Approved: May 31, 2002

#### MINUTES OF THE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Michael R. O'Neal at 12:00 p.m. on April 11, 2002 in Room 524-S of the Capitol.

# All members were present except:

Representative Karen DiVita - Excused Representative Peggy Long - Excused Representative Dean Newton - Excused Representative Candy Ruff - Excused Representative Clark Shultz - Excused Representative Dale Swenson - Excused

### Committee staff present:

Jerry Ann Donaldson, Department of Legislative Research Sherman Parks, Department of Revisor of Statutes Cindy O'Neal, Committee Secretary

#### SB 297 - Uniform Trust Code

A balloon amendment from the Kansas Bar Association was distributed. (Attachment 1)

The Chairman explained that a problem exists that due to the amount of time left in the House Chambers it might be easier to pass the bill out of committee without amendments and if agreed to by the committee, place them on the bill during debate on the floor. If amendments are made in committee then it will take an additional day or two before the bill would be ready for debate.

Committee members were concerned with the spend thrift provision of the bill. <u>Representative Pauls made</u> the motion to reinstate sections 42 & 43. Representative Lloyd seconded the motion. The motion failed.

Representative Loyd made the motion to report **SB 297** favorably for passage, with the understanding that the suggested amendments by the Kansas Bar Association would be done on the House Floor during debate. Representative Crow seconded the motion. The motion carried.

The committee meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.



1200 SW Harrison St. P.O. Box 1037 Topeka, Kansas 66601-1037 Telephone (785) 234-5696 FAX (785) 234-3813 www.ksbar.org

**ASSOCIATION** 

## **MEMORANDUM**

April 8, 2002

TO:

Members of the House Judiciary Committee

FROM:

Paul Davis, KBA Legislative Counsel

William Q. Martin, General Counsel and Trust Officer

The Smith County State Bank & Trust Co.

RE:

Senate Bill 297 (Uniform Trust Code)

During hearings before the Senate Judiciary Committee, the Kansas Bar Association opposed the provisions of Senate Bill 297, primarily due to the existence of Section 42 and 43 of the proposed legislation; these provisions added exceptions to spendthrift provisions of current Kansas law. These provisions were supported by the Probate Advisory Committee of the Kansas Judicial Council, but as the result of a joint meeting of Kansas Bar Association and the Probate Advisory Committee, it was agreed that these provisions should be stricken from Senate Bill 297.

During testimony before the House Judiciary Committee, a representative of the Uniform Laws Commission provided testimony in favor of these provisions. Testifying on behalf of the Kansas Bar Association, Bill Martin restated the position of the bar on this issue. The Kansas Bar Association strongly opposes the reinsertion of these provisions.

It is still the strong position of the Kansas Bar Association that the provisions of Section 42 and 43 should not be included in the final version of the proposed legislation and that the addition of these provisions would be detrimental. A few of the reasons for the position of the KBA are listed herein:

Grantors of trusts should continue to have the ability to direct the ultimate disposition of their property as they may desire. Grantors of trusts are NOT able to shelter their assets from their own creditors. (see K.S.A. 33-101 and Ackers v. First National Bank, 192 Kan. 319, 387 P.2d 840 (1963). However, current Kansas law does not impose any obligation to provide for, or subject trust assets, to the creditors of other third party beneficiaries or their creditors. This right would be considerably weakened should these exceptions to the spendthrift law be adopted.

The general policy of Kansas has been to allow for people to distribute property to or for the benefit of others, including the right of disinheritance. This right should be retained. Assets placed in trust by a grantor for the benefit of beneficiaries remain subject to the directive of the grantor and are not the property

of the beneficiary. Kansas has held spendthrift provisions to be valid as to income and principal in trust (*In re Watts*, 160 Kan. 377, 162 P. 2d 82 (1945)

Adoption of the exceptions to the Spendthrift rights may cause some grantors to purposely disinherit family members. Without the ability to shelter assets from a class of creditors, some grantors may opt to totally disinherit family members. This would create a draconian effect in many family situations by encouraging outright disinheritance in lieu of holding assets in trust to benefit these same family members. Few, if any states have actually adopted the provisions of the Restatement of Trusts that provide for exceptions to the spendthrift power; the trend is toward more asset protection, rather than less protection of trust assets. Although the Restatement of Trusts has addressed this issue, we have been unable to find court rulings of legislative actions that have actually adopted these provisions. Indeed, the national trend is to encourage more asset protection in trusts.

One of the areas of dispute in this area has been in the tort claim area. In spite of the lack of a significant number of commentators calling for a change and no feeling bridled by the absence of judicial precedent, The Mississippi Supreme Court in the case of *Sligh v. First National Bank of Holmes County*, 704 So. 2d 1020 (Miss. 1997) became the first state to create a common law exception to spendthrift trusts with respect to tort claims. However, the consternation following the *Sligh* decision was immediate. The Mississippi legislature in 1998 overrode the *Sligh* decision with the enactment of the Family Trust Preservation Act.

Due to the strong current Kansas policy in favor of spendthrift provisions, and the national trend toward more asset protection in trusts, the Kansas Bar Association urges this policy to continue. The existence of a trust created to benefit a trust beneficiary does not weaken the rights of creditors to reach all assets of that beneficiary. Should any creditor, including a spouse, former spouse or child, have a claim against a trust beneficiary, all assets and income of that beneficiary, including all assets distributed to that beneficiary, can be reached by these creditors. The assets of the beneficiary may be attached and the wages of any beneficiary can be garnished to satisfy the rights of these creditors.

The very existence of a trust created to benefit a trust beneficiary may cause a court to increase the support obligations of a trust beneficiary. Even if a trust is a third party trust created by another to benefit a beneficiary, and even if the trust has full spendthrift protection, the very existence of the trust, and the fact that possible distributions may be made to or for the benefit of the beneficiary, may cause a court to consider these possible resources in awarding support awards.

The loss of spendthrift protection will cause counsel to advise their clients to move trusts out of the state of Kansas. Should other states retain favorable spendthrift provisions, many trust grantors will be prompted to move the administration of trusts to these states and out of the state of Kansas.

## Summary

For the reasons stated above, the Kansas Bar Association STRONGLY OPPOSES the reinsertion of the provisions of Section 42 and 43 in Senate Bill 297. We also urge you to adopt the attached balloon amendments. We have worked on several of these amendments with the Trust Division of the Kansas Bankers Association. Additionally, we have shared these amendments with the Judicial Council Probate Advisory Committee and they have no objection to their adoption.

This is a significant piece of legislation to the members of the KBA and we sincerely thank you for your consideration.

# LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY

#### KANSAS BAR ASSOCIATION

1200 SW Harrison St. P.O. Box 1037 Topeka, Kansas 66601-1037 Telephone (785) 234-5696 FAX (785) 234-3813 www.ksbar.org 4/1/02

TO:

CHAIRMAN MIKE O'NEAL AND MEMBERS OF THE

HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

FROM:

**BILL MARTIN** 

RE:

SENATE BILL 297 (UNIFORM TRUST CODE)

Chairman O'Neal and Members of the Committee:

I thank you for the opportunity to present this testimony today on behalf of the Kansas Bar Association. My name is Bill Martin and reside in Smith Center. I am a member of the KBA Real Estate, Probate & Trust Law Section and have served as a member of the special KBA committee that has reviewed the Uniform Trust Code (UTC).

Our special committee has spent many hours reviewing the UTC in detail. This committee is comprised of Mike Dwyer of Overland Park, Royce Nelson of Salina, Peter Johnston of Salina, Terry Fry of Wichita, Tim O'Sullivan of Wichita, Jim Bush of Hiawatha and Doug Pringle of Wichita. We originally had a number of concerns about the Code, but through discussions with the members of the Kansas Judicial Council Probate Advisory Committee, we have been able to resolve those concerns. The amendments to the bill that were offered in the Senate Judiciary Committee are representative of changes that the KBA recommended to the bill and were agreed upon by the Judicial Council.

We do have a few largely technical amendments to present to you today. We have discussed these amendments with the Judicial Council and we believe they are agreeable to the Council. As I mentioned, these amendments are technical in nature but I want to walk you through them briefly.

I appreciate your consideration of Senate Bill 297 and respectfully request adoption of the amendments that are presented in the attached balloon. I am happy to stand for any questions you have. Thank you!

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

- (7) "Interests of the beneficiaries" means the beneficial interests provided in the terms of the trust.
- (8) "Jurisdiction," with respect to a geographic area, includes a state or country.
- (9) "Person" means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, government; governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality; public corporation, or any other legal or commercial entity.

(10) "Power of withdrawal" means a presently exercisable general power of appointment other than a power exercisable only upon consent of the trustee or a person holding an adverse interest.

(11) "Property" means anything that may be the subject of ownership, whether real or personal, legal or equitable, or any interest therein.

(12) "Qualified beneficiary" means a beneficiary who, on the date of the beneficiary's qualification is determined; possesses a vested income interest or vested remainder interest in a trust.

- (A) Is a distributee or permissible distributee of trust income or principal;
- (B) would be a distributee or permissible distributee of trust income or principal if the interests of the distributees described in subparagraph (A) terminated on that date; or
- —(C)—would be a distributee or permissible distributee of trust income or principal if the trust terminated on that date.

(13) "Revocable," as applied to a trust, means revocable by the settlor without the consent of the trustee or a person holding an adverse interest.

(14) "Settlor" means a person, including a testator, who creates, or contributes property to, a trust. If more than one person creates or contributes property to a trust, each person is a settlor of the portion of the trust property attributable to that person's contribution except to the extent another person has the power to revoke or withdraw that portion.

(15) "Spendthrift provision" means a term of a trust which restrains both voluntary and involuntary transfer of a beneficiary's interest.

(16) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. The term includes an Indian tribe or band recognized by federal law or formally acknowledged by a state.

(17) "Terms of a trust" means the manifestation of the settlor's intent regarding a trust's provisions as expressed in the trust instrument or as may be established by other evidence that would be admissible in a judicial proceeding.

(18) "Trust instrument" means an instrument executed by the settlor that contains terms of the trust, including any amendments thereto.

is determined:

(A) Is a distributee of trust income or principal; or

(B) would be a distributee of trust income or principal if the trust terminated on that date.

either

or

1-5

J

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Sec. 10. (UTC 110) OTHERS TREATED AS QUALIFIED BENEFICIARIES. (a) Whenever notice to qualified beneficiaries of a trust is required under this code, the trustee must also give notice to any other beneficiary who has sent the trustee a request for notice.

—(b) A charitable organization expressly entitled mandated to receive benefits distributions under the terms of a trust or a person appointed to enforce a trust created for the care of an animal or another noncharitable purpose as provided in section 29 or 30, and amendments thereto, has the rights of a qualified beneficiary under this code.

- (e) (b) The attorney general of this state has the rights of a qualified beneficiary with respect to a charitable trust having its principal place of administration in this state.
- Sec. 11. (UTC 111) NONJUDICIAL SETTLEMENT AGREE-MENTS. (a) For purposes of this section, "interested persons" means persons whose consent would be required in order to achieve a binding settlement were the settlement to be approved by the court.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (c), interested persons may enter into a binding nonjudicial settlement agreement with respect to any matter involving a trust.
- (c) A nonjudicial settlement agreement is valid only to the extent it does not violate a material purpose of the trust and includes terms and conditions that could be properly approved by the court under this code or other applicable law.
- Matters that may be resolved by a nonjudicial settlement agreement include:
  - (1) The interpretation or construction of the terms of the trust;
- (2) the The approval of a trustee's report or accounting;
- (3) direction to a trustee to refrain from performing a particular act or the grant to a trustee of any necessary or desirable power;
- 30 (4) (2) the resignation or appointment of a trustee and the determi-31 nation of a trustee's compensation; 32
  - (5) (3) transfer of a trust's principal place of administration; and
  - (6) (4) liability of a trustee for an action relating to the trust.
  - (e) Any interested person may request the court to approve a nonjudicial settlement agreement, to determine whether the representation as provided in article 3 of this code was adequate, and to determine whether the agreement contains terms and conditions the court could have properly approved.
  - Sec. 12. (UTC 112) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION. The rules of construction that apply in this state to the interpretation of and disposition of property by will also apply as appropriate to the interpretation of the terms of a trust and the disposition of the trust property.
    - Sec. 13. (UTC 201) ROLE OF COURT IN ADMINISTRATION

are limited to

31, 2000.

(d) The provisions of this section shall be effective as to all trusts not construed prior to the effective date of this act.

Sec. 35. (UTC 414) TERMINATION OF UNECONOMIC TRUST. (a) After notice to the qualified beneficiaries, the trustee of a trust consisting of trust property having a total value less than \$100,000 may terminate the trust if the trustee concludes that the value of the trust property is insufficient to justify the cost of administration.

- (b) The court may modify or terminate a trust or remove the trustee and appoint a different trustee if it determines that the value of the trust property is insufficient to justify the cost of administration.
- (c) Upon termination of a trust under this section, the trustee shall distribute the trust property in a manner consistent with the purposes of the trust.
- (d) This section does not apply to an easement for conservation or preservation.
- Sec. 36. (UTC 415) REFORMATION TO CORRECT MISTAKES. The court may reform the terms of a trust, even if unambiguous, to conform the terms to the settlor's intention if it is proved by clear and convincing evidence that both the settlor's intent and the terms of the trust were affected by a mistake of fact or law, whether in expression or inducement.
- Sec. 37. (UTC 416) MODIFICATION TO ACHIEVE SETTLOR'S TAX OBJECTIVES. To achieve the settlor's tax objectives, the court may modify the terms of a trust in a manner that is not contrary to the settlor's probable intention. The court may provide that the modification has retroactive effect.
- Sec. 38. (UTC 417) COMBINATION AND DIVISION OF TRUSTS. (a) After notice to the qualified beneficiaries, a trustee may combine two or more trusts into a single trust or divide a trust into two or more separate trusts, if the result does not impair rights of any beneficiary or adversely affect achievement of the purposes of the trust. The trustee may make a division under this section by:
- (1) Giving written notice of the division, not later than the 30th day before the date of a division under this subsection, to each qualified beneficiary; and
- (2) executing a written instrument, acknowledged before a notary public or other person authorized to take acknowledgments of conveyances of real estate stating that the trust has been divided pursuant to this section and that the notice requirements of this subsection have been satisfied.
- (b) A trustee, in the written instrument dividing a trust, shall allocate trust property among the separate trusts on a fractional basis by identi-

(e) This section does not apply to any trust if its assets are distributable to the trustee or anyone the trustee is obligated to support.

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

32

33

34

- present or future distributions to or for the benefit of the beneficiary or other means. The court may limit the award to such relief as is appropriate under the circumstances.
  - Sec. 41. (UTC 502) SPENDTHRIFT PROVISION. (a) A spend-thrift provision is valid only if it restrains both voluntary and involuntary transfer of a beneficiary's interest.
  - (b) A term of a trust providing that the interest of a beneficiary is held subject to a "spendthrift trust," or words of similar import, is sufficient to restrain both voluntary and involuntary transfer of the beneficiary's interest.
  - (c) A beneficiary may not transfer an interest in a trust in violation of a valid spendthrift provision and, except as otherwise provided in this article, a creditor or assignee of the beneficiary may not reach the interest or a distribution by the trustee before its receipt by the beneficiary.
  - Sec. 42. (UTC 503) EXCEPTIONS TO SPENDTHRIFT PRO-VISION. (a) As used in this section, "child" includes any person for whom an order or judgment for child support has been entered in this or another state.
  - (b) Even if a trust contains a spendthrift provision, a beneficiary's child, spouse, or former spouse who has a judgment or court order against the beneficiary for support or maintenance, or a judgment creditor who has provided services for the protection of a beneficiary's interest in the trust, may obtain from a court an order attaching present or future distributions to or for the benefit of the beneficiary.
  - (e) A spendthrift provision is unenforceable against a claim of this state, subdivisions thereof, or the United States to the extent a statute of this state or federal law so provides.
- Sec. 43. (UTC 504) DISCRETIONARY TRUSTS; EFFECT OF
  STANDARD. (a) As used in this section, "child" includes any person
  for whom an order or judgment for child support has been entered in
  this or another state.
  - (b) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (c), whether or not a trust contains a spendthrift provision, a creditor of a beneficiary may not compel a distribution that is subject to the trustee's discretion, even if:
- 35 (1)—The discretion is expressed in the form of a standard of distri-36 bution; or
- 37 (2) the trustee has abused the discretion.
- 38 (e)—To the extent a trustee has not complied with a standard of dis-39 tribution or has abused a discretion:
- 40 (1) A distribution may be ordered by the court to satisfy a judgment 41 or court order against the beneficiary for support or maintenance of the 42 beneficiary's child, spouse, or former spouse; and
- 43 (2) the court shall direct the trustee to pay to the child, spouse, or

Whether or not a trust contains a spendthrift provision a creditor of a beneficiary may not compel a distribution that is subject to the trusteels discretion.