Approved: April 3, 2004

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Karin Brownlee at 8:30 a.m. on February 16, 2004 in Room 123-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Senator Pete Brungardt- excused Senator Susan Wagle- excused

Committee staff present:

Kathie Sparks, Legislative Research Susan Kannarr, Legislative Research Helen Pedigo, Revisor of Statutes Nikki Kraus, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Wayne Maichel, Director of Employment Security, Department of Human Resources

Others attending:

See Attached List.

Chairperson Brownlee opened the meeting.

Mr. Maichel provided the committee with information regarding the reduction in benefits payment for FY 2005-2007 if a three year freeze were in effect and FY 2005-2006 if a two year freeze were in effect. (Attachment 1)

Senator Barone asked if **SB 440** had been through the Employment Advisory Committee, and Mr. Maichel said that it had and was passed unanimously.

Chairperson Brownlee closed the public hearing on SB 440.

Senator Barone moved to amend **SB 440** on p.21, line 5, to change (f) to (g). Senator Emler seconded. The motion passed.

Senator Barone moved to pass SB 440 as amended. Senator Emler seconded. The motion passed.

Chairperson Brownlee pointed the committee's attention to SB 410.

Senator Barone stated that it seemed Senator Schmidt was sympathetic to the idea of a date being placed on the amount of time a pre-employment screening could hang over an employee. Senator Emler stated that on p.12, subsection (v), if an individual fails the pre-employment screening, discharge should occur no later than seven days after notification of drug screening; then strike other subsection (v). (Attachment 2)

Ms. Pedigo provided the committee with a comparison of the five work comp bills. (Attachment 3)

Following discussion, Senator Emler moved to strike p.5, lines 33-35, and insert on p.12 (v) from Attachment 2. Senator Bunten seconded the motion. The motion passed.

Senator Bunten moved SB 410 favorable as amended. Senator Jordan seconded. The motion passed.

Chairperson Brownlee directed the committee's attention to SB 481.

Senator Bunten asked if inmates can get unemployment benefits once released, and Mr. Maichel said yes, if they had worked in prison, they would be eligible. Chairperson Brownlee asked if that would come from the company for which they worked in prison, and Mr. Maichel agreed, stating that it would be because those companies were for-profit. Senator Barone stated that if the prisoner does not pay in, then they are not covered post-release. He stated that he thought they needed all the help they could get once they were out. Senator Kerr stated that it is almost automatic that a job like the one they had in prison would not be available

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE at 8:30 a.m. on February 16, 2004 in Room 123-S of the Capitol.

on the outside, so they will apply for unemployment when they get out. He stated that it doesn't make sense to use the private sector in this way because they can't help that this kind of job isn't available. Mr. Maichel stated that the worker must pay in or he or she is not eligible. Senator Barone stated that if they come out and can't find the exact job, the point of prison work was more to train people not with a particular skill, but with the discipline to work. He stated that if they come out with this and cannot find work, then this is a transition period for them. The committee discussed the issue further, but decided not to take action on this day.

Chairperson Brownlee asked the committee to consider SB 482. Following brief discussion, the committee decided to continue the following day.

Senator Jordan moved to approve the minutes. Senator Bunten seconded. The motion passed.

Chairperson Brownlee adjourned the meeting at 9:30 a.m. The next meeting will be at 8:30 a.m. on February 17, 2004 in Room 123-S of the Capitol.

Senate Commerce Committee Guest List

Date: February 14, 2004

Date. Tephany 14, 2004					
Magan Chalfant	Buges: Assaintes				
Tim maddin	ks Dupt of Corrections				
Glenn Griffeth	15 Dept. Human Resources				
Wayne Maichel	" //				
Paul Bicknell	11				
Jim Dettoff	RS AFL-CIO				
Christy Coldwell	Topola Chanse of Com				
Marie Carpeter	Konsas Chamber				
Andy Show	Koarney & Associates Inc				
Matt Sordan	Commerce				
Beth/Maxtino	Ks. Dept. of thuman Resources				
Kd West	Hein Low From				
FRIC COLLINS	Ks Gravt Consultana				
i i					



DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

Jim Garner, Secretary

KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, Governor

February 13, 2004

Senator Karin Brownlee Chairperson, Senate Commerce Committee State Capitol Building – Room 136-N 300 S.W. 10th Street Topeka, Kansas 66612-1504

Dear Chairperson Brownlee:

You asked me to provide information to you on Senate Bill 482.

The attached information in Tables 1 and 2 show the impact on the Trust Fund as a result of Senate Bill 482. Table 1 covers a three-year period, since Senate Bill 482 is a three-year moratorium. Table 2 shows you the reduction in benefits with a two-year moratorium.

If I can provide you any further information, please don't hesitate to give me a call.

Wayne maished

Wayne Maichel

Director of Employment Security

WM:jpb Attachment Table 1 details estimated reduction in benefit payments, if a three-year benefit payment freeze were in effect during Fiscal Year (FY) 2005 - 2007 while Table 2 provides estimated reduction in benefit payment's if a two-year benefit payment freeze were in effect during FY 2005 - 2006.

Table 1 Estimated Reduction in Benefit Payments FY 2005 - 2007

	Total	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
Reduction in Benefit Payments	\$78,374,165	\$10,928,970	\$ 27,807,450	\$ 39,637,745

Table 2 Estimated Reduction in Benefit Payments FY 2005 - 2006

	Total	FY 2005	FY 2006
Reduction in Benefit Payments	\$38,736,420	\$ 10,928,970	\$ 27,807,450

(v) if the individual has been discharged for failing a pre-employment drug screen required by the employer and if such discharge occurs not later than seven days after the employer is notified of the results of such drug screen.

SB 410

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

26 27

28

is on vacation during or between two successive academic years or terms. An individual shall not be disqualified for benefits as provided in this subsection (t) provided:

12

(1) The individual was engaged in full-time employment concurrent with the individual's school attendance; or

(2) the individual is attending approved training as defined in subsection (s) of K.S.A. 44-703 and amendments thereto; or

(3) the individual is attending evening, weekend or limited day time classes, which would not affect availability for work, and is otherwise eligible under subsection (c) of K.S.A. 44-705 and amendments thereto.

(u) For any week with respect to which an individual is receiving or has received remuneration in the form of a back pay award or settlement. The remuneration shall be allocated to the week or weeks in the manner as specified in the award or agreement, or in the absence of such specificity in the award or agreement, such remuneration shall be allocated to the week or weeks in which such remuneration, in the judgment of the secretary, would have been paid.

(1) For any such weeks that an individual receives remuneration in the form of a back pay award or settlement, an overpayment will be established in the amount of unemployment benefits paid and shall be collected from the claimant.

(2) If an employer chooses to withhold from a back pay award or settlement, amounts paid to a claimant while they claimed unemployment benefits, such employer shall pay the department the amount withheld. With respect to such amount, the secretary shall have available all of the collection remedies authorized or provided in K.S.A. 44-717, and amendments thereto.

Sec. 2. K.S.A. 2003 Supp. 44-706 is hereby repealed.

Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its 29 publication in the Kansas register.

Sent Connerce 2-16-04 Attiv # 2

2-2

The state of the s

of any special district or other local governmental entity. An individual's refusal to submit to a chemical test shall not be admissible evidence to prove misconduct unless the test is required by and meets the standards of the drug free workplace act, 41 U.S.C. 701 et seq., the test was administered as part of an employee assistance program or other drug or alcohol treatment program in which the employee was participating voluntarily or as a condition of further employment, the test was otherwise required by law and the test constituted a required condition of employment for the individual's job, or, there was probable cause to believe that the individual used, possessed or was impaired by an alcoholie beverage, a cereal malt beverage or a controlled substance while working.

(1) (A) As used in this subsection (d): (i) "Alcohol" shall be defined as: (a) Alcoholic liquor as provided in K.S.A. 41-102, and amendments thereto; or (b) cereal malt beverage as provided in K.S.A. 41-2701, and amendments thereto.

(ii) "Controlled substances" shall be defined as provided in K.S.A. 65-4101, and amendments thereto of the uniform controlled substances act.

(iii) "Allowed by law" is defined as allowed by a federal or state law, a federal or state rule or regulation having the force and effect of law, a county resolution or municipal ordinance, or a policy relating to public safety adopted in an open meeting by the governing body of any special district or other local governmental entity.

(B) For the purpose of this subsection (d), the following shall be conclusive evidence of misconduct:

(i) The individual refuses to submit to or fails any chemical test that is allowed by law;

(ii) the individual is impaired by a controlled substance or alcohol while at the work place;

(iii) the individual uses a controlled substance or alcohol at the workplace;

(iv) the individual possesses a controlled substance or alcohol at the workplace; or

(v) the individual fails a pre-employment drug screen required by the employer and the employer has agreed to employ the person before drug screen results are known to the employer.

(C) The results of a chemical test shall not be admissible evidence to prove misconduct unless the following conditions were met:

(A) Either (i) the test was required by law, the test was administered pursuant to the drug free workplace act, 41 U.S.C. 701 et seq., (ii) the test was administered as part of an employee assistance program or other drug or alcohol treatment program in which the employee was participating voluntarily or as a condition of further employment, (iii) the test was required by law and the test constituted a required condition of em-

MAN J. FURSE, ATTORNEY

JAMES A WILSON III. ATTORNEY
FIRET ACCUSTANT REVISOR

STORM ASSISTANT REVISORS

MAST AND SOCIATION ATTORNEY

DODGE W. BECH. ATTORNEY

ALLIFIANT REVISORS.
THE RESA M. KIERNAN, ATTORNEY
GOLDON L. SELF, ATTORNEY
JILLA WOLTLES, ATTORNEY
MICHAEL K. CORRIGAN, ATTORNEY
KENNETH M. WILKE. ATTORNEY
LISA D. MONTGOMERY, ATTORNEY
MITCHELL W. RICE, ATTORNEY



OFFICE OF

REVISOR OF STATUTES

300 SW 10TH AVE STE 322, STATEHOUSE

TOPEKA. KANSAS 66612 1592 (785) 296-2321 FAX (785) 296-6668 LEGAL CONSULTATION—L
COMMITTEES AND LEGIS
LEGISLATIVE BILL DRAFTING
SECRETARY—LEGISLATIVE
COORDINATING COUNCIL
SECRETARY KANSAS COMMISSION
ON INTERSTATE COOPERATION
FAINAS STATUTES ANNOTATED
FORTING AND PUBLICATION
LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

EDITOR OF STATUTES
WILLIAM L. EDDS. ATTORNEY

COMPUTER INFORMATION STAFF RICHARD M CHAMPNEY, B.S VALERIE F CARTER, B.A.

To: Senate Commerce Committee

From: Helen Pedigo, Assistant Revisor

Date: February 12, 2004

Re: Unemployment bills

I was asked by the Chairman Brownlee to provide a brief description of the bills to be heard today and tomorrow:

SB 410:

Page 5 adds as conclusive evidence of misconduct, the failure of a pre-employment screening when the employer has agreed to employ the person before drug screen results are known to the employer. Under the bill, possession of alcohol or a controlled substance at the workplace would be conclusive evidence of misconduct, rather than prima facie evidence of such as at present.

SB 440:

Page 40 amends the section setting the rate of unemployment contribution for successor employers. Other amendments strike obsolete language and schedules and renumber the remaining sections.

SB 481:

Page 10 exempts private, for-profit employers that use inmate employees from paying state unemployment taxes.

SB 482:

Page 2 freezes the weekly benefit minimum and maximum benefit amount at July 1, 2003 levels through 2006.

SB 483:

Page 1 adds language reflecting continued absence after exhaustion of FMLA benefits shall be considered a voluntary resignation. Page 6 adds language indicating incarceration resulting in work absence of 1 week or longer is considered misconduct. The section also requires documentation from the employee's treatment provider regarding repeated absences and tardiness due to illness. In a no call, no show situation, documentation is required regarding the medical inability of the employee to notify the employer of such absence.