Approved:	April 2, 2007_
	Date

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

The meeting was called to order by Chair Sharon Schwartz at 9:00 A.M. on March 23, 2007, in Room 514-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Representative Ty Masterson - excused

Committee staff present:

Alan Conroy, Legislative Research Department
J. G. Scott, Legislative Research Department
Becky Krahl, Legislative Research Department
Reagan Cussimanio, Legislative Research Department
Julian Efird, Legislative Research Department
Susan Kannarr, Legislative Research Department
Aaron Klaassen, Legislative Research Department
Amy VanHouse, Legislative Research Department
Jim Wilson, Revisor of Statutes
Mike Corrigan, Revisor of Statutes
Nikki Feuerborn, Chief of Staff
Shirley Jepson, Committee Assistant

Conferees appearing before the committee:

Others attending:

See attached list.

•	Attachment 1	Social Services Budget Committee Report on HB 2224
•	Attachment 2	Amendment on HB 2224
•	Attachment 3	Social Services Budget Committee Report on SB 355
•	Attachment 4	Transportation and Public Safety Budget Committee Report on HB
		<u>2133</u>
•	Attachment 5	House Substitute for HB 2133
•	Attachment 6	Transportation and Public Safety Budget Committee Report on HB
		2421
•	Attachment 7	Education Budget Committee Report on SB 109
•	Attachment 8	Agriculture and Natural Resources Budget Committee Report on SB
		<u>262</u>
•	Attachment 9	Board of Regents Deferred Maintenance Plan, Priority List and
		Answers to Committee Questions

Representative Beamer moved to introduce a Committee Resolution in support of Farm Security Administration (FSA) offices. The motion was seconded by Representative Feuerborn. Motion carried.

<u>Discussion and Action on HB 2224 - Department of health and environment, certain genetic diseases and disorders, education and screening, assistance.</u>

Representative Bethell, Chair of the Social Services Budget Committee, presented the Budget Committee report on HB 2224 and moved for the adoption of the Budget Committee recommendation (Attachment 1). The motion was seconded by Representative Ballard. Motion carried.

The Budget Committee report included an amendment to <u>HB 2224</u> that inserts language to remove the proposed fee for newborn screening (<u>Attachment 2</u>). The amendment would include those on Medicaid as well as insured and uninsured. Currently insurance does not pay for the screening. Responding to a question from the Committee, the Budget Committee stated that the procedure can be reviewed each year during the budget process.

Representative Bethell moved to recommend **HB 2224** as amended favorable for passage. The motion was seconded by Representative Ballard. Motion carried.

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE House Appropriations Committee at 9:00 A.M. on March 23, 2007, in Room 514-S of the Capitol.

<u>Discussion and Action on SB 355 - Repealing sunset on Kansas foster child educational</u> assistance act.

Representative Bethell, Chair of the Social Services Budget Committee, presented the Budget Committee report on SB 355 and moved for the adoption of the Budget Committee recommendation (Attachment 3). The motion was seconded by Representative Kelsey. Motion carried.

Representative Bethell moved to recommend **SB 355** favorable for passage. The motion was seconded by Representative Kelsey. Motion carried.

<u>Discussion and Action on HB 2133 - Informal resolution of disputes of deficiencies cited by</u> fire inspectors.

Representative Tafanelli, Chair of the Transportation and Public Safety Budget Committee, presented the Budget Committee report on **Substitute for HB 2133** and moved for the adoption of the Budget Committee recommendation (Attachment 4). The motion was seconded by Representative Williams. Motion carried.

The Budget Committee explained that <u>Sub for HB 2133</u> (<u>Attachment 5</u>) would establish a two-tiered informal dispute resolution process for deficiencies cited in a medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility, or special hospital by an officer of the State Fire Marshall during an inspection for compliance with federal law pursuant to oversight by the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services (CMS).

Representative Tafanelli moved for recommend **Sub for HB 2133** favorable for passage. The motion was seconded by Representative Wolf. Motion carried.

Discussion and Action on HB 2421 - Kansas army and air national guard, death benefits.

Representative Tafanelli, Chair of the Transportation and Public Safety Budget Committee, presented the Budget Committee report on **HB 2421** and moved for the adoption of the Budget Committee recommendation (Attachment 6). The motion was seconded by Representative Williams. Motion carried.

The Budget Committee explained that <u>HB 2421</u> would provide an aggregate death benefit to the beneficiaries of each Kansas National Guard member who dies as a result of federal active duty in a combat zone after September 1, 2005. The death benefit does not apply if the member dies as a result of suicide. The benefit would be paid from the State Emergency Fund.

Representative Tafanelli moved to recommend **HB 2421** favorable for passage. The motion was seconded by Representative Wolf. Motion carried.

Discussion and Action on SB 109 - School district employees; compensation, when.

Representative McLeland, Chair of the Education Budget Committee, presented the Budget Committee report on SB 109 and moved for the adoption of the Budget Committee recommendation (Attachment 7). The motion was seconded by Representative Sawyer. Motion carried.

The Budget Committee explained that <u>SB 109</u>, as amended by the Senate, would amend current law governing compensation of school district employees covered by Kansas Public Retirement System (KPERS). The bill, as amended, would require that employees covered by KPERS who are subject to the continuing contract law must be paid in at least 12 equal payments, once, or more often, each month. <u>SB 109</u> would delete the language mandating that the payments begin in September of each school year. The Senate made a technical amendment to the bill.

Representative McLeland moved to recommend SB 109 favorable for passage. The motion was

CONTINUATION SHEET

MINUTES OF THE House Appropriations Committee at 9:00 A.M. on March 23, 2007, in Room 514-S of the Capitol.

seconded by Representative Sawyer. Motion withdrawn with permission from the second.

Representative McLeland moved to recommend **SB 109** favorable for passage and move to the consent calendar. Motion carried.

Discussion and Action on SB 262 - Motor vehicle purchases by state agencies; E85 fuels.

Representative Powell, Chair of the Agriculture and Natural Resources Budget Committee, presented the Budget Committee report on SB 262 and moved for the adoption of the Budget Committee recommendation (Attachment 8). The motion was seconded by Representative Gatewood. Motion carried.

The Budget Committee explained that <u>SB 262</u>, as amended by the Senate, would require state agencies, when purchasing a motor vehicle, to purchase a motor vehicle which utilizes E85 fuel, unless the manufacturer of the vehicle model to be purchased does not offer the model with an engine that utilizes E85 fuels or the cost of the vehicle is \$250 or more than the cost of the vehicle model that does not utilize E85. The Senate Committee made several amendments to the original bill.

The Committee had some concern that E85 fuel is not always conveniently available. The Budget Committee noted that this legislation is an effort to reduce dependence on foreign oil.

Representative Powell moved to recommend **SB 262** favorable for passage. The motion was seconded by Representative Gatewood. Motion carried.

Discussion and Action on SB 302 - Electronic purchase task force; controlled substances.

Representative Bethell moved to recommend **SB 302** favorable for passage. The motion was seconded by Representative George. Motion carried.

Amy VanHouse, Legislative Research Department, explained that <u>SB 302</u> would establish an 11-member Controlled Substances Monitoring Task Force to develop a plan for the creation and implementation of a controlled substances prescription monitoring program and an electronic purchase log.

<u>Discussion and Action on SB 165 - Increasing the limit of settlement authority of the secretary of corrections from \$500 to \$2,500</u>.

Representative Tafanelli moved to recommend **SB 165** favorable for passage. The motion was seconded by Representative Holmes. Motion failed on 8-11 vote.

Some members of the Committee expressed a concern as to how the program would be monitored. It was noted that the Department of Corrections had stated that if they could settle more claims without going to court, there would be a savings in legal costs for the Department.

A report from the Board of Regents on the Regents Deferred Maintenance Plan, project priority lists for each Regent university and answers to Committee questions was distributed to the Committee (Attachment 9).

The meeting was adjourned at 10:05 a.m. The next meeting of the Committee will be held at 9:00 a.m. on March 26, 2007.

Sharon Schwartz, Chair

House Appropriations Committee March 23, 2007 9:00 A.M.

NAME	REPRESENTING
bries theres	Adjutant General's Dent.
Alman Rence	KACA
(New Aystin	KHA
Nacle Hloxonder	KSFYD
Mark Desotti	KNEA
Jon Kuh	RASB
Ron Seehen	Ken Law Firm
Mary Sloan	KAHSA
Dida Zih	KAHSI
Anni Rosi	KACCT
(Tids linn Helse)	Budge /
Ched worsdan	
COLPRIBUTCH DOWN	NGAYS
•	

SOCIAL SERVICES BUDGET COMMITTEE

HB 2224

LEVO hu	
Representative Bob Bethell, Chair	Representative Pat George
Legan Most	Tom Hawk
Representative Peggy Mast, Vice-Chair	Representative Tem Hawk
Jenn Ham	Jul Hely
Representative Jerry Henry,	Representative Dick Kelsey
Ranking Minority Member	1 1
Barbard W. Balland	Manckloada
Representative Barbara Ballard	Representative Marc Rhoades
MANAMA	
Representative David Crum	

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS
DATE 3-23-2007

House Social Services Budget Committee

Recommendation on House Bill 2224

The Social Services Budget Committee recommends amending HB 2224 on page 1, line 31, by striking all after performed and on page 1, all in line 32, and inserting the words "without charge."

The amendment would remove the proposed fee for newborn screening.

Brief

HB 2224 would amend law regarding the newborn screening program. The Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) would be directed to adopt rules and regulations no later than July 1, 2008, to require newborn screening tests for the disorders recommended in the 2005 report by the American College of Medical Genetics entitled "Newborn Screening: Toward a Uniform Screening Panel and System." The Secretary of Health and Environment would be required to appoint an advisory committee regarding the implementation of the expanded screening program. KDHE also would be directed to periodically review the newborn screening program, including program efficacy, cost effectiveness, and whether program adjustments need to be made.

The bill would allow a designee of the agency to conduct the initial laboratory screening tests, and would establish a fee of no more than \$30 per newborn.

HB 2224 also strikes language requiring KDHE and the Kansas Health Policy Authority to combine purchase powers for the purchase of treatment products.

Background

The 2006 Legislature directed the agency to meet with an advisory group of concerned stakeholders to draft a plan for expansion of the state's mandatory newborn metabolic screening program. Represented parties included Medicaid, the Kansas Hospital Association, insurance trade groups, the Kansas Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics, and KDHE staff.

The House Social Services Budget Committee held a hearing on the bill. Proponents of the bill included the Director of the Division of Health, KDHE; the Director of Health Policy for Kansas Action for Children; the President-Elect of the Kansas Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics; the State Director of the March of Dimes; the Vice President of Government Relations of the Kansas Hospital Association; the Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health Systems; and several parents. Conferees indicated that only two other states screen for as few conditions as Kansas, and no state screens for less diseases than Kansas. The March of Dimes and the American College of Medical Genetics recommends that states screen for 29 treatable conditions.

There were no opponents to the bill.

The fiscal note on the original bill estimates total expenditures of \$1,189,942, including \$191,000 from the State General Fund, and 5.0 FTE positions. Expenditures would include \$882,402 for new laboratory costs, \$116,537 for new followup costs, and \$191,000 for new treatment product costs. The fee for each newborn is estimated to generate revenues of \$1,170,000.

Kansas Legislative Research Department

March 21, 2007

Session of 2007

HOUSE BILL No. 2224

By Social Services Budget Committee

1-25

AN ACT concerning the department of health and environment; relating to education and screening for congenital hypothyroidism, galactosemia, phenylketonuria and other genetic diseases and disorders; assistance for certain expenses; amending K.S.A. 2006 Supp. 65-180 and repealing the existing section.

13 14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

26

30

31

32

33

34

36

37

38

10

11

12

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 2006 Supp. 65-180 is hereby amended to read as follows: 65-180. The secretary of health and environment shall:

- (a) Institute and carry on an intensive educational program among physicians, hospitals, public health nurses and the public concerning congenital hypothyroidism, galactosemia, phenylketonuria and other genetic diseases detectable with the same specimen. This educational program shall include information about the nature of such conditions and examinations for the detection thereof in early infancy in order that measures may be taken to prevent the mental retardation or morbidity resulting from such conditions.
- (b) Provide recognized screening tests for phenylketonuria, galactosemia, hypothyroidism and such other diseases as may be appropriately detected with the same specimen. The initial laboratory screening tests for these diseases shall be performed by the department of health and environment or its designee for all infants born in the state. Such services shall be performed without charge for a fee of not more than \$30 per newborn.

(c) Provide a follow-up program by providing test results and other information to identified physicians; locate infants with abnormal newborn screening test results; with parental consent, monitor infants to assure appropriate testing to either confirm or not confirm the disease suggested by the screening test results; with parental consent, monitor therapy and treatment for infants with confirmed diagnosis of congenital hypothyroidism, galactosemia, phenylketonuria or other genetic diseases being screened under this statute; and establish ongoing education and support activities for individuals with confirmed diagnosis of congenital hypothyroidism, galactosemia, phenylketonuria and other genetic diseases being screened under this statute and for the families of such without charge

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

Proposed amendment by the Social Services Budget

Committee for consideration by the House

Committee on Appropriations

individuals.

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

21

23

24

26

27

28

30

31

33 34

35

38

- (d) Maintain a registry of cases including information of importance for the purpose of follow-up services to prevent mental retardation or morbidity.
- (e) Provide, within the limits of appropriations available therefor, the necessary treatment product for diagnosed cases for as long as medically indicated, when the product is not available through other state agencies. In addition to diagnosed cases under this section, diagnosed cases of maple syrup urine disease shall be included as a diagnosed case under this subsection. Where the applicable income of the person or persons who have legal responsibility for the diagnosed individual meets medicaid eligibility, such individuals' needs shall be covered under the medicaid state plan. Where the applicable income of the person or persons who have legal responsibility for the diagnosed individual is not medicaid eligible, but is below 300% of the federal poverty level established under the most recent poverty guidelines issued by the United States department of health and human services, the department of health and environment shall provide reimbursement of between 50% to 100% of the product cost in accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the secretary of health and environment. Where the applicable income of the person or persons who have legal responsibility for the diagnosed individual exceeds 300% of the federal poverty level established under the most recent poverty guidelines issued by the United States department of health and human services, the department of health and environment shall provide reimbursement of an amount not to exceed 50% of the product cost in accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the secretary of health and environment.
- (f) Provide state assistance to an applicant pursuant to subsection (e) only after it has been shown that the applicant has exhausted all benefits from private third-party payers, medicare, medicaid and other government assistance programs and after consideration of the applicant's income and assets. The secretary of health and environment shall adopt rules and regulations establishing standards for determining eligibility for state assistance under this section.
- (g) (1) Except for treatment products provided under subsection (e), if the medically necessary food treatment product for diagnosed cases must be purchased, the purchaser shall be reimbursed by the department of health and environment for costs incurred up to \$1,500 per year per diagnosed child age 18 or younger at 100% of the product cost upon submission of a receipt of purchase identifying the company from which the product was purchased. For a purchaser to be eligible for reimbursement under this subsection (g)(1), the applicable income of the person or persons who have legal responsibility for the diagnosed child shall not

exceed 300% of the poverty level established under the most recent poverty guidelines issued by the federal department of health and human services.

- (2) As an option to reimbursement authorized under subsection (g)(1), the department of health and environment may purchase food treatment products for distribution to diagnosed children in an amount not to exceed \$1,500 per year per diagnosed child age 18 or younger. For a diagnosed child to be eligible for the distribution of food treatment products under this subsection (g)(2), the applicable income of the person or persons who have legal responsibility for the diagnosed child shall not exceed 300% of the poverty level established under the most recent poverty guidelines issued by the federal department of health and human services.
- (3) In addition to diagnosed cases under this section, diagnosed cases of maple syrup urine disease shall be included as a diagnosed case under this subsection (g).
- (h) The department of health and environment shall continue to receive orders for both necessary treatment products and necessary food treatment products, purchase such products, and shall deliver the products to an address prescribed by the diagnosed individual. The department of health and environment shall bill the person or persons who have legal responsibility for the diagnosed patient for a pro-rata share of the total costs, in accordance with the rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this section. The department of health and environment and the Kansas health policy authority shall combine the purchasing resources for the purpose of this subsection and shall enter into a joint contract for the purchase of all products for both medicaid and nonmedicaid eligible elients.
- (i) Not later than July 1, 2008, the secretary of health and environment shall adopt rules and regulations as needed to require, to the extent of available funding, newborn screening tests to screen for disorders listed in the core uniform panel of newborn screening conditions recommended in the 2005 report by the American college of medical genetics entitled "Newborn Screening: Toward a Uniform Screening Panel and System" or another report determined by the department of health and environment to provide more appropriate newborn screening guidelines to protect the health and welfare of newborns.
- (j) In performing the duties under subsection (i), the secretary of health and environment shall appoint an advisory council to advise the department of health and environment on implementation of subsection (i).
- (k) The department of health and environment shall periodically review the newborn screening program to determine the efficacy and cost

8,7

effectiveness of the program and determine whether adjustments to the program are necessary to protect the health and welfare of newborns and to maximize the number of newborn screenings that may be conducted with the funding available for the screening program.

Sec. 2. K.S.A. 2006 Supp. 65-180 is hereby repealed.

Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the Kansas register.

Social Services Budget Committee

Recommendation on SB 355

Do h	
Representative Bob Bethell, Chair	Representative Pat George
Dearn Mad	AmHowk
Representative Peggy Mast, Vice-Chair	Representative Tom Hawk
Representative Jerry Henry	Representative Dick Kelsey
Ranking Minority Member	
Banbaran Ballard	Man Chlunds
Representative Barbara Ballard	Representative Marc Rhoades
Anulym	
Representative David Crum	

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

DATE 3-23-2007

House Social Services Budget Committee

Recommendation on Senate Bill 355

SB 355, as amended by the Senate Committee, would repeal KSA 2006 Supp. 75-53,119 which provides a sunset of June 30, 2008 for the Foster Child Educational Assistance Act. The bill also would amend the Act to include both tuition and required fees as the educational costs to be waived by secondary educational institutions.

Background

The original version of SB 355 was identical to HB 2546 which was introduced by the Social Services Budget Committee. At the hearing on SB 355, a representative of the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services spoke in support of the bill. The Kansas Board of Regents submitted written testimony expressing concern that there was no funding stream provided to reimburse the educational institutions waiving tuition. No further amendments to SB 355 are recommended by the Social Services Budget Committee.

The Social Services Budget Committee also held hearings on HB 2546. Proponents appearing on HB 2546 included representatives of the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services and TFI Family Services. Written testimony in support of the bill was submitted by the Kansas Youth Advisory Council and the Children's Alliance. The Kansas Board of Regents provided written testimony in support of the program but expressed concerns that there was no funding stream provided to reimburse the educational institutions waiving tuition.

SB 355 was introduced by the Senate Committee on Ways and Means. Proponents appearing on the bill in the Senate Committee included representatives of the Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services, the Kansas Children's Service League, and the Kansas Youth Advisory Council. Written testimony was submitted by the Saint Francis Academy and the Children's Alliance. A representative of the Kansas Board of Regents spoke in support of the program but opposed the bill because of concerns that there was no funding stream provided to reimburse the educational institutions waiving tuition. This same conferee also suggested that the bill be amended to include required fees as a part of the educational costs waived by the educational institutions. The current statute (KSA 2006 Supp 75-53,114(a)) only refers to tuition charged by educational institutions. The Senate Committee amended the bill to include both tuition and required fees as the educational costs to be waived by educational institutions.

45674~(3/21/7{12:39PM})

HOUSE TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC SAFETY BUDGET COMMITTEE

HB 2133

The Sapul 1	Thomas C Queens
Representative Lee Tafanelli, Chair	Representative Tim Owens
Aptoh- Johnes	JL 86
Representative Mitch Holmes, Vice-Chair	Representative Josh Svaty
Representative Jerry Williams, Ranking Minority Member	Representative Jeff Whitham
	Hay Well
Representative Stan Frownfelter	Representative Kay Wolf
Representative Tem Moxley	
so order and accompany months and accompany to the following the followi	

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

DATE 3 - 23 - 2007 ATTACHMENT 4

Transportation and Public Safety Budget Committee

Recommendation on House Bill 2133

The Transportation and Public Safety Budget Committee recommends a substitute bill for HB 2133. The substitute bill would establish a two-tiered informal dispute resolution process for deficiencies cited in a medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility, or special hospital by an officer of the State Fire Marshal during an inspection for compliance with federal law pursuant to oversight by the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services. The facility could make a request for an informal dispute resolution within ten calendar days after receipt of the statement of deficiencies. One request for informal dispute resolution may be made per inspection.

An informal dispute resolution meeting may be held in person upon request of the facility. The first-tier meeting would be held within 30 days of receipt of the written request for an informal dispute resolution. The facility would be notified of the results of the first-tier on or before ten days of the disposition being rendered.

The written request for informal dispute resolution shall include the specific deficiencies being disputed, a detailed explanation of the basis for the dispute, and any supporting documentation including information not available at the time of the inspection.

The facility may challenge the decision of the first-tier informal dispute resolution and request completion of the second-tier. The second-tier informal dispute resolution would be conducted by a three-person panel appointed by the State Fire Marshal. The panel would consist of one employee of the State Fire Marshal's Office and two members outside the State Fire Marshal's Office. The second-tier would take place within 30 days of the request, and the facility would be notified of the results within ten days of the disposition being rendered. The decision of the panel shall be advisory to the State Fire Marshal. The State Fire Marshal would be authorized to charge a fee not to exceed \$250 to a facility requesting a second-tier informal dispute resolution review panel.

The bill provides authority for the State Fire Marshal to adopt rules and regulations in relation to the provisions of the bill.

Brief

HB 2133 would allow an adult care home, hospital, assisted living facility, business, or residence to make a written request to the State Fire Marshal for informal dispute resolution by an independent review panel regarding deficiencies found during an inspection. The informal dispute resolution may be requested within ten days of the receipt of the statement of deficiencies. One request for informal dispute resolution may be made per inspection. Upon request by the owner or operator of the facility, the State Fire Marshal shall provide a face to face informal dispute resolution meeting.

The written request for informal dispute resolution shall include the specific deficiencies being disputed, a detailed explanation of the basis for the dispute, and any supporting documentation including information not available at the time of the inspection.

The State Fire Marshal shall appoint an independent review panel consisting of one employee of the State Fire Marshal's Office and two members outside the State Fire Marshal's Office.

The request for an informal dispute resolution shall not delay the timely correction of any deficiency. The facility may not seek a delay of any enforcement action due to the informal dispute resolution action. The decision of the independent review panel shall be advisory to the State Fire Marshal.

All costs incurred for the panel, including travel and review expenses, shall be paid by the State Fire Marshal.

The bill provides authority for the State Fire Marshal to adopt rules and regulations in relation to the provisions of the bill.

Background

Proponents appearing before the Committee included the president and two members of the Kansas Association of Homes for the Aging, a representative of the Kansas Hospital Association, the Executive Director of the Kansas Adult Care Executives Association, and the President/CEO of the Kansas Health Care Association. Proponents supported a two-tiered dispute resolution process.

Opponents included a representative of the Kansas State Association of Fire Chiefs, the City of Overland Park Fire Chief, and the City of Wichita Fire Marshal. Opponents testified that recourse procedures are already in place for facilities, and expressed concern regarding inspections conducted by local units of government.

Neutral conferees included the Kansas State Fire Marshal and the Executive Director of the Kansas Manufactured Housing Association. The State Fire Marshal indicated that a two-tier process had been discussed between his Office and the health care associations.

The fiscal note on the original bill states that the estimated fiscal effect of the bill would be difficult to predict depending on the number of disputes filed and the extent of the informal hearings to resolve disputes.

Kansas Legislative Research Department

March 21, 2007

By

AN ACT concerning fire inspections; establishing a two-tier informal dispute resolution procedure for medical care facilities, adult care homes, assisted living facilities or special hospitals.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

upon inspection for compliance with Section 1. (a) If, federal law pursuant to oversight by the centers for medicare and medicaid services of a medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital by an officer of the state fire marshal, deficiencies are found, such medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or hospital within 10 calendar days after receipt of the statement of deficiencies, may make a written request to the state fire for informal dispute resolution. The medical care marshal facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital may make not more than one request for a two-tier dispute resolution per inspection to dispute informal deficiencies with which such medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital based on the statement of deficiencies and any other materials submitted, except that such medical care facility, adult assisted living facility or special hospital shall have an opportunity to supplement such material prior to a disposition of the claim. The state fire marshal shall hold an informal dispute resolution meeting with such medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital in person upon request of the medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital. The first-tier of the informal dispute resolution shall be conducted within 30 days of receipt of the written request from the medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital. medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital shall be notified of the results of the first-tier informal dispute resolution on or before 10 days of the disposition being rendered.

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

DATE 3-23-2007 ATTACHMENT 5

- (b) A written request for informal dispute resolution shall:
- State the specific deficiencies being disputed;
- (2) provide a detailed explanation of the basis for the dispute; and
- (3) include any supporting documentation, including any information that was not available at the time of the inspection.
- The medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital may challenge the decision of the first-tier informal dispute resolution and may request completion of the second-tier of informal dispute resolution by a three-person panel appointed by the state fire marshal. than one panel member shall be an employee of the state fire marshal, and such member shall not be the person who conducted the first-tier of the informal dispute resolution. At least two panel members shall not be employees of the state fire marshal shall have suitable expertise to review the disputed and deficiency or deficiencies. The second-tier informal dispute resolution shall take place within 30 days of the request by the medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility special hospital. The medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital shall be notified of the results of the second-tier informal dispute resolution within 10 days of the disposition being rendered.
- (d) The state fire marshal may fix, charge and collect a fee from a medical care facility, adult care home, assisted living facility or special hospital requesting a second-tier informal dispute resolution review panel to recover all or part of the costs incurred by state fire marshal for holding such second-tier informal dispute resolution panel under this section that shall not exceed \$250.
- (e) Any decision or proposed resolution of the informal dispute resolution panel under this section shall be advisory to the state fire marshal.
- (f) The state fire marshal shall adopt rules and regulations to implement the provisions of this section.

- (g) As used in this section:
- (1) "Assisted living facility" shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in K.S.A. 39-923, and amendments thereto;
- (2) "medical care facility" shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in K.S.A. 65-425, and amendments thereto;
- (3) "adult care home" shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in K.S.A. 39-923, and amendments thereto; and
- (4) "special hospital" shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in K.S.A. 65-425, and amendments thereto.
- Sec. 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.



HOUSE TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC SAFETY BUDGET COMMITTEE

HB 2421

Les Tolfaft.	Thomas (Divers
Representative Lee Tafanelli, Chair	Representative Tim Owens
Mhth Holmes	
Representative Mitch Holmes, Vice-Chair	Representative Josh Svaty
Representative Jerry Williams, Ranking Minority Member	Seff Whitham Representative Jeff Whitham
Stell Stell	Hay Wes
Representative Stan Frownfelter	Representațive Kay Wolf
Representative Topp Moyley	

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

DATE <u>3–23–2007</u> ATTACHMENT *6*

House Transportation and Public Safety Budget Committee

Recommendation on House Bill 2421

Brief

HB 2421 would provide an aggregate death benefit to the beneficiaries of each Kansas National Guard member who dies as a result of federal active duty in a combat zone after September 1, 2005. The death benefit does not apply if the member dies as a result of suicide. The benefit would be paid from the State Emergency Fund.

Background

At the hearing on the bill, Representative Pat George, Representative Rocky Fund, Representative Bill Otto, and Major General Tod Bunting, Kansas Adjutant General, appeared as proponents. Also appearing as a proponent was Amy Rose Herrick, Woodbury Financial Services, who testified that while she had been unable to find a group life insurance policy for the Kansas National Guard, an insurance company may write individual life insurance policies for Kansas National Guard members serving on federal active duty in a combat zone.

There were no opponents to the bill.

The fiscal note states that there are currently four Kansas National Guard members' beneficiaries who would quality for the death benefit for a total expenditure of between \$999,461 and \$1,000,000. If life insurance reimbursements already paid are considered part of the death benefit, expenditures would total \$999,461. If life insurance reimbursements are not considered part of the death benefit, expenditures would total \$1,000,000.

HOUSE EDUCATION BUDGET COMMITTEE

Recommendation on Senate Bill 109

Bepresentațive Joe McLeland, Chair	Representative Lana Gordon
Representative Mike O'Neal, Vice-Chair	Representative Deena Horst
Representative Bob Grant, Ranking Minority Member	Representative Ty Masterson
Representative John Faber	Representative Torn Sawyer

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

DATE 3-23-2007

House Education Budget Committee

Recommendation on Senate Bill 109

Brief

Senate Bill 109 would amend current law governing compensation of school district employees covered by Kansas Public Retirement System (KPERS). The bill, as amended, would require that employees covered by KPERS who are subject to the continuing contract law must be paid in at least 12 equal payments, once, or more often, each month. Senate Bill 109 would delete the language mandating that the payments begin in September of each school year.

Background

At the House Education Budget Committee hearing on the bill, representatives of the Kansas Association of School Boards and the Kansas National Education Association testified in favor of the bill. There was no testimony in opposition to the bill.

At the Senate Education Committee hearing on the bill, representatives of the Kansas Association of School Boards and the Kansas National Education Association testified in favor of the bill. There was no testimony in opposition to the bill.

The bill, as introduced, would have changed the start date for the payments to the first month of the employees' employment. The Senate Education Committee amended the bill to remove this language.

The fiscal note, from the Division of the Budget, indicated that Senate Bill 109 would have no fiscal impact.

House Education Budget Committee Recommendation

The House Education Budget Committee recommends Senate Bill 109 favorable for passage.

HOUSE AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES BUDGET COMMITTEE

Report on SB 262

Representative Larry Powell, Chair	Representative Vaughn Flora
Representative John Grange, Vice-Chair	Carl Dan Jolphus Representative Carl Holmes
Representative Doug Gatewood Ranking Minority Member Representative Clay Aurand	Representative Sharon Schwartz Representative Jason Watkins
Representative Sydney Carlin	

HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

DATE <u>3-23-2007</u> ATTACHMENT <u>8</u>

HOUSE BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT ON SB 262

Brief

SB 262, as amended, would require state agencies, when purchasing a motor vehicle, to purchase a motor vehicle which utilizes E85 fuel, unless the manufacturer of the vehicle model to be purchased does not offer the model with an engine that utilizes E85 fuels or the cost of the vehicle is \$250 or more than the cost of the vehicle model that does not utilize E85. This provision would not apply to the purchase of diesel fueled vehicles, vehicles purchased in conformity with federal requirements, or vehicles purchased for the Kansas Highway Patrol. With the approval of the head of the state agency, a state agency may purchase a motor vehicle which utilizes E85 fuels even though the cost is \$250 or more than the cost of a vehicle that does not utilize E85.

The bill also would require that when a state agency leases a motor vehicle, it should lease one that utilizes E85 fuels, unless no suitable vehicle that utilizes E85 fuels is available.

Background

At the Senate Committee hearing on the bill, proponents included representatives of the Kansas Association of Ethanol Processors and the Kansas Corn Growers and Grain Sorghum Producers Association. Also appearing on the bill were representatives of the Kansas Department of Transportation who expressed some concern with the bill and the Kansas Department of Administration who answered questions regarding the Department's position of the purchase of certain types of vehicles.

The Senate Committee amended the bill to exempt certain vehicles from the general requirement to purchase E85 vehicles when the price differential is \$250 or less; to add language which would require a state agency when leasing a vehicle to lease one which utilizes E85 unless no suitable E85 vehicle is available; and to allow the purchase of E85 vehicles even if the price is greater than \$250 more than a comparable vehicle if the agency head approves the purchase.

The fiscal note on the original bill states that according to the Department of Administration, only minor adjustments to bid documents would be needed if SB 262 was enacted. As a result, the bill would have no fiscal effect on state operations in regard to the procurement process. However, there is a potential fiscal effect of up to \$250 each time a state agency would be required to purchase an E-85 vehicle under SB 262. However, an estimate cannot be made of the number of vehicles affected under this bill. Any fiscal result from the enactment of SB 262 has not been included in *The FY 2008 Governor's Budget Report*.

The House Budget Committee heard testimony from two proponents of the bill, Senator Mark Taddiken and a representative of the Kansas Association of Ethanol Processors.

House Budget Committee Recommendation

The Committee recommends the amended bill favorable for passage.

House Republican Leadership Regents Deferred Maintenance Plan

- Reserve Fund for Retirement of Crumbling Classroom Bonds Transfer \$75.0 million from the State General Fund to a reserve account to pay the balance of the Regents Crumbling Classroom bonds. This will in turn allow \$15.0 million annually in Educational Building Fund receipts to be used for deferred maintenance needs from FY 2008 to FY 2012.
- 2. **Low Interest Revolving Loan** Establish a \$300 million low interest revolving loan program through the Pooled Money Investment Board; \$200 million would be available for Regents institutions and \$100 million would be available for community colleges.
- 3. **Establish Regents Deferred Maintenance Oversight Board** Require the Board of Regents to form a subsidiary corporation to oversee deferred maintenance projects. The Board of Directors of the corporation would consist of five voting members, appointed by legislative leadership and the governor; and the Secretary of Administration as a non-voting member. Members of the board would have expertise in construction, engineering, architectural services, finance or endowment fund management and would serve without compensation.

Final inspection (acceptance) of the projects remains the responsibility of the Board of Regents and the Division of Facilities Management.

- 4. **Future Maintenance Reserve Fund** Require the Board of Regents to establish a maintenance reserve fund on each campus for future maintenance needs.
- 5. **Retained Interest on Certain Funds** Interest earned on general fees fund (tuition revenue) and restricted fees fund would be retained by the institutions and would be used for deferred maintenance.
- 6. Establishes the Technology Upgrade Competitive Matching Grant Program Permit each Regents institution to select one technology upgrade project at each campus. Requires the institution to match dollar for dollar money provided by the state. Subject to appropriations therefor, there would be \$5 million available from the state.

Page 1 HOUSE APPROPRIATIONS

DATE 3-23-2007 ATTACHMENT 9

- 7. **Retirement of Unnecessary Facilities** Require the Board of Regents to take obsolete and unnecessary facilities out-of-service. The facilities could be "moth balled" or razed.
- 8. **Increase Non-Resident Tuition** Increase non-resident tuition to the national average of non-resident tuition and use the additional funds for deferred maintenance.
- 9. **Restrict the Use of Tuition -** Moneys derived from tuition could not be used to provide financial assistance to other students.
- 10. State Architect Streamlined Services The Board of Directors on the deferred maintenance projects could utilize or not the services of the State Architect if it is determined to be of benefit to the timely completion of the projects, other than the final acceptance of the project by the State.
- 11. Regents County Option for Local Tax Support for Deferred Maintenance Permit counties with Regents institutions to impose a 1.0 mill property tax levy (\$7.8 million) or a 0.1 cent sales tax (\$15.4 million) for deferred maintenance. Applies to: Crawford, Douglas, Ellis, Lyon, Riley, Saline, Sedgwick, and Wyandotte counties. An election is not required to impose the tax. There is no provision for submission of a protest petition.
- 12. **Sedgwick County Property Tax Relief** Permit Sedgwick County to use part of the proceeds from the 0.1 cent sales tax increase to apply to local property relief.

House Appropriations Committee Questions:

1. Definition of maintenance as used by the universities

Appendix E on page 40 of the "Report on State University Deferred and Annual Maintenance - Fall 2006" provides the definitions in question, but for convenience, they are repeated below:

Annual Maintenance - A combination of the following:

- Capital Renewal/Replacement The systematic replacement of major building and utility systems to extend their useful life (e.g. roof replacements, HVAC retrofits, etc.).
- Normal/Routine Maintenance and Minor Repairs The cyclical, planned work performed
 on capital assets such as buildings, fixed equipment and infrastructure to help them reach their
 originally anticipated life. Deficiency items are typically low in cost to correct.
- Preventive Maintenance The planned program of periodic inspection, adjustment, cleaning, lubrication and/or selective parts replacement of components, as well as performance testing and analysis intended to maximize the reliability, performance, and lifecycle of building systems and equipment.

Deferred Maintenance - Work that has been deferred on a planned or unplanned basis to a future budget cycle or until funds are available.

2. Exclusion of community colleges from the Deferred Maintenance program

Board staff has assisted the Community Colleges by providing professional assistance in their efforts to quantify their physical plant conditions.

The Board's "Report on State University Deferred & Annual Maintenance," a report detailing the condition of state-owned buildings which is updated every two years, is seen as a fiduciary responsibility as the governing board of the state universities.

3. Breakout of the number of historical facilities included on the Deferred Maintenance program; determine condition of the historical facilities; break-out these facilities and address as a separate issue; buildings which might have outlived their purpose resulting in a greater value to the land on which they sit.

One method for determining if a building is "historical" or has historical significance is if it has undergone the qualification process required for placement on the State and/or National Historic Register. The university campuses have nine such structures on three campuses: Bailey Hall (KU), Spooner Hall (KU), Strong Hall (KU), Dyche Hall (KU), Lippincott Hall (KU), Twin Radio Towers (KSU), Anderson Hall (KSU), Grounds Dept. Stone Bldg. @ College Ave. (KSU), and Anderson Library (ESU). Of those nine structures, seven are included in the \$663 million project list and they are in active use. The condition value,

renewal costs, etc. for those buildings can be found in the "Report on State University Deferred and Annual Maintenance".

If the measure of "historical" is age, what qualifies as historic 50 years? 75 years? 100 years? If defined, we can provide a building report.

4. How many historical buildings are located on-campus or off-campus

Answered in #3.

5. Possibility of available federal funding for maintenance on historical buildings

This question would require additional research. A few years ago, a program existed whereby tax credits on historic properties could be sold.

6. Review of private donations to universities for new construction

Need additional clarification.

7. The number of resident halls on the list and whether tuition fees are collected to offset maintenance concerns on these buildings; whether out-of-state students are charged sufficient fees to cover maintenance costs on resident halls

No residence halls were included in the "Report on State University Deferred and Annual Maintenance". The Housing Departments maintain their own facilities with the revenue generated.

8. Provide a presently used stream of funding for deferred maintenance

The primary source of funding for deferred maintenance is the Educational Building Fund (EBF).

9. Possibility of selling university state-owned buildings and leasing costs of these facilities from private enterprises;

Need additional clarification.

10. Define requirements for new university construction and project into the future;

Proposals for future construction projects will include additional accountability measures including compliance with space standards and space utilization goals, full discussions pertaining to vacated space and how it will be put to use, whether existing buildings can undergo adaptive reuse, etc. See attached January 29 "Building Accountability" press release for additional information.

11. Number of efficiency upgrades at the universities, how many universities are doing this, projected pay-back time period, what funding sources are used for these upgrades;

A prime example is the Energy Conservation Performance contracts that have been conducted on five of the six state universities plus the Medical Center over the past few years. Energy projects totaling approximately \$70 million have been completed with paybacks varying from 6.2 to 13.5 years. The financing is being paid from the energy saved in the university utility operating budgets.

12. Explain difference between the Deferred Maintenance project and the Crumbling Classroom project;

The scope of the "Crumbling Classrooms" initiative was more varied and included not only deferred maintenance work, but also one new building, several building additions and renovations, ADA and Fire Code upgrades, and general improvements to teaching classrooms. This broader scope was proposed at the earliest stages and followed through completion. See attached Crumbling Classrooms summary document for additional information.

The current Deferred Maintenance initiative has a narrower scope, focusing on priority maintenance issues.

13. Any difference between how the various universities address deferred maintenance;

Need additional clarification.

14. Number of buildings on the list that produce income;

None of the buildings included in the \$663 million list produce a dedicated revenue stream of income.

15. Report from Budget Committee that has looked at deferred maintenance issue;

Need additional clarification.

16. Actual list of deferred maintenance projects from each Regents Institution and estimated cost on each item. Rate in order of importance;

The list of projects and estimated cost can be found in the revised \$663 million report. Priorities can be found in the \$200 million list of projects. Both reports are attached.

17. How was the annual estimate of maintenance of \$85 million derived;

2-4% of the current replacement value (CRV) is an accepted industry standard, and the source(s) is cited in the "Report on State University Deferred and Annual Maintenance". The report used the low end number that was also validated by the national firm hired to

independently audit our report. Their conclusion was that if anything the 2% factor was probably too conservative.

18. Explanation of how Block Grant system addresses maintenance

The KLRD analysis of the Board of Regents states "the 2001 Legislature adopted a proposal which provided for the state universities to be funded through an operating or block grant rather than the multiple line-item appropriations which had been used previously. For FY 2007, the operating block grant for the state universities totals \$605.8 million which is used to fund salaries and wages and other operating expenditures at the state universities. The Physical Plant unit at each state university is financed from the state operating grant and student tuition and fees. The major expenditures within the Physical Plant budgets are utilities, custodial, landscape, motor pool, salary and wages for physical plant staff. Within the Physical Plant budgets it is estimated that the state universities expend \$38 million on "maintenance" expenditures – primarily the physical plant staff and materials for small, routine maintenance jobs. State funding for capital projects at the state universities comes primarily through the Educational Building Fund. Approximately \$15.0 million from the EBF is expended annually on rehabilitation and repair projects at the state universities.

19. Campus vs. off-campus building needs, costs

Need additional clarification. What is considered "off-campus?" KSU at Salina? KU Edwards Campus? KLETC? Ag. Experiment Stations?

20. How was the "slice of the pie" allocated to each university within the University system

A formula was used that not only included proportioned square footage, but also factored in building complexity and age.

21. Since the modified deficiencies list is \$663 million instead of \$727 million, is \$84 million per year still required;

Based on a \$3.6 billion current replacement value (CRV) for the reduced list (\$663 million list) of projects, annual maintenance needs for the buildings on that list would be approximately \$72.3 million.

22. Do the Regents provide names and ssn's of non-resident students who receive in-state tuition rates at Regents institutions to the Department of Revenue?

No, that type of information is protected by the federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).



KANSAS BOARD OF REGENTS

1000 SW JACKSON • SUITE 520 • TOPEKA, KS 66612-1368

TELEPHONE – 785-296-3421 FAX – 785-296-0983 www.kansasregents.org

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE January 29, 2007

BOARD OF REGENTS ADOPTS ENHANCED PRIORITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY GUIDELINES FOR STATE UNIVERSITY BUILDINGS

Board Adopts Significant Policy Commitments To Help Alleviate Future State Burden

(TOPEKA) – The Kansas Board of Regents recently adopted an important set of accountability principles for undertaking state university deferred building maintenance projects that would be launched if the State Legislature and Governor ultimately approve a comprehensive funding plan to address the critical \$727 million deferred maintenance backlog that continues to grow on the state university campuses. In addition, the Board adopted important provisions for the maintenance of new privately-funded buildings that will ultimately alleviate future State financial obligations. Further, the Board "pared down" the state university deferred maintenance project list to include only the most "mission critical" buildings and components.

"The Board of Regents is encouraged that the Governor, the House, and the Senate have all firmly committed to solving the critical issue of state university deferred building maintenance as well as the need for increased annual maintenance funding," said Reginald L. Robinson, President and CEO of the Kansas Board of Regents. "The Board and its state universities also have vital roles to play as we seek to address this important issue. Recognizing that, the Board has taken a set of important affirmative steps to ensure that, one, State funding is directed towards our campuses' most urgent priorities, and two, that we make a significant commitment to help alleviate future state maintenance obligations so policymakers won't have to confront the same issue ten years from now if they address today's growing maintenance backlog. The Board certainly looks forward to working with the Governor and the Legislature as we move closer to a final resolution on this important issue."

The following principles and guidelines were formally adopted by the Board of Regents:

1) Mission Critical Buildings:

The Board has "pared down" the list of building maintenance deficiencies that will be the focus of the deferred maintenance funding initiative. As a result of this "paring," the Board's efforts are now focused on obtaining resources to address a \$663 million deferred maintenance backlog that affects the campus' most "mission critical" buildings. The Board continues to be focused on

obtaining an increase in the annual funding available for maintenance as well. But, regarding the backlog, some of the buildings have been eliminated from this funding effort.

It is important to note that all 567 buildings contained in the Fall 2006 facilities audit, which identified the \$727 million maintenance backlog, are State-owned buildings. These State-owned buildings do have maintenance deficiencies, and there has been an important long-standing State commitment to provide the resources necessary to address those deficiencies. However, while the state universities still face a \$727 million maintenance backlog, the Board has simply made a decision to focus its current funding effort on buildings/deficiencies that are more likely to generate consensus support among state policymakers. This funding effort will now focus on 429 mission critical buildings that possess \$663 million in maintenance projects that have simply been deferred due to a lack of state funding.

2) Deferred Maintenance Project Guidelines:

The Board of Regents provided the following guidance to the state universities for the development of campus deferred maintenance project lists:

- Allocations to each university will be based on a new maintenance allocation formula that factors gross square footage, building age, and complexity of the physical plant;
- This is a deferred maintenance initiative, NOT a capital improvements initiative. Thus, campus project lists should look much like a Rehabilitation and Repair project list, and not like a major capital improvements list;
- Proposed projects shall not provide for additional space requirements;
- Proposed projects shall not reflect new program requirements; and
- Proposed projects shall not include exceptional levels of finish, equipment, etc.

In summary, the Board expects campus project lists to capture each institution's most critical deferred maintenance priorities, and to reflect the deficiencies identified in the study that is the basis for the initiative. While recognizing the need for some flexibility as the deficiencies listed in the study get translated into a practical project list, the Board generally expects powerful alignment between those deficiencies and the project lists that are submitted.

3) Commitment to Building Accountability Principles:

The Board of Regents takes seriously its fiduciary responsibilities and is actively engaged in providing effective oversight in the use of capital funds. The Board is aided by an experienced architect who maintains an up-to-date inventory of space, collects space utilization data, uses modern space planning guidelines, and directs the capital planning and Board approval process for all capital projects as well as justified changes to projects. In addition, all of the state universities have campus master plans, architects and professional facility managers to ensure that projects are planned and executed in accordance with the highest of professional standards.

While the Board office and campuses have the staff and tools in place to effectively manage and oversee deferred maintenance projects, the Board and state universities are committed to

continuously improving policies, procedures and practices and therefore will pursue the following:

A) Oversight of New State Funding for Deferred Maintenance Projects

If deferred maintenance funding is approved, specific projects/estimates for each campus would be formulated that capture the most critical priorities as guided by the assessment that was submitted to the Governor and Legislature. Projects that exceed the strict scope of this initiative due to program changes, additional space requirements, and expectations for exceptional levels of finish, equipment, etc. will require other funding sources above and beyond the state-provide deferred maintenance pool of funding.

The Board of Regents will formally approve the list of projects at each campus, and the Legislative Joint Committee on State Building Construction will be advised and consulted in their oversight role. Once projects are approved, the universities will provide quarterly status and expenditure reports to the Board which may also be shared with the Joint Committee on State Building Construction. The original estimates will remain a constant; however actual expenditures will also be shown. Deviations to the original estimate will be allowed up to a pre-determined amount. Deviations exceeding that amount will require justification and Board approval. Changes to the original list of projects will require ample justification and Board approval. A final report accounting for the expenditure of appropriations approved by the 2007 Legislature will be made to the Governor and Legislature when the 2007 program is completed.

B) Enhance the Current Maintenance Funding Allocation Method to Address Critical Needs

The Board will enhance the method of allocating State funds, which is currently based solely on gross square footage (minus auxiliary facilities), and add important factors related to the age, condition, and the complexity of the physical plant at each state university. Multipliers will be utilized to produce "adjusted square footages."

C) Future Maintenance Costs for New Privately-Financed Buildings

The state universities will fund annual maintenance and operation costs for future new privately-funded building projects from either gifts or existing university resources. There will be no request to the State for the operating and maintenance funds of these buildings. Recognizing the authority given to the university CEOs, and further understanding that differences exist between state universities, rather than imposing a "one-size-fits-all" approach, the universities will be given the flexibility to formulate plans to cover annual maintenance/operation costs of new privately-funded buildings specific to each campus. Plans will accompany initial requests for new buildings and will require Board of Regents approval.

D) Facilities Management - Enhanced Space Standards and Utilization

While architectural program statements for new buildings or additions normally define the need for new technology or changes in academic programs, they seldom address the impact the additional space will have on overall campus space. Future architectural program statements will include such a discussion. The renovation or adaptive re-use of existing space will be considered when possible, however, when it is not possible and new space must be constructed, a full discussion related to space that will be vacated will be expected. Allowing departments or units to "sprawl" will not be acceptable. Furthermore, the Board expects state universities to adopt industry practices related to space standards and utilization in the management of facilities. In an effort to fully maximize the use of space on the university campuses, the Board of Regents will adopt benchmarks for each campus and will establish goals for each to actively work toward.

For more information on state university deferred building maintenance, please visit the Board of Regents' website at the following link: http://www.kansasregents.org/maintenance.html

--30--

For more information contact
Kip Peterson, Director for Government Relations & Communications, at (785) 296-3421.

Visit the Kansas Board of Regents on the Web at www.kansasregents.org



KANSAS BOARD OF REGENTS

State University Deferred Maintenance Down Payment Priority Project List

February 14, 2007

KANSAS BOARD OF REGENTS

State University Deferred Maintenance Down Payment Priority Project List

The Kansas Board of Regents approved the attached list of priority projects at its meeting on February 14, 2007. In addition to providing the state universities with a blueprint to assist in their planning, the list also serves to inform policymakers about the specific projects that will be undertaken to address the most pressing maintenance needs if funding is ultimately made available. The list has been developed assuming an initial "down payment" of \$200 million. The list does not represent the entire \$727 million deferred maintenance backlog. The list is simply a response to the question of how the initial dollars of a comprehensive funding plan will be allocated on each state university campus.

In developing the list, each university identified the most critical deferred maintenance priorities, consistent with the Fall 2006 study that is the basis for this initiative. The Board recognized the need for some flexibility as the deficiencies listed in the study get translated into a practical project list. However, the Board also directed the universities to produce project lists that are clearly and powerfully aligned with the deficiencies noted in the study. The Board-approved list reflects that alignment.

It is important to understand that the numbers associated with the following project list are estimates, not known numbers. As such, it is likely that the project costs will likely vary from the estimates provided. As stated above, some flexibility is appropriate, but accountability is essential. Thus, the Board proposes that the universities provide quarterly status and expenditure reports. These reports will also be shared with the Joint Committee on State Building Construction. Once the final projects and budget estimates have been established, spreadsheets will be developed that can track any changes to the original allocations. Deviations from original estimates will be allowed up to a predetermined amount; however, changes over that set amount will require justification and Board approval. Changes to the original list of projects will likewise require ample justification and Board approval. A final report accounting for the expenditure of appropriations approved by the 2007 Legislature will be made to the Governor and Legislature when the 2007 program is completed.

University of Kansas Lawrence Campus Deferred Maintenance Projects

1. Utility Tunnel Improvements

\$8,800,000

This project is a continuation of tunnel repair/replacement work first studied in 2000. An evaluation of approximately forty percent of the total campus utility tunnel system included all tunnel sections with visual signs of deterioration, water infiltration or other problems. Specific structural work and costs associated with utilities supported by the tunnel system have been identified and preliminary cost estimates have been completed.

These tunnel improvements are necessary to maintain the various state-owned utility systems routed through more than 16,000 feet of tunnel systems. The tunnel system is used to route steam and condensate piping from the central plant, portions of the campus electrical distribution system, communication cabling and other vital utility systems to approximately 50 buildings on the main campus.

Tunnel structures must be repaired in order to slow deterioration and minimize the possibility of major failures of tunnel segments with existing structural deficiencies, including wall and ceiling movements, cracks, offsets and spalling, water infiltration and deficient utility support components. To facilitate ongoing maintenance, improvements addressing access and safety for individuals working on various systems distributed through the tunnels are also included.

2. Wescoe Hall \$3,560,000

This project will replace four air-handling units on the 2nd and 3rd floors which are original 1973 equipment which are deficient and at or beyond the serviceable life. Outside air intake will be reconfigured and distribution ductwork and volume control devices will be replaced to meet current code. Vertical shafts for ductwork and fire protection systems will be reworked to meet current code requirements; includes ceiling repair/replacement.

3. Haworth Hall \$2,600,000

This project replaces ten air handling units, controls, and chilled water piping in the original building, the 1971 and 1985 additions including Stewart Wing, and replaces the cooling tower in the original building. This project will also replace exhaust hoods and controls in the original building and additions and updates the fire alarm system.

4. Malott Hall Improvements

\$2,630,000

This project will replace at least four 30-year old air handling units and their controls, as well as approximately 50 exhaust hoods of similar vintage to better control chemical fume concentrations in classrooms, laboratories, and office spaces. Where feasible the projects will incorporate heat recovery equipment to reduce energy use.

5. Art and Design

\$1,100,000

This project replaces HVAC equipment including chilled water coils, controls, and variable frequency drives in the five main air handling units. The original foundry furnace will be replaced with an induction furnace and in the oldest areas of the facility existing steel framed single glazed windows will be abated and replaced.

6. Murphy Hall \$4,460,000

This project replaces HVAC components including the air handling units, building chiller, cooling tower and chilled water piping; replaces the electrical distribution system to branch panel boards; includes an emergency generator for life safety systems and repairs or replaces deficient elevator equipment.

7. Smissman Research Lab

\$538,000

This project replaces the building HVAC system including air handling unit, heating hot water boiler and controls and existing deficient elevator equipment.

8. Lippincott Hall

\$1,195,000

This project replaces HVAC and electrical systems components, an existing open gate elevator, the fire alarm and smoke detection system and includes abatement of hazardous materials. A generator will replace the central battery inverter system for the emergency lighting system.

9. Lindley Hall

\$2,080,000

This project replaces HVAC equipment including air handling units, condensing units and terminal boxes, electrical panel boards and distribution, and includes plumbing improvements. Noncompliant transite and wood fume hoods will be abated and replaced. Repairs to the foundation and the below grade area way and replacing single glazed metal frame windows and exterior doors will also be completed.

10. Bailey Hall Improvements

\$1,825,000

This project will repair and replace structural, HVAC/mechanical, electrical, and plumbing components; replace the existing elevator and improve the fire escape which requires structural modifications to correct code deficiencies.

11. Watson Library

\$1,635,000

This project will repair or replace electrical and HVAC systems that are beyond their serviceable life including the building chiller, update the fire building alarm and include life/safety code required projects.

12. Learned Hall \$2,900,000

This project replaces HVAC air handling units, fan coils, chiller, chilled water piping, and controls; also replaces all the electrical panel boards and improves the fire alarm system.

13. Computer Services Facility Improvements

\$1,360,000

This project will repair or replace computer room air conditioning (CRAC) units on the main machine room floor and equipment associated with four central air handling units. Electrical distribution for server racks, failing pedestals and floor panels will be replaced and fire alarm systems will be improved.

14. Storm Sewer Phase I

\$550,000

This project replaces sections of deficient or failing storm sewers primarily north of Jayhawk Boulevard identified in a 1993 comprehensive study.

15. Dyche Hall

\$1,395,000

This project replaces three HVAC air handling units, chilled water piping, controls and exhausts fans and includes asbestos abatement. Also includes replacing electrical panel boards and existing single glazed exterior windows.

16. Spencer Research Library

\$1,644,000

This project replaces the main HVAC air handling unit and controls and includes improvements to the electrical, emergency lighting and fire alarm systems, and replaces existing deficient aluminum framed windows.

17. Spencer Art Museum

\$970,000

This project replaces of two HVAC chillers and fire alarm system.

18. McCollum Laboratory

\$295,000

This project abates asbestos, improves electrical, emergency lighting and fire alarm systems and replaces doors

19. Nichols Hall

\$1,680,000

This project replaces HVAC components including four air handling units, chiller equipment, chilled water piping and controls, VAV boxes, fire protection and alarm system.

20. Water Line Improvements

\$1,000,000

This project will replace deteriorated and undersized high pressure and low pressure water lines on main campus identified in a1986 study.

21. Twente Hall

\$1,190,000

This project replaces building HVAC air handling units, chilled water and steam piping, deteriorated ceilings and improves building plumbing.

22. Summerfield Hall

\$1,850,000

This project replaces HVAC air handling and fan coil equipment, electrical switchgear and panel boards and aluminum framed single glazed windows.

23. Storm Sewer Improvements Phase II

\$450,000

This project replaces additional sections of deficient or failing storm sewers identified in a 1993 comprehensive study primarily north of Jayhawk Boulevard.

24. Blake Hall

\$1,160,000

This project replaces building chiller and air handling units, fire alarm system; repairs or replaces electrical systems and elevator and includes required ADA improvements.

25. Strong Hall

\$2,740,000

This project replaces several dozen deficient package HVAC units with central air handling units, controls and piping, provides a generator for emergency power and replaces elevator equipment.

26. Stauffer-Flint Hall

\$635,000

This project will repair or replace HVAC components, fire alarm system and equipment required for ADA compliance.

27. Power Plant Improvements

\$958,000

This project replaces components of the major sub-systems of the boiler operation, piping systems, building electrical, lighting and emergency lighting and exterior windows; building ventilation and egress will be modified to improve safety.

28. Fraser Hall

\$1,050,000

This project will replace the existing aluminum framed single glazed windows and repair existing elevators.

29. Robinson Gymnasium

\$500,000

This project will replace deficient HVAC equipment including air handlers and air distribution in the facility.

30. Street Improvements

\$1,700,000

This project will rebuild failing campus streets including subgrade stabilization and repaving of sections of Memorial Drive.

31. Sidewalks and Stairs

\$300,000

This project will replace failing sections of campus stairs and sidewalks.

32. Moore Hall

\$150,000

This project will replace the fire alarm system.

33. Burt Hall

\$310,000

This project will install an ADA compliant elevator and replace the electrical system main switchgear.

34. Carruth-O'Leary Hall

\$620,000

This project will replace deficient thru-wall air conditioning units, provide new electrical circuits, upgrade emergency lighting and replace the roof.

35. Anschutz Science Library

\$200,000

This project will improve the fire alarm system to be code compliant.

36. Military Science

\$700,000

This project will include abating lead paint and asbestos pipe insulation, replacing the electrical distribution system and installation of a ADA compliant three stop elevator and fire alarm system.

37. Oldfather Studio

\$50,000

This project will replace the fire alarm system.

38. Watkins Home

\$250,000

This project will replace the buildings outdated electrical system, window and thru-wall cooling units and original building steam radiators; patch and repair interior finishes.

39. Smith Hall

\$200,000

This project will install an ADA compliant three stop elevator.

40. FO Main Building

\$80,000

This project will replace the fire alarm system and replace obsolete emergency lighting.

41. 1043 Indiana

\$25,048

This project will install a fire alarm system and replace obsolete emergency lighting.

University of Kansas Medical Center Deferred Maintenance Projects

1. Applegate Energy Center

\$7,907,000

This project will provide for three replacement 1250 ton chillers, three condenser water pumps and motors, and tower fans, motors, and gearboxes (#5,6,7), replacement of six transformers and four motor control centers, replacement of three emergency generators and overhaul of the three diesel engines, replacing boiler (# 4) with an energy efficient summer boiler, replace variable frequency drives on condenser water pumps (2,3,4,5,6,7), replace variable frequency drives for tower fans (2,3,4), replace free cooling heat exchanger. All of these projects will replace original equipment that is at or beyond its useful life.

2. Utility Distribution System

\$2,215,000

This project will provide for replacement of 40 building electrical transformers, all 13.8 high voltage cables from Applegate to the 40 transformers, all are at or beyond its useful life, replacement of all campus main condensate lines and all building condensate receivers. The project will eliminate recent major condensate failures, flooding equipment tunnels and the basement of the Hospital.

3. Delp D

\$3,633,000

This project will provide for the repair of all exterior and interior structural problems, removal of structural asbestos, roof replacement, replace main domestic hot/cold water risers and shut off valves, HVAC risers and shut off valves, replace the HVAC system.

4. Delp F

\$1,815,000

This project will provide for the roof replacement and the HVAC system.

5. Miller

\$749,000

This project will provide for the replacement of the roof and the HVAC system.

6. Olathe Pavilion

\$1,376,000

This project will provide for the replacement of the roof, windows, replace main domestic hot/cold water risers and shut off valves, HVAC risers and shut off valves, replacement of restrooms and the HVAC system.

7. Smith East

\$254,000

This project will provide for the replacement of the motor control centers and all electrical bus ducts.

8. Student Center

\$862,000

This project will provide for the replacement of all main domestic hot/cold water risers and shut off valves, HVAC risers and shut off valves and the HVAC system.

9. Wescoe C

\$758,000

This project will provide for the replacement of the roof, windows, motor control centers, all main domestic hot/cold water risers and shut off valves, HVAC risers and shut off valves and the HVAC system.

10. Wescoe B \$1,662,000

This project will provide for the repair of interior and exterior structural issues, removal of structural asbestos, all main domestic hot/cold water risers and shut off valves, HVAC risers and shut off valves and the HVAC system.

11. Sudler \$1,215,000

This project will provide for the replacement of the windows, the HVAC system and the fire alarm system.

Kansas State University Deferred Maintenance Projects

1. Utilities/Infrastructure

& Power Plant Improvements (Phase 1)

\$18,400,000

This project will replace the antiquated 4160 volt electrical system that serves the core of the central campus with a 12.5 kilovolt (kV) system (i.e., replace equipment in the "Frankenstein Room"). It will also replace deteriorated and leaking sections of 80-year old steam distribution lines located in underground steam tunnels. Finally, an inefficient 56-year old boiler will be replaced in the central power plant.

2. Renovate Academic and Academic Support Space in Old Memorial Stadium

\$4,000,000

This space is located beneath the east and west grandstands of the facility that had been used for athletics prior to 1965. The facility was constructed in 1921. (The total cost of this project is approximately \$10 million; remaining funds will come from interest earnings on tuition and other sources.)

This project will include the repair of deteriorated exterior walls, including stone replacement and tuckpointing as required. Interior walls will have asbestos removed and the plaster walls will be repaired. Approximately 45,000 square feet of roofing material will be replaced and repairs to deficient roof support structures will be made. The antiquated electrical distribution system will be replaced and new electrical devices and equipment furnished. Rusted hot and cold water supply systems and deteriorated drain pipes will be replaced to meet current code standards. HVAC systems will be upgraded to meet ASHRAE standards. Life safety features will be provided including physical changes to allow safe egress paths, fire alarm and fire sprinkler systems.

3. Willard Hall \$10,000,000

This academic building was constructed in 1939. Its major building systems are outdated and there are safety/code compliance issues. This project will include stone replacement and tuckpointing of exterior walls and interior plaster wall repairs. Approximately 150,000 square feet of roofing material will be replaced and repairs to roof support structures will be made. Antiquated electrical wiring, devices and electrical equipment will be replaced. Deteriorated piping, supply and drain systems and condensate pumps located in the basement will be replaced. Existing deteriorated windows will be replaced with insulated, energy saving units. Asbestos floor tiles and ceilings will be abated. The failing floor structure will be repaired. HVAC systems will be repaired or replaced as required and an exhaust system will be provided that complies with ASHRAE and mechanical code standards. Life safety features will be provided including physical changes to allow safe egress paths, fire alarm and fire sprinkler systems.

4. Seaton Court \$3,000,000

This 133-year old academic building is still in its original configuration and needs major repair and renovation to meet current safety and code compliance standards. This project will include the replacement of approximately 46,000 square feet of roofing and repairs to damaged structure as required. Rusted supply/drain water systems will be replaced to meet current plumbing standards. Original windows will be replaced with insulated, energy saving units. Asbestos will be abated from ceilings and HVAC systems will be repaired or replaced as required.

5. Leasure Hall \$3,600,000

This building will be 100 years old next year. Its major systems are in need of significant upgrade. This project includes the removal of asbestos from walls and subsequent plaster repairs. Old electrical wiring, devices and equipment will be replaced. Restrooms will be upgraded with ADA and code compliant fixtures. The inadequate existing residential-grade elevator will be replaced with a code compliant commercial-grade elevator. Asbestos in floor tiles, ceilings and insulation will be abated along with lead-based paint. The HVAC system will be upgraded to ASHRAE mechanical code standards.

6. Chemistry/Biochemistry Building

\$2,000,000

The existing laboratory exhaust system does not provide adequate safety in the teaching and research areas. A new HVAC and exhaust system will be installed.

7. Nichols Hall \$3,000,000

A fire almost destroyed this building in the late 1960s but it was reconstructed and it now houses two academic departments. The stone on this 1911 building has deteriorated and selective replacement is required at the exterior entrance walls from grade to the roof line. The roof is failing and approximately 74,000 square feet of replacement is required.

8. Salina Hangar \$2,000,000

The large sliding doors on the airplane hangar have deteriorated and are in need of replacement. The doors are original to the hangar that was constructed more than fifty years ago. Additionally, the hangar's steel structural framing requires additional support and repair to meet building codes.

9. McCain Hall \$1,500,000

This performance hall, teaching and rehearsal building requires a number of compliance upgrades including improvements to the building's structural system, fire alarm system improvements, ADA upgrades, and hazardous materials remediation in conjunction with the roof's structural repair. Additionally, the exterior limestone wall panels require tuckpointing and repair.

10. Call Hall \$2,000,000

This 1963 structure no longer meets current needs for research and requires complete upgrades of the plumbing and HVAC systems to meet current building codes and ADA compliance. Asbestos insulation and lead-based paint will be abated and replaced with code compliant materials.

11. Waters Hall \$5,000,000

The 600 large, deteriorated single pane windows in this 1923 building are original and need to be replaced with insulated, energy efficient units. The HVAC and exhaust systems are outdated and will be replaced with new systems per AHRAE and mechanical code standards.

12. Agricultural Research Centers in Western Kansas

\$1,000,000

The research laboratories and other facilities located on University-owned land near Hays, Colby and Garden City are in need of electrical, plumbing and HVAC improvements.

13. Kedzie Hall \$2,000,000

The original 1897 building is in need of structural repairs to the north foundation wall and structural floor framing repairs on the second floor. The old electrical wiring, devices and equipment are in need of complete replacement. The plumbing supply/drain system needs to be replaced, and the HVAC system is in need of repairs/replacement.

14. Ackert Hall - Phase 1

\$2,954,368

This 1970 biological laboratory building does not meet safety standards. This first phase will include partial repair and replacement of outdated HVAC and exhaust systems per ASHRAE and mechanical code standards. This will be for the first three floors of a five story building. Additionally, the first phase will include partial replacement of electrical wires and all deficient electrical equipment and devices. This will be for the first three floors of a five story building.

Wichita State University Deferred Maintenance Projects

1. Duerksen Fine Arts Center

\$3,240,000

The project includes the replacement of the HVAC systems; replacement of interior doors; replacement of windows; removal of old boilers and abatement of related asbestos; re-piping of domestic water; replacement of exterior storefront and glass; provides smoke evacuation in Miller Concert Hall stage area; painting Miller Concert Hall; replacement of electrical distribution; abatement of asbestos throughout the building; replacement of sprinkler heads and replacement of ladder to upper roof deck.

2. Henrion Hall \$498,000

The project includes upgrades to the electrical distribution system; upgrades HVAC system; provides a code required wall separation of kiln area; replaces exterior lighting; refurbishes existing restrooms; repairs exterior cornice work; and replaces floor coverings.

3. Engineering Building

\$750,000

The project includes replacement of HVAC system; abatement of asbestos; establishes areas of rescue assistance; and replaces exterior storefront and doors.

4. Grace Wilkie Hall

\$1,116,000

The project includes replacement of HVAC system; establishes areas of rescue assistance; replaces roof system; and replaces interior doors.

5. Fiske Hall \$336,000

The project includes repair of termite damage; replacement of the heating system; masonry waterproofing; insulating the attic; and rebuilding the entrance steps.

6. Wilner Auditorium

\$666,000

The project includes abatement of lead and asbestos; establishes areas of rescue assistance; replaces HVAC system to auditorium area; repair smoke tower doors; replace auditorium seating; repairs and repainting interior auditorium walls; replace roof and repair termite damaged walls.

7. Clinton Hall \$1,164,000

This project replaces windows; rebuilds the north and south entrances/steps; upgrades the building elevator; replaces exterior and interior doors and hardware; establishes areas of rescue assistance; replaces floor coverings; replaces ceiling tiles; replaces main water service; and replaces direct buried hot and cold water lines.

8. Visual Communications Building

\$192,000

The project includes upgrades to the main electrical service; replacement of the HVAC system; and replacement of exterior metal siding.

9. Hubbard Hall

\$1,380,000

The project includes upgrade of building elevators; abatement of asbestos; lecture hall seating replacement; establishes areas of rescue assistance; replaces stairwell railings; replaces exterior doors and hardware; and replaces domestic water booster pump.

10. Wallace Hall \$780,000

The project includes replacement of the roof; upgrades of building elevators; establishes areas of rescue assistance; asbestos abatement; accessibility to front of lecture hall; masonry repair and restoration; upgrade electrical service; and upgrade HVAC system.

11. Ahlberg Hall \$666,000

This project provides replacement of exterior and interior doors; repair and upgrades electrical service; upgrades building elevators; establishes areas of rescue assistance; replaces floor coverings; replaces domestic hot water system and provides tuckpointing and waterproofing to exterior walls.

12. McKnight Art Center

\$690,000

The project includes upgrade of building elevators; masonry waterproofing; replacement of interior doors; establishes areas of rescue assistance; asbestos abatement; repairs to elevated pedestrian walkway; and replacement of HVAC building controls.

13. Geology Building

\$502,000

The project includes replacement of the roof; rebuilding a brick screen wall; replacing lighing in the lecture hall; conducting a structural evaluation of masonry failures; replacing air handling units; improving acoustics in general classrooms; and tuckpointing and waterproofing exterior masonry.

14. Ablah Library \$600,000

This project provides tuckpointing and waterproofing to the exterior walls; replaces exterior hot water piping; provides downspout connections to roof scuppers; establishes areas of rescue assistance; replaces floor coverings; replaces sewage ejection pumps, abates asbestos; and replaces entrance doors.

15. Jabara Hall \$606,000

The project establishes areas of rescue assistance; replaces domestic water booster pump; and replaces emergency generator.

16. Central Energy Plant

\$666,000

This project provides tuckpointing and waterproofing to the exterior walls; installs lighting protection; installs an elevator; upgrades electrical distribution and replaces the roof.

17. Lindquist Hall

\$510,000

The project includes upgrade of building elevators; replacement of domestic water booster pumps; establishes areas of rescue assistance; tuckpointing and waterproofing; and replacement of doors to stair towers.

18. Jardine Hall \$420,000

The project includes elevator upgrades; establishes areas of rescue assistance; asbestos abatement; window replacement; roof replacement; tuckpointing and waterproofing.

19. McKinley Hall

\$162,000

The project includes roof replacement; and tuckpointing and waterproofing.

20. Morrison Hall

\$300,000

The project includes replacement of entrance doors; provides emergency generator and upgrades emergency lighting; establishes areas of rescue assistance; roof replacement; and replacement of ladder to clock tower.

21. Elliott Hall

\$114,000

The project replaces the roof system.

22. Infrastructure

\$2,520,000

The project includes replacing direct buried steam and chilled water lines in the western portion of campus; and waterproofing of utility tunnel in vicinity of the Engineering Building and Ahlberg Hall.

23. Brennan Hall 1

\$402,000

This project provides tuckpointing and waterproofing to the exterior walls; replaces interior doors and hardware; upgrades lighting; establishes areas of rescue assistance; and replaces water and sewer lines in the building tunnel.

24. Blake Hall

\$354,000

This project replaces exterior doors; replaces windows; waterproofs the basement; replaces the HVAC system; provides emergency lighting; and provides tuckpointing, waterproofing and painting to the exterior walls.

25. Neff Hall

\$36,000

The project includes tuckpointing and waterproofing.

26. Gaddis Physical Plant

\$186,000

The project includes upgrades of the fire alarm system; repairs to the HVAC system; and repairs to the roof system.

27. Heskett Center

\$474,000

The project includes replacement of floor coverings; provides inter-line pool piping with PVC; replaces pool drainage pump; replaces glass in pool area; and replaces HVAC building controls.

28. Metropolitan Complex

\$582,000

The project includes replacement of exterior doors; upgrading emergency lighting; providing emergency generator; and caulking, painting and waterproofing of building exterior.

29. Police Building

\$36,000

The project includes replacement of the HVAC system.

30. National Institute for Aviation Research

\$354,000

The project includes the replacement of floor coverings; establishes areas of rescue assistance; and replaces HVAC building controls.

31. Wiedemann Hall

\$120,000

The project includes restoration of water damaged walls; accessibility to front of auditorium and adjacent spaces.

32. Devlin Hall

\$90,000

The project establishes areas of rescue assistance and replaces floor coverings.

33. Corbin Education Center

\$90,000

The project includes partial roof replacements; and establishes areas of rescue assistance.

34. Intensive English Language Center

\$12,000

The project establishes areas of rescue assistance.

Emporia State University Deferred Maintenance Projects

1. William Allen White Library

\$3,600,000

This project includes replacement of the HVAC system; replacement of the original 1951 & 1972 electrical distribution system (for which parts are no longer available); elevator repairs; interior partitions and doors principally focused on repair of areas disrupted by the mechanical upgrade and accessibility improvements; and upgrades to the voice/data systems.

2. Physical Education Building

\$3,400,000

This project includes roof replacement; replacement of the original 1974 HVAC system; upgrading plumbing systems; repair of interior finishes damaged by water intrusion; replacement of windows/doors with energy efficient units; and replacement of selected fixed equipment.

3. Roosevelt Hall

\$1,760,000

The project includes engineering analysis of the failing foundation and subsequent stabilization; replacement of original 1953 HVAC fan coil units; replacement of antiquated plumbing systems; door replacements; and repairs to exterior masonry walls.

4. Cremer Hall

\$90,000

The project includes repairs and/or replacement of elevator.

5. King Hall

\$240,000

The project included window and door replacements; and repairs and/or replacement of elevator.

6. Power Plant Building

\$625,000

The project includes roof replacement; door and window replacements; repairs to exterior masonry walls; and door replacements.

7. Stormont Maintenance Center

\$360,000

This project includes replacement of HVAC system; and door and window replacements.

8. Utility Tunnels

\$1,000,000

The project will include an engineering analysis of the university utility tunnels and subsequent repairs of greatest needs based on the engineering study's findings.

9. Visser Hall

\$1,000,000

The project will include the replacement of the HVAC system.

Pittsburg State University Deferred Maintenance Projects

1. McCray Hall

\$ 1,900,000

This project will provide repair for exterior structural wall and foundation settlement problems, masonry tuck pointing, a new roof, new window and door systems, new heating, ventilation and air condition (HVAC) system, and electrical and plumbing upgrades to the distribution system.

2. Porter Hall

\$ 2,300,000

This project will provide repair for exterior structural wall and foundation settlement problems, masonry tuck pointing, a new roof, new window and exterior door systems, new HVAC system, new lighting, and electrical system upgrade including a new main service.

3. Heckert Wells Hall

\$ 2,575,000

This project will provide for the replacement of the roof, provide new main electrical switch gear and upgrade the electrical system, provide new domestic water piping, and a new HVAC system.

4. Grubbs Hall

\$ 900,000

This project will provide for the repair of the foundation and floor slab settlement, replace the windows and doors, and replace the main electrical switch gear and upgrade the electrical system.

5. Utility Distribution System

\$ 1,800,000

This project will provide for storm and sanitary sewer replacement and repairs for the main and support distribution lines also repairs and steam pipe replacement in all campus utility tunnels including structural repairs to collapsing tunnels.

6. Yates Hall

\$ 1,050,000

This project will provide a new roof, new window and exterior door systems, new HVAC system, new electrical main service and distribution upgrades, and domestic plumbing piping repairs.

7. Weede Facility

\$ 1,800,000

This project will provide foundation and settlement repairs along with structural repairs, new exterior metal wall panels, new roof, new window and door systems, and new electrical switch gear and distribution upgrade.

8. Whitesitt Hall

\$ 1,000,000

This project will provide for exterior masonry wall repairs and tuck pointing, new roof, and new window and exterior door systems.

9. Kelce Center

\$ 479,102

This project will provide for new windows and exterior door systems and new domestic water piping.



KANSAS BOARD OF REGENTS

State University Deferred Maintenance "Pared-Down" Mission Critical Project List

January 2007

Fort Hays State University Deferred Maintenance Projects

1. Picken Hall \$3,845,000

Improvements to Picken Hall include new electrical service; new HVAC system; plumbing improvements; painting; floor finishes; ceiling tile replacement; door replacement; roofing repairs; asbestos abatement; and wood floor framing repairs.

2. Utility Tunnel Improvements

\$1,000,000

The project includes the replacement of portions of 1920's tunnel segments and tunnel caps.

3. Electrical System Improvements

\$3,237,000

The project includes major upgrades to existing high voltage conductors, switches and transformers throughout campus.

4. Street Improvements

\$1,800,000

The project includes the replacement of portions of 50+ year old deteriorated concrete paving.

5. Street Lighting

\$300,000

The project will replace aging street lighting throughout campus.

6. Water Main/Sewer Line Improvements

\$300,000

The project will replace aging segments of water mains and non-functional shut-off valves. Additionally, broken sections of clay tile sewer lines will be replaced with new PVC lines.

7. Akers Energy Center

\$1,040,000

The project includes the replacement of three 39-year old boilers with new efficient fire tube boilers.

8. Cunningham Hall

\$1,073,000

The project will remove and replace deteriorated under-slab sewer lines throughout the facility and make subsequent floor repairs as required.

9. Beach Hall

\$775,000

The project includes the removal and replacement of insulation and roofing membrane on the monolithic dome; and installation of new exhaust and ventilation systems at the lower level research space.

10. Rarick Hall

\$445,000

The project includes removal and replacement of the roof system.

KANSAS BOARD OF REGENTS

State University Deferred Maintenance \$663 million "Pared-Down" Mission Critical Project List

The Kansas Board of Regents has taken a number of steps as it continues to seek support to address the deferred and annual maintenance challenges that confront the state university campuses.

Among those steps, in January 2007, the Board "pared down" the list of building maintenance deficiencies that will be the focus of the deferred maintenance funding initiative. As a result of this "paring," the Board's efforts are now focused on obtaining resources to address a \$663 million deferred maintenance backlog that affects the campus' most "mission critical" buildings. The Board continues to be focused on obtaining an increase in the annual funding available for maintenance as well. But, regarding the backlog, some of the buildings have been eliminated from this funding effort.

It is important to note that all 567 buildings contained in the Fall 2006 facilities audit, which identified the \$727 million maintenance backlog, are State-owned buildings. These State-owned buildings do have maintenance deficiencies, and there has been an important long-standing State commitment to provide the resources necessary to address those deficiencies. However, while the state universities still face a \$727 million maintenance backlog, the Board simply made a decision to focus its current funding effort on buildings/deficiencies that are more likely to generate consensus support among state policymakers. This funding effort will now focus on 429 mission critical buildings that possess \$663 million in maintenance projects that have simply been deferred due to a lack of state funding.

Buildings considered "mission critical" are those that directly support the delivery of academic pursuits. Buildings that have been eliminated include athletic/recreation facilities, residences, chapels, and memorials. University housing, student unions and parking structures were never a part of the \$727 million list. Additionally, trees, shrubs, outdoor recreation fields, outdoor furnishings, etc. were "pared" from the \$727 million list.



JANUARY 2007 Summary Calculation of Total Renewal Costs Kansas Board of Regents University Campuses

Institution	Building Renewal	U & I Renewal	Total Renewal
	Costs	Costs	Costs
The University of Kansas The University of Kansas-Medical Center Kansas State University Wichita State University Emporia State University Pittsburg State University Fort Hays State University	156,365,474	24,197,359	180,562,833
	67,775,307	3,883,054	71,658,361
	216,002,310	18,388,032	234,390,342
	36,074,385	2,445,164	38,519,549
	38,587,342	2,563,024	41,150,366
	54,225,124	3,431,484	57,656,608
	33,834,877	5,810,769	39,645,646
TOTAL	602,864,820	60,718,885	663,583,705



JANUARY 2007 Summary Calculation of Building Renewal Kansas Board of Regents University Campuses

Institution	Replacement	Gross	Renewal
	Cost	Square Feet	Costs
The University of Kansas The University of Kansas-Medical Center Kansas State University Wichita State University Emporia State University Pittsburg State University Fort Hays State University	961,383,796	4,897,842	156,365,474
	459,711,415	2,057,588	67,775,307
	1,180,070,856	4,920,950	216,002,310
	364,859,604	1,993,466	36,074,385
	176,450,726	995,205	38,587,342
	231,079,607	1,264,960	54,225,124
	251,233,684	1,365,906	33,834,877
TOTAL	3,624,789,688	17,495,917	602,864,820

January 2007 Calculation of Building Renewal The University of Kansas

INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
KU	Anschutz Science Library	179	1989	27,038,507	80	149,720	10%	2,742,542	0.20
KU	Art and Design Building	151	1977	27,898,585	75	159,300	15%	4,227,624	0.25
KU	Bailey Hall	35	1900	12,367,259	68	67,085	22%	2,712,879	0.32
KU	Blake Hall	17	1964	8,126,061	59	50,010	31%	2,547,182	0.41
KU	Budig Hall/Hoch Auditoria	39	1927	23,976,580	87	91,730	3%	791,122	0.13
KU	Burt Hall	85	1961	3,859,328	66	19,155	24%	936,191	0.34
KU	Carruth O'Leary Hall	77	1955	8,175,971	55	50,315	35%	2,822,606	0.45
KU	Chiller Building	3	1973	- 1,658,925	85	1,780	5%	86,161	0.15
KU	Computer Services Fac.	153	1978	8,507,931	66	47,630	24%	2,063,845	0.34
KU	Continuing Education Bldg.	199	1980	5,420,469	68	33,395	22%	1,215,529	0.32
KU	Dole Human Devel. Center	180	1990	22,223,923	75	132,965	15%	3,231,917	0.25
KU	Dole Institute of Politics	201	2003	7,992,405	. 90	27,150	0%	0	0.10
KU	Dyche Hall	5	1903	19,874,666	67	107,530	23%	4,663,303	0.33
KU	Eaton Hall	204	2003	15,727,485	90	84,735	0%	0	0.10
KU	Ellsworth Annex	89A	1963	4,521,255	75	7,930	15%	679,605	0.25
KU	Entomology Research Lab.	117	1954	445,709	47	2,400	43%	192,818	0.53
KU	Env. Health & Safety	112	1958	80,625	71	1,150	19%	14,927	0.29
KU	F.O. Const. & Land. Shops	177	1986	1,875,691	77	20,540	13%	246,412	0.23
KU	F.O. Main Building	30	1908	2,510,594	54	24,720	36%	903,554	0.46
KU	F.O. Storage Bldg A	113	1959	372,585	77	6,425	13%	49,858	0.23
. KU	F.O. Storage Bldg B	175	1984	157,855	72	2,712	18%	28,454	0.28
KU	F.O. Vehicle Maint. Shop	176	1986	1,179,271	75	12,000	15%	175,819	0.25
KU	F.O. Warehouse	202	1999	3,684,062	88	45,350	2%	87,792	0.12
KU	Fraser Hall	97	1967	23,550,603	74	124,095	16%	3,712,652	0.26
KU	Green Hall	150	1977	17,079,701	77	101,970	13%	2,139,423	0.23
KU	Groundwater Treatment Bldg	343	1995	1,182,600	81	2,160	9%	104,055	0.19
KU	Hall Center for the Humanities	27	1905	7,130,640	90	14,470	0%	0	0.10
KU	Haworth Hall	104	1969	64,746,167	73	279,690	17%	10,839,947	0.27
KU	J. R. Pearson Hall	80	1959	24,019,526	90	143,020	0%	0	0.10
KU	Kurata Thermodynamics Lab	190	1990	1,089,897	78	5,430	12%	127,198	0.22
KU	Learned Hall	88	1963	46,429,161	70	225,115	20%	9,276,862	0.30
KU	Library Annex	214	2006	5,813,000	90	17,510	0%	0	0.10
KU	Lied Center	184	1993	24,545,323	83	80,150	7%	1,694,766	0.17
KU	Lindley Hall	42	1943	15,794,806	64	86,200	26%	4,101,718	0.36



INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
KU	Lindley Storage	105	1958	11,607	71	150	19%	2,241	0.29
KU	Lippincott Hall	8	1905	5,382,166	56	32,565	34%	1,831,786	0.44
KU	Malott Hall	58	1954	69,477,181	72	331,925	18%	12,778,245	0.28
KU	Marvin Hall	41	1908	9,935,592	72	287	18%	1,821,283	0.28
KU	Marvin Hall Annex	41B	1967	23,214	78	55,225	12%	2,865	0.22
KU	Marvin Studios	44	1941	1,316,234	74	7,840	16%	205,086	0.26
KU	Max Kade Center	91	1928	945,971	72	6,305	18%	172,249	0.28
KU	McCollum Laboratories	141	1971	3,549,421	58	17,530	32%	1,121,271	0.42
KU	Military Science Building	46	1943	6,073,943	60	38,480	30%	1,844,547	0.40
	Moore Hall	139	1973	8,047,133	68	46,850	22%	1,735,715	0.32
KU	Multidisciplinary Research Bldg.	220	2005	42,936,000	90	112,990	0%	0	0.10
KU	Murphy Hall	76	1957	44,814,627	66	190,615	24%	10,569,869	0.34
KU	Nelson Tract Res. Bldg.	323	1993	263,479	- 22	1,400	68%	180,313	0.78
KU	Nelson Tract Res. Blug. Nelson Tract Env. St. Lab	324	1993	646,510	76	3,450	14%	92,044	0.24
KU	Nichols Hall	135	1971	13,511,709	67	71,430	23%	3,054,742	0.33
KU	Nunemaker Hall	140	1971	1,640,069	73	10,835	17%	284,605	0.27
KU KU	Oldfather Studios	193	1955	2,911,036	57	19,745	33%	967,629	0.43
	Pharmaceutical Chem. Lab.	121	1968	1,808,371	63	7,470	27%	493,921	0.37
KU	Power Plant	24	1922	16,606,770	54	17,845	36%	6,047,752	0.46
KU	Public Safety Building	116	1968	3,005,052	87	35,175	3%	82,628	0.13
KU	Pump House, Potter Lake	146	1911	39,464	76	513	14%	5,522	0.24
KU	Regents Center	410	1992	9,226,404	82	55,370	8%	727,252	0.18
KUEC	Regents Center Regents' Ctr Communications	411	1993	8,125	87	115	3%	233	0.13
KUEC	Regnier Hall	412	2004	14,072,940	90	86,255	0%	0	0.10
KUEC	Robinson Health & PE Ctr.	94	1966	37,937,480	73	222,435	17%	6,421,120	0.27
KU	Simons Laboratories	195	1995	10,690,047	87	48,650	3%	313,533	0.13
KU	Smissman Research Labs	157	1978	3,444,958	57	14,000	33%	1,132,475	0.43
KU		21	1967	3,349,780	74	20,205	16%	538,313	0.26
KU	Smith Hall	40	1929	16,400,691	83	98,240	7%	1,202,558	0.17
KU	Snow Hall	152	1977	15,859,805	71	91,085	19%	2,994,462	0.29
KU	Spencer Museum of Art	100	1968	18,755,751	64	107,730	26%	4,893,560	0.36
KU	Spencer Research Library	6	1894	4,133,253	70	23,275	20%	846,056	0.30
KU	Spooner Hall	34	1897	6,119,210	65	42,220	25%	1,532,999	0.35
KU	Stauffer-Flint Hall	37	1911	28,568,309	74	176,070	16%	4,573,497	0.26
KU	Strong Hall		2004	7,176,000	90	17,085	0%	0	0.10
KU	Structural Biology Center	212	1928	276,247	55	1,505	35%	97,732	0.45
KU	Sudler House Annex	92		15,366,507	67	94,925	23%	3,539,803	0.33
KU	Summerfield Hall	79	1959 1992	176,426	75	1,005	15%	27,274	0.25
KU	Sunflower Wildcare Bldg.	342		4,943,421	65	30,060	25%	1,247,499	0.35
KU	Twente Hall	19	1931	4,543,421	00	30,000	2070	4-6-1	



INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
200 20		405	1001	4 040 400	81	6,420	9%	92,451	0.19
KU	University Press Offices	185	1991	1,043,469					
KU	University Press Warehouse	183	1989	723,116	76	8,900	14%	102,508	0.24
KU	Visitor's Center	83A	1998	2,631,307	84	15,750	6%	170,428	0.16
KU	Watkins Home	18	1937	1,109,629	61	6,825	29%	324,092	0.39
KU	Watkins Student Health Ctr.	147	1973	17,285,144	79	79,715	11%	1,901,114	0.21
KU	Watson Library	22	1924	32,948,791	63	189,760	27%	8,777,857	0.37
KU	Wescoe Hall	132	1973	29,641,957	67	181,635	23%	6,846,807	0.33
KU	Wesley Building	155	1954	2,345,775	46	12,805	44%	1,021,971	0.54
KU	Youngberg Hall	86	1960	4,142,538	74	25,460	16%	683,428	0.26
KU	1043 Indiana	64	1900	1,004,006	26	6,180	64%	645,378	0.74
	Total			961,383,796 0	0	4,897,842		156,365,474	ŧ

9-35

January 2007 Calculation of Building Renewal The University of Kansas Medical Center

		Bldg.		Replacement	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
INST.	Building Name	No.	Year Built	Cost	value	34. Ft.	Condition	Itoliowai oosto	
		42	1973	41,424,919	55	56,350	35%	14,685,134	0.45
KUMC	Applegate Energy Center	42 64	2006	60,841,935	100	207,900	0%	0	0.00
KUMC	Biomedical Research Center		1958	10,843,956	70	40,635	20%	2,206,745	0.30
KUMC	Breidenthal	52		4,732,982	77	27,552	13%	627,120	0.23
KUMC	Children's Dev. Unit (CDU)	18	1959	25,033,168	65	118,177	25%	6,258,292	0.35
KUMC	Delp Pavilion (D)	9	1939	20,341,080	66	117,223	24%	4,871,689	0.34
KUMC	Delp Pavilion (F)	15	1954	10,283,338	83	59,064	8%	771,250	0.18
KUMC	Dykes Library	21	1983		71	34,408	19%	1,051,151	0.29
KUMC	Eaton (E)	10	1940	5,591,231	80	21,585	10%	561,829	0.20
KUMC	Hixon	5	1936	5,762,353	95	12,200	0%	0	0.05
KUMC	Hoglund Brain Imaging Center	69	2002	2,284,170	92	59,000	0%	0	0.08
KUMC	Landon Center on Aging	66	1968	9,929,789	82	80,250	8%	1,626,239	0.18
KUMC	Lied Biomedical Research Bldg.	62	1994	20,585,305	71	54,000	19%	1,804,184	0.29
KUMC	Miller	39	1973	9,520,758	83	50,151	7%	541,414	0.17
KUMC	Murphy (A)	1	1924	8,265,858		91,426	0%	0	0.07
KUMC	Nursing Ed. Facility	65	2000	15,068,778	93	55,403	23%	2,575,321	0.33
KUMC	Olathe Pavilion (G)	16	1957	11,221,443	67	118,157	12%	2,821,069	0.22
KUMC	Orr-Major	54	1976	23,314,621	78	74,300	6%	1,270,494	0.16
KUMC	Research Support Facility	30	1989	19,851,474	84		15%	1,530,175	0.15
KUMC	Robinson (L)	17	1958	10,235,285	75 75	62,100	11%	1,706,013	0.23
KUMC	School of Med., Wichita	90	1980	15,300,569	79	98,743	0%	1,700,013	0.06
KUMC	Shop Services Building	68	2002	812,490	94	10,000			0.23
KUMC	Smith - East - MRRC	37	1973	11,070,617	77	44,990	13%	1,389,362	
KUMC	Smith - West -MRRC	36	1972	1,797,850	75	10,908	15%	265,183	0.25
KUMC	Special Storage	25	1974	44,281	78	545	12%	5,203	0.22
KUMC	Student Center	14	1954	11,676,182	73	58,148	17%	2,014,141	0.27
KUMC	Sudler	7	1936	16,448,967	72	95,754	18%	2,969,039	0.28
KUMC	Sutherland Institute	61	1992	3,624,170	82	19,515	8%	289,934	0.18
KUMC	Taylor Hall	13	1953	5,511,468	82	32,725	8%	427,139	0.18
KUMC	Wahl Annex	2	1928	1,888,235	67	17,877	23%	438,070	0.33
KUMC	Wahl Hall East	20	1963	33,837,574	72	126,751	18%	6,040,007	0.28
	Wahl Hall West	12	1953	18,424,046	69	69,014	21%	3,915,110	0.31
KUMC	Wescoe Pavilion (B)	3	1928	13,498,303	64	78,050	26%	3,516,308	0.36
KUMC	Wescoe Pavilion (C)	6	1936	4,629,512	62	25,244	28%	1,293,949	0.38
KUMC		92	1996	6,014,710	85	29,443	5%	303,743	0.15
KUMC	WRI/CPC Building	52	1000	-1-1-1-1-	200,000				

\	2	1
	77)
C	1	
	5	\

INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
Tot	al			459,711,415		2,057,588		67,775,307	

January 2007 Calculation of Building Renewal Kansas State University

		Bldg.	Year	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
INST.	Building Name	No.	Built	COST	Value	04.11.	oonanion		
	A 1 - 1/Ol - [1]-	136	1970	38,169,510	63	192,069	27%	10,181,717	0.37
KSU	Ackert/Chalmers Hall	130	1879	10,871,116	50	66,439	40%	4,313,115	0.50
KSU	Anderson Hall	186	2004	5,207,820	95	33,439	0%	0	0.05
KSU	Biolog. & Indust. Value-Added Program	158	1981	20,077,789	77	122,700	13%	2,539,840	0.23
KSU	Bluemont Hall Burt Hall	9	1923	10,562,370	63	39,291	27%	2,865,043	0.37
KSU	Bushnell Annex	8	1969	625,617	74	2,328	16%	97,127	0.26
KSU	Bushnell Hall	10		6,242,245	64	23,219	26%	1,622,984	0.36
KSU	Call Hall	72	1963	17,874,780	61	66,492	29%	5,246,248	0.39
KSU	NEW YORK TO SEE THE SECOND SEC	13	1908	8,552,038	74	52,261	17%	1,411,086	0.27
KSU	Calvin Hall	91	1963	40,287,897	73	22,175	17%	6,959,734	0.27
KSU	Cardwell Hall	171	1989	701,063	78	149,866	12%	86,055	0.22
KSU	Chemical Storage Bldg.	165	1988	24,019,526	71	2,608	19%	4,485,646	0.29
KSU	Chemistry/Biochemistry Campus Creek Complex	27	1949	2,747,355	81	89,350	9%	242,454	0.19
KSU	Dickens Hall	18	1907	8,449,896	71	31,435	19%	1,597,030	0.29
KSU	Dole Hall	168	1990	8,850,338	77	32,923	13%	1,161,607	0.23
KSU	Dole Hall Durland/Rathbone/Fiedler Hall	153	1976	65,874,368	78	245,041	12%	7,592,021	0.22
KSU		19	1955	6,070,461	74	37,095	16%	975,827	0.26
KSU	Dykstra Hall	104	1922	3,658,526	49	22,357	41%	1,507,313	0.51
KSU	East Stadium	135	1967	8,960,604	65	54,758	25%	2,269,273	0.35
KSU	Edwards Hall	22	1951	8,982,657	67	54,893	23%	2,104,187	0.33
KSU	Eisenhower Hall	108	1960	6,295,637	71	33,666	19%	1,182,006	0.29
KSU	English/Counseling Services	21	1963	1,714,770	73	6,762	17%	285,938	0.27
KSU	Environmental Research Lab	97	1918	328,478	44	4,018	46%	150,279	0.56
KSU	Facilities Grounds	177	1995	429,459	84	5,250	6%	24,801	0.16
KSU	Facilities Ground Storage	177	1993	718,473	81	8,785	9%	63,765	0.19
KSU	Facilities Shops		1894	9,626,846	53	58,828	37%	3,605,254	0.47
KSU	Fairchild Hall	30		5,064,134	70	18,837	20%	1,034,349	0.30
KSU	Feed Technology	29	1956	127,020	85	2,279	5%	6,256	0.15
KSU	Greenhouse D	15	1907	62,753,246	89	357,927	1%	815,792	0.11
KSU	Hale-Farrell Library	31	1927		85	16,273	5%	141,120	0.15
KSU	Hoeflin Stone House	156	18??	2,662,646	76	24,552	14%	559,393	0.24
KSU	Holton Hall	34	1900	4,017,183	66	6,950	24%	270,722	0.34
KSU	Holtz Hall	74	1876	1,137,486	95	19,732	0%	0	0.05
KSU	International Grains Program	160	2004	3,394,500	90	18,132	0 70	· ·	0.00



INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
KSU	Justin Hall	41	1960	39,993,079	72	148,768	18%	7,288,739	0.28
KSU	Kedzie Hall	71	1897	6,809,827	62	41,616	28%	1,918,669	0.38
KSU	King Hall	20	1966	11,978,424	73	44,559	17%	1,994,408	0.27
KSU	Leasure Hall	112	1908	11,923,871	62	44,354	28%	3,296,950	0.38
KSU	McCain Auditorium	133	1970	32,913,970	73	112,639	17%	5,677,660	0.27
KSU	Mechanical Engineering Lab	182	1996	833,295	86	10,800	4%	29,999	0.14
KSU	Military Science Hall	79	1943	6,415,189	58	39,206	32%	2,033,615	0.42
KSU	National Gas Machine Lab	654	1999	957,030	65	12,400	25%	243,564	0.35
KSU	Nichols Hall	82	1911	12,153,690	71	74,277	19%	2,297,047	0.29
KSU	Phys. Plant Stor. Bldg.	167	1987	513,555	82	6,650	8%	41,855	0.18
KSU	Power Plant	93	1928	92,248,954	69	52,792	21%	18,934,098	0.31
KSU	Public Safety Service -1	166	1987	192,720	80	2,500	10%	19,802	0.20
KSU	Public Safety Service -2	184	2000	278,130	95	3,600	0%	0	0.05
KSU	Seaton Court	25	1874	7,448,212	54	45,515	36%	2,705,563	0.46
KSU	Seaton Hall	102	1922	63,434,576	72	235,967	18%	11,110,566	0.28
KSU	Shellenberger Hall	80	1960	13,724,117	64	51,051	26%	3,619,736	0.36
KSU	Testing Lab - Civil Eng.	653	1996	528,885	81	6,000	9%	46,013	0.19
KSU	Thompson Hall	106	1921	8,895,605	67	33,092	23%	2,034,870	0.33
KSU	Throckmorton Hall	161	1981	105,397,364	78	392,058	12%	13,121,972	0.22
KSU	Umberger Hall	109	1956	9,590,864	65	58,611	25%	2,392,921	0.35
KSU	Ward Hall	85	1961	11,081,203	73	41,222	17%	1,883,804	0.27
KSU	Waters Hall	120	1923	39,545,049	72	147,102	18%	7,305,948	0.28
KSU	Waters Hall Annex	117	1923	4,209,859	67	15,658	23%	986,159	0.33
KSU	Weber Hall	4	1957	35,797,149	71	133,161	19%	6,864,103	0.29
KSU	West Stadium	105	1922	4,606,818	49	28,156	41%	1,898,009	0.51
KSU	Willard Hall	116	1939	29,426,066	58	109,459	32%	9,394,272	0.42
KSU	Wind Erosion Lab	121	1963	3,023,295	69	11,920	21%	624,310	0.31
KSUVM	Coles Hall	147	1972	28,504,471	76	106,030	14%	3,912,239	0.24
KSUVM	Mosier Hall	154	1978	73,293,562	76	272,640	14%	10,261,099	0.24
KSUVM	Trotter Hall	151	1973	28,120,279	74	104,601	16%	4,435,974	0.26
KSU-S	Aero Center	706	1990	9,711,577	78	36,127	12%	1,124,115	0.22
KSU-S	Aero East Hangar	704	1956	3,886,024	55	27,703	35%	1,345,536	0.45
KSU-S	Aero West Hangar	703	1954	4,130,931	55	29,455	35%	1,430,335	0.45
KSU-S	Civil Laboratory	708	1956	1,488,017	56	5,537	34%	507,786	0.44
KSU-S	College Center	710	1995	3,537,814	84	18,915	6%	199,002	0.16
KSU-S	Composite Avionics	705	1996	1,276,770	84	4,750	6%	70,861	0.16
KSU-S	Facilities	718	1955	1,505,428	51	9,203	39%	586,741	0.49
KSU-S	Gymnasium	700	1956	3,684,675	75	22,277	15%	561,913	0.25



		Bldg.	Year	Replacement	Cond.	Gross	To 90%	Renewal	=01
INST.	Building Name	No.	Built	Cost	Value	Sq. Ft.	Condition	Costs	FCI
						07	35%	320,677	0.45
KSU-S	Maintenance	717	1956	906,507	55	5,537	45%	675,188	0.45
KSU-S	Natural Gas Machine Lab	716	1956	1,488,017	45	5,537	32%	782,596	0.33
KSU-S	Science Center	715	1955	2,474,612	58	9,203		316,416	0.42
KSU-S	Technology Assist. Ctr.	713	1955	1,000,523	58	6,113	32% 6%	1,127,806	0.42
KSU-S	Technology Center+	709	1985	18,117,366	84	67,393	39%	574,747	0.10
KSU-S	Tullis Building	712	1956	1,488,017	51	5,537		61,459	0.49
KSUAES	Dairy, Research Center - Manhattan	157	1977	301,637	70	2,475	20%		0.53
KSUAES	Grain Research Bldg - Manhattan	257	1957	731,241	47	6,000	43%	313,520	0.33
KSUAES	Classroom/TR - Manhattan	258	1980	146,248	71	1,200	19% 20%	27,824 74,630	0.29
KSUAES	Office & Shop - Manhattan	300	1967	368,545	70	3,024		23,985	0.30
KSUAES	Feedmill, Office/Store - Manhattan	302	1960	116,999	70	960	21%		0.30
KSUAES	Poultry, Off./Classroom - Manhattan	304	1965	408,032	70	3,348	20%	82,627 21,989	0.30
KSUAES	Metal, Office/Lab - Manhattan	306	1977	108,589	70	891	20%		0.30
KSUAES	Res. Ctr. Office/Off Serv Wichita	323	1974	548,431	77	4,500	13%	69,925	0.23
KSUAES	Res. Ctr. Office/Off Serv Wichita	324	1987	526,494	68	4,320	22%	115,565	0.32
KSUAES	Pecan Exp. Fi. Off/Serv Chetopa	325	1964	584,993	70	4,800	20%	119,192	
KSUAES	Farm, Shop/Shop Serv Ashland	326	1974	1,371,077	71	11,250	19%	260,847	0.29 1.00
KSUAES	KABSU Office	374	2005	331,000	0	1,488	90%	297,900	0.36
KSUAES	Office/Off. Service - Manhattan	382	1961	274,215	64	2,250	26%	72,393	0.30
KSUAES	Sheep, Office/Lab - Manhattan	401	1967	246,794	70	2,025	20%	49,976	
KSUAES	Purebred Beef, Office - Manhattan	440	1957	30,712	70	252	20%	6,219	0.30
KSUAES	Swine, T-R Unit, Office - Manhattan	462	1967	176,960	70	1,452	20%	36,056	0.30
KSUAES	Beef Research, Office - Manhattan	464	1967	59,231	70	486	20%	11,994	0.30
KSUAES	Horse Unit, Office - Manhattan	465	1976	60,327	70	495	20%	12,216	0.30
KSUAES	Quonset I - Office/Lab - St. John	486	1984	292,496	69	2,400	21%	61,424	0.31
KSUAES	Ranells - Nonclass Lab - Manhattan	493	1975	460,682	69	3,780	21%	98,816	0.31
KSUAES	Quonset 2 - Office - Hesston	503	1961	621,555	69	5,100	21%	132,080	0.31
KSUAES	Office - Hutchinson	514	1949	1,534,387	59	12,590	31%	481,414	0.41
KSUAES	Office/Lab - Otlawa	515	1950	292,496	0	2,400	90%	263,247	1.00
KSUAES	Operations Center - Manhattan	516	1961	1,608,730	52	13,200	38%	605,285	0.48
KSUAES	Steel BT - Office/Lab - Scandia	521	1984	146,248	69	1,200	21%	30,712	0.31
KSUAES	Seed Cond. Center - Manhattan	526	1967	584,993	69	4,800	21%	121,240	0.31
KSUAES	Office - Topeka/Rossville	535	1974	723,929	69	5,940	21%	153,835	0.31
	Headquarters Unit III - Manhattan	540	1972	584,993	69	4,800	21%	124,311	0.31
KSUAES	Quonset 6 - Office - Powhattan	544	1980	274,215	69	2,250	21%	58,271	0.31
KSUAES	Ext. Field Lab (Tuttle) - Manhattan	610	1964	219,372	0	1,800	90%	197,435	1.00
KSUAES	Gallaher Forestry Bldg Manhattan	620	1967	3,789,535	63	31,094	27%	1,033,596	0.37
KSUAES		621	1974	1,364,618	60	11,197	30%	406,315	0.40
KSUAES	Extension Greenhouse - Manhattan	UL I	1011	.,					

INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
·		ne verke	Martin Co. 100 Mar	21 - Sec. (17.000) - 19.00 + 27.001					
KSUAES	Extension Vehicle/Maint Manhattan	622	1974	1,319,037	65	10,823	25%	331,738	0.35
KSUAES	Conference Facility - Colby	801	1933	323,209	61	2,652	29%	92,922	0.39
KSUAES	Office - Colby	806	1948	571,221	63	4,687	27%	155,658	0.37
KSUAES	Office/Animal Infirmary - Colby	809	1932	531,368	61	4,360	29%	152,768	0.39
KSUAES	Sample Prep	812	1938	219,372	61	1,800	29%	62,795	0.39
KSUAES	Seed Distr. Center - Colby	813	1927	342,221	61	2,808	29%	98,388	0.39
KSUAES	Shop - Colby	821	1959	469,091	71	3,849	19%	90,300	0.29
KSUAES	Soils Laboratory - Colby	828	1966	597,911	0	4,906	90%	538,120	1.00
KSUAES	Greenhouse - Colby	829	1968	181,713	70	1,491	20%	35,934	0.30
KSUAES	Crop Research - Colby	837	1982	433,260	84	3,555	6%	28,054	0.16
KSUAES	NCLAB - Colby	838	1982	33,113	84	288	6%	1,904	0.16
	Office - Hays	860	1931	774,750	0	6,357	90%	697,275	1.00
KSUAES	Crops/Soils Lab - Hays	861	1936	962,435	64	7,897	26%	249,030	0.36
KSUAES		864	1948	1,262,975	70	10,363	20%	254,489	0.30
KSUAES	Greenhouse & Headhouse - Hays	865	1964	1,148,414	61	9,423	29%	335,911	0.39
		866	1951	557,328	61	4,573	29%	163,715	0.39
KSUAES	NCLAB Service - Hays	870	1974	882,120	64	7,238	26%	227,146	0.36
KSUAES	Animal Nutrition Lab - Hays	873	1945	828,915	0	3,290	90%	746,024	1.00
KSUAES	Research - Hays	886	1993	385,364	73	3,162	17%	64,741	0.27
KSUAES	Crop Process Facility - Hays	889	1970	572,805	70	4,700	20%	115,993	0.30
KSUAES	NCLAB - Hays	890	1973	577,193	71	4,736	19%	109,089	0.29
KSUAES	Boathouse - Manhattan	895	1981	808,021	0	6,630	90%	727,219	1.00
KSUAES	Holcomb Proj.Bldg.& Shop - Garden City	925	1948	193,048	58	1,584	33%	62,740	0.43
KSUAES	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	926	1958	558,790	59	4,585	31%	171,828	0.41
KSUAES		928	1960	297,006	59	2,437	32%	93,557	0.42
KSUAES	Research Lab/Shop - Garden City	930	1957	536,609	60	4,403	31%	163,666	0.41
KSUAES	4 0 100 0 4 00 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	931	1965	584,993	58	4,800	33%	190,123	0.43
KSUAES		932	1966	1,018,862	87	8,360	3%	30,311	0.13
KSUAES		936	1968	610,952	59	5,013	32%	192,450	0.42
KSUAES	Pesticide Building - Garden City	941	1968	156,973	60	1,288	31%	47,877	0.41
KSUAES	Feed Mill - Garden City	942	1968	905,154	58	7,427	33%	294,175	0.43
KSUAES	Entomology Project - Garden City	945	1986	226,685	71	1,860	19%	42,843	0.29
KSUAES	and the state of t	947	2000	1,435,426	95	11,778	0%	0	0.05
KSUAES	Shop/Shop Serv Mound Valley	963	1951	146,248	57	1,200	33%	48,372	0.43
KSUAES	Shop/Shop Serv Mound Valley	964	1951	230,707	57	1,893	33%	76,306	0.43
KSUAES	Metabolism Research - Mound Valley	971	1968	175,498	0	1,440	90%	157,948	1.00
KSUAES		972	1985	146,248	78	1,200	12%	17,148	0.22
	2	973	1951	47,531	75	390	15%	6,987	0.25
KSUAES	Field Laboratory - Mound valley	310	1001	1,1001					



INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
							1001	070 000	0.00
KSUAES	Headquarters - Parsons	975	1979	2,125,596	72	17,441	18%	376,230	0.28
KSUAES	Office/Laboratory - Parsons	977	1985	146,248	75	1,200	15%	21,974	0.25
	200 1 3 3 4 4 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	979	2002	1,025,931	95	8,418	0%	0	0.05
KSUAES	Seed Building - Parsons	987	1925	270,559	59	2,220	32%	85,226	0.42
KSUAES	Barn (Lab) - Tribune			Tanta Control	58	552	33%	21,864	0.43
KSUAES	Office - Tribune	988	1928	67,274				67,523	0.53
KSUAES	Project Room - Tribune	989	1928	157,948	47	1,296	43%		
KSUAES	Shop - Tribune	990	1958	209,866	58	1,722	33%	68,207	0.43
		992	1967	146,248	59	1,200	32%	46,068	0.42
KSUAES	Irrigation Shop - Tribune	993	1985	383,902	71	3,150	19%	73,517	0.29
KSUAES	NCLAB Service - Tribune			50		144	19%	3,129	0.29
KSUAES	Pesticide Building - Tribune	994	1988	16,556	71				1.00
KSUAES	Laboratory/Shop/Headquarters -	Manhattan 198,A,B	1950	1,636,152	0	13,425	90%	1,472,537	
KSUAES	Class Lab Service - Manhattan	258A	1980	350,996	70	2,880	20%	68,532	0.30
NOUNEO	Total	200.1		1,180,070,856		4,920,950		216,002,310	



January 2007 Calculation of Building Renewal Wichita State University

INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
WSU	Ablah Library	1	1962	30,703,997	82	176,350	8%	2,425,616	0.18
WSU	Ahlberg Hall	54	1980	19,587,973	82	112,505	8%	1,655,184	0.18
WSU	Blake Hall	30	1966	1,276,770	73	6,878	17%	212,582	0.27
WSU	Brennan Hall #1	5	1953	3,839,596	78	23,628	12%	445,393	0.22
WSU	Central Energy Plant	48	1973	19,987,856	81	21,475	9%	1,798,907	0.19
WSU	Child Development Center	81	1991	1,115,433	81	9,244	9%	98,158	0.19
WSU	Clinton Hall	46	1970	9,225,244	78	56,770	12%	1,120,867	0.22
WSU	Corbin Education Center	13	1963	4,429,231	74	27,257	16%	693,175	0.26
wsu	Devlin Hall	80	1989	4,212,180	84	25,922	6%	244,306	0.16
WSU	Duerksen Fine Arts Center	15	1956	20,708,049	66	97,494	24%	5,042,410	0.34
wsu	Elliott Hall	60	1994	6,071,622	85	37,365	5%	303,581	0.15
WSU	Engineering Building	16	1953	4,418,785	70	27,193	20%	881,548	0.30
wsu	Fiske Hall	20	1904	1,882,655	62	11,588	28%	529,967	0.38
wsu	Gaddis Physical Plant #A	73	1988	1,218,735	81	15,000	9%	110,296	0.19
WSU	Gaddis Physical Plant #B	74	1988	2,193,723	80	27,000	10%	225,953	0.20
WSU	Gaddis Physical Plant #C	75	1988	414,370	83	5,097	7%	28,177	0.17
WSU	Gaddis Physical Plant #D	76	1988	389,995	83	4,800	7%	26,520	0.17
WSU	Garvey International Center	10	1998	1,508,910	89	9,571	1%	12,071	0.11
WSU	Geology Building	32	1958	6,935,183	82	39,830	8%	586,023	0.18
WSU	Grace Wilkie Hall	23	1953	5,899,838	76	36,306	14%	852,527	0.24
WSU	Greenhouse	45	1968	100,981	87	1,244	3%	3,332	0.13
WSU	Henrion Hall	25	1921	6,286,351	59	38,684	31%	1,961,342	0.41
WSU	Heskett Center	56	1983	28,769,110	83	165,239	7%	1,898,761	0.17
WSU	Heskett Center Storage	82	1991	18,571	90	231	0%	0	0.10
WSU	Housing Maintenance Shop	64	1945	234,461	82	2,880	8%	19,695	0.18
WSU	Hubbard Hall	49	1973	21,066,705	81	121,000	9%	1,980,270	0.19
WSU	Human Resources Center	27	1940	1,071,436	83	6,591	7%	77,143	0.17
WSU	Intensive English Annex	4	1995	295,979	86	1,818	4%	12,579	0.14
WSU	Intensive English Annex Intensive English Language Center	67	1943	1,782,835	82	10,971	8%	136,387	0.18
WSU	Jabara Hall	69	1992	21,979,015	83	135,259	7%	1,582,489	0.17
	Jardine Hall	29	1930	9,444,616	81	58,118	9%	850,015	0.19
WSU	Lindquist Hall	50	1977	13,662,600	81	84,075	9%	1,236,465	0.19
WSU	Marcus Welcome Center	17	2005	6,480,000	95	29,320	0%	0	0.05
WSU		31	1928	22,704,453	84	94,269	6%	1,373,619	0.16
WSU	McKinley Hall	31	1020	22,70-1,-100	0.	0.,200		the state of the s	



INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
WSU	McKnight Art Center	47	1964	12,070,119	80	74,275	10%	1,207,012	0.20
WSU	Media Resources Center	2	1986	4,410,660	84	23,750	6%	249,202	0.16
WSU	Metropolitan Complex	3	1991	12,238,421	83	75,313	7%	887,286	0.17
WSU	Metropolitan Storage Building	14	2000	98,660	95	1,352	0%	0	0.05
WSU	Morrison Hall	33	1938	4,948,064	81	30,452	9%	450,274	0.19
	National Institute for Aviation Res.	68	1989	14,643,391	85	78,848	5%	666,274	0.15
WSU		34	1951	4,907,440	85	30,197	5%	240,465	0.15
WSU	Neff Hall	55	1945	935,524	80	5,754	10%	96,827	0.20
WSU	Police Building	37	1953	1,118,915	82	9,184	8%	90,632	0.18
WSU	Publications/Printing			746,330	75	6,120	15%	108,964	0.25
WSU	Visual Communications	22	1966	20 March #10000000		78,287	11%	1,577,474	0.21
WSU	Wallace Hall	51	1976	14,538,928	79				0.17
WSU	Wiedemann Hall	66	1986	4,276,019	83	14,736	7%	307,873	
WSU	Wilner Auditorium	44	1938	10,009,877	72	44,226	18%	1,766,743	0.28
	Total			364,859,604 #	#	1,993,466		36,074,385	

January 2007 Calculation of Building Renewal Emporia State University

		DIA.		Replacement	Cond.	Gross	To 90%		
11107	D. H.P Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Cost	Value	Sq. Ft.	Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
INST.	Building Name	110.	Tear Duit	0031	Value	04.11.	Odifation	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
ESU	Art Annex A	40	1970	161,337	52	1,984	38%	61,308	0.48
ESU	Art Annex B	41	1972	44,107	63	768	27%	11,799	0.37
ESU	Beach Music Hall	1	1926	9,117,299	86	56,104	4%	405,720	0.14
ESU	Biology Greenhouse	47	2001	105,624	84	1,296	6%	6,390	0.16
ESU	Brighton Lecture Hall	21	1961	2,064,885	77	12,706	13%	260,176	0.23
ESU	Butcher Education Center	19	1960	5,811,625	71	35,765	19%	1,089,680	0.29
ESU	Cremer Hall	20	1964	11,645,303	70	71,664	20%	2,323,238	0.30
ESU	Earl Center	87	1974	3,540,135	75	21,784	15%	520,400	0.25
ESU	Hamilton Quarry Garage		1975	109,200	56	1,456	34%	36,964	0.44
ESU	King Hall	24	1966	9,748,719	71	59,994	19%	1,866,880	0.29
ESU	Maintenance Greenhouse A	42	1996	251,872	70	2,402	20%	50,878	0.30
ESU	Mobile Unit #2	72	1965	69,642	50	1,000	40%	27,857	0.50
ESU	Mobile Unit #4	74	1965	69,642	50	1,000	40%	27,857	0.50
ESU	Mobile Unit #6	76	1965	69,642	53	1,000	37%	25,907	0.47
ESU	Mobile Unit #7	77	1965	69,642	50	1,000	40%	27,857	0.50
ESU	Morse Hall Complex South	5	1961	5,045,760	63	33,389	27%	1,339,649	0.37
ESU	Physical Education	2	1974	22,933,111	58	131,721	32%	7,418,861	0.42
ESU	Plumb Hall	12	1917	18,423,791	79	113,381	11%	2,081,888	0.21
ESU	Police and Safety	46	1957	517,672	69	3,184	21%	110,005	0.31
ESU	Power Plant	11	1920	6,571,141	57	9,362	33%	2,178,333	0.43
ESU	Roosevelt Hall	13	1953	6,570,723	57	40,438	33%	2,161,768	0.43
ESU	Ross Reservation A	50	1963	383,031	56	3,136	34%	131,188	0.44
ESU	Ross Reservation B	51	1977	18,571	44	176	46%	8,543	0.56
ESU	Ross Reservation C	52	1969	55,714	44	864	46%	25,768	0.56
ESU	Ross Reservation D	53	1961	29,018	49	202	41%	11,825	0.51
ESU	Ross Reservation E	54	1969	18,571	48	120	42%	7,753	0.52
ESU	Ross Reservation F	55	1979	24,375	48	304	42%	10,176	0.52
ESU	Ross Reservation G	56	1980	58,035	56	720	34%	19,877	0.44
ESU	Ross Reservation H	57	1999	58,035	66	720	24%	13,928	0.34
ESU	Ross Reservation I	58	2000	58,035	66	720	24%	13,928	0.34
ESU	Ross Reservation J	00	1970	32,000	69	540	21%	6,720	0.31
ESU	Ross Reservation K		1990	12,000	69	360	21%	2,520	0.31
ESU	Ross Reservation L		2000	8,000	76	160	14%	1,116	0.24
ESU	Science Hall	22	1959	32,335,941	72	121,124	18%	5,788,133	0.28
LOU	Coloride Hall		5.767.7						

6	
7	
0	-

INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
ESU ESU ESU ESU ESU ESU ESU	Storage Building A Storage Building B Storage Building C Storage Building D Storage Facility 18th & Merchant Stormont Maintenance Center Visser Hall William Allen White Library	36 37 38 39 85 23 8	1966 1966 1966 1966 1930 1962 1979	198,480 198,480 198,480 198,480 474,200 2,431,667 15,344,454 21,374,291 176,450,726 0	61 61 61 55 66 71 59	3,200 3,200 3,200 3,200 4,742 29,922 94,429 122,768 995,205	29% 29% 29% 35% 24% 19% 31%	58,055 58,055 58,055 58,055 163,836 587,247 2,892,430 6,636,717 38,587,342	0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.45 0.34 0.29 0.41

9-46

January 2007 Calculation of Building Renewal Pittsburg State University

INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
DOLL	A	019	1966	15,495,345	72	89,000	18%	2,827,900	0.28
PSU	Axe Library	050	1950	121,874	60	1,212	30%	36,928	0.40
PSU	Biology Reserve House A			143,927	53	1,690	37%	53,469	0.47
PSU	Biology Reserve Shed B	051	1940 2001	261,705	85	704	6%	14,394	0.16
PSU	Chemical Storage Building	005b		2,046,555	93	17,135	0%	0	0.07
PSU	Family & Consumer Sciences	007	2003	45,990	70	396	20%	9,198	0.30
PSU	FM Transmitter Building	053	1988	76,606	94	1,916	0%	0,100	0.06
PSU	Greenhouse	008	2000		63	57,116	27%	2,491,937	0.37
PSU	Grubbs Hall	014	1967	9,280,957	57	60,248	33%	3,211,285	0.43
PSU	Hartman Hall	006	1927	9,790,505	61	65,200	29%	5,082,510	0.39
PSU	Heckert Wells Hall	005a	1984	17,405,857	91	25,784	0%	0,002,010	0.09
PSU	Horace Mann	013	1922	4,190,127	72	549	18%	8,195	0.28
PSU	HPER Storage Building	021	1955	46,428	67	38,176	23%	1,411,397	0.33
PSU	Hughes Hall	012	1961	6,203,942	81	286,164	9%	4,650,083	0.19
PSU	Kansas Technology Center	033	1980	53,143,810		64,206	23%	2,420,579	0.13
PSU	Kelce Center	011	1950	10,433,532	67		0%	2,420,579	0.05
PSU	Landscape Maintenance Building	027	2000	487,494	95	6,000	40%	2,079,510	0.50
PSU	McCray Hall	010	1929	5,198,775	50	31,996	19%	891,728	0.30
PSU	McPherson Hall	030	1977	4,632,354	71	28,506		AND SHEET THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE	0.29
PSU	Physical Plant	028	1913	7,642,049	56	40,467	34%	2,567,728	0.44
PSU	Physical Plant Storage Bldg.	027c	2005	174,000	95	6,000	0%	0	0.03
PSU	Porter Building	002	1927	6,005,462	47	36,956	43%	2,591,357	
PSU	Russ Hall	001	1908	14,247,593	85	87,678	5%	705,256	0.15
PSU	Shirk Hall	015a	1958	4,577,100	68	28,448	22%	997,808	0.32
PSU	Shirk Hall Annex	015b	1963	3,969,375	59	24,658	31%	1,214,629	0.41
PSU	Weede P.E. Building	031	1969	34,200,026	48	133,933	42%	14,381,111	0.52
PSU	Whitesitt Hall	009	1912	14,642,231	58	90,110	33%	4,758,725	0.43
PSU	Yates Hall	004	1963	6,615,990	63	40,712	28%	1,819,397	0.38
, 55	Total			231,079,607		1,264,960	8	54,225,124	



January 2007 Calculation of Building Renewal Fort Hays State University

INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI
FHSU	Akers Energy Center	224	1968	835,824	73	10,315	17%	143,971	0.27
FHSU	Albertson Hall	104	1928	21,131,801	85	79,092	5%	1,146,400	0.15
	Animal Research House	134	1967	101,125	75	1,248	15%	15,169	0.25
FHSU FHSU	Animal Science Lab	133	1976	631,305	74	7,791	17%	104,165	0.27
FHSU	Beach Hall	142	1984	19.021,179	75	102,182	16%	2,948,283	0.26
FHSU	Beef Cattle Shed	401	1940	630,332	87	7,779	3%	18,595	0.13
FHSU	Butler-Farm Shop	402	1972	372,819	73	4,601	17%	63,006	0.27
FHSU	C.A. Witt Maintenance Building	221	1960	1,433,907	75	17,696	15%	210,784	0.25
FHSU	Calf Sheds	407	1954	81,435	85	1,005	5%	4,031	0.15
FHSU	Cunningham Hall & Gross Coliseum	138	1973	56,232,259	76	322,979	14%	8,097,445	0.24
FHSU	Custer Hall	310	1922	9,419,917	61	57,736	29%	2,774,166	0.39
FHSU	Dairy	406	1954	784,370	69	9,680	21%	166,875	0.31
FHSU	Davis Hall	106	1952	8,832,818	76	47,450	14%	1,196,847	0.24
FHSU	Farm Workers Res & Garage	404	1940	346,042	69	2,290	21%	72,150	0.31
FHSU	Forsyth Library	127	1967	18,353,104	73	105,414	17%	3,142,969	0.27
FHSU	Grounds Bldg. & Greenhouse	220	1960	1,257,910	78	15,524	12%	154,723	0.22
FHSU	Heather Hall	141	1981	859,082	78	4,615	12%	101,372	0.22
FHSU	Hog Farrowing House	410	1980	149,906	82	1,850	8%	11,992	0.18
FHSU	Hog House	405	1938	237,094	82	2,926	8%	17,960	0.18
FHSU	Hog Nursery	411	1954	135,077	82	1,667	8%	11,245	0.18
FHSU	Lambing Barn	403		72,846	81	899	9%	6,247	0.19
FHSU	Livestock Pavilion	412	1980	2,429,766	72	29,986	18%	432,498	0.28
FHSU	Malloy Hall	109	1965	9,283,356	80	56,899	10%	942,261	0.20
FHSU	Martin Allen Hall	108	1905	1,607,077	84	9,850	6%	97,228	0.16
FHSU	McCartney Hall	103	1926	7,233,477	89	44,335	1%	47,018	0.11
FHSU	Motor Pool	222	1960	428,244	76	5,285	14%	61,560	0.24
FHSU	Old Power Plant	223	1932	407,500	53	5,029	37%	151,794	0.47
FHSU	Picken Hall	101	1904	6,561,768	58	40,218	32%	2,130,934	0.42
FHSU	Rarick Hall	140	1981	19,196,980	74	117,661	16%	3,042,721	0.26
FHSU	Repair Shop	409	1980	192,527	72	2,376	18%	34,559	0.28
FHSU	RU Brooks Service Building	115	1968	826,506	76	10,200	14%	115,917	0.24
FHSU	Sheridan Hall	102	1916	30,664,823	76	105,677	14%	4,300,741	0.24
FHSU	South Campus Maintenance Facility	225	2005	241,920	95	1,440	0%	0	0.05
FHSU	Storage Building	420	1999	607,725	88	7,500	2%	12,914	0.12

INST.	Building Name	Bldg. No.	Year Built	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	Gross Sq. Ft.	To 90% Condition	Renewal Costs	FCI	7-
FHSU FHSU	Stroup Hall Tomanek Hall Total	139 143	1981 1995	4,216,578 26,415,285 251,233,684 0	78 84 0	25,844 98,867 1,365,90 6	12% 6%	491,231 1,565,106 33,834,877	0.22 0.16	0

64-6

January 2007 Summary Calculation of Utilities & Infrastructure Renewal Kansas Board of Regents University Campuses

Institution	Replacement Cost	Renewal Costs
The University of Kansas The University of Kansas-Medical Center Kansas State University Wichita State University Emporia State University Pittsburg State University Fort Hays State University	102,211,797 15,445,244 68,721,026 23,522,738 18,107,760 11,659,046 22,943,624	24,197,359 3,883,054 18,388,032 2,445,164 2,563,024 3,431,484 5,810,769
TOTAL	262,611,235	60,718,885



January 2007 Calculation of Utilities & Infrastructure Renewal The University of Kansas

Inst.	Item	Unit	Qty.	Ur	nit Cost	F	Replacement Cost	Cond. Value	To 90% Condition	Re	enewal Costs
									2001720		
KU	Steam & Chilled Water	Lin. Ft.	47,690	\$	131	\$	6,247,390	70	20	\$	1,249,478
KU	Water Mains	Lin. Ft.	75,980	\$	52	\$	3,950,960	70	20	\$	790,192
KU	Gas Mains	Lin. Ft.	7,575	\$	48	\$	363,600	85	5	\$	18,180
KU	Storm Sewer	Lin. Ft.	133,855	\$	50	\$	6,692,750	45	45	\$	3,011,738
KU	Sanitary Sewer	Lin. Ft.	57,570	\$	46	\$	2,648,220	70	20	\$	529,644
KU	Electric Lines	Lin. Ft.	58,500	\$	48	\$	2,808,000	85	5	\$	140,400
KU	Telecommunication Cables	Lin. Ft.	2,103,182	\$	15	\$	31,547,730	70	20	\$	6,309,546
KU	Utility Tunnels	Lin. Ft.	18,500	\$	922	\$	17,057,000	70	20	\$	3,411,400
KU	Streets & Drives	Sq. Yd.	252,790	\$	53	\$	13,397,870	45	45	\$	6,029,042
KU	Sidewalks	Sg. Ft.	1,204,000	\$	4	\$	4,816,000	70	20	\$	963,200
KU	Retaining Walls	Lin. Ft.	10,663	\$	191	\$	2.036,633	70	20	\$	407,327
KU	Exterior Stairs/Ramps	Sq. Ft.	141,216	\$	38	\$	5,366,208	70	20	\$	1,073,242
	950	Ea.	1,908	\$	2,767	\$	5,279,436	85	5	\$	263,972
KU	Exterior Lighting Total	Ľa.	1,900	Ψ	2,101	\$	102,211,797			\$	24,197,359

9-51

January 2007
Calculation of Utilities & Infrastructure Renewal
The University of Kansas Medical Center

						R	eplacement	Cond.	To 90%		
Inst.	Item	Unit	Qty.	Ur	it Cost		Cost	Value	Condition	Rei	newal Costs
KUMC KUMC KUMC	Steam & Chilled Water Water Mains Gas Mains	Lin. Ft. Lin. Ft. Lin. Ft.	29,880 19,915 1,960	\$ \$	131 52 48	\$ \$	3,914,280 1,035,580 94,080	45 70 85	45 20 5	\$ \$ \$	1,761,426 207,116 4,704 116,650
KUMC KUMC KUMC	Storm Sewer Sanitary Sewer Electric Lines	Lin. Ft. Lin. Ft. Lin. Ft.	11,665 13,830 21,000	\$ \$ \$	50 46 48	\$ \$	583,250 636,180 1,008,000	70 70 45	20 20 45	\$ \$	127,236 453,600
KUMC KUMC KUMC	Telecommunication Cables Utility Tunnels Streets & Drives	Lin. Ft. Lin. Ft. Sq. Yd.	100,000 3,100 26,445	\$ \$ \$	15 922 53	\$ \$ \$	1,500,000 2,858,200 1,401,585	85 85 45	5 5 45	\$ \$	75,000 142,910 630,713
KUMC KUMC KUMC	Sidewalks Retaining Walls Exterior Stairs/Ramps	Sq. Ft. Lin. Ft. Sq. Ft.	173,715 3,600 6,250	\$ \$ \$	4 191 38	\$ \$ \$	694,860 687,600 237,500	70 70 70	20 20 20	\$ \$	138,972 137,520 47,500
KUMC	Exterior Lighting Total	Ea.	287	\$	2,767	\$ \$	794,129 15,445,244	85	5	\$ \$	39,706 3,883,054



January 2007
Calculation of Utilities & Infrastructure Renewal
Kansas State University

						Replacement		Cond.	To 90%	D	
Inst.	Item	Unit	Qty.	Ur	it Cost		Cost	Value	Condition	Re	newal Costs
KSU	Steam & Chilled Water	Lin. Ft.	72,336	\$	131	\$	9,476,016	45	45	\$	4,264,207
KSU	Water Mains	Lin. Ft.	79,832	\$	52	\$	4,151,264	45	45	\$	1,868,069
KSU	Gas Mains	Lin. Ft.	56,496	\$	48	\$	2,711,808	45	45	\$	1,220,314
KSU	Storm Sewer	Lin. Ft.	45,792	\$	50	\$	2,289,600	85	5	\$	114,480
KSU	Sanitary Sewer	Lin. Ft.	82,825	\$	46	\$	3,809,950	70	20	\$	761,990
KSU	Electric Lines	Lin. Ft.	103,090	\$	48	\$	4,948,320	45	45	\$	2,226,744
KSU	Telecommunication Cables	Lin. Ft.	533,333	\$	15	\$	7,999,995	70	20	\$	1,599,999
KSU	Utility Tunnels	Lin. Ft.	18,973	\$	922	\$	17,493,106	70	20	\$	3,498,621
KSU	Streets & Drives	Sq. Yd.	154,681	\$	53	\$	8,198,093	70	20	\$	1,639,619
KSU	Sidewalks	Sq. Ft.	976,765	\$	4	\$	3,907,060	70	20	\$	781,412
KSU	Retaining Walls	Lin. Ft.	10,986	\$	191	\$	2,098,326	85	5	\$	104,916
KSU	Exterior Stairs/Ramps	Sq. Ft.	3,480	\$	38	\$	132,240	85	5	\$	6,612
	Exterior Stansartamps Exterior Lighting	Ea.	544	\$	2,767	\$	1,505,248	70	20	\$	301,050
KSU	Total	La.	011	Ψ	2,. 0.	\$	68,721,026			\$	18,388,032

January 2007 Calculation of Utilities & Infrastructure Renewal Wichita State University

						R	eplacement	Cond.	To 90%		
Inst.	Item	Unit	Qty.	Ur	nit Cost		Cost	Value	Condition	Ren	ewal Costs
11151.	Itom										
WSU	Steam & Chilled Water	Lin. Ft.	33,340	\$	131	\$	4,367,540	70	20	\$	873,508
WSU	Water Mains	Lin. Ft.	15,601	\$	52	\$	811,252	85	5	\$	40,563
	Gas Mains	Lin. Ft.	4,675	\$	48	\$	224,400	95	0	\$	
WSU		Lin. Ft.	23,505	\$	50	\$	1,175,250	85	5	\$	58,763
WSU	Storm Sewer	Lin. Ft.	22,410	\$	46	\$	1,030,860	85	5	\$	51,543
WSU	Sanitary Sewer	Lin. Ft.	0	Φ	48	\$	-	85	5	\$	-
WSU	Electric Lines		0.00	φ	15	Φ	3,000,000	85	5	\$	150,000
WSU	Telecommunication Cables	Lin. Ft.	200,000	Φ		ጥ ተ		70	20	\$	833,488
WSU	Utility Tunnels	Lin. Ft.	4,520	\$	922	Ф	4,167,440		5	¢	142,464
WSU	Streets & Drives	Sq. Yd.	53,760	\$	53	\$	2,849,280	85		φ Φ	150,000
WSU	Sidewalks	Sq. Ft.	750,000	\$	4	\$	3,000,000	85	5	Þ	
WSU	Retaining Walls	Lin. Ft.	4,636	\$	191	\$	885,476	85	5	\$	44,274
WSU	Exterior Stairs/Ramps	Sq. Ft.	500	\$	38	\$. 19,000	85	5	\$	950
		Ea.	720	\$	2,767	\$	1,992,240	85	5	\$	99,612
WSU	Exterior Lighting	Lu.	, 20	Ψ.		\$	23,522,738			\$	2,445,164
	Total					*					

9-54

January 2007
Calculation of Utilities & Infrastructure Renewal
Emporia State University

Inst.	ltem	Unit	Qty.	Ur	nit Cost	R	eplacement Cost	Cond. Value	To 90% Condition	Rer	ewal Costs
ESU	Steam & Chilled Water	Lin. Ft.	11,626	\$	131	\$	1,523,006	70	20	\$	304,601
ESU	Water Mains	Lin. Ft.	22,320	\$	52	\$	1,160,640	70	20	\$	232,128
ESU	Gas Mains	Lin. Ft.	4,441	\$	48	\$	213,168	85	5	\$	10,658
ESU	Storm Sewer	Lin. Ft.	21,670	\$	50	\$	1,083,500	70	20	\$	216,700
ESU	Sanitary Sewer	Lin, Ft.	18,340	\$	46	\$	843,640	70	20	\$	168,728
ESU	Electric Lines	Lin. Ft.	25,489	\$	48	\$	1,223,472	85	5	\$	61,174
ESU	Telecommunication Cables	Lin. Ft.	100,000	\$	15	\$	1,500,000	85	5	\$	75,000
ESU	Utility Tunnels	Lin. Ft.	5,344	\$	922	\$	4,927,168	70	20	\$	985,434
ESU	Streets & Drives	Sq. Yd.	43,300	\$	53	\$	2,294,900	85	5	\$	114,745
ESU	Sidewalks	Sq. Ft.	309,000	\$	4	\$	1,236,000	70	20	\$	247,200
ESU	Retaining Walls	Lin. Ft.	1,450	\$	191	\$	276,950	70	20	\$	55,390
ESU	Exterior Stairs/Ramps	Sq. Ft.	850	\$	38	\$	32,300	85	5	\$	1,615
ESU	Exterior Lighting	Ea.	648	\$	2,767	\$	1,793,016	85	5	\$	89,651
200	Total					\$	18,107,760			\$	2,563,024



January 2007 Calculation of Utilities & Infrastructure Renewal Pittsburg State University

Inst.	ltem	Unit	Qty.	Unit Cost		R	eplacement Cost	Cond. Value			Renewal Costs	
PSU	Steam & Chilled Water Water Mains Gas Mains Storm Sewer Sanitary Sewer Electric Lines Telecommunication Cables Utility Tunnels Streets & Drives Sidewalks Retaining Walls	Lin. Ft. Sq. Yd. Sq. Ft. Lin. Ft.	8,445 15,750 5,040 9,225 12,061 4,856 100,000 3,115 37,076 273,038 928	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	131 52 48 50 46 48 15 922 53 4	* * * * * * * * * * *	1,106,295 819,000 241,920 461,250 554,806 233,088 1,500,000 2,872,030 1,965,028 1,092,152 177,248	70 70 70 15 45 70 70 45 70 70 85	20 20 20 75 45 20 20 45 20 20	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	221,259 163,800 48,384 345,938 249,663 46,618 300,000 1,292,414 393,006 218,430 8,862	
PSU PSU	Exterior Stairs/Ramps Exterior Lighting Total	Sq. Ft. Ea.	1,670 207	\$ \$	38 2,767	\$ \$ \$	63,460 572,769 11,659,046	45 70	45 20	\$ \$	28,557 114,554 3,431,484	

January 2007
Calculation of Utilities & Infrastructure Renewal
Fort Hays State Univesity

						Replacement		Cond.	To 90%		
Inst.	Item	Unit	Qty.	Ur	it Cost		Cost	Value	Condition	Rer	newal Costs
FHSU	Steam & Chilled Water	Lin. Ft.	9,520	\$	131	\$	1,247,120	70	20	\$	249,424
FHSU FHSU	Water Mains Gas Mains	Lin. Ft. Lin. Ft.	23,800 15,100	\$ \$	52 48	\$ \$	1,237,600 724,800	45 70	45 20	\$	556,920 144,960
FHSU	Storm Sewer	Lin. Ft.	20,650	\$	50	\$	1,032,500	45	45	\$	464,625
FHSU FHSU	Sanitary Sewer Electric Lines	Lin. Ft. Lin. Ft.	9,900 56,372	\$ \$	46 48	\$ \$	455,400 2,705,856	70 45	20 45	\$ \$	91,080 1,217,635
FHSU	Telecommunication Cables	Lin. Ft.	100,000	\$	15	\$	1,500,000	70	20	\$	300,000
FHSU	Utility Tunnels Streets & Drives	Lin. Ft. Sq. Yd.	6,363 75,450	\$ \$	922 53	\$ \$	5,866,686 3,998,850	70 70	20 20	\$ \$	1,173,337 799,770
FHSU FHSU	Sidewalks	Sq. Ft.	473,363	\$	4	\$	1,893,452	70	20	\$	378,690
FHSU	Retaining Walls	Lin. Ft.	3,790 3,850	\$ \$	191 38	\$ ¢	723,890 146.300	70 85	20 5	\$ \$	144,778 7,315
FHSU FHSU	Exterior Stairs/Ramps Exterior Lighting	Sq. Ft. Ea.	510	φ \$	2,767	\$	1,411,170	70	20	\$	282,234
11100	Total					\$	22,943,624			\$	5,810,769

Hi Nikki. I've attached the list of answers to the Committee's deferred maintenance questions as you requested. Again, I apologize for the delay in getting this to you. It was my understanding that President Robinson would be appearing before the Committee again to address some of these questions so we didn't get started as soon as we should have. As you will notice, there are a few questions that "need additional clarification." We would be more than happy to track down information related to those questions if you could just provide us with some additional direction. Also, I've attached three other documents to this e-mail which are referenced in the questions document.

Nikki, again, please let me know if you need any additional information. We'll be sure to get it to you much quicker next time. Thanks! Hope you survived the long day on the House floor today!

-Kip

Kip Peterson
Director of Government Relations & Communications
Kansas Board of Regents
1000 SW Jackson St., Suite 520
Topeka, KS 66612-1368
785-296-3421 (phone)
785-296-0983 (fax)
www.kansasregents.org

March 15, 2007



Kansas House Republican Caucus

Talking Points on Approved Amendments on House Budget Bill 2542

We considered 39 amendments - adding to the House base budget bill a little more than one million to \$5.9 billion in State General Funds and another \$2.4 million in All Funds.

- Wilk Proviso Decouples the 2008 appropriation for University of Kansas Medical Center from its ongoing negotiations with St. Luke's Hospital.
- Roth Amendment Removed \$2 million in funding from the Secretary of State's budget for the Presidential Preference Primary.
- O'Neal Amendment –Allows the Kansas Commission on Peace Officers to spend money it already has. No new money but this amendment does add the commission's \$715,516 to docket fees.
- Ward Amendment Required KUMC to have Wichita Center for Graduate Medical Education Board review and approve its possible partnership agreement with St. Luke's Hospital.
- Carlin Amendment Added \$50,000 from SGF for Strong Bases Program Security for military lobbying for BRAC.
- Rhoades Amendment Added \$1.2 million from SGF for Newborn Screening.
- Ballard Amendment Added \$2 million from SGF for domestic violence and sexual assault outreach services.
- Ward Amendment Directs Kansas Arts Commission to work with State Architect and school districts to establish art program at statehouse involving high school students. No new money.
- Loganbill Amendment Added \$1.6 million to expand Headstart; funded by tobacco funds.
- Lane Amendment Added 107,000 to add 2 FTE investigative auditors; funded by worker comp fee fund.
- Tafanelli Proviso Directs Director of Budget and Director of Legislative Research to determine whether there is enough funding in the homestead property tax and food tax refund programs. No new money.
- Schwartz Amendment Eliminated \$200,000 from Park 24 operations funds.

