Date

### MINUTES OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL FINANCE

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Kathe Decker at 9:00 A.M. on March 16, 2006 in Room 313-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Valdenia Winn- excused

Committee staff present:

Kathie Sparks, Kansas Legislative Research Theresa Kiernan, Revisor of Statutes Office Ann Deitcher, Committee Secretary

#### HB 2986 - School districts; school finance.

A motion was made by Representative O'Neal and seconded by Representative Crow to adopt New Section 21 into **HB 2986**. (Attachment 1). The motion passed on a voice vote.

Representative O'Neal proposed the alternative amendment to New Section 27, (Attachment 2), to be adopted into **HB 2986**. The motion was seconded by Representative Gordon

Representative Merrick asked if this amendment would go along with his proposed amendment. (Attachment 3). Theresa Kiernan explained that there would be no conflict.

A motion was made by Representative O'Neal and seconded by Representative Decker that the alternative amendment to **HB 2986** would now read "in order to adjust for regional cost differences among school districts in the state, the State Board shall make recommendations to the Governor for adjustments to the amount of state aid. In determining the amount of any such adjustments, the Board will receive it's data from the LPA studies. The motion passed on a voice vote.

Representative Merrick moved that his amendment be merged into Representative O'Neal's alternative amendment to **HB 2986**. Representative O'Neal seconded the motion. The motion passed on a voice vote.

Representatives Crow, Flaharty and Phelps wished to be recorded as nay votes.

Regarding the density at-risk, the Chair asked for a letter to be written by Staff to the LEPC requesting that they would have the At-risk Council take a look at the density at-risk because of the complexity of the issue

Representative Decker proposed a new act (5rs2300), concerning at-risk pupils. This bill would establish a pilot program for the creation of academies in certain school districts providing for the administration and oversight of such a program. (Attachment 4).

New Section 4 (a) was offered as an amendment to this bill. (Attachments 5 and 6).

Representative O'Neal asked staff to add in (b), another criteria under which districts could qualify and that would be at least 50% at-risk pupils. This would pick up an additional five districts, those being Elk Valley, Galena, Coffeeville, Liberal and Dodge City.

A motion was made by Representative O'Neal and seconded by Representative Crow to include this amendment to the bill. The motion carried on a voice vote.

A motion was made by Representative Decker and seconded by Representative O'Neal that this bill be adopted as amended. The motion carried on a voice vote.

Representative Decker made the motion that the moneys for this would come from high density at-risk funds.

#### CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL FINANCE at 9:00 A.M. on March 16, 2006 in Room 313-S of the Capitol.

Representative Merrick seconded the motion and it passed on a voice vote.

Information regarding vocational ed start-up grants was provided. (Attachment 7).

Representative DeCastro moved that this amendment be made, the motion was seconded by Representative O'Neal and passed on a voice vote.

A motion was made by Representative Merrick and seconded by Representative Gordon to amend **HB 2986** to stay within the percentage that was budgeted.. This would mean adjusting it to spend the same amount of extra dollars. (Attachment 8). The motion carried on a voice vote.

<u>It was moved by Representative Crow and seconded by Representative Phelps to approve the minutes for the meetings of March 1, 2 and 3. The motion passed on a voice vote.</u>

The meeting was adjourned at 10:35. The next meeting of the Select Committee is scheduled for Friday, March 17, 2006.

# Unfunded mandates.wpd

New Sec. 21. It is the public policy of the state of Kansas that *neither* the legislature *nor school districts* shall <del>not</del> be required to pay any costs attributable to meeting federal law or rules and regulations or standards adopted by the state board in conformance with such federal law unless funding to comply with such federal law, rules and regulations or standards is provided by the federal government in an amount deemed adequate by the legislature.

New Sec. 27. Subject to the limitations for appropriations therefor, the 10 districts above 100.0 on the salary index contained in Cost Study Analysis of Elementary and Secondary Education in Kansas: Estimating the costs of K-12 Education (January 2006) reported by the legislative division of post audit shall be eligible for a grant of moneys to enhance teacher salaries in an amount to be determined by the state board of education in accordance with the recommendations of such cost analysis study.

(U.S.D. No. 500 Kansas City, U.S.D. No. 501 Topeka, U.S.D. No. 259 Wichita, U.S.D. No. 308 Hutchinson, U.S.D. No. 233 Olathe, U.S.D. No. 202 Kansas City-Turner, U.S.D. No. 231 Gardner-Edgerton-Antioch, U.S.D. No. 230 Spring Hill, U.S.D. No. 512 Shawnee Mission and U.S.D. No. 232 De Soto)

#### Alternative:

New Sec. . In order to provide for the differences in the cost of living among the school districts in the state, the state board shall make recommendations to the governor for adjustments to the amount of base state aid per pupil. In determining the amount of any such adjustment, the board shall use data from the national center for educational statistics, studies conducted by the board or other sources the board deems appropriate. The state board shall file such recommendations, if any, as part of the budget estimate required by K.S.A. 75-3717, and amendments thereto.

Select Committee on School Finance
Date: 3-16-06
Attachment # 2

### Proposed Amendment

On page 14, following line 9, by inserting:

"Sec. 16. **K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 72-6449.** Cost of living weighting. (a) As used in this section, "school district" or "district" means a school district authorized to make a levy under this section.

- (b) The board of education of any district may levy a tax on the taxable tangible property within the district for the purpose of financing the costs incurred by the state that are attributable directly to assignment of the cost of living weighting to the enrollment of the district. There is hereby established in every school district a fund which shall be called the cost of living fund, which fund shall consist of all moneys deposited therein or transferred thereto in accordance with law. All moneys derived from a tax imposed pursuant to this section shall be credited to the cost of living fund. The proceeds from the tax levied by a district credited to the cost of living fund shall be remitted to the state treasurer in accordance with the provisions of K.S.A. 75-4215, and amendments thereto. Upon receipt of each such remittance, the state treasurer shall deposit the entire amount in the state treasury to the credit of the state school district finance fund.
- (c) The state board of education shall determine whether a district may levy a tax under this section as follows:
- (1) Determine the statewide average appraised value of single family residences for the calendar year preceding the current school year;
  - (2) multiply the amount determined under (1) by 1.25;
- (3) determine the average appraised value of single family residences in each school district for the calendar year preceding the current school year; and
- (4) subtract the amount determined under (2) from the amount determined under (3). If the amount determined for the district under (4) is a positive number and the district is authorized to adopt and has adopted a local option budget in an amount equal to the state prescribed percentage in the current school year, the district qualifies for assignment of cost of living weighting and may levy a tax on the taxable tangible property of the district for the purpose of financing the costs that are attributable directly to assignment of the cost of living weighting to enrollment of the district.
- (d) Except as provided by subsection (e), no tax may be levied under this section unless the board of education adopts a resolution authorizing such a tax levy and publishes the resolution at least once in a newspaper having general circulation in the district. Except as provided by subsection (e), the resolution shall be published in substantial compliance with the following form:

Unified School District No,		County, Kansas
	RESOLUTION	

Be It Resolved that:

The board of education of the above-named school district shall be authorized to levy an ad valorem

Select Committee on School Finance
Date: 3-/6-06
Attachment # 3-/

tax in an amount not to exceed the amount necessary to finance the costs attributable directly to the assignment of cost of living weighting to the enrollment of the district. The ad valorem tax authorized by this resolution may be levied unless a petition in opposition to the same, signed by not less than 5% of the qualified electors of the school district, is filed with the county election officer of the home county of the school district within 30 days after the publication of this resolution. If a petition is filed, the county election officer shall submit the question of whether the levy of such a tax shall be authorized in accordance with the provisions of this resolution to the electors of the school district at the next general election of the school district, as is specified by the board of education of the school district.

0	CD	TI	CI	CA	TI	7
	r.K		ırı	L.A	1 I I	٩.

This is to	certify that the abov	e resolution was duly adopte	d by the board o	f education of Unified
School District No.	,	County, Kansas, on the	day of	, (year)
			Clerk of t	the board of education.

All of the blanks in the resolution shall be filled. If no petition as specified above is filed in accordance with the provisions of the resolution, the resolution authorizing the ad valorem tax levy shall become effective. If a petition is filed as provided in the resolution, the board may notify the county election officer to submit the question of whether such tax levy shall be authorized. If the board fails to notify the county election officer within 30 days after a petition is filed, the resolution shall be deemed abandoned and of no force and effect and no like resolution shall be adopted by the board within the nine months following publication of the resolution. If a majority of the votes cast in an election conducted pursuant to this provision are in favor of the resolution, such resolution shall be effective on the date of such election. If a majority of the votes cast are not in favor of the resolution, the resolution shall be deemed of no effect and no like resolution shall be adopted by the board within the nine months following such election.

- (e) Any resolution adopted pursuant to this section for school year 2005-2006 shall not be subject to the provisions of subsection (d) relating to publication, protest or election.
- (e) In each school year, each district that imposes a cost of living levy shall be provided cost of living state aid in an amount determined by the state board as provided in this subsection. The state board shall:
- (1) Determine the amount of the assessed valuation per pupil in the preceding school year of each district in the state;
- (2) rank the districts from low to high on the basis of the amounts of assessed valuation per pupil determined under (1);
- (3) identify the amount of the assessed valuation per pupil located at the 81.2 percentile of the amounts ranked under (2):
- (4) divide the assessed valuation per pupil of the district in the preceding school year by the amount identified under (3);
- (5) subtract the ratio obtained under (4) from 1.0. If the resulting ratio equals or exceeds 1.0, the district is not entitled to receive cost of living state aid. If the resulting ratio is less than 1.0, the district is entitled to receive cost of living state aid in an amount the district is obligated to pay under subsection (b) by such ratio. The product is the amount of cost of living state aid the district is entitled to receive for the school year;
- (6) if the amount of appropriations for cost of living state aid is less than the amount each district is entitled to receive for the school year, the state board shall prorate the amount appropriated among the districts in proportion to the amount each district is entitled to

#### receive;

(7) the state board shall prescribe the dates upon which the distribution of payment of cost of living state aid to school districts shall be due. Payments of such state aid shall be distributed to districts on the dates prescribed by the state board. The state board shall certify to the director of accounts and reports the amount due each district, and the director of accounts and reports shall draw a warrant on the state treasurer payable to the treasurer of the district. Upon receipt of the warrant, the treasurer of the district shall credit the amount thereof to the cost of living fund of the district to be used for the purposes of such fund;

(8) if any amount of cost of living state aid that is due to be paid during the month of June of a school year pursuant to the other provisions of this section is not paid on or before June 30 of such school year, then such payment shall be paid on or after the ensuing July 1, as soon as moneys are available therefor. Any payment of cost of living state aid that is due to be paid during the month of June of a school year and that is paid to school districts on or after the ensuing July 1 shall be recorded and accounted for by school districts as a receipt for the school year ending on the preceding June 30.";

D			
HX7	reniim	hering	sections:
D y	ICHUIH	UCITIE	sections.

Repealer;

Title

HOUSE BILL NO. \_\_\_\_

Ву

AN ACT concerning at-risk pupils; establishing a pilot program for the creation of academies in certain school districts; providing for the administration and oversight of such program.

## Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. As used in this act:

- (a) "Academy" means an academy established pursuant to this act.
- (b) "At-risk" pupil means a pupil having one or more of the characteristics established by the state board which places such pupil at risk of failure in school.
- (c) "Program" means the at-risk pupil academy program established by section 2, and amendments thereto.
- (d) "School district" means U.S.D. No. 259, U.S.D. No. 457, U.S.D. No. 500 and U.S.D. No. 501.
  - (e) "State board" means the state board of education.
- (f) "State educational institution" means a state educational institution as defined by K.S.A. 76-711, and amendments thereto, which has been designated by the state board to administer the provisions of this act and to provide oversight of academies established by this act.
- Sec. 2. Subject to the limitations of appropriations therefor, there is hereby established the at-risk pupil academy program. Such program shall be administered by the state educational institution designated by the state board pursuant to section 3, and amendments thereto.
- Sec. 3. (a) The state board shall designate a state educational institution to administer the provisions of this act and to provide oversight of academies established pursuant thereto.
- (b) The state educational institution shall establish the curriculum for academies. The curriculum shall be age-appropriate and culturally relevant to the student population of the academies. The curriculum shall provide a student-friendly educational environment that is personalized, character-based and

computer-integrated. Teachers and administrators of the academies shall meet the same qualifications of teachers who teach in public schools in the district and shall be selected on the basis of their professionalism and dedication to the success of each student attending the academy.

- (c) The state educational institution and school district in which such academy is located may enter into agreements under which the district provides facilities and services to such academy. The state educational institution also may enter into agreements with other public or private entities for the provision of such facilities or services.
- (d) Academies shall meet or exceed the accreditation standards adopted by the state board. Students attending an academy shall be required to take the state assessments in the same manner as students attending public schools in the district.
- Sec. 4. The state board shall adopt rules and regulations deemed necessary for the implementation of this act. The state board may adopt any rules and regulations recommended by the state educational institution.
- Sec. 5. (a) On or before July 1, 2007, there shall be established in each school district a middle school at-risk academy and a high school at-risk academy. Attendance at each academy shall by limited to no more than 100 pupils. The parent or guardian of any at-risk pupil who desires to attend an academy shall submit an application in the manner and form required by the state educational institution. The application shall be accompanied by any information required by the state educational institution.
- (b) In order to provide a fair method of selecting pupils, the state educational institution shall establish a lottery system for the selection of pupils when the number of applications exceed the number of openings at an academy. The state educational institution shall establish the deadline for the submission of applications and a procedure for the notification of applicants of the acceptance or nonacceptance for

attendance at an academy.

before September 1, 2006, the state Sec. 6. On or educational institution shall report its progress on the implementation of this act to the legislative educational planning committee. The state educational institution shall submit other reports as requested by the chairperson of the legislative educational planning committee. On September 1, 2007, and each year thereafter, the state educational institution shall make an annual report on the program to the legislative educational planning committee. On or before the first day of the legislative session in 2008 and 2009, the legislative educational planning committee shall prepare and submit to the legislature a report on the program and any recommendations relating thereto.

Sec. 7. The provisions of this act shall expire on June 30, 2009.

Sec. 8. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

New Sec. 4. (a) The density at-risk pupil weighting of each school district shall be determined by the state board by multiplying the number of at-risk pupils included in enrollment of the district by .1 in school year 2006-2007, by .155 in school year 2007-2008 and by .242 in school year 2008-2009 and each school year thereafter. The product is the density at-risk pupil weighting of the district.

(b) As used in this section, "school district" means any school district which had an enrollment in the preceding school year of (1) at least 50% at-risk pupils or (2) at least 35.1% of pupils who were eligible for free or reduced price meals under the national school lunch act and at-risk pupils with an enrollment density of at least 212.1 pupils per square mile.

Select Committee on School Finance

Attachment # 5

V At-risk

						V	111	-1101	K
2/2/2006			1			1			
21212000									
			FTE Enroll	At-Risk					High At Risk
USD			inc4yr at risk	Students		2005-06 Pct	Additional 5%	Additional 9%	Districts
No.	County Name	USD Name	9/20/2005		Headcount	Free Meals	if >=44.9 and <50	if >50%	FTE
	Johnson	Blue Valley	18,975.2	447	19,867	2.25%	0.0		
	Leavenworth	Ft. Leavenworth	1,536.0	59	1,643	3.59%	0.0		0.0
	Wyandotte	Piper	1,408.0	76	1,456	5.22%	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Butler	Andover	3,891.6	239	4,049	5.90%	0.0		0.0
	Leavenworth	Basehor-Linwood	2,062.7	126	2,131	5.91%	0.0		
a tot a mentioned	Sedgwick	Maize	5,867.3	394	6,067	6.49%	0.0		0.0
	Leavenworth	Lansing	2,150.5	157	2,216	7.08%			0.0
	Sedgwick	Renwick	1,932.5	145	2,004	7.24%			0.0
	Johnson	DeSoto	4,928.2	404	5,207	7.76%			0.0
	Ellis	Victoria	259.3	21	269	7.81%			
	Douglas	Baldwin City	1,347.0	112	1,419	7.89%			
	Miami	Louisburg	1,472.8	124		8.07%			
	Shawnee	Silver Lake	727.8	66		8.70%			
	Sedgwick	Goddard	4,277.4	401		9.02%			
	McPherson	Moundridge	415.0	41		9.62%			
	Johnson	Spring Hill	1,639.8	173					
	Jefferson	Jefferson County	478.2	53	497	10.66%			
	McPherson	Inman	422.5	47	437	10.76%			
	Jefferson	Jefferson West	938.5	107	968				
	Sedgwick	Cheney	752.0	92	805	11.43%			
	Franklin	Wellsville	787.0	95	824	11.53%	0.0		
	Johnson	Olathe	23,422.0	2,843		11.59%	0.0		
	Butler	Rose Hill	1,683.5	204			0.0		
	Sumner	Conway Springs	558.1	81					
	Leavenworth	Tonganoxie	1,640.7	201					
	Leavenworth	Easton	691.1	86					
	Johnson	Shawnee Mission	27,477.2	1			0.0		
	Sedgwick	Clearwater	1,234.3				0.0		
	Riley	Riley County	628.0						
	Harvey	Hesston	763.0					0.0	
		Grinnell	112.0					0.0	
	Gove	Southeast of Saline	691.4					0.0	
	Saline	Circle	1,476.8					0.0	
	Butler Ford	Spearville	343.0					0.0	
	Nemaha	B & B	208.0	-				0.0	0.
		Nemaha Valley	498.4						
	Nemaha		452.0					0 . 0.0	
	Wabaunsee	Alma Gardner-Edgerton	3,647.8					0.0	0.
	Johnson		238.0					0.0	
	Doniphan	Highland	319.0						0.
	3 Gove	Quinter	453.5						0 0.
	7 Saline	Ell-Saline	1,858.3						0 0
	3 Sedgwick	Mulvane Shawnon Heights	3,370.6						0 0.
	Shawnee	Shawnee Heights	3,370.6						0 0
	8 Marshall	Axtell	2,424.2						0 0
	2 Sedgwick	Valley Center	3,329.9						
	5 Shawnee	Seaman							
	7 Shawnee	Auburn Washburn	5,103.3						
	1 Douglas	Eudora	1,288.6						
	0 McPherson	Smoky Valley	1,005.6						
	0 Pottawatomi		1;280.6		4 47				
	3 Washington	Barnes	387.		6 41				
	1 Graham	Hill City	390.0						
	8 Miami	Paola	2,004.					.0 0.	
	1 Reno	Pretty Prairie	289.					.0 0.	
	9 Harvey	Sedgwick	528.						.0
	2 Kiowa	Greensburg	279.		8 29				.0
	1 Marion	Goessel	270.		7 27	manual transport of the Assessment Section 500			.0  0
	1 Nemaha	Sabetha	906.						.0 0
34	3 Jefferson	Perry	956.		99				.0
						1/11/	70 i U	.01	
41	0 Marion 35 Jackson	Durham-Hills North Jackson	668. 404.		21 70 72 42				.00

attack 6-3-16-06 School

2/2006									
2.2000							70-50-70-9-XX		
									High At Die
			FTE Enroll	At-Risk		2005 00 5		0 1 1111 1 00/	High At Ris
JSD			inc4yr at risk	Students		2005-06 Pct	Additional 5%	Additional 9% if >50%	FTE
No.	County Name	USD Name	9/20/2005			Free Meals	if >=44.9 and <50		
396	Butler	Douglass	828.3	151		17.30%	0.0		0
444		Little River	285.0	52		17.51%	0.0	0.0	0
	Ness	Ness City	272.6	52		17.63%	0.0	0.0	0
206	Butler	Remington-Whitewater	539.0	98		17.66%	0.0	0.0	0
	Ellsworth	Ellsworth	595.8	109		17.67%	0.0	0.0	0
354	Barton	Claflin	295.0	54		17.82%	0.0		0
313	Reno	Buhler	2,129.5	400		17.87%	0.0		(
342	Jefferson	McLouth	541.3	101	554	18.23%	0.0		(
412	Sheridan	Hoxie	324.5	62			0.0		
364	Marshall	Marysville	754.2	147			0.0		
384	Riley	Blue Valley	219.1	42			0.0		
380	Marshall	Vermillon	541.7	106			0.0		
359	Sumner	Argonia	204.0	40					
240	Ottawa	Twin Valley	633.7	125					
323	Pottawatomie	Westmoreland	777.0	154					
273	Mitchell	Beloit	748.7	150					
336	Jackson	Holton	1,112.0	218					
419	McPherson	Canton-Galva	400.4	81					
421	Osage	Lyndon	447.0	90					
418	McPherson	McPherson	2,369.9	478					
	Butler	Flinthills	313.5						
463	Cowley	Udall	368.7	76					
228	Hodgeman	Hanston	69.5						
321	1 Pottawatomie		1,085.0						
	Doniphan	Wathena	380.0						
	7 Atchison	Atchison County	734.3						
	B Franklin	Central Heights	600.1						
	4 Thomas	Brewster	125.8						
	8 Trego	WaKeeney	398.0						
	3 Dickinson	Chapman	963.4						
	5 Butler	Leon	711.5		201000				
	7 Douglas	Lawrence	9,855.4						
	2 Washington	Washington	353.5 377.6						
	8 Ellis	Ellis	1,468.0						
	5 Dickinson	Abilene	555.7					0.0	
	1 Lyon	North Lyon Co.			6 45				
	8 Jefferson	Valley Halls	436.5		5 34				
	4 Osage	Burlingame	332.0						
	2 Lyon	Southern Lyon Co.	586.0 1,327.2						
	9 Clay	Clay Center	2,131.2				7		
	2 Butler	Augusta	4,913.						0
	3 Riley	Manhattan	98.		22 10				0
	14 Jewell	White Rock	998.						0
	2 Linn	Prairie View	2,191.					.0 0.	0
	04 Wyandotte	Bonner Springs	836.					.0 0.	0
	14 Coffey	Burlington	381.		38 39			.0 0.	0
	Sumner	Oxford	1,204.		78 1,25			.0 0.	0
	0sage	Santa Fe	1,204.		15 20			.0 0.	
	33 Doniphan	Midway	632.		49 66			.0 0.	
	25 Phillips	Phillipsburg St. Francis	311.		73 32			.0 0.	
	On Cheyenne	Derby	6,334.				% 0	.0 0.	
	Sedgwick		391.		97 42	25 22.82		.0 0.	
	70 Rooks	Plainville Osage City	727.			22.97		.0 0.	
	20 Osage	Osage City	2,869.		92 3,0			0.0	
	89 Ellis	Hays	673.			22.99		0.0	.0
	11 Norton	Norton	743.			35 23.06		0.0	.0
	49 Crawford	Frontenac	352			34 23.08		0.0	.0
	38 Pratt	Skyline	550			74 23.17			.0
	39 Ottawa	North Ottawa Co.	478			00 23.20			.0
	26 Meade	Meade	410			60 23.33			.0

i., \_\_\_\_\_

6-2

	,								4
									Lliah At Di
			FTE Enroll	At-Risk	2005 2006	2005-06 Pct	Additional 5%	Additional 9%	High At Ris
JSD		1100 11200	inc4yr at risk	Students	Headcount		if >=44.9 and <50		FTE
	County Name		9/20/2005	, BC					
		Troy	367.5						
		Cunningham	212.0	77					
		Commanche County	310.2 304.6						
		Clifton-Clyde	245.9						
		Ingalls Smith Center	426.5						
	OTT.	Smith Center Wabaunsee East	523.0						
	Wabaunsee		439.5						0 0
		Belleville Central	352.0						0 0
	Cowley	South Haven	244.5						0 0
	Sumner	North Central	111.5						0 0
	Washington	North Central Haven	1,055.7						0 0
	Reno Pottawatomie		360.5					0.0	0 0
	Pottawatomie Pawnee	Pawnee Heights	178.5			3 24.19%	0.0	0.0	0 0
	Barton	Ellinwood	477.6			3 24.23%	6.0	0.0	0 0
	Phillips	Logan	183.5			8 24.24%	6 0.0		
	Barber	Barber Co.	592.5			7 24.72%	6 0.0	0.0	
	Sedgwick	Haysville	4,434.1			3 24.75%	6.0	0.0	
	Harvey	Halstead	706.9		6 745	5 24.97%	6 0.0		
	Kingman	Kingman	1,064.0	292	2 1,169	9 24.98%	6 0.0		
	Hodgeman	Jetmore	299.5	78	8 312	2 25.00%	6 0.0		
	Thomas	Colby	987.3	3 256	6 1,020	0 25.10%	6 0.0		
	Gray	Montezuma	252.4	1 68	8 268	8 25.37%	6 0.0		
	Marshall	Valley Heights	379.9	102	2 402	2 25.37%	6 0.0		
	1 Chase	Chase County	467.5	123	3 481	1 25.57%			
	9 Clark	Minneola	244.0	) 66	6 258	8 25.58%			
	7 Franklin	West Franklin	874.7	7 235	5 913				
	Rush	Otis-Bison	218.3	3 58	8 224	4 25.89%			
	6 Montgomery	Caney	817.5	5 22	1 853				
	5 Rush	LaCrosse	318.5	5 90	00 347				
	7 Marion	Centre	283.0	76					
	3 Coffey	Lebo-Waverly	577.5	5 15					
	5 Coffey	LeRoy-Gridley	270.5	5 7:	3 280				
	8 Morton	Elkhart	667.1	1 18					
	1 Dickinson	Rural Vista	394.5						
	5 Barber	South Barber Co.	252.0	0 6	39 262				
	5 Rawlins	Rawlins County	341.5		35				.0
	9 Greenwood	Eureka	639.4						
	8 Crawford	Girard	1,052.0						.0
	7 Jackson	Mayetta	926.7	7 25					.0
	4 Decatur	Oberlin	432.5						0.0
292	2 Gove	Grainfield	167.0		46 17				0.0
	7 Dickinson	Herington	509.7						0.0
	32 Pratt	Pratt	1,177.8						0.0
506	6 Labette	Labette County	1,638.2						0.0
393	3 Dickinson	Solomon	405.8		15 41				0.0
271	1 Rooks	Stockton	344.0		98 35			7.70.000	0.0
482	32 Lane	Dighton	244.2			60 27.69°			0.0
278	78 Jewell	Mankato	207.0			13 27.709			0.0
103	3 Cheyenne	Cheylin	144.		41 14				0.0
274	74 Logan	Oakley	410.		29 46				0.0
272	72 Mitchell	Waconda	348.		05 37				0.0
215	15 Kearny	Lakin	636.			65 28.27			0.0
	76 Rice	Sterling	501.			29 28.36			0.0
102	02 Gray	Cimarron-Ensign	632.			68 28.44			0.0
242	42 Wallace	Weskan	119.			23 28.46			0.0
	01 Neosho	Erie-St. Paul	696.			33 28.51			0.0
	07 Russell	Russell	989.		91 1,02				0.0
	74 Kiowa	Haviland	176.			03 28.57			0.0
. 41		Holcomb	874.	0	68 93	30 28.82	_%	0.0	1.01

6-3

/2/2006									
			FTE Enroll	At-Risk					High At Ris
USD			inc4yr at risk		2005-2006	2005-06 Pct	Additional 5%	Additional 9%	Districts
No.	County Name	USD Name	9/20/2005		Headcount	Free Meals	if >=44.9 and <50	if >50%	FTE
	Greenwood	Madison-Virgil	246.0	75			0.0	0.0	0.
	Ness	Western Plains	191.5	58	199		0.0	0.0	0.
	Franklin	Ottawa	2,380.5	723	2,476		0.0	0.0	0.
	Wilson	Neodesha	742.0	229	784	29.21%	0.0		0.
	Greeley	Greeley County	252.3	78	267	29.21%	0.0		0.
	Chautauqua	Chautauqua	416.0	127	434	29.26%	0.0		0
	Morris	Morris County	837.0	257	877	29.30%	0.0		
341	Jefferson	Oskaloosa	583.6	184	627	29.35%	0.0		
	Stafford	St. John-Hudson	395.8	123		29.50%	0.0		0
	Harper	Attica	120.0	36		29.51% 29.53%	0.0		0
	Scott	Scott County	900.7	285			0.0		
	Barton	Hoisington	627.8 897.9	194 279			0.0		
	Brown	Hiawatha	758.5	279			0.0		
	Sumner	Belle Plaine	133.5	41			0.0		
	Russell Linn	Paradise Jayhawk	560.3	180			0.0		
	Wichita	Leoti	456.4	150			0.0	0.0	C
	Marion	Peabody-Burns	390.1	123			0.0		
	Sherman	Goodland	944.0	309			0.0		
	Lincoln	Sylvan Grove	138.5	44			0.0		
	Lincoln	Lincoln	362.7	120			0.0		
	Anderson	Garnett	1,102.3	356					
	Cowley	Dexter	234.5	74					
	Cowley	Winfield	2,415.0	793					
	Butler	El Dorado	2,086.0	682					
	Norton	West Solomon	58.0	19					
	Phillips	Eastern Heights	150.0	48					
	Wallace	Wallace	204.0	33					
	Lane	Healy	352.7	115					
	Osborne	Osborne Chanute	1,831.4	613					
	Neosho Rooks	Palco	149.0	5.				0.0	
	Edwards	Kinsely-Offerle	308.5	113					
	Logan	Triplains	118.0	40		32.52%			
	Montgomery	Cherryvale	680.6	233	3 713				
	Ford	Bucklin	245.5	84					
	Harvey	Newton	3,433.7						
	Cloud	Concordia	1,054.7						
212	Norton	Northern Valley	180.0						
	Allen	Humboldt	511.2						
	Crawford	Cherokee	784.5						
	Wilson	Fredonia	742.5						
	Jewell	Jewell	143.0						
	Ellsworth	Lorraine	452.3 276.1						
	Sumner	Caldwell	268.0						
	Wilson Geary	Altoona-Midway Junction City	5,909.3						0
	1 Harper	Anthony-Harper	854.6						
	Clark	Ashland	204.5		3 21		6 0.		
	5 Saline	Salina	7,066.2			9 34.23%	6 0.	.0 0.	
	9 Anderson	Crest	248.0		7 25			.0 0.	
	3 Sumner	Wellington	1,638.0	58				.0 0.	
	6 Allen	Marmaton Valley	362.0	) 12				.0 0.	
	4 Grant	Ulysses	1,655.1					.0 0.	
	6 Republic	Pike Valley	257.5		27			.0 0.	
36	6 Woodson	Woodson	437.5					.0 0.	
	2 Wyandotte	Turner	3,660.5					.0 0. .0 0.	
	8 Cherokee	Baxter Springs	859.0						0
	5 Bourbon	Uniontown	455.5						0
45	5 Republic 4 Labette	Hillcrest Oswego	96.5 468.5		3 1C				0

6-4

/2006									
			FTE Enroll	At-Risk					High At Ri
SD			1	Students		2005-06 Pct	Additional 5%	Additional 9%	Districts
Vo.	County Name	USD Name	9/20/2005		Headcount		if >=44.9 and <50	if >50%	FTE
310	Reno	Fairfield	373.6	138		35.38%	0.0		
404	Cherokee	Riverton	864.6	322	903	35.66%	0.0	0.0	
238	Smith	West Smith Co.	179.0	65	182	35.71%	0.0	0.0	
210	Stevens	Hugoton	1,001.4	384	1,065	36.06%	0.0	0.0	
367	Miami	Osawatomie	1,185.0	458	1,246	36.76%	0.0	0.0	
493	Cherokee	Columbus	1,188.5	456		36.80%	0.0		
446	Montgomery	Independence	1,889.7	726		36.95%	0.0		
334	Cloud	Southern Cloud	221.5	85		37.28%	0.0	****	
285	Chautauqua	Cedar Vale	157.5	61	163	37.42%	0.0		
351	Stafford	Macksville	289.0	114		37.62%	0.0		
309	Reno	Nickerson	1,131.1	453		37.62%	0.0		
	Leavenworth	Leavenworth	3,940.2	1,570		37.86%	0.0		
	Allen	Iola	1,428.0	564			0.0		
	Haskell	Satanta	377.5	154		38.31%	0.0		
	Decatur	Prairie Heights	12.5	5					
	Stanton	Stanton County	454.4	187			0.0		
	Greenwood	Hamilton	101.5	41			0.0		
	Morton	Rolla	198.5	80 167		38.93%	0.0		
	Linn	Pleasanton	408.5	55					
	Gray	Copeland	127.0 1,879.2	775			0.0		
	Bourbon	Ft. Scott		648					
	Atchison	Atchison	1,557.8 121.5	56					
	Kiowa	Mullinville	662.5	276					
	Brown	Brown County	459.0	197					
	Hamilton	Syracuse	1,432.1	626					
	Labette	Parsons Stafford	305.5	133					
	Stafford	Golden Plains	188.1	85					
	Thomas	West Elk	412.5	187					
	Elk	Fowler	179.0	81					
	Meade Edwards	Lewis	119.0	54					
	Harvey	Burrton	277.0					0.0	)
	Rice	Chase	163.3						
	Haskell	Sublette	495.4						
	Stevens	Moscow	211.2				0.0	0.0	)
	Barton	Great Bend	3,023.8			43.77%	0.0		
	Seward	Kismet-Plains	685.0						
	Reno	Hutchinson	4,542.1		9 4,77	44.99%			
	7 Finney	Garden City	6,859.4						
	Labette	Chetopa	560.5	26					
	6 Osage	Marais Des Cygnes	258.7	12	5 27:				
	3 Lyon	Emporia	4,592.9	2,27	3 4,91				
	6 Crawford	Northeast	588.5	28					
	Crawford	Pittsburg	2,542.2						
	Cowley	Arkansas City	2,748.6	1,36	0 2,90				
216	6 Kearny	Deerfield	335.3						
486	6 Doniphan	Elwood	297.4						
40	5 Rice	Lyons	827.5						
28	3 Elk	Elk Valley /	192.0						
	9 Cherokee	Galena	737.0						
44	5 Montgomery	Coffeyville	1,806.3						
50	1 Shawnee	Topeka	12,607.4						
48	0 Seward	Liberal	4,215.7						
	9 Sedgwick	Wichita	45,497.2						
	3 Ford	Dodge City	5,630.0						
50	0 Wyandotte	Kansas City	18,877.5	12,60	20,12	0 62.629	√₀ <u> </u>	.0 1,134.	0 1,
						4	10	1	1

H+S 7500% 6-5

New Sec. .(a) In each school year, to the extent that appropriations are available, each school district which desires to establish a vocational education program may submit an application for a grant of moneys in an amount to be determined by the state board for the purpose of paying the costs of establishing such program including operating expenses and acquiring equipment.

- (b) In order to be eligible for a grant under this section, the board of education of the school district shall submit to the state board an application for a grant. The application shall be prepared in such form and manner as required by the state board and shall be submitted at a time to be determined and specified by the state board.
- (c) The state board shall establish standards and reviewing, evaluating and approving for applications for grants submitted pursuant to this section. All grants shall be awarded by the state board in accordance with the standards and criteria established by the state board. The state board shall determine the amount of grants and be responsible for payment of grants to school districts. If the amount of appropriations for the payment of grants under this section is insufficient to pay in full the amount each school district is determined to be eligible to receive for the school year, the state board shall prorate the amount appropriated among all school districts which are eligible to receive grants of state moneys in proportion to the amount each school district is determined to be eligible to receive.
- (d) Each school district which is awarded a grant under this section shall make such periodic and special reports of statistical and financial information to the state board as it may request.

Select Committee on School Finance
Date: 3-16-06
Attachment # 7

Amend K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 72-6407:

by striking:

(c) "At-risk pupils" means pupils who are eligible for free meals under the national school lunch act and who are enrolled in a district which maintains an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan.

and inserting:

(c) "At-risk pupil" means a pupil who has a scored less than proficient on the mathematics or reading state assessment during the preceding school year and who is enrolled in a district which maintains an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan.

Amend K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 72-6414:

by striking:

(a) The at-risk pupil weighting of each district shall be determined by the state board by multiplying the number of at-risk pupils included in enrollment of the district by .193. The product is the at-risk pupil weighting of the district.

and inserting:

- (a) The at-risk weighting of each district shall be determined by the state board as follows:
- (1)(A) for school year 2006-2007, determine the number of pupils who took the mathematics or reading state assessments in school year 2004-2005 and (B) for school year 2007-2008 and each school year thereafter, determine the number of pupils who took the mathematics or reading state assessments in the preceding school year;
- (2)(A) for school year 2006-2007, determine the number of pupils who scored below proficiency on either the mathematics or reading state assessments in school year 2004-2005 and (B) for school year 2007-2008 and each school year thereafter, determine the number of pupils who scored below proficiency on either the mathematics or reading state assessments in the preceding school year;
- (3) divide the number determined under paragraph (2) by the number determined under paragraph (1);
- (4) multiply the enrollment of the district by the dividend determined under paragraph (3); and
- (5) multiply the product determined under paragraph (4) by .27 for school year 2006-2007, .37 for school year 2007-2008 and .484 for school year 2008-2009 and each school year thereafter. The product is the at-risk pupil weighting of the district.
- (b) Except as provided in subsection (d), of the amount a district receives from the at-risk pupil weighting, an amount produced by a pupil weighting of .01 shall be used by the district for achieving mastery of basic reading skills by completion of the third grade in accordance with standards and outcomes of mastery identified by the state board under K.S.A. 72-7534, and amendments thereto.
- (c) A district shall include such information in its at-risk pupil assistance plan as the state board may require regarding the district's remediation strategies and the results thereof in achieving the third grade reading standards and outcomes of mastery identified by the state board. The reporting requirements shall include information documenting remediation strategies and improvement made by pupils who performed below the expected standard on the second grade diagnostic reading test prescribed by the state board.
- (d) A district whose pupils substantially achieve the state board standards and outcomes of mastery of reading skills upon completion of third grade may be released, upon request, by the state board from the requirements of subsection (b).

Select Committee on School Finance Date: 3 - 16 - 06