CENTS PER
D	ATE		TAL CR RGES	nts prr LWL	KWII URAGII	TOTAL CHANGES	PAPE 001010010
	Mar-88	443 85	9.66 8	0.1346726863 0.1416735319	443 376	\$ 35.07 \$ 30.34	0.080700766
	Apr-88 htay-88			0.1355750000	400	\$ 3231	0.060787 0.078787301
	han-88	436 \$6		0.1388584475	438 502	8 34.51 8 44.55	0.088744167
	Jul-88 Aug-88	869 \$1	07.60	01336304833	849 827	\$ 71 49 \$ 68.45	0.062271427 0.062767247
	Sep-88			I 0.1252720677 I 0.1262827822	877	5 62.60	0.071485317
	Oct-88 Nov-88	695 \$	79.44	8 0.1143021583 8 0.1252051836	695 463	\$ 52.68 \$ 36.32	0.078455093
	Dec-88	(I-177)			5000	\$ 448.43	0.079530039
1500	TOTALS			0.1284044143	423	\$ 30.10	0.079056565
	Jan-89 Feb-89			\$ 0.1041821946 \$ 0.1154926625	477	\$ 37.20	0.077965789 Q.(M) 273464
	M# 89		46.22	\$ 0 1191449914 \$ 0.1222751323	538 578	\$ 43.73 \$ 30.98	0.061970889
	Apr-89 May-89	410	48.67	\$ 0.1187073171	410 547	\$ 33.33 \$ 43.05	0.081296378 0.078708806
	Jun-89 Jul-89		60.91 577.79	S 0.1113528336 S 0.1022307622	761	5 64.41	0.084642368 0.08737855
	Aug-89		11541	8 0.1156100000 8 0.1100461661	1000	\$ 87.38 \$ 86.79	0.080133987
	Sep-89 Oct-89	925	102.57	\$ 0.1108864865 \$ 0.1607014682	925 613	5 46.45 5 46.92	0.073996434 0.076534229
	Nov-89		\$ 98.51 \$ 73.13	\$ 0.00597112#6	762	\$ 54.50	0.076771118
190	TOTALS	7977	91210	10.1142412210	7117	8 678 63	0.01009494]
	Jun-90		\$ 57.22	8 @ 1217446809	470 541	8 30.51 8 52 17	Q.081945859 Q.089794699
	Feb-90 Mar-90	340 310	8 71 71 3 43 55	\$ 0.1334251291 \$ 0.1319696970	370	8 32-44	0.098294889
	Apr-90	305 519	\$ 41.74 \$ 65.94	\$ 0.1368534590 \$ 0.1370530331	305 519	\$ 3043 \$ 47.30	0.09113632
	May-90 Jun-90	516	\$ 63.10	\$ 0.1222868217	516 785	\$ 47.83 \$ 76.90	0.092701997 0.097962275
	Jul-90 Aug-90		\$ 102.37 \$ 137.14	\$ 0.1304076433 \$ 0.1314860978	1043	8 96.70	0.092713312
	Sep-90	910	\$ 114.06	\$ 0.1253406593 \$ 0.1257521186	910 944	8 83.08 8 77.39	0.091298592
	Oai-90 Nov-90	944 757	\$ 118.71 \$ 103.10	8 @ 1361955086	757	8 64.35	0.085013193
	Dre-90	500	1 44.50	\$ 0.1308251473	500	\$ 44.94	
10	SIATOT 000	7649	\$ 985.23	\$ 0.1284491616	7649		0.090528016
	Jon-91	473 560	\$ 59.52 \$ 74.62	S 0.1256350951 S 0.1332500009	473 560	8 46.97 8 48.98	0.099295476 0.087463843
	Prb-91 Mar-91	415	5 51.84	8 0.1249156627	415	8 35.04 8 49.15	0.084422343 0.097704642
	Apr-91	505 460	\$ 66 32 \$ 60.70	\$ 0.1318489066 \$ 0.1319545217	460	\$ 41.92	0.091121789
	May-91 Jun-91	397	\$ 49.95	\$ 0.1250106390 \$ 0.1373226950	397 364	\$ 40.89 \$ 56.88	0.103006011
	. hd-91 Aug-91	564 935	\$ 77.45 \$ 134.05	8 @ 1433689840	935	8 79.41	0.084931234
	Sep-91	849 843	\$ 114.92 \$ 112.30	8 0.1345454545 8 0.1332147094	869 843		0.072399489
	Oct-91 Nov-91	741	\$ 98.26	8 0.1 32631 5789	741 570		0.076823126
	Dec-91	576	871.81	\$ 0.1242307543	733		0.086345469
7	INI TOTALS	7334	\$ 973.76	\$ 0.1327010004 \$ 0.1344574780	66:	2 11209V24V34V	0.090123106
	Jun-92 Feb-92	682 687	\$ 04.00 \$ 68.19	\$ 0.1283697234	687	\$ 60.72	6.068379375 6.068100199
	Mar-92	690 789	1 87.90 5 96.57	\$ 0.1273913043 \$ 0.1223954373	690 781		0.087579472
	Apr-92 May-92	843	\$ 108.25	\$ 0.1284104309	841 73		0.088203046
	Jun-92 Jul-92	731 956	\$ 96.29 \$ 120.69	\$ 0.1317236662 \$ 0.1263447699	95	6 \$ 91.98	0.096212293
	Aug-92	1336	\$ 203.58	S 0.1535294118	133	(F)	0.096803973
	Sep-92 Oct-93	1529 1366	\$ 220.30 8 182.28	\$ 0.1440810968 \$ 0.1334407028	134	5 S 108.77	0.07963943
	Nov-92	953	\$ 123 67 \$ 122.78	5 0.1299790136 5 0.1237701613	95		0.085314262 0.086666852
	Dec-93	11544	\$ 1,535.58	8 0.1330197505	115	44 \$ 1,035.05	0.009731021
-	1997 TQTALS			\$ 0.1182314410	91		0.009252751
	Jan-93 Feb-93	916 1141	\$ 108.30 \$ 130.97	\$ 0.1147852761	11	41 8 94.43	0.082757852 0.084545451
	Mar-03	995 748	\$ 112.66 \$ 86.62	8 0.1132261307 8 0.1138021390	91		0.088873752
	Apr-93 May-93	1004	3 119 49	\$ 0.1153378378 \$ 0.1150915073	10		0.083845282 0.087501104
	defes	900 978	8 120.34	\$ 0.1230470348	9	8 93.50	0.09359855
	Aug-93	1767 1550	\$ 240.83 \$ 213.91	8 0.1362931522 8 0.1380064516		167 \$ 161.68 150 \$ 139.70	0.090127049
	Sep-93 Oct-93	1378	\$ 101.71	8 0.1310650318		78 \$ 105.79 21 \$ 70.88	0.07676800)1 0.086334183
	Nov-93 Doc-93	831 831	8 107.50 8 125.95	\$ 0.1309376806 \$ 0.1249303968		208 8 85.26	0.0843855324
	1993 TOTALS	13247	\$ 1,452-86	8 0.1247730111	13	347 \$ 1,149.99	0.084811058
	INTIVIALE.						
	Jan-94	933	\$ 111.69	\$ 0.1197106109		933 \$ 79.79	0.085520845
	Peb-94	1150	\$ 129.47 \$ 140.63	\$ 0.1125826087 \$ 0.1251156584		1150 \$ 96.63 1124 \$ 97.16	0.086440743
	Mar-94 Apr-94	1124 924	\$ 115.72	\$ 0.1252380952		924 \$ 82.65 902 \$ 83.51	0.089446853 0.092579776
	May-94	902 787	\$ 110.57 \$ 89.60	\$ 0.1225831486 \$ 0.1138500635		787 \$ 76.89	0.097703596
	Jun-94 Jul-94	1156	\$ 151.06	\$ 0.1306747405		1156 \$ 116.64 2213 \$ 201.60	0.1008965 0.091096912
	Aug-94	2213 1413	\$ 295.56 \$ 186.40	\$ 0.1335562585 \$ 0.1319179052		1413 \$ 129.51	0.091658683
	Sep-94 Oct-94	1526	\$ 186.32	\$ 0.1230969856 \$ 0.1307284079		1526 \$ 118.93 961 \$ 83.64	0.087037833
	Nov-94 Dec-94	961 760	\$ 125.63 \$ 92.09	\$ 0.1211710536		760 \$ 69.23	0.091089606
خالا	1994 TOTALS	13849	8 1,734.74	\$ 0,1252610297		13849 \$ 1,234.18	0.089361156
	Jan-95	930	\$ 116.74	\$ 9.1255268817		930 \$ 85.73 935 \$ 84.62	0.092187658 0.090504745
	Peb-95	935 964	\$ 121.81 \$ 131.67	\$ 0.1302780749 \$ 0.1365871369		964 \$ 84.94	0.088113106
	Mar-95 Apr-95	866	\$ 118.51	8 Q. 1368475751 8 Q. 1333846154		866 \$ 78.69 585 \$ 54.40	0.09298545
	May-95 Jun-95	585 877	8 78.03 8 116.31	\$ 0.1326225770		877 \$ 80.66 539 \$ 55.99	0.691971192 0.103872771
	Jul-95	539 1519	\$ 81.25 \$ 239.01	\$ 0.1507421150 \$ 0.1573469388		1519 \$ 146.41	0.096384813
	Aug-95 Sep-95	1870	\$ 280.54	\$ 0.1500313904		1870 \$ 165.07 1947 \$ 139.86	0.088271671
	Oct-95 Nov-95	1947 776	\$ 240.94 \$ 107.22	\$ 0.1237493580 \$ 0.1381701031		776 \$ 68.50	0.088369724
		110-0	\$ 1,632.03 11/4/14/14/14/14/14	\$ 0.1302139220 	maniania	11600 \$ 1,044.07 1441111111111111111111111111111111111	0.068457967 6147147471818144411114
	Jun-05	5890	\$ 757.48	\$ 0.1286044143		5899 \$ 46B.43 7977 \$ 638.92	0.079530039 0.080094947
	Jun-05	7977 7669	\$ 912.10	\$ 0.1143412310 \$ 0.1284691616		7669 \$ 694.26	0.090528016
٠,	Jun-05 Jun-05	7669	\$ 973.76	\$ 0.1327010084		7334 \$ 633.75	0.086365469
	Jun-05	11544	\$ 1,535.5	\$ 0.1330197505		11544 \$ 1,035.85	
	Jun-05	13247	\$ 1,652.8			13247 \$ 1,149.95 13849 \$ 1,236.11	
	Jun-05 Jun-05	13849	\$ 1,734.7 \$ 1,632.0			11808 \$ 1,044.8	
***			\$ 10,183.5			79322 \$ 6,902.2	0.087015496
	RIATOT	79322	a 14,18.1.1			Accepted to the	

Stan Clark's Annual Electric Bill Comparison

Year	Plains Charges	Non-Plains Charges	Difference	% of Charges over Non- Plains
1988	\$ 757.48	\$ 468.43	\$289.05	61.71%
1989	\$ 912.10	\$ 638.92	\$273.18	42.76%
1990	\$ 985.23	\$ 694.26	\$290.97	41.91%
1991	\$ 973.76	\$ 633.75	\$340.01	53.65%
1992	\$1535.46	\$1035.85	\$499.61	48.24%
1993	\$1652.88	\$1149.99	\$502.89	43.73%
1994	\$1734.74	\$1236.18	\$498.56	40.33%
1995	\$1632.03	\$1044.87	\$587.16	56.19%
1999	\$1267.14	\$ 930.16	\$336.98	36.23%

THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

MICHAEL LENNEN, CHAIRMAN RICHARD C. (PETE) LOUX PHILLIP R. DICK BEFORE COMMISSIONERS:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SUNFLOWER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., FOR APPROVAL OF THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION TO MAKE CERTAIN CHANGES IN ITS CHARGES FOR SALE OF ELECTRICITY TO ITS MEMBER COOPERATIVES.

DOCKET NO. 137,068-U

ORDER

CONSIDERATION AND MATTER COMES ON FOR NOM. THIS DETERMINATION BY THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE CF KANSAS UPON THE APPLICATION OF SUNFLOWER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF THE COMMISSION TO MAKE CERTAIN CHANGES IN ITS CHARGES FOR SALE OF ELECTRICITY TO ITS MEMBER COOPERATIVES.

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL WERE AS FOLLOWS:

L. EARL WATKINS, JR., GREAT BEND, KANSAS, AND JACK GLAVES, ELECTRIC THE APPLICANT SUNFLOWER FOR WICHITA, COOPERATIVE, INC.;

GERARD LITTLE, GARDEN CITY, KANSAS, FOR INTERVENORS THE CITIES OF GARDEN CITY, LAKIN AND LEGTI, KANSAS;

SAM W. G. LOWE, COLBY, KANSAS, LESLIE R. KEHL, DENVER, DENVER, COLORADO COLORADO, AND ALVIN J. MEIKLEJOHN, INTERVENOR GREAT PLAINS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.;

JAMES M. MILLIKEN, ST. FRANCIS, KANSAS, FOR INTERVENOR NORTHWEST KANSAS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.;

INTERVENOR BRANTLEY, SCOTT CITY, FOR KANSAS, WHEATLAND ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

WILLIAM J. RYAN, NORTON, KANSAS, FOR INTERVENOR NORTON-DECATUR COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC Co. INC.;

E. F. RUSSELL, ULYSSES, KANSAS, FOR INTERVENOR PIONEER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

HARRY A. WAITE, DODGE CITY, KANSAS, AND LESLIE R. KEHL AND ALVIN J. MEIKLEJOHN, DENVER, COLORADO, FOR INTERVENOR VICTORY ·ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION, INC.;

HOLCOMB WAS IN EXCESS OF CURRENT POWER REQUIREMENTS.

MR. THOMPSON TESTIFIED THAT SUNFLOWER EXPECTED TO USE ONLY 50% OF HOLCOMB TO MEET ITS MEMBER REQUIREMENTS. HE STATED THAT LOAD DURATION CURVE AND REDISPATCH STUDIES INDICATED THAT 50% OF HOLCOMB WOULD MEET PRESENT BASE LOAD REQUIREMENTS. MR. SCHNOSE TESTIFIED THAT IF THE ENTIRE HOLCOMB PLANT WERE PLACED IN RATE BASE AT THIS TIME, RATE LEVELS WOULD DOUBLE FROM 1982 LEVELS. HE CALLED SUCH A RESULT "A GROSS INEQUITY ON TODAY'S MEMBERS" BECAUSE THEY WOULD BE PAYING FOR PLANT IN EXCESS OF CURRENT NEEDS.

- 7. THE EVIDENCE DEMONSTRATES THAT THE TOTAL CAPACITY RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO SUNFLOWER EQUALS 624MW, WHILE THE MAXIMUM MEMBER LOAD OVER THE LAST FIVE YEARS HAS BEEN 267MW IN 1981.

 Thus, Sunflower has approximately twice its required capacity with Holcomb on line. It is estimated that Holcomb's capacity factor for its first year of operation will be 42.5% as compared with a typical capacity factor of approximately 65% for most coal-fired plants.
- THE ONLY PARTY TO ACTIVELY OPPOSE THE PLACING OF 50% OF THE PLANT IN RATE BASE WAS GARDEN CITY, A CONTRACT CUSTOMER OF WHEATLAND ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. ITS WITNESSES, WHILE NOT OPPOSING THE DEFERRAL CONCEPT, CONTENDED THAT SUNFLOWER HAD NOT ADEQUATELY SUPPORTED THE PLACING OF 50% OF THE PLANT IN RATE BASE AT THIS TIME. GARDEN CITY ADVOCATED PLACING NONE OF HOLCOMB IN RATE BASE UNTIL SUNFLOWER COULD MORE ADEQUATELY QUANTIFY THE PERCENTAGE OF THE PLANT PRESENTLY NEEDED. DR. YOKELL FURTHER ASSERTED THAT AT MOST 35% RATHER THAN 50% OF HOLCOMB IS NEEDED BY CURRENT RATEPAYERS TO PROVIDE FOR LOWER FUEL COSTS, AND THEREFORE IF ANY OF HOLCOMB WERE TO BE PLACED IN RATE BASE IT SHOULD BE 35% RATHER THAN 50%. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT REPRESENTATIVES OF GARDEN CITY APPEARED AT THE SITING HEARINGS IN 1978, AND TESTIFIED STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE HOLCOMB PLANT. EVEN IF THE ORIGINAL \$277 MILLION FIGURE WERE USED AS A BASIS OF COMPARISON, WHAT SUNFLOWER PROPOSES TO PLACE INTO RATE BASE AT THIS TIME IS AT LEAST \$80 MILLION LESS THAN WHAT GARDEN

DISSENT

LITTLE THOUGHT BY APPLICANT WAS EVER GIVEN TO THE ULTIMATE COST TO RATEPAYERS OR ITS NEGATIVE EFFECTS UPON THE SOUTHWEST KANSAS ECONOMY. IN MY OPINION, THE MAJOR FACTOR WAS INCREASING THE TAX BASE OF FINNEY COUNTY, NOT WHAT THE EFFECTS OF THE EXTREME COST OF ENERGY TO CUSTOMERS WITH RESIDENTIAL AND BUSINESS. NOW THE APPLICANT, WITH THE MAJORITY CONCURRENCE HAS COMMENCED A NEW SCHEME TO HIDE THE TRUE COSTS TO ALL RATEPAYERS.

ADOPTION OF THE MAJORITY'S PLAN IS ESPECIALLY DECEIVING BECAUSE IT PROMISES A SOLUTION WHICH IS BOTH UNWORKABLE AND INEFFECTIVE. IT WILL CREATE A FALSE SENSE OF SECURITY FOR THE RATEPAYER WHERE NONE EXISTS.

TODAY'S DECISION BY THE MAJORITY REPRESENTS AN APPARENT ABANDON-MENT BY THE COMMISSION OF ITS LEGAL RESPONSIBILITIES TO THIS APPLICANT'S MEMBER RATEPAYERS AND CUSTOMERS. ADOPTING APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL TO PLACE PART OF ITS NEWLY CONSTRUCTED BUT NOT YET FULLY OPERATIONAL HOLCOMB PLANT INTO ITS RATE BASE, THE COMMISSION HAS CHOSEN TO REWARD COLOSSAL MANAGEMENT BLUNDERS BY THE TRUSTEES OF SUNFLOWER AND PASS THE COSTS OF THE PATENTLY UNNECESSARY FACILITY TO APPLICANT'S COOPERATIVE MEMBERS AND CONTRACTUAL CUSTOMERS OF GARDEN CITY. AS I SEE NO COMPELLING REASON TO ABANDON SETTLED REGULATORY PRINCIPLES, PRIOR DECISIONS OF THIS COMMISSION, AND THE CLEAR PROVISIONS SET FORTH BY THE KANSAS LEGISLATURE AT K.S.A. 66-101 ET SEQ., I MUST VIGOROUSLY DISSENT.

RATIONALES, AND ARGUMENTS PRESENTED THIS COMMISSION IN APPLICANT'S SITING PERMIT FOR THE HOLCOMB PLANT, DOCKET NO. 114,010-U, WERE ILL-CONCEIVED, FALSE, AND EVEN DUPLICITOUS. WHAT WAS ONCE REPRESENTED TO BE AN ECONOMIC BOON TO THE ECONOMY OF SOUTHWESTERN KANSAS HAS TRANSFORMED INTO A HUGE "WHITE ELEPHANT" WITH CRUSHING FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS.

FROM THE EVIDENCE IT IS CLEAR THAT SUBSEQUENT TO OUR REFERENCED SITING PERMIT DECISION (FROM WHICH I DISSENTED) ON OCTOBER 23, 1978, APPLICANT'S MANAGEMENT BECAME AWARE ITS ORIGINAL LOAD GROWTH AND COST PROJECTIONS WERE IN ERROR. NONETHELESS, APPLICANT "...PLUNGED BLINDLY AHEAD...", AS THE MAJORITY NOTES, WITH A SEEMING INDIFFERENCE TO THE REAL CONSEQUENCES OF THEIR DECISION.

APPLICANT'S AVAILABLE TOTAL CAPACITY IS PRESENTLY 624 MW THOUGH THE MAXIMUM MEMBER LOAD OVER THE PAST FIVE YEARS HAS BEEN 267 MW IN 1981. THIS WOULD INDICATE EXCESS CAPACITY OF APPROXIMATELY ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-THREE PERCENT (133%). THAT IS, SIMPLY PUT, APPALLING.

APPLICANT'S PROPOSED "SOLUTION" IS TO DEFER FIFTY PER CENT
OF THE PLANT OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS WHILE PLACING FIFTY PER CENT
PRESENTLY IN RATE BASE. APPLICANT'S RATIONALE IS THAT THE ADDITION
OF THE ENTIRE HOLCOMB PLANT WOULD CAUSE RATES TO DOUBLE, DEPRESS THE
AGRICULTURAL ECONOMY, AND IMPOSE AN INEQUITY ON TODAY'S MEMBERS FORCING

C

THEM TO PAY FOR PLANT IN EXCESS OF CURRENT NEEDS. MORE PLAINLY PUT, APPLICANT SEEKS TO CHARGE ONLY WHAT THE TRAFFIC WILL BEAR.

PERSUADED BY APPLICANT'S CASE, THE MAJORITY SEES NO SOLUTION OTHER THAN PLACING PART OF THE UNNEEDED PLANT IN RATE BASE. TO DO OTHERWISE, THE MAJORITY ASSERTS, WOULD BE IRRESPONSIBLE AND IN DERELICTIO OF DUTY. FORECLOSING THE OBVIOUS OPTION AVAILABLE TO APPLICANT, THE MAJORITY FINDS "NO REASON" TO BELIEVE THE REA WOULD FINANCE THE PLANT AND STATES THE REA WOULD EVEN "INSIST" THAT 100% OF THE PLANT BE PLACED IN RATE BASE UPON DEFAULT.

THE MAJORITY HAS, BY THIS ORDER, THROWN ITS HANDS UP AND ANNOUNCED THERE'S NOTHING TO BE DONE. RATHER THAN HOLD APPLICANT TO THE STRICT STANDARD OF PROOF PREVIOUSLY REQUIRED BY THE COMMISSION IN RATE PROCEEDIN SEE KG&E INTERIM DOCKET NO. 117,222-U AND SWB DOCKET NO. 117,220-U. THE MAJORITY INSTEAD PLACES 47% OF THE PLANT IN RATE BASE IN SPITE OF OVERWHELMING AND UNCONTROVERTED EVIDENCE THAT THE PLANT IS NOT NEEDED. PREVIOUSLY, THE COMMISSION REQUIRED A PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE THAT PUBLIC UTILITY PROPERTY PROPOSED FOR RATE BASE INCLUSION BE "...USED OR REQUIRED TO BE USED..." K.S.A. 66-128. THE MAJORITY WOULD NOW CARVE AN EXCEPTION TO THAT STATUTE FOR APPLICANT. K.S.A. 66-128 IS IGNORED AND THE HOLCOMB ADDITION TO RATE BASE IS ACCEPTED FOR TO DO OTHERWISE WOULD BE "...IRRESPONSIBLE..."

THE COMMISSION'S RESPONSIBILITY IS TO SUPERVISE AND CONTROL PUBLIC UTILITIES INCLUDING THIS APPLICANT, AND TO SET RATES THAT ARE JUST AND REASONABLE. SPECULATION AS TO THE CAUSE AND EFFECT OF A POSSIBLE DEFAULT DO NOT NEGATE THAT RESPONSIBILITY. THE REACTIONS OF THE REA TO A PROSPECTIVE DEFAULT BY SUNFLOWER IS IMPOSSIBLE TO DETERMINE. BUT THE DECISION OF THE MAJORITY TO PLACE 47% OF THE COST OF APPLICANT'S UNNEEDED PLANT IN THEIR RATE BASE WILL CREATE AN ONEROUS BURDEN FOR MANY RESIDENTIAL RATEPAYERS.

APPLICANT HAS NOT SHOWN SUBSTANTIAL REPORTS TO RESCHEDULE ITS DEBTS WITH REA WHICH PROMOTED THE HOLCOMB GENERATION FACILITY FROM ITS INCEPTION. APPLICANT SEEKS, AND THE MAJORITY AUTHORIZES BY ITS ORDER, A MORE EXPEDIENT SOLUTION: INTERIM RATE RELIEF FOR A PLANT THAT MAY NEVER BE NEEDED. RATHER THAN "BAIL OUT" APPLICANT'S GROSS MISCALCULATIONS AND FISCAL IRRESPONSIBILITY, I WOULD PERMIT APPLICANT'S MANAGEMENT FAILURES TO RUN THEIR NATURAL COURSE.

2-14