Approved: March 9, 2006

Date

MINUTES OF THE SENATE NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Carolyn McGinn at 8:30 a.m. on February 20, 2006, in Room 423-S of the Capitol.

All members were present.

Committee staff present:

Raney Gilliland, Kansas Legislative Research Department Emalene Correll, Kansas Legislative Research Department Lisa Montgomery, Revisor of Statutes Office Judy Holliday, Committee Secretary

Conferees appearing before the committee:

David Pope, Chief Engineer, Department of Water Resources Tracy Streeter, Kansas Water Office Brent Haden, Asst. Counsel, Kansas Livestock Assn. Mike Hayden, Secretary of Wildlife and Parks

Others attending:

See attached list.

Chairperson McGinn opened the meeting with discussion on <u>SB 459</u>, <u>Concerning persons who owe arrearages on child support</u>, <u>Secretary of Wildlife & Parks not issue license</u>, <u>permit</u>, <u>stamp or tag to person</u>. Chairperson McGinn told the Committee in the past there was no centralized system, and the bill has surfaced due to federal changes in child support laws. <u>Senator Francisco made a motion to move the bill out of Committee</u>, <u>seconded by Senator Taddiken</u>. <u>The motion carried</u>.

Chairperson McGinn called the Committee's attention to <u>SB 540</u>, <u>Requiring submission of breach inundation maps for dams</u>. She asked the Committee members to read the handout from Dan Riley, Chief Legal Counsel for the Kansas Department of Agriculture, regarding a legal opinion on liability when a state licensed dam fails (<u>Attachment 1</u>). Chairperson McGinn asked for discussion on the bill. Senator Francisco told the Committee that she understood the insertion of the word "may" rather than "shall" meant they would not be requiring maps on every structure, but only when the classification of the dam changed.

David Pope, Chief Engineer, Department of Water Resources, told the Committee the intent of the language was a tool for getting information out to the public regarding a breach in a dam. He stated that maps for all dams are not necessary as they are too expensive, but from now on would be used to make selective decisions regarding zoning for high-hazard dams.

Senator Ostmeyer asked if there had been a problem in asking for this bill, and Mr. Pope stated that the essence of the law was not that maps were required, but that they would be filed with the Register of Deeds in the county.

Senator Bruce asked about the cost of the maps. Mr. Pope stated that it could be around \$5,000 if there is development below the dam or if extensive surveying is needed. Senator Bruce asked about notification of county officials, and Mr. Pope told the Committee that in addition to zoning authorities, developers, and the registers of deeds, the landowners could be notified via registered mail that their properties are in a breach zone. Mr. Pope noted that the language could be inserted, "and such other officials as may be appropriate" to give the Department the latitude to work with various county officials.

Senator Bruce stated that he felt the statute is overly broad, and the use of "shall" is not correct because it does not provide for a dam owner applying for a downgrade of a dam to be covered.

Senator Huelskamp told the Committee he feels it premature to make any recommendations until the Committee hears from some registers of deeds and others with input on where the bill needs to go. Chairperson McGinn stated she felt it was a two-step process and that she would like to move it forward to the Senate floor for debate and perhaps amend it there.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE Senate Natural Resources Committee at 8:30 a.m. on February 20, 2006, in Room 423-S of the Capitol.

Tracy Streeter, Kansas Water Office, stated that the Shawnee County Register of Deeds was in attendance at the last Committee meeting and was comfortable with the notification process as long as agency personnel worked with them on the proper format in satisfying the intent of the legislation.

Brent Haden, Kansas Livestock Association, stated that the KLA's position on this bill is that if notification goes to the registers of deeds, it needs to go to the landowners and all that are affected, and that the language should be amended to that effect.

<u>Senator Lee made a motion that the bill be blessed and worked on when there is more information received, seconded by Senator Ostmeyer.</u> The motion carried.

Senator Janis Lee testified before the Committee on SB 526, Concerning deer licenses (Attachment 2). Senator Lee explained the bill came from Wildlife and Parks after the legislative session last year and without the input of the legislative committee that non-residents would be allowed to purchase non-antler permits over the counter regardless of whether they had been successful in the drawing for antlered tags. The new regulation states that "All deer hunters will be required to have deer permit that allows them to take an antlered deer before they may acquire an antlerless-only permit or game tag." The reason given to her by Wildlife and Parks was that when a wildlife officer found a group of non-resident hunters and only one had a non-resident antler permit, the officer could not be certain which hunter had shot the antler deer. Another reason given was that probably the best marksman in the group who shot the deer may not be the one with the non-resident antler permit. Senator Lee stated that she did not see the logic in the position Wildlife and Parks has taken on this issue.

Senator Lee stated that the statistics have shown that many of the antlerless permits were purchased by non-resident hunters who were not lucky in the drawing but were willing to spend \$320 plus the cost of a non-resident hunting license to hunt deer in Kansas. This impacts the Wildlife and Parks budget and the travel and tourism industry in Kansas.

Senator Lee told the Committee that as she drives across the state, her concern is not about the other drivers but about the abundant population of deer that she may encounter on the roads. She called the Committee's attention to the attachment to her testimony which showed the number of deer-related automobile accidents by county since 1973.

Senator Lee testified that she had talked to numerous Legislators and had not found anyone in favor of the change made last year, and the benefits of the old legislation far outweigh the new regulation with regard to the state's economy and in deer population management. Senator Lee told the Committee that she felt this bill should be tabled at this time.

<u>Chairperson McGinn asked the Committee to entertain a motion that this bill be blessed.</u> Senator Ostmeyer so moved, seconded by Senator Teichman, and the motion carried.

Mike Hayden, Secretary of Wildlife and Parks, testified in opposition to <u>SB 526</u>. Secretary Hayden told the Committee that deer poaching by non-residents was a continuing problem and employees of the agency were trying to stop illegitimate hunters. He testified that since the bill would reduce poachers, law enforcement likes the bill. He agreed with Senator Lee that it would be best to hold the bill over for additional discussion. Senator Lee asked Secretary Hayden how much deer poaching was done by Kansas residents, and Secretary Hayden replied that about 17% of poachers are residents.

Christopher Tymeson, Chief Legal Counsel for Wildlife and Parks, was asked about preference points, and he replied that there are preference points whereby a hunter would pay \$20, and if their name is not drawn then they get an extra chance in the drawing for the next year. Senator Lee stated that she felt the system was skewed by allowing this to occur.

With no further discussion to come before the Committee, the meeting adjourned at 9:25 a.m.

SENATE NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE

Guest Roster

Name	Representing
Jamie Corkhill David L. Pope	SRŞ
David L. Pope	KDA
,	
	~
	<u> </u>

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ADRIAN J. POLANSKY, SECRETARY

KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, GOVERNOR

MEMO

TO:

Members of Senate Natural Resources Committee

FROM:

Dan Riley, Chief Legal Counsel, Kansas Department of Agriculture

DATE:

February 20, 2006

ISSUE:

If a state licensed dam fails in Kansas and results in loss of life or property and is found that improper hazard classification was responsible of the loss of life or property, is the state, KDA, or the chief engineer likely to be

sued and found liable?

This question was asked after testimony on this bill last week. The specific question presented here has not been determined by the Kansas courts. However, in virtually all dam failures resulting in property damage, injury or death, litigation either civil or criminal or both follow.

Kansas Law (KSA 82a-301a) establishes that, "It is the intent of the legislature by this act to provide for the exclusive regulation of construction, operation and maintenance of all dams or other water obstructions by the state to the extent required for the protection of public safety." KSA 82a-301a further directs that the chief engineer "shall supervise the construction, modification, operation and maintenance of dams and other water obstructions for the protection of life and property" KSA 82a-303a requires that the chief engineer establish standards to administer the provisions of the act.

The legislative intent seems clear that it is the **duty** of the chief engineer to develop and enforce standards that adequately protect the lives and property of the people of Kansas from the inherent danger associated with unregulated or substandard water structures. The 3 brief paragraphs of KSA 82a-301a and KSA 82a-303c, contain numerous references to "public safety and protection", "protection of life and property", "safety of life", and "dangerous and threatening" which also clearly indicate the legislature recognized the inherent danger of unregulated dams or inadequate safety standards.

Senate Natural Resources

A September 2002, opinion of Attorney General Stovall (2002)-40 opined that the state/DWR/chief engineer does not incur liability for damages to a downstream landowner when construction of a new bridge or stream obstruction permitted by the chief engineer results in a damage claim (erosion damage and flooding) due to the change in the stream flow. That opinion **did not** involve a situation in which an improper hazard classification was a factor in the damage claim. The opinion cited <u>Johnson v County Commissioners of Pratt County</u>, 295Kan305(1996) in which the county as owner of the bridge had a duty not to cause injury downstream, and was found to have been the cause of the downstream erosion and damage. DWR was named as a defendant to the suit, but later dismissed after it was determined that the agency had performed its statutory function in review of the permit to construct the bridge. The liability for the damages in the Pratt County case is premised upon tort liability when a duty owed and not fulfilled is found to be the causation for the damages. The finding was consistent with other Kansas court cases involving damages related to flood waters and stream obstructions.

The specific question presented here has not been determined by the Kansas courts. However, in virtually all dam failures resulting in property damage, injury or death, litigation either civil or criminal or both follow. Also in virtually all cases, the agency responsible for regulatory oversight of the failed dam is named as a party to the lawsuit. The agency performance and standards related to the dam is reviewed and if the agency is found to have performed its duty and enforced the appropriate standards liability for the damages is not placed upon the regulatory agency. If however, the agency fails to establish and enforce the appropriate standards, such as hazard classification adequately reflecting the threat to property and lives in the inundation area of the dam, the tort theory of negligent performance of the duty could arguably be applicable. If the standards for hazard classification were contrary to accepted engineering standards for dam safety or otherwise unreasonably inadequate to protect the public from threats to life and property, the state would likely be faced with a perilous claim of liability. It is a relative certainty that lengthy and costly litigation would be the result, even if ultimately the state is able to prevail in terms of liability, the litigation costs involved will be substantial.

SENATOR JANIS K. LEE
ASSISTANT MINORITY LEADER
STATE SENATOR, 36TH DISTRICT
ELLIS, HODGEMAN, JEWELL,
MITCHELL, OSBORNE, PAWNEE,
PHILLIPS, RUSH, RUSSELL
AND SMITH COUNTIES
2032 90TH RD.
KENSINGTON, KANSAS 66951
(785) 476-2294 HOME
(785) 296-7366 TOPEKA
ilee@ink.org



COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

RANKING MINORITY MEMBER: ASSESSMENT & TAXATION
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER: UTILITIES
RANKING MINORITY MEMBER: EDUCATION
MEMBER: AGRICULTURE
CAPITOL PESTORATION

NATURAL RESOURCES
CAPITOL RESTORATION
JOINT COMMITTEE ON
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

SB 526 Senator Janis Lee Testimony February 20, 2006

Senator McGinn and fellow members of the Senate Natural Resources Committee;

The following is the testimony I presented to the Wildlife and parks Commission this past summer in Wichita regarding the decision the Commission made regarding non-resident whitetail antlerless deer game ags. This decision was made in April of 2005 - after the regular session of the legislature and also without and discussion with or notice to any legislative committee.

The commission vote to instate this change was not a unanimous vote. The justification for the change given to the Commission is one that would NEVER has passed muster in the legislature. The reason given for the change in regulation was that when a Wildlife Officer came upon a group of hunter in the field many times the group would have only 1 antlered permit amongst the group with the rest of the group having antlerless game tags. The Officer could not be certain which amongst the group had actually shot the antlered deer. So therefore we should not allow non-resident hunters who did not have a antlered permit the opportunity to be out in the field. Frankly as long as the group has killed only one antlered deer it should be the concern if the individual who paid \$322.00 for that permit, but of very little consequence in the overall number of antlered deer taken.

Chairman Dykes, Commissioners Harrington, Lauber, Meyer, Wilson, Sebelius, and Johnson;

I come before you today to respectfully ask that you reconsider a change you instituted in April regarding the regulations for non-resident hunters and antlerless deer tags. After a series of discussions with various Wildlife and Parks employees I am aware of at least some the reasons that were given to justify the change from previous years. It would appear to the average Kansan that using the same logic when considering the merits of allowing non residents to drive in our state we simply would not allow non residents to drive in Kansas because we are certain that some non resident drivers exceed the speed limit.

Senate Natural Resources
2-20-06
Attachment 2

As I am certain that you know for the past several years non residents big game hunters were allowed to purchase antlerless tag over the counter regardless of whether they had been successful in the draw for antlered tags.

The new regulation which states that "All deer hunters will be required to have a deer permit that allows them to take an antlered deer before they may acquire an antlerless-only permit or game tag" is not the most appropriate policy for our state, for your agency, nor for the travel and tourism industry in Kansas.

Given the number of non resident antlerless tags sold last year as indicated from the information provided to me by Sec. Hayden, it would appear that the non resident antlerless permits were popular with both those who had been lucky in the draw and those who had not. I know from personal experience that many of the antlerless permits were purchased by non resident hunters who had participated in the non-resident antlered draw and were unsuccessful. These were individuals who were willing to spent \$320 plus the cost of a non resident hunting licence to hunt deer in Kansas.

By entering the drawing non resident "would be" hunters automatically forfeit \$20 to the state of Kansas and now your agency is telling these hunters who are not successful in the draw they can no longer come to Kansas to spend more money - some of which will go to help fund the Wildlife and Parks budget (which is in great need) - and some of which will go to the travel and tourism industry in Kansas.

As one who travels more than 40 to 45,000 miles every year in our state - mostly in the more rural areas, I absolutely assure you that we continue to have an abundance of deer in our state. Whenever I travel I worry very little about the other drivers I encounter... But I always worry a great deal about the deer (and sometimes turkeys) that I am more than likely to encounter.

As you can see from the documentation I received from Chris Tymeson there is still time to reverse the decision for the firearm season yet this year. I have discussed this issue with numerous legislators from both the House and Senate and have not found one that is in agreement with the change made in April, 2006. The benefits of the old regulation far out weigh the revised regulation in term of both dollars brought into our state and in terms of deer population management..

As a long serving member of the Senate Natural Resources Committee I am aware that the Dept. Of Wildlife and Parks is in the process of proposing a complete reworking of all of the regulations regarding deer hunting in our state and respectfully suggest that a change as the one the Commission made in April would more appropriately be proposed as a part of this package for further consideration by the legislature.

Thank you for your consideration and your service to the state of Kansas.

Senator Janis Lee

Janis Lee

From:

"Christopher J Tymeson" <christophert@wp.state.ks.us>

To:

"Senator J. Lee" <jlee@ink.org>

Sent:

Thursday, February 02, 2006 3:52 PM

Subject:

Fw: Senator Lee's request

Senator Lee-

Here are the numbers you requested earlier this morning.

Thanks, Chris

Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2006 2:13 PM

Subject: Senator Lee's request

In 2004, we sold 8,553 whitetail antierless game tags to nonresidents and 1,101 whitetail antierless permits. In 2005, we sold 4,082 whitetail antierless game tags to noresidents and 350 whitetail antierless permits

KANSAS





KDWP Info

State Parks

Hunting Fishing Boating

Other Services

License/Permit Sales

KDWP / Hunting / Applications and Fees / Deer

Search

Hunting Menu

About Kansas Hunting

Where to Hunt in Kansas

When to Hunt

Big Game

Turkey

Hunter Education

Hunting Programs

Furharvesting

Special Hunts

Upland Birds

Migratory Birds

Hunting Regulations

Applications and Fees Waterfowl

Deer

Turkey

Antelope & Elk

Furharvester

Applications

Surveys

Hunting Image Gallery

Deer Permits and Tags

ALL DEER APPLICATIONS (not sold over the counter) must be downloaded and mailed to the Pratt Operations Office.

2006 Proposed Deer Season Dates

- · early muzzleloader season: Sept. 9 through Sept. 22;
- · youth and disability season: Sept. 23 through Sept. 24;
- archery season: Oct. 1 through Dec. 31;
- early firearms (DMU 19 only) season: Oct. 14 through Oct. 22;
- · regular firearms season: Nov. 29 through Dec. 10;
- extended whitetail antlerless-only season: Jan. 1 through Jan. 7, 2007; and
- extended archery (DMU 19 only) season: Jan. 8 through Jan. 31, 2007.

In addition to the proposed season dates, the department is recommending that Fort Leavenworth be included in Deer Management Unit 19, which would provide additional hunting opportunity and the use of additional game tags to assist in control of deer populations on Fort Leavenworth, which is adjacent to the DMU 19 boundary.

Proposed application availability for all deer permits is July 1,2006. Proposed application deadlines are May 31 for nonresidents, July 15 for limited draw resident permits, Dec. 30 for unlimited antlered permits, and Jan. 30, 2007 for unlimited antlerless permits.

LICENSES & FEES

General Resident Any Deer: \$37.15 Can only be purchased at the Pratt Operations Office.

All or portions of the state may have a limited competitive draw for permits.

Hunting Quick Links

Online Crane Test

Waterfowl Reports Avian Bird Flu

Information

Walk-in Hunting Atlas

Upland Bird Regional Forecast

Resident Landowner/Tenant Any Deer: \$22.15

Can only be purchased at the Pratt Operations Office

All or portions of the state may have a limited competitive draw

2006 Resident Any Deer Applications MUST be recieved or postmarked by Jul

General Resident Whitetail Either Sex: \$32.15 Can be purchased over the counter after July 1, 2006.

Landowner/Tenant Whitetail Either Sex: \$17.15

Can be purchased over the counter or online after July 1, 2006.

Hunt-Own-Land: \$12.15

Nonresident Hunt-Own-Land: \$52.15

Can be purchased at the Pratt Operations Office or at any Region or Parks Office a

Nonresident Antlered or Any Deer: \$322.15

All or portions of the state may have a limited competitive draw for permits.

APPLY on line May 1 - May 31. 2006 applications MUST be recieved or postma

2006.

Draw Results will be mailed out mid-July.

All deer hunters will be required to have a deer permit that allows them to take an a before they may acquire an antlerless-only permit or game tag. Antlerless permits ϵ may be purchased on-line or from Kansas Department of Wildlife & Parks offices at vendors after July 1, 2006. A proposed change for 2006 would allow hunters to pur or anterless-only permit as the hunter's first or only tag after December, 30, 2006 fc season.

Statewide Whitetail Antlerless Only Permit: \$32.15 - resident; \$17.15 Landowne nonresident

Must have an Antlered Deer Permit before acquiring

Can be purchased over the counter or online after July 1, 2006.

Whitetail Antlerless Deer Game Tags: \$12.15 - resident; \$22.15 - nonresident Must have an Antlered Deer Permit before acquiring

Not valid on Public lands and Only valid in units that allow Game Tags

Can be purchased over the counter or online after July, 2006.

Email Page

Equal Opportunity Copyright Privacy Policy
Updates Contact Us

Site Map

Accessibility

Recent

Powered by eZ publish® . KDWP



DEER RELATED VEHICLE ACCIDENTS IN KANSAS COUNTIES.



		1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985 TOTAL	1986 TOTAL
COUNTY	СО	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL 6	TOTAL 13	TOTAL 16	21	16
ALLEN	AL	2	3	3	4	2	3	5	5 6	9	7	17	23	16	25
ANDERSON	AN	2	2	2	2	5	5	8	15	17	15	16	24	26	24
ATCHISON	AT	1	1	3	6	9	10	6	10	20	12	12	26	25	23
BARBER	BA	4	3	11	6	9	18	13 10	8	6	5	13	26	18	20
BOURBON	вв	4	1	3	2	6	10	6	9	18	24	19	37	29	19
BROWN	BR	6	2	9	10	6	4 13	27	24	40	51	42	56	58	39
BARTON	вт	8	8	3	12	11	14	25	37	56	57	68	68	115	133
BUTLER	BU	14	4	10	10	20	0	0	4	1	1	2	5	5	10
CLARK	CA	0	1	0	0	1		14	19	20	20	28	36	24	34
CLOUD	CD	8	3	6	9	12	23 7	14	15	26	23	31	36	25	45
COFFEY	CF	2	2	7	5	7		15	21	16	16	14	14	35	31
CHEROKEE	CK	4	7	6	6	11	19	20	9	26	40	47	59	76	77
COWLEY	CL	6	7	5	3	13	8 0	0	1	5	0	1	3	1	4
COMANCHE	CM	1	0	0	3	2	5	5	2	7	3	7	6	3	3
CHEYENNE	CN	1	1	5	2	2		4	2	3	6	7	14	17	12
CHAUTAUQUA	CQ	1	0	1	0	2	2 12	10	22	18	17	24	34	26	18
CRAWFORD	CR	6	5	6	11	14	4	8	13	15	16	17	18	27	24
CHASE	CS	6	2	5	11	7	4	14	18	16	23	29	20	31	21
CLAY	CY	5	5	5	9	7	2	2	2	3	2	1	3	9	7
DECATUR	DC	4	0	3	2	2	18	32	36	36	38	49	58	64	89
DOUGLAS	DG	11	8	10	9	11	11	22	20	32	30	30	34	20	43
DICKINSON	DK	5	7	10	9	16	7	9	10	11	10	11	20	12	13
DONIPHAN	DP	1	0	3	2	6 1	1	9	3	5	9	2	7	5	7
EDWARDS	ED	3	0	1	0	4	4	5	1	5	8	16	13	9	9
ELK	EK	1	0	1	1	0.00	13	12	13	23	23	29	33	34	44
ELLIS	EL	4	2	7	6	4 8	12	13	15	7	23	29	30	24	38
ELLSWORTH	EW	5	5	5	7		6	9	4	9	7	7	11	14	12
FINNEY	FI	1	2	4	1	3	7	4	5	13	25	25	16	11	22
FORD	FO	3	1	1	6	8 7	13	13	20	17	24	36	38	31	49
FRANKLIN	FR	3	5	6	9		18	16	25	29	27	37	57	50	42
GEARY	GE	15	12	10	14	9	5	15	8	14	14	9	21	13	18
GRAHAM	GH	4	2	3	3	9	0	15	1	1	0	2	4	2	2
GREELEY	GL	0	0	0	1	0	6	10	6	5	6	8	8	7	14
GOVE	GO	6	2	2	3	5 1	1	3	1	3	0	0	7	1	5
GRANT	GT	1	0	0	0	70	5	11	10	9	8	10	14	18	16
GREENWOOD	GW	6	1	1	5	6	5 5	6	9	6	8	17	19	24	13
GRAY	GY	5	1	3	7	8	3	0	8	6	11	7	3	7	11
HODGEMAN	HG	3	2	1	0	3	ა 5	4	7	4	10	6	5	15	9
HAMILTON	HM	3	3	3	4	3	6	9	6	4	11	20	28	10	11
HARPER	HP	4	3	1	3	10	0	1	0	0	3	2	4	4	2
''^SKELL	HS	0	0	0	0	0	753	21	29	17	25	25	30	31	51
/EY	HV	5	1	5	6	13	13	13	8	8	9	8	19	21	29
KSON	JA	2	2		10	6	13 28	20	44	39	52	52	79		93
JEFFERSON	JF	9	8	14	23	18	20	20	74			·-·			

								1001	4005	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995 TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL CO
TO	TAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL 58	TOTAL 65	76	86	90	99	136	109	120 AL
	37	40	39	44	45	54	45	69	60	84	86	80	88	61	58 AN
	21	26	27	29	42	35	45 67	63	93	112	102	93	81	91	119 AT
	29	33	15	42	38	56 36	34	57	54	71	76	89	144	124	144 BA
	32	37	46	27	38	13	27	42	32	53	61	58	29	24	18 BB
	34	16	19	27	29	62	77	81	75	139	108	136	130	95	106 BR
	22	30	47	36	42 55	75	80	83	90	105	137	149	142	162	109 BT
	47	51	48	50	149	179	171	247	193	231	284	281	342	256	279 BU
	145	167	153	134	9	5	11	12	17	26	14	1	24	32	42 CA
	4	7	13	11 39	47	52	72	83	70	92	92	116	95	119	134 CD
	33	42	52 54	65	71	86	82	77	95	147	130	159	159	125	126 CF
	61	61	64	57	59	84	77	126	107	115	165	165	172	194	172 CK
	47	53 102	99	137	107	98	140	169	167	196	203	253	267	243	268 CL
	87	0	1	3	3	2	4	7	11	24	24	23	35	17	12 CM
	4	1	1	0	5	1	4	3	3	2	11	7	9	8	11 CN 39 CQ
	3 25	17	19	19	25	21	22	13	16	22	28	35	41	31	151 CR
	52	36	23	85	79	81	89	93	100	108	133	153	144	114	38 CS
	33	22	30	30	36	31	35	46	50	40	53	49	47	47	108 CY
	17	25	36	36	22	48	63	80	106	117	124	110	143	127 21	23 DC
	16	17	8	17	5	5	19	19	19	27	26	28	19	219	254 DG
	107	119	115	131	143	146	154	178	214	246	203	254	258 177	144	166 DK
	30	37	36	50	46	59	91	99	135	127	145	190	81	66	60 DP
	22	30	23	29	37	26	47	46	56	63	88	89	30	41	21 ED
	14	5	3	10	8	10	7	9	5	12	24 29	48 43	20	29	26 EK
	11	10	12	19	16	10	23	20	18	27 91	91	107	98	87	81 EL
	39	40	40	43	33	45	61	88	82	63	100	112	126	99	123 EW
	31	32	37	35	53	37	57	75	74	41	46	49	52	51	40 FI
	21	21	14	15	23	16	24	29	28 38	44	83	79	99	81	63 FO
	31	20	20	25	18	31	26	36 120	107	150	131	139	156	148	145 FR
	59	69	74	72	56	76	102	82	56	106	88	104	118	85	126 GE
	69	73	71	53	73	58	69 23	26	33	48	49	47	43	46	34 GH
	10	11	17	15	11	19 1	23 1	3	2	3	5	6	4	7	7 GL
	0	4	4	1	2	17	12	26	22	15	25	26	26	26	24 GO
	5	14	8	8	13	5	16	9	8	13	12	11	8	10	14 GT
	4	6	8	7	8	32	55	45	51	49	65	66	51	29	57 GW
	24	24	44	30	29 3	3	3	6	19	19	14	25	32	7	14 GY
	21	18	16	3 13	16	9	10	23	24	30	30	51	44	35	27 HG
	8	6	3 5	7	20	15	16	6	0	17	23	26	16	17	14 HM
	13	2		32	36	29	41	60	52	81	78	94	61	76	95 HP
	12	13	22 2	1	5	3	3	2	2	3	9	9	9	7	2 HS
	5	2 56	65	50	50	70	62	59	73	97	110	130	131	109	114 HV
	51	43	22	31	46	48	51	67	78	95	105	103	120	100	109 JA
	36	72	87	84	91	99	113	117	156	180	171	199	195	153	206 JF
	97	12	07	04	51										

		1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 TOTAL
a cultury/	СО	TOTAL	TOTAL 100	101AL											
COUNTY	JO	14	18	15	18	25	27	44	38	49	61	52	100 29	19	18
JOHNSON	JW	3	4	2	3	9	8	11	4	15	20	19	11	14	14
JEWELL	KE	2	0	4	2	10	4	7	4	5	4	13	34	38	31
KEARNY	KM	7	8	4	16	12	16	15	20	23	12	33	5 5	6	6
KINGMAN	KW	0	2	0	2	1	1	4	2	2	8	5	23	11	25
KIOWA	LB	3	2	3	3	12	6	4	7	14	19	16	8	6	6
LABETTE	LC	6	2	3	4	4	3	2	6	7	4	9	7	7	4
LINCOLN	LE	1	2	3	3	1	5	5	2	4	6	10	5	5	4
LANE	LG	1	3	1	5	3	2	1	4	3	3	4	12	23	26
LOGAN	LN	1	1	6	4	9	8	6	6	11	7	8	75	99	106
LINN	LV	11	16	13	18	23	25	34	44	51	42	63		60	63
LEAVENWORTH	LY	13	7	9	9	22	23	21	21	40	41	67	61	14	5
LYON		6	7	8	3	3	7	8	15	12	9	7	11		10
MITCHELL	MC	0	3	3	0	2	4	7	3	6	9	17	15	19 31	13
MEADE	ME MG	3	2	5	7	7	11	16	9	35	25	31	61	46	63
MONTGOMERY		4	3	3	7	11	11	19	21	24	22	30	54	33	48
MIAMI	MI	4	8	3	7	4	12	14	26	25	26	35	37	33 44	43
MARION	MN MP	7	2	6	7	12	9	17	14	24	18	30	41	17	24
MCPHERSON	MR	3	2	6	5	6	7	8	11	8	10	15	21	36	35
MORRIS	MS	7	7	1	2	7	9	20	20	30	28	31	44	2	2
MARSHALL	MT	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	2	2	0	5	19	16
MORTON NEMAHA	NM	0	0	1	1	3	7	8	12	13	12	17	16	28	36
NEOSHO	NO	3	4	5	6	11	8	7	8	14	20	17	35 17	14	6
NESS	NS	7	1	2	4	6	8	6	17	11	13	19		16	27
NORTON	NT	6	4	10	13	10	15	11	9	21	32	32	30 17	14	7
OSBORNE	ОВ	4	5	2	3	4	9	10	11	8	19	21	43	45	63
OSAGE	os	6	7	11	20	26	23	27	27	34	51	45	-0.00	29	27
OTTAWA	OT	4	5	6	11	14	10	7	19	17	10	17	18	29	33
PHILLIPS	PL	2	0	5	9	9	11	15	12	13	14	17	22	23	26
PAWNEE	PN	6	0	1	7	7	9	13	17	15	28	28	33	23	26
PRATT	PR	2	4	2	4	7	7	17	15	11	12	16	22		46
POTTAWATOMIE		7	8	4	12	10	19	18	28	43	45	46	58	53	9
RAWLINS	RA	3	2	6	2	7	5	7	3	8	4	11	22	15	
	RC	5	5	4	4	6	9	6	18	23	24	20	31	31	31
RICE	RH	5	3	7	7	4	6	13	2	10	16	16	19	22	21
RUSH		9	8	7	12	15	13	19	27	38	58	54	61	70	68
RILEY	RL RN	11	10	10	13	20	31	29	34	51	55	56	81	81	95
RENO		9	5	7	10	9	11	12	16	26	25	31	40	21	37
ROOKS	RO	2	1	4	8	4	14	4	14	17	25	23	31	31	26
REPUBLIC	RP	2	6	8	4	12	16	17	17	16	18	35	21	21	25
RUSSELL	RS	17	5	18	7	23	20	19	25	30	31	21	29	27	35
^^LINE	SA	0	0	2	0	1	3	2	5	2	6	5	10	5	4
YTT	SC	7	3	1	6	8	15	8	12	18	18	19	17	16	16
:RIDAN STAFFORD	SD SF	5	1	10	12	19	13	11	15	20	34	32	30	18	25

		4000	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1987	1988	1989 TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL CO
TOTAL	TOTAL	174	181	197	206	270	269	288	310	341	347	367	389	431 JO
127	171	24	24	22	23	22	24	20	25	30	52	59	67	63 JW
11	19 9	13	20	10	11	16	10	15	25	24	25	23	16	21 KE
11	39	56	62	49	69	45	61	64	91	105	136	124	144	131 KM
42	9	5	11	13	12	22	15	26	44	43	33	38	44	57 KW
9	68	52	54	64	68	72	86	97	110	94	133	146	116	134 LB
45 2	6	13	12	5	11	22	24	24	18	46	41	32	38	36 LC
4	2	5	7	5	4	11	17	8	13	18	23	16	29	17 LE
3	6	8	6	10	7	4	4	4	13	13	10	15	11	12 LG
27	25	46	65	63	72	68	76	112	106	109	132	123	96	102 LN
110	111	109	137	108	129	149	187	216	251	224	263	230	250	353 LV
92	110	96	107	106	95	114	137	119	167	146	178	169	123	148 LY 38 MC
12	8	12	16	9	0	5	6	1	8	44	48	53	54	36 ME
11	13	16	6	12	14	15	22	11	22	26	32	10	24 109	200 MG
13	65	95	59	100	77	101	133	150	151	150	135	175	181	225 MI
63	89	87	110	112	127	140	132	131	199	211	210 128	222 146	117	101 MN
36	48	44	44	47	45	66	65	65	100	126		156	159	162 MP
51	62	49	41	51	46	49	55	99	86	161	138 48	50	37	55 MR
32	27	29	23	31	40	33	45	42	42	51 27	21	16	155	166 MS
48	32	28	22	25	11	15	15	12	11	6	10	5	5	6 MT
2	0	2	3	2	4	7	2	4	6 97	106	90	92	95	94 NM
27	19	22	33	35	40	68	57 407	69	150	149	168	168	155	182 NO
49	60	75	73	88	90	93	107	116 31	27	31	33	39	38	14 NS
9	15	9	12	12	21	11	37	50	43	77	80	77	72	72 NT
23	24	29	46	32	32	40	53 1	2	3	27	3	0	2	66 OB
11	9	12	14	11	12	5	115	98	140	127	139	131	133	100 OS
82	81	63	80	85	83	90	52	57	61	92	95	118	78	103 OT
25	24	21	25	27	36	51 47	80	46	81	85	101	87	72	69 PL
27	32	33	29	27	31	47	67	43	60	68	98	86	113	93 PN
27	32	22	25	12	24	49	54	37	74	59	78	106	110	112 PR
20	26	45	26	32	34 97	123	159	135	167	155	176	183	177	139 PT
56	45	69	90	89 7	12	15	13	13	21	20	16	20	22	15 RA
11	14	15	12		55	65	55	48	88	96	83	98	114	126 RC
29	46	20	32	31 38	31	39	46	49	54	53	60	67	57	45 RH
24	21	31	19		105	131	128	141	186	201	208	176	179	186 RL
75	60	64	71	92		130	149	158	214	238	277	312	324	249 RN
93	108	84	110	123	102 26	44	40	58	54	77	74	83	101	96 RO
37	36	26	39 37	36 40	33	38	61	77	102	91	68	95	98	102 RP
31	32	31		40	43	45	67	74	74	78	91	94	89	74 RS
31	29	35	46 33	40 27	21	38	43	42	70	71	80	58	82	98 SA
29	19	35 12	33 12	3	9	11	12	13	9	19	21	16	8	5 SC
7	11		12	16	14	9	15	15	28	31	27	39	40	30 SD
5	14	10 34	37	31	29	36	47	36	74	80	97	120	98	124 SF
30	46	34	37	31	23	30		8575-0						

		1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978 TOTAL	1979 TOTAL	1980 TOTAL	1981 TOTAL	1982 TOTAL	1983 TOTAL	1984 TOTAL	1985 TOTAL	1986 TOTAL
COUNTY	CO	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	A PERSONAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997 AND A			49	54	96	93	94	132
SEDGWICK	SG	9	10	10	13	25	30	36	40	49	0	9	99	7	6
SHERMAN	SH	1	1	6	3	3	1	4	4	3	9	9	5	,	E
SMITH	SM	5	1	1	3	3	5	2	3	2	5	5	98	86	119
SHAWNEE	SN	22	19	31	27	30	42	46	50	59	73	74	90	4	115
STANTON	ST	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	- T
SUMNER	SU	8	6	3	5	12	9	11	18	32	25	25	35	38	57
STEVENS	sv	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0
SEWARD	sw	2	2	0	1	3	3	1	3	1	2	8	11	6	5
THOMAS	TH	2	1	2	5	7	4	8	8	11	12	11	11	14	17
TREGO	TR	3	7	3	4	6	12	7	7	10	14	19	19	13	11
WALLACE	WA	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	0
WALLACE	WB	6	4	5	7	12	10	11	12	21	10	25	33	13	29
WICHITA	WH	3	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	3	6	4	0
	WL	3	1	1	3	9	8	4	5	10	13	11	27	18	28
WILSON	WO	2	2		2	5	7	5	5	9	5	11	22	17	12
WOODSON		2	2	2	6	1	8	13	19	22	12	24	28	30	19
WASHINGTON	WS	0	10	13	8	10	17	26	30	32	29	23	39	36	67
WYANDOTTE	WY	8	10	13	0	10	1.7	20	50	02		_•			
STWD		487	381	500	630	854	1,001	1,189	1,380	1,748	1,937	2,287	2,884	2,738	3,094

1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992 TOTAL	1993 TOTAL	1994 TOTAL	1995 TOTAL	1996 TOTAL	1997 TOTAL	1998 TOTAL	1999 TOTAL	2000 TOTAL	2001 TOTAL CO
TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL		149	158	166	245	277	306	326	315	320 SG
146	151	141	111	106	156		20	20	24	26	19	22	24	20 SH
10	13	6	6	10	12	18		47	35	44	64	68	79	92 SM
3	13	25	26	4	9	22	36		342	328	378	369	301	305 SN
139	143	166	151	157	197	224	264	267	342		0	303	301	2 ST
0	2	2	2	5	2	3	4	4	7	2	577.0	400	422	148 SU
45	63	65	60	54	59	52	56	70	101	135	106	128	122	
3	2	2	5	4	6	12	6	6	7	9	6	7	10	8 SV
5	12	2	8	3	5	14	17	10	11	24	27	11	36	21 SW
16	17	20	6	18	17	19	43	32	25	35	35	32	27	26 TH
	20	13	14	19	20	23	30	22	30	33	46	50	39	39 TR
21		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7 WA
0	0	3.7		47	70	57	68	74	92	88	79	81	72	67 WB
39	39	42	47		3	7	15	9	8	10	12	2	4	4 WH
3	5	2	6	3		47	69	70	81	81	117	103	85	93 WL
28	44	43	37	47	32	47				45	53	48	43	46 WO
17	22	25	29	16	14	26	34	32	31			163	136	181 WS
33	33	35	41	36	68	68	93	94	121	139	157			218 WY
80	72	104	85	108	130	126	149	140	169	143	189	139	155	210 VV T
									0.445	0.440	0.001	10 102	9,543	10,184
3,566	3,883	3,996	4,209	4,354	4,739	5,582	6,571	6,746	8,415	9,116	9,991	10,192	9,543	10,104