Approved:\_
Date 4/10/02

#### MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Senator David Adkins at 4:30 p.m. on March 28, 2002 in Room 235-N of the Capitol.

All members were present.

Committee staff present:
Mary Galligan, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Kathie Sparks, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Sandy Sadowski, Kansas Legislative Research Department
Mary Ann Torrence, Revisor of Statutes
Judy Swanson, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee: Senator Dwayne Umbarger Senator Jim Barone Senator Robert Tyson Senator John Vratil

Others attending: See attached guest list

Senator Hensley stated that the only version of a reapportionment map that had proper technical review was the one he had distributed to Senators on the Floor of the Senate, Senate Plan 21AA. (Attachment 1)

Senator Hensley moved to amend Senate Plan 21AA into SB256 and report favorable for passage. Senator Huelskamp seconded the motion.

Discussion followed

Senator Huelskamp said his district had been 4.9% over, but in this proposal that had been lowered. Senator Teichman was concerned about the distance she has to travel to cover her district. Senators Corbin, Allen, Haley, Jenkins, Pugh and Huelskamp said that they had no objections to Plan 21AA.

Senator Schmidt said there had been a great deal of give and take in this process, and that the 15<sup>th</sup> District going into Franklin County gave him nine counties to represent. He questioned the necessity of this move.

In response to Senator Jenkins'question, Chairman Adkins stated that the two issues of greatest importance which had not been resolved were the Southeast Kansas area represented by Senators Umbarger and Barone and extension of the 11<sup>th</sup> Senate district south as requested by Senator Vratil.

Senator Hensley said Barone did not like the way his district was drawn in the plan originally passed by the Senate, but he voted for it anyway. Jenkins questioned why Senator Barone would not want to retain 100% of his current district.

Senator Allen said she had an issue with her district as included in Senate Plan 12 and has visited with Senator O'Connor about it.

Senator Umbarger addressed the Committee and said he thought Senator Barone should be satisfied if he retained his entire district. He presented a proposal for his district. (Attachment 2)

Senator Barone responded to Senator Umbarger by saying that as few counties as possible should be split.

#### CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT at on March 28, 2002 in Room 535-N of the Capitol.

He pointed out that no one ever testified that Crawford County should be split. He said his objection to keeping his current district was that he felt it did not treat constituents fairly. He said Cherokee is already split, but Columbus is a neutral territory.

Senator Tyson said it is harder to split a county with one population center. He did not want to lose any more of Anderson County.

Senators Pugh and Hensley stated they felt many concessions had been made.

Senator Umbarger said he met with Senator Barone earlier in the week and agreed to changes, but he did not agree to the whole map because he did not know what ramifications to the entire state would be. He said the map was drawn to put someone out of Barone's district into his district, and that was all about politics, not redistricting.

Senator Vratil said that he has heard no reason why his district should not be extended to 167<sup>th</sup> Street. Senator Hensley said that the charge was to take people out of Vratil's district and they did that.

A vote was taken on Senator Hensley's motion, and the motion passed.


Chairman Adkins stated there is a bill containing a congressional map on the Floor of the Senate, and it was up to leadership to determine when that bill would be debated.

The meeting adjourned at 5:25 p.m.

# SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE GUEST LIST

DATE 3-28-02

NAME	REPRESENTING
Joey Bahr	House Democrats
Stan Clark	Sent
Then Live	Deule
lindy give	Nows -
hitelanh	Sent
Joshua Cewis	Sercitor Teichman
Robert Tyson	Semite
Mautin Hawver	Hauver's Capital Report
John Vratil	Senate
Dwayne Umbager	Sancte
BOB LYON	Serate
Lane Kren	Sevate
Mass Jaddiken	Sevate.
Natalie Haag	Hovernois Office
Lieta Goddwin	Devate
June Rutherford	Senate oggice
	U V
	,




### Senate Plan 21 AA

Western Kansas

page 2 of 10






# Senate Plan 21 AA Eastern Kansas

page 3 of 10



NOTE: In urban areas, VTD boundaries and highways have been hidden so that other information can be displayed. Detailed maps of urban areas follow this map.



W= Wichita districts 25, 27-30


WJ=Wyandotte and Johnson County districts 4-11, 23, 37


### Senate Plan 21 AA

Sedgwick County

page 4 of 10









1-4

# Senate Plan 21 AA Manhattan

page 5 of 10








Senate Plan 21 AA


Topeka

page 6 of 10











1-8


Senate Plan 21 AA

Sabetha, Columbus, Baxter Springs page 9 of 10





NOTE: In some urban areas, district boundaries cannot be shown at this scale. For these urban districts, see the key below the map.



Plan:

M4 Sen

an 21 AA for KLRD TR

Plan Type:

Senate

Administrator:

Tim Graham

User:

KLRD

### **Population Summary Report**

DISTRICT	K_Population	DEVIATION	% DEVN.
1	66,600	-206	-0.31
2	67,041	235	0.35
3	67,721	915	1.37
4	66,146	-660	-0.99
5	68,137	1,331	1.99
6	68,652	1,846	2.76
7	68,407	1,601	2.40
8	66,239	-567	-0.85
9	63,985	-2,821	-4.22
10	63,692	-3,114	-4.66
11	64,414	-2,392	-3.58
12	66,570	-236	-0.35
13	64,518	-2,288	-3.42
14	64,944	-1,862	-2.79
15	66,927	121	0.18
16	65,299	-1,507	-2.26
17	64,894	-1,912	-2.86
18	64,393	-2,413	-3.61
19	64,605	-2,201	-3.29
20	63,889	-2,917	-4.37
21	64,564	-2,242	-3.36
22	68,136	1,330	1.99
23	63,752	-3,054	-4.57
24	69,269	2,463	3.69
25	68,982	2,176	3.26
26	67,680	874	1.31
27	68,692	1,886	2.82
28	66,085	-721	-1.08
29	69,320	2,514	3.76
30	69,773	2,967	4.44
	69,839	3,033	4.44
31			
32	67,146	340	0.51
33	68,478	1,672	2.50
34	64,871	-1,935	-2.90
35	67,854	1,048	1.57
36	69,710	2,904	4.35
37	63,648	-3,158	-4.73
38	68,916	2,110	3.16
39	69,652	2,846	4.26
40	68,817	2,011	3.01

M4\_Senate Plan 21 AA Plan:

Administrator:

User:

Tim Grah KLRD

Type: Total Population:

Senate

2,672,257

Ideal District Population:

66,806

RD TR

**Summary Statistics** 

Population Range:

63,648 to 69,839

Ratio Range:

1.10

Absolute Range:

-3,158 to 3,033

Absolute Overall Range:

6,191.00

Relative Range:

-4.73% to 4.54%

Relative Overall Range:

9.27%

Absolute Mean Deviation:

1,810.73

Relative Mean Deviation:

2.71%

Standard Deviation:

2,060.18



Plan:

M4\_Senate Plan 21 AA for KLRD TR

Plan Type:

Senate

Administrator:

Tim Graham KLRD

User:

### **Districts & Their Incumbents**

1 2	Edward Pugh Sandy Praeger	R	1
2	Sandy Praeger		
		R	2
3	Bob Lyon	R	3
4	David Haley	D	4
5	Mark Gilstrap	D	5
6	Chris Steineger	D	6
7	David Adkins	R	7
8	Barbara Allen	R	8
9	Kay O'Connor	R	9
10	Nick Jordan	R	10
11	John Vratil	R	11
12	Robert Tyson	R	12
13	Jim Barone	D	13
14	Dwayne Umbarger	R	14
15	Derek Schmidt	R	15
16	Dave Corbin	R	16
17	Jim Barnett	R	17
18	David Jackson	R	18
19	Anthony Hensley	D	19
20	Lynn Jenkins	R	20
21	Mark Taddiken	R	21
22	Lana Oleen	R	22
23	Karin Brownlee	R	23
24	Pete Brungardt	R	24
25	Jean Schodorf	R	25
26	Nancey Harrington	R	26
27	Les Donovan	R	27




Plan: M4\_Senate Plan 21 AA DTR Administrator:
Type: Senate User:

**Previous District** District Name Party Paul Feleciano 28 D 28 29 U. L. Rip Gooch D 29 Susan Wagle 30 R 30 31 Christine Downey D 31 32 Greta Goodwin D 32 33 Ruth Teichman R 33 Dave Kerr 34 R 34 35 Jay Scott Emler R 35 36 Larry Salmans R 37 36 Janis Lee D 36 37 38 R Tim Huelskamp 38 39 Stephen Morris R 39 40 Stan Clark R 40 Number of Incumbents in a District with more than one Incumbent: 2 Number of Districts with No Incumbent: 1 Number of Districts with Incumbents of more than one party: 1 Number of Districts with Paired Democrats: 0 Number of Districts with Paired Republicans: 0

Tim Graham

KLRD



	G_00_DEM REG_								strict # K_
10,15	11,121	21,719	23.42%	25.65%	50.10%	-0.31%	-206	66,600	-1
11,57	10,847	13,346	31.90%	29.88%	36.77%	0.35%	235	67,041	2
12,33	12,158	17,082	29.37%	28.95%	40.68%	1.37%	915	67,721	3
7,55	21,440	4,068	22.63%	64.21%	12.18%	0.25%	168	66,974	4
9,74	13,168	11,817	27.79%	37.54%	33.69%	0.75%	503	67,309	5
9,57	15,872	6,502	29.55%	49.00%	20.07%	2.76%	1,846	68,652	6
15,07	12,627	26,271	27.71%	23.21%	48.29%	2.40%	1,601	68,407	7
13,92	11,043	22,597	29.02%	23.02%	47.10%	-1.46%	-973	65,833	8
13,50	8,858	19,336	32.07%	21.04%	45.92%	-2.08%	-1,390	65,416	9
13,88	10,075	20,331	31.06%	22.54%	45.48%	-4.66%	-3,114	63,692	10
12,84	7,296	24,541	28.59%	16.24%	54.64%	-4.91%	-3,282	63,524	11
12,66	12,173	18,865	28.60%	27.49%	42.61%	1.40%	936	67,742	12
9,69	17,116	14,573	23.18%	40.92%	34.84%	-1.57%	-1,050	65,756	13
10,78	14,597	16,863	25.20%	34.12%	39.42%	-1.75%	-1,168	65,638	14
7,42	9,134	22,467	18.85%	23.20%	57.06%	-4.47%	-2,983	63,823	15
10,99	10,976	18,597	26.88%	26.82%	45.45%	-2.70%	-1,805	65,001	16
9,94	9,916	19,935	24.71%	24.64%	49.54%	-2.42%	-1,614	65,192	17
9,74	13,066	16,648	24.47%	32.80%	41.79%	-3.60%	-2,403	64,403	18
9,73	14,369	12,504	26.35%	38.90%	33.85%	-3.29%	-2,201	64,605	19
9,40	11,758	20,637	22.35%	27.94%	49.05%	-4.38%	-2,927	63,879	20
9,16	9,580	25,025	20.79%	21.73%	56.78%	-3.36%	-2,242	64,564	21
12,56	10,413	17,020	30.98%	25.67%	41.96%	1.99%	1,330	68,136	22
11,93	7,102	18,181	31.76%	18.91%	48.41%	-4.57%	-3,054	63,752	23
11,96	10,192	21,939	26.82%	22.85%	49.20%	3.69%	2,463	69,269	24
10,37	12,968	15,905	26.13%	32.67%	40.07%	3.26%	2,176	68,982	25
10,50	9,786	17,708	27.41%	25.54%	46.22%	1.31%	874	67,680	26
10,71	9,630	20,628	25.97%	23.34%	49.99%	2.82%	1,886	68,692	27
9,95	10,037	10,346	32.39%	32.67%	33.67%	-1.08%	-721	66,085	28
9,11	15,411	7,826	27.84%	47.07%	23.90%	3.76%	2,514	69,320	29
10,64	10,521	23,780	23.49%	23.22%	52.49%	4.44%	2,967	69,773	30
10,65	10,541	19,469	26.03%	25.75%	47.56%	4.54%	3,033	69,839	31
11,22	12,604	17,704	26.77%	30.06%	42.22%	0.51%	340	67,146	32
8,30	9,873	24,455	19.36%	23.03%	57.03%	0.70%	466	67,272	33
10,73	10,851	17,979	26.92%	27.22%	45.11%	-2.90%	-1,935	64,871	34
9,25	9,223	23,087	22.11%	22.04%	55.17%	1.57%	1,048	67,854	35
9,11	12,377	22,604	20.57%	27.93%	51.00%	4.35%	2,904	69,710	36
12,75	6,586	21,775	30.82%	15.92%	52.64%	-4.93%	-3,293	63,513	37
8,07	7,787	16,388	24.81%	23.93%	50.36%	4.96%	3,316	70,122	38
8,16	6,781	16,950	25.48%	21.16%	52.88%	4.26%	2,846	69,652	39
8,64	9,648	28,096	18.55%	20.70%	60.29%	3.01%	2,011	68,817	40

Thu Mar 28 13:18:25 2002 Page 1

