Approved: March 30, 2005

Date

# MINUTES OF THE SENATE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND INSURANCE COMMITTEE

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Ruth Teichman at 9:30 A.M. on March 21, 2005 in Room 234-N of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

James Barnett- excused Pete Brungardt- absent

Committee staff present:

Melissa Calderwood, Kansas Legislative Research Department Terri Weber, Kansas Legislative Research Department Ken Wilke, Office of Revisor of Statutes Sandy Yingling, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Bill Sneed, State Farm Insurance Terry Humphrey, Kansas Trial Lawyers Association

Others attending:

See attached list.

Madam Chair called the meeting to order.

Madam Chair reopened the hearing on HB 2357.

## HB 2357 -Establishing a self audit program for insurance

<u>Bill Sneed</u>, State Farm Insurance, offered a balloon amendment addressing page 2, line 28 of the bill, which expands the limitation of the bill; page 4, lines 39 through 41 of the bill, with intended language stating that if it was privileged information, it remains privileged information; and page 5, line 16 of the bill, setting a time limit. (Attachment 1)

Ken Wilke wanted clarification of where exactly the wording is going to be added on page 4. Mr. Sneed answered, after the sword "law" on line 41.

Senator Brownlee asked if the balloon amendment on page 4 also worked in the reverse if the information was not privileged, did it remain unprivileged? Mr. Sneed answered that is correct.

Chair Teichman asked Barb Hinton if the amendment on page 2 would satisfy Post Audit? Ms. Hinton said yes, it would.

<u>Terry Humphrey</u>, Kansas Trial Lawyers, stated she was not prepared to comment on the balloon amendments.

Chair Teichman asked Ms. Humphrey if she felt the amendment on page 5 covered her concerns about having a time limit? Chair Teichman also asked Ms. Humphrey if she had looked at any of the banking bills to make a comparison between them and <u>HB 2357</u>? Ms. Humphrey answered she would need to obtain more details. Ms. Humphrey also stated she would be willing to work with all parties concerned.

Chair Teichman announced **HB 2357** would be worked tomorrow, March 22.

Madam Chair closed the hearing on HB 2357.

Madam Chair announced that action should be taken on <u>HB 2276</u>.

#### **HB 2276 - Transmission of money charges**

Senator Wysong moved to pass **HB 2276** out of committee favorably, Senator Brownlee seconded the motion. The motion carried.

## CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE Senate Financial Institutions and Insurance Committee at 9:30 A.M. on March 21, 2005 in Room 234-N of the Capitol.

Madam Chair announced that  $\underline{HB\ 2172}$  would be put into  $\underline{HB\ 2203}$  so the committee would have a vehicle.

Madam Chair announced that she had not heard back from the KCCI on <u>HB 2366</u>. The committee did not have enough information to work the bill. Therefore, it would be held open for hearings this summer.

Madam Chair announced the Committee would be hearing **HB 2138** March 22.

Madam Chair announced that the House had gutted SB 100, SB 102 and SB140.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:55 a.m.

# FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS & INSURANCE COMMITTEE GUEST LIST DATE: 3-21-05

NAME	REPRESENTING
Notalie Haan	Security Benefit
Hex Kotowantz	PIA.
Alxecazaria	Kais
Bil Sneed	State Farm
Crass Van Aclst	KID
Sand dove	KID
Song Allen	Office of the Slato Bunk Cumission
Danny J. Vapet	"
sland Harron	Ko Ien Assus
CeWilt	Farmers IN1.
Jan Baches	CSBBA
Jan Courshell	MIN
Folly Ster.	KID
ANGEL MADIC	KAIA
Let Mey	How Low Fran
Grad Stonvet	AIA

24

33

37

38

Machinent 3/21/05

the disclosure of an insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document under other provisions of applicable law, any such report furnished to the commissioner shall not be provided to any other persons or entities and shall be accorded the same confidentiality and other protections as provided above for voluntarily submitted documents. Any use of an insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document furnished as a result of a request of the commissioner under a claim of authority to compel disclosure shall be limited to determining whether or not any disclosed defects in an insurers' policies and procedures or inappropriate treatment of customers has been remedied or that an appropriate plan for their remedy is in place.

(1) Any insurance company's insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document submitted to the commissioner shall remain subject to all applicable statutory or common law privileges including, but not limited to, the work product doctrine, attorney-client privilege, or the subsequent remedial measures exclusion.

(2) Any compliance self-evaluative audit document so submitted and in the possession of the commissioner shall remain the property of the insurance company and shall not be subject to any disclosure or production under the Kansas open records act. The provision of this paragraph shall expire on July 1, 2010, unless the legislature reenacts such provision. The provision of this paragraph shall be reviewed by the legislature prior to July 1, 2010.

(d) Disclosure of an insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document to a governmental agency, whether voluntary or pursuant to compulsion of law, shall not constitute a waiver of the privilege set forth in subsection (a) with respect to any other persons or any other governmental agencies.

Sec. 2. (a) The privilege set forth in section 1, and amendments thereto, shall not apply to the extent that it is expressly waived by the insurance company that prepared or caused to be prepared the insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document.

(b) In a civil or administrative proceeding, after an in camera review, a court or presiding officer may require disclosure of material for which the privilege set forth in section 1, and amendments thereto, is asserted, if the court or presiding officer determines one of the following:

(1) The privilege is asserted for a fraudulent purpose; or

(2) the material is not subject to the privilege\_

(c) In a criminal proceeding, after an in camera review, a court may require disclosure of material for which the privilege described in section 1, and amendments thereto, is asserted, if the court determines one of the following:

(1) The privilege is asserted for a fraudulent purpose;

Nothing in this act shall prohibit the post auditor from access to all insurance compliance self-evaluative audit documents in the custody of the commissioner.

; or (3) even if subject to the privilege, the material shows evidence of noncompliance with applicable laws and regulations and appropriate efforts to achieve compliance with such laws or regulations were not promptly initiated and pursued with reasonable diligence upon discovery of noncompliance.

11

28

will not be considered to be a public document or be deemed to be a waiver of the privilege for any other civil, criminal or administrative proceeding. A party unsuccessfully opposing disclosure may apply to the court for an appropriate order protecting the document from further disclosure.

- (e) At the time of filing any objection to the disclosure, any insurance company asserting the insurance compliance self-evaluative privilege in response to a request for disclosure under this section shall provide to the commissioner, attorney general, or a county or district attorney, all of the following information:
- (1) The date of the insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document.
  - (2) The identity of the entity conducting the audit.
- (3) The general nature of the activities covered by the insurance compliance audit.
- (4) An identification of the portions of the insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document for which the privilege is being asserted.
- Sec. 4. (a) Any insurance company asserting the insurance compliance self-evaluative privilege set forth in section 1, and amendments thereto, has the burden of demonstrating the applicability of the privilege. Once any insurance company has established the applicability of the privilege, the party seeking disclosure under paragraph (1) of subsection (b) of section 2, and amendments thereto, has the burden of proving that the privilege is asserted for a fraudulent purpose. The commissioner, attorney general, or a county or district attorney seeking disclosure under subsection (c) of section 2, and amendments thereto, has the burden of proving the elements set forth in subsection (c) of section 2 and amendments thereto.
- (b) The parties may at any time stipulate in proceedings under sections 2 or 3, and amendments thereto, to entry of an order directing that specific information contained in an insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document is or is not subject to the privilege provided under section 1 and amendments thereto. Any such stipulation may be limited to the instant proceeding and, absent specific language to the contrary, shall not be applicable to any other proceeding.
- Sec. 5. The privilege set forth in section 1, and amendments thereto, shall not extend to any of the following:
- (a) Documents, communications, data, reports or other information expressly required to be collected, developed, maintained or reported to a regulatory agency pursuant to chapter 40 of Kansas Statutes Annotated, and amendments thereto, or other provisions of federal or state law;
- (b) information obtained by observation or monitoring by any regulatory agency; or

and would not otherwise be priviledged;

6

9

10

12

16

37

- (c) information obtained from a source independent of the insurance compliance audit.
- Sec. 6. As used in this act, the following words and phrases shall have the meaning ascribed to them in this section: (a) "Commissioner" means the commissioner of insurance or the commissioner's authorized designee.
- (b) "Insurance company" or "insurer" shall have the meaning ascribed to the term insurance company in K.S.A. 40-201 and amendments thereto.
- (c) "Insurance compliance audit" means a voluntary, internal evaluation, review, assessment, audit or investigation for the purpose of identifying or preventing noncompliance with, or promoting compliance with laws, regulations, orders, or industry or professional standards, which is conducted by or on behalf of any insurance company licensed or regulated under the Kansas insurance code, or which involves an activity regulated under the Kansas insurance code.
- (d) "Insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document" means any document prepared as a result of or in connection with an insurance compliance audit. An insurance compliance self-evaluative audit document may include:
- (1) A written response to the findings of an insurance compliance audit.
- (2) Any supporting information is collected or developed for the primary purpose and in the course of an insurance compliance audit including, but is not limited to, field notes and records of observations, findings, opinions, suggestions, conclusions, drafts, memoranda, drawings, photographs, exhibits, computer-generated or electronically recorded information, phone records, maps, charts, graphs and surveys.
- (3) Any of the following:
- (A) An insurance compliance audit report prepared by an auditor, who may be an employee of the insurance company or an independent contractor, which may include the scope of the audit, the information gained in the audit, and conclusions and recommendations, with exhibits and appendices;
- (B) memoranda and documents analyzing portions or all of the insurance compliance audit report and discussing potential implementation issues;
- (C) an implementation plan that addresses correcting past noncompliance, improving current compliance, and preventing future noncompliance; or
- (D) analytic data generated in the course of conducting the insurance compliance audit.
- (e) Presiding officer shall have the meaning ascribed to it in K.S.A.

Once initiated an audit shall be completed within a reasonable period of time. Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize uninterrupted or continuous auditing.