Approved: March 30, 2009

Date

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Sharon Schwartz at 3:35 p.m. on March 19, 2009, in Room 446-N of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Representative Mitch Holmes - Excused Representative Michael Peterson - Excused

Committee staff present:

Ken Wilke, Office of the Revisor of Statutes Martha Dorsey, Kansas Legislative Research Department Jill Shelley, Kansas Legislative Research Department Carol Bertram, Committee Assistant

Conferees appearing before the Committee:

Ron Shaver, Assistant City Attorney, Olathe, Kansas Representative Terrie Huntington

Others attending:

See attached list.

Committee members had been sent copies of the minutes of the March 12, 2009, meeting for their review. Chair Schwartz asked for a motion to approve those minutes. Representative Seiwert moved the minutes of the March 12, 2009, Local Government Committee meeting be approved as written, seconded by Representative Garcia. The motion carried.

Chair Schwartz opened the hearing on <u>SB 257 - Requirements for public improvements by cities outside</u> of city limits.

Ken Wilke, Office of the Revisor of Statutes, explained the Senate amendments to <u>SB 257</u> and noted the bill would provide two additional approval methods, each of which would allow a city to make improvements in unincorporated areas within three miles of their corporate limits. Questions and answers followed.

Chair Schwartz recognized Ron Shaver, Assistant City Attorney, City of Olathe, who appeared before the Committee in support of <u>SB 257</u> (Attachment 1). He stated the changes provided by <u>SB 257</u> would allow cities to deal more effectively with the demands of growth without requiring properties to be annexed by a city, causing unfair tax burdens on its existing residents, or creating additional regulatory complexity. Questions and answers followed.

Chair Schwartz called the Committee's attention to written-only testimony from Jason A. Gage, City Manager, City of Salina, which was submitted in support of <u>SB 257</u> (<u>Attachment 2</u>). She asked the Committee to take a few minutes to review it.

Representative Mah explained a proposed balloon amendment she wanted to make to <u>SB 257 (Attachment 3</u>). She then <u>moved that SB 257 be amended to include the balloon amendment, which added the contents of Substitute for HB 2029 which had been amended by the HCOW last week except that it substitutes "counties with populations over 100,000" for "Johnson, Sedgwick, and Shawnee Counties." Seconded by Representative Goico. The motion carried by voice vote.</u>

Representative Slattery moved that the Committee table SB 257 as amended, seconded by Representative Seiwert. The motion failed.

Representative Goico moved that the Committee recommend SB 257 as amended favorably for passage and have it designated as a substitute bill, seconded by Representative Gordon. The motion carried by voice vote.

Chair Schwartz directed the Committee's attention to <u>HB 2253</u>. She recognized Representative Huntington who stated she has been in contact with the Kansas Bar Association (<u>Attachment 4</u>). The Bar has concerns

CONTINUATION SHEET

Minutes of the House Local Government Committee at 3:35 p.m. on March 19, 2009, in Room 446-N of the Capitol.

with <u>HB 2253</u>. Its members believe the bill would be an appropriate topic for study by the Judicial Council, which can pull together various legal experts to evaluate this legislation and make recommendations to the Legislature. Representative Huntington went on to offer a balloon amendment (<u>Attachment 5</u>) which would address the concerns raised by various homeowners in maintenance-free communities. She went on to explain the effects of the amendments.

Ouestions and answers followed.

Representative Slattery moved that the Committee adopt the balloon amendment recommended by Representative Huntington, seconded by Representative Seiwert. The motion carried unanimously.

Discussion followed.

Representative Mah moved the Committee refer HB 2253 as amended to the Judicial Council for consideration and then report back to the Committee by December 15, 2009, seconded by Representative Slattery. Motion carried unanimously.

Chair Schwartz informed the Committee that since this was the Committee's last meeting of the 2009 session members should take their folders with them.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:25 p.m.

Representative Sharon Schwartz, Chair

HOUSE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE DATE: 3-19-09

NAME	REPRESENTING
Luke Bell	Kausas Assoc. of REALTORS
Ron Shaver	City of Olathe
Jan Mays	h u n
Muelet de Sulles	Cap. Strategles
KENTH PANGBURN	KEARNEY É ASSOCI
7	

Please use black ink



March 19, 2009

The Honorable Sharon Schwartz, Chairperson
The Honorable Mitch Holmes, Vice Chairperson
And Members of the House Local Government Committee
Statehouse, Room 446-N
Topeka, Kansas

Re: SB 257

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The City of Olathe respectfully requests your approval of amendments to K.S.A. 12-693 as provided in SB 257. The bill would make it easier for cities to create improvement districts requested by residents outside of a city while utilizing the special assessment procedure set forth in K.S.A. 12-6a01 et. seq. The tools provided by SB 257 allow cities to respond more effectively to the demands of growth just outside the city limits without forcing properties to be annexed, creating undue tax burdens on the city's current residents, or adding additional regulatory complexity. The bill would allow a city to make certain public improvements within three miles of the city limits upon approval of the board of county commissioners where the property is located, or upon the city's receipt and approval of a petition signed by 100% of the property owners wishing to be served by the public improvements.

Current Law

The authority currently provided pursuant to K.S.A. 12-693 is two-fold. First, the statute allows cities to make public improvements outside of the city limits, but within three miles of the city limits, if those improvements are undertaken in connection with an improvement district. Second, the statute allows cities to include property located outside of the city limits in improvement districts created pursuant to K.S.A. 12-6a01 *et seq.*, and specifically grants cities the authority to levy special assessments against such property.

K.S.A. 12-693 currently allows cities to construct public improvements outside of but within three miles of the city limits only if the city adopts regulations governing the subdivision of land in the unincorporated area that would benefit from the improvements. This requirement is cumbersome, and records in Johnson County indicate that no city has used the current form of K.S.A. 12-693 to obtain subdivision authority outside its city limits.

K.S.A. 12-693 further provides that even if a city has subdivision authority outside its city limits, a city may not create an improvement district or levy special assessments against property outside of the city limits unless the city receives an improvement district petition signed by the owners of more than half of the property in the unincorporated area.

An Example of the Impact of the Current Law on Growth Surrounding Olathe

The City of Olathe wishes to create an improvement district to construct needed sanitary sewer improvements to serve a rapidly growing area including property both in the Olathe city limits and in the unincorporated area of Johnson County. The improvements would be located in the unincorporated area of the County, but within three miles of Olathe's city limits. The project is multijurisdictional in that it would ultimately serve property in the Olathe city limits, the Olathe future growth area, the Gardner future growth area and Johnson County Wastewater's service territory. Olathe has had positive conversations with Johnson County legal and planning staff, Johnson County Wastewater staff, and certain property owners in the unincorporated area of the County regarding this

proposed project. All parties recognize the potential economic benefits to all jurisdictions if these improvements are constructed.

A majority of the property that will be benefited by these improvements is currently in the unincorporated area of Johnson County. Because Olathe does not have subdivision authority within this unincorporated area of Johnson County, Olathe cannot use K.S.A. 12-6a01 *et seq.* to finance the cost of the improvements through special assessments. Absent annexation of such property, Olathe's city at large will be required to pay for that portion of the improvements which benefit properties in the unincorporated area of the County. This would unfairly burden all Olathe taxpayers with repayment obligations rather than placing that responsibility on the property that is benefited but located in the unincorporated area of Johnson County.

Solution Provided by SB 257

SB 257 provides a practical method to expand the ability of cities to construct public improvements in rapidly growing areas near their borders. The first amendment to section (a) of K.S.A. 12-693 would require that the city obtain the county's consent prior to making such improvements. This allows a majority of affected property owners in the unincorporated part of the county to proceed with a benefit district upon approval of their county commissioners. This also allows counties to cooperate in multijurisdictional projects like Olathe's without giving up subdivision authority.

The second amendment to section (a) of K.S.A. 12-693 allows cities to construct public improvements outside of their borders but within three miles thereof if requested by 100% of the property owners to be served by the improvements. This option streamlines the approval process for a unanimous benefit improvement petition since all property owners have consented to construction of the improvements and levy of the special assessments.

SB 257 clarifies in Section (c) that a petition for improvements to boundary line roads that includes property both inside and outside of the city is sufficient if it contains the signatures of at least 50% of the property owners of all of the property described in the petition. This amendment is intended to more equitably distribute costs of boundary line roads where the county has already consented to the creation of such an improvement district (as is currently required by K.S.A. 12-693).

The changes provided by SB 257 would allow cities to deal more effectively with the demands of growth without requiring properties to be annexed by a city, causing unfair tax burdens on its existing residents, or creating additional regulatory complexity. In this economic environment, it makes sense to clarify and enhance the ability of cities to facilitate growth near their borders in the manner provided by SB 257.

I would be happy to assist or answer questions.

Sincerely,

Ron Shaver Assistant City Attorney JITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
Jason A. Gage
City Manager
300 West Ash • P.O. Box 736
Salina, Kansas 67402-0736



TELEPHONE - (785) 309-5700

FAX · (785) 309-5711

TDD - (785) 309-5747

E-MAIL · jason.gage@salina.org

WEBSITE · www.salina-ks.gov

SB 257 Testimony to House Committee on Local Government

By: Jason A. Gage - March 19, 2009

The City of Salina respectfully supports the consideration and resulting passage of SB 257. This bill amends language dealing with special assessments outside the corporate limits of a city, but within three miles. As you are well aware, the use of special assessments in Kansas is a much used and vital tool for economic and residential development. It allows cities to fairly apply cost allocations to infrastructure needs related to existing and future development. The City of Salina finds this tool to be vital to ensuring our response to local community development opportunities. The special assessment process provides for equitable cost allocation of infrastructure for industrial, commercial and residential projects.

As you are well aware, much of a city's development occurs along the fringe area. In response to these development demands, K.S.A. 12-693 intends to address the application of special assessments to this area. We believe the intent of the current law to be solid, but the language somewhat vague with regards to jurisdictional process. The proposed language amendments in SB 257 clarify this issue for all related parties (i.e., municipality, county, developer and property owner). We believe this clarification is needed and adequately addresses the shortcomings of the current law. As a result, we fully support the approval of SB 257.

Thank you for considering SB 257 and providing an opportunity for the City of Salina to submit testimony on its behalf.

Local Government
Date: 3-19-09

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

24 25

29

30

31

34

37

40

41

SENATE BILL No. 257

By Committee on Federal and State Affairs

2-10

AN ACT concerning cities; relating to public improvements outside the city limits; amending K.S.A. 12-693 and repealing the existing section.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 12-693 is hereby amended to read as follows: 12-693. (a) All cities are hereby authorized to make improvements authorized by and in the manner provided for in the general improvement and assessment law as contained in chapter 12, article 6a of Kansas Statutes Annotated, in those unincorporated areas beyond their corporate limits and within three miles thereof. Before any such improvements shall be made; (1) The city shall have adopted, in the manner provided by law, regulations governing the subdivision of land in such unincorporated area; (2) the city shall have obtained the county's consent to making such improvements; or (3) 100% of the property owners located outside the city limits and benefited by such improvements shall have signed a petition requesting that the city make such improvements.

(b) Such improvements may be located in a proposed improvement district which is wholly outside the corporate limits of the city or partially within the city limits. Improvements within such three mile area located in a proposed improvement district which is wholly outside the corporate limits of the city shall be commenced only upon a petition submitted pursuant to K.S.A. 12-6a04, and amendments thereto, signed by both a majority of the owners of record of property and the owners of record of more than one-half of the area liable for special assessment under the proposal. Except as provided in subsection (b) (c), improvements within such three mile area located in a proposed improvement district which is partially within the corporate limits of the city shall be commenced only upon a petition found sufficient by the provisions of K.S.A. 12-6a04, and amendments thereto, except that for the purpose of determining the sufficiency of the signatures to such petitions only, that area which is outside the corporate limits of the city shall be considered to constitute the proposed district. Financing of the improvements, including the levying of special assessments, shall be made in the same manner as if the improvements were made within the corporate limits of the city. In the event the relating to annexation; amending K.S.A. 12-519, 12-520b, 12-521, 12-531, 12-532 and 12-693 and K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 25-432 and repealing the existing sections.

Local Government
Date: 3-/9-09Attachment # 3-/

15

16

17

26

34

36

37

38

improvements authorized hereunder are for water, storm water drain or sanitary sewer systems, the city is hereby authorized to impose upon the property served, user fees which may be based upon the cost of the operation and maintenance of such improvements and also the recovery of an equitable portion of the capital improvement costs of any of such improvements originally charged to or assessed against property within the corporate limits of such city. The user fees herein authorized shall be a lien against the property served and may be collected in the same manner as delinquent real estate taxes.

(b) (c) If the area of a proposed improvement district is located partly within and partly outside the city, and the construction, reconstruction or other improvement to roads or streets which lie upon the corporate boundary limits of the city is proposed, the governing body of the city and the board of county commissioners of the county may enter into agreements whereby the city or county may initiate such improvements by the establishment of an improvement district by the city under the provisions of K.S.A. 12-6a04, and amendments thereto. For the purpose of determining the sufficiency of the signatures to such petition, that area which is both inside and outside the corporate limits of the city shall be considered to constitute the proposed district. Such agreement shall provide for the proportionate share of the total costs of the improvement which shall be paid by the city and by the county and the share to be paid by the levying of special assessments against the benefiting property within the improvement district. If the proposed boundary line road or street improvement involves a road under the jurisdiction of a township, the governing body of the township also may enter into an agreement with the governing body of the city to contribute a share of the cost of the improvement. If the area of a proposed improvement district includes property within an industrial district, established by a charter resolution adopted pursuant to K.S.A. 19-101a, and amendments thereto, which effected changes in the provisions of K.S.A. 19-3801, et seq., and amendments thereto, the board of directors of such industrial district shall have the right to approve or disapprove the agreement prior to the undertaking of any improvement. If the board disapproves the agreement, the industrial district shall not be liable for the cost of any improvement undertaken pursuant to such agreement.

Sec. 2. K.S.A. 12-693 is hereby repealed.

Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

On page 2 after line 38 insert Sections 1 - 8 of Sub. for HB 2029 as amended by HCOW as marked as sections 2 - 9 respectively.

3-2

Session of 2009

11 12

13

17

26

28

29

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

41

Substitute for HOUSE BILL No. 2029

By Committee on Local Government

2-17

AN ACT concerning cities; relating to annexation; amending K.S.A. 12-519, 12-520b, 12-521, 12-531 and 12-532 and K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 25-432 and repealing the existing sections.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 12-520b is hereby amended to read as follows: 12-520b. (a) The governing body of any city proposing to annex land under the provisions of K.S.A. 12-520, and amendments thereto, shall make plans for the extension of services to the area proposed to be annexed and shall, prior to the adoption of the resolution provided for in K.S.A. 12-520a, and amendments thereto, prepare a report setting forth such plans. The report shall include:

- (1) A sketch clearly delineating the land proposed to be annexed and the area of the city adjacent thereto to show the following information:
- (A) The present and proposed boundaries of the city affected by such proposed annexation;
- (B) the present streets, water mains, sewers and other city utility lines, and the proposed extension thereof;
 - (C) the general land use pattern in the areas to be annexed.
- (2) A statement setting forth a plan of sufficient detail to provide a reasonable person with a full and complete understanding of the intentions of the city for extending to the area to be annexed each major municipal service provided to persons and property located within the city and the area proposed to be annexed at the time of annexation and the estimated cost of providing such services. The plan shall state the estimated cost impact of providing such services to the residents of the city and the residents of the area proposed to be annexed. The plan shall state the method by which the city plans to finance the extension of such services to such area. Such plan shall include a timetable of the plans for extending each major municipal service to the area annexed. The plan shall state the means by which the services currently provided by a township or special district in the area to be annexed shall be maintained by the city at a level which is equal to or better than the level of services provided prior to annexation. The plan shall state those services which



 shall be provided immediately upon annexation and those services which may be provided upon petition of the landowners to create a bencht district.

- (b) A copy of the plan for extension of screwes shall be sent be extincted mail not less than 10 days prior to the public hearing as provided in **K** 5 A 12-520a, and amendments thereto, to the board of county commissioners
- $\frac{\text{(b)}(c)}{\text{(c)}}$ The preparation of a plan for the extension of services required by subsection (a) shall not be required for or as a prerequisite to the annexation of land of which all of the owners petition for or consent to such annexation in writing.
- Sec. 1. K.S.A. 12-531 is hereby amended to read as follows: 12-531.

 (a) Five Three years following the annexation of any land pursuant to K.S.A. 12-520 or 12-521, and amendments thereto, or, where there has been litigation relating to the annexation, five three years following the conclusion of such litigation, the board of county commissioners shall call a hearing to consider whether the city has provided the municipal services as provided in the timetable set forth in the plan in accordance with K.S.A. 12-520b or 12-521, and amendments thereto. The board of county commissioners shall schedule the matter for public hearing and shall give notice of the date, hour and place of the hearing to: (1) The city; and (2) any landowner in the area subject to the service extension plan.
 - (b) At the hearing, the board shall hear testimony as to the city's extension of municipal services, or lack thereof, from the city and the landowner. After the hearing, the board shall make a finding as to whether or not the city has provided services in accordance with its service extension plan. If the board finds that the city has not provided services as provided in its service extension plan, the board shall notify the city and the landowner that such property may be deannexed, as provided in K.S.A. 12-532, and amendments thereto, if the services are not provided within $\frac{21}{2}$ years of the date of the board's findings.
 - (c) If the board of county commissioners refuses to hold the hearing as required, any owner of land living in such area annexed, may bring an action under provisions of K.S.A. 60-1201 et seq., and amendments thereto, to compel the board to hold the hearing. The court, upon finding the hearing is required, shall award attorney fees and costs to the landowner.
 - Sec. 1. K.S.A. 12-532 is hereby amended to read as follows: 12-532.

 (a) If, within 2½ years 1½ years following the conclusion of the hearing required by K.S.A. 12-531, and amendments thereto, or, where there has been litigation relating to the hearing, 2½ years 1½ years following the conclusion of such litigation, the city has not provided the municipal services as provided in the timetable set forth in the plan prepared in accordance with K.S.A. 12-520b or 12-521, and amendments thereto, the

owner of such land may petition the board of county commissioners to exclude such land from the boundaries of the city. Within 10 days after receipt of the petition, the board shall schedule the matter for public hearing and shall give notice of the date, hour and place of the hearing to: (1) The owner; (2) the city; (3) the township into which the property, if deannexed, would be placed; and (4) the governing body of any fire district, sewer district, water district or other special district governments which have jurisdiction over territory adjacent to the area sought to be deannexed. The notice shall be sent by certified mail no less than 21 days before the date of the hearing.

- (b) At the hearing, the board shall hear testimony as to the city's extension of municipal services, or lack thereof, from both the owner and representatives of the city. Except as provided by subsection (e), if the board finds after the hearing that the city has failed to provide the municipal services in accordance with the plan and consistent with the timetable therein, the board may enter an order excluding the land from the boundaries of the city. Any such order shall take effect in the same manner as provided in K.S.A. 12-523, and amendments thereto, for the effective date of annexation ordinances. Such land shall not be annexed again for one year three years from the effective date of the order without the written consent of the owner of the land.
- (c) The county clerk shall certify a copy of the order to the register of deeds of the county. The register of deeds shall record the order in the deed records of the county, and, at the expense of the owner city, the register of deeds also shall record the order of exclusion on the margin of the recorded plat of such land, giving reference thereon to the page and book of records where the order is recorded in the register's office.
- (d) Except as provided by this subsection, after the effective date of the order to exclude the land from the city, such land shall not be liable for any general taxes imposed by the city. Such land shall remain liable, however, for any taxes or special assessments levied by the city as are necessary to pay its proportionate share of the interest on and principal of such bonds or other indebtedness incurred by the city for improvements to the land which were approved by the city before the date on which the owner or owners filed a petition for the exclusion of the land from the city.
 - (e) The board shall not order exclusion of any land if:
- (1) The service extension plan conditions the extension of certain improvements or services on the filing of a legally sufficient petition by the owners of the land for the creation of an improvement district and to levy special assessments therein to pay a portion of the costs of such improvements, and a sufficient petition has not been filed;
 - (2) since the annexation, the governing body of the city initiated the

7-1

11

15

19

24

25

26

28

29

31

34 35

36 37

38

41

42

creation of an improvement or benefit district affecting such land to levy special assessments thereon to pay a portion of the costs of certain municipal improvements, and the formation of the district was blocked by the filing of a sufficient protest petition by some or all of the owners of any land in the proposed district;

- (3) the exclusion would result in the land being completely surrounded by other tracts of land located within the city's boundaries; or
- (4) the board finds the exclusion of the land would have an adverse impact on the health, safety and welfare of the residents of the city or such land.
- (f) Any owner or the city aggrieved by the decision of the board may appeal the decision to the district court in the manner provided in K.S.A. 19-223, and amendments thereto. Any city so appealing shall not be required to execute the bond prescribed therein.
- (g) If the board of county commissioners refuses to hold the hearing as required, any owner of land may bring an action under provisions of K.S.A. 60-1201 et seq., and amendments thereto, to compel the board to hold the hearing. The court, upon finding the hearing is required, shall award attorney fees and costs to the landowner.
- Sec. 4. K.S.A. 12-519 is hereby amended to read as follows: 12-519. As used in this act: (a) "Tract" means a single unit of real property under one ownership, outside the corporate limits of a city, which may be platted or unplatted, title to which is publicly or privately held by an owner as defined by subsection (c).
 - (b) "Land" means a part of a tract or one or more tracts.
- (c) "Owner" means the one who has record title to a tract. In the event two or more persons have record title to a tract, "owner" shall be defined as follows:
- (1) If joint tenants, "owner" means a majority of the number of joint tenants; (2) if tenants in common, "owner" means both a majority of the number of tenants in common and the holders of a majority of the undivided interests in the tract; (3) if the tract is held by a life tenant and a remainderman, "owner" means the life tenant; (4) if the tract is held by a tenant under a recorded lease providing for a lease term of 10 years or longer and a remainderman, "owner" means both such tenant and remainderman; (5) if one holds title to the surface and another holds title to the minerals, "owner" means the surface title holder.
- (d) "Adjoins" means to lie upon or touch (1) the city boundary line; or (2) a highway, railway or watercourse which lies upon the city boundary line and separates such city and the land sought to be annexed by only the width of such highway, railway or watercourse.
- (e) "Platted" means a tract or tracts mapped or drawn to scale, showing a division or divisions thereof, which map or drawing is filed in the

3-6

,

ï

i

office of the register of deeds by the owner of such tract.

- (f) "Land devoted to agricultural use" means land which is devoted to the production of plants, animals or horticultural products, including but not limited to: Forages; grains and feed crops; dairy animals and dairy products; poultry and poultry products; beef cattle, sheep, swine and horses; bees and apiary products; trees and forest products; fruits, nuts and berries; vegetables; or nursery, floral, ornamental and greenhouse products. Land devoted to agricultural use shall not include those lands which are used for recreational purposes, suburban residential acreages, rural home sites or farm home sites and yard plots whose primary function is for residential or recreational purposes even though such properties may produce or maintain some of those plants or animals listed in the foregoing definition.
- (g) "Qualified elector" means any person registered to vote who resides within the area proposed to be annexed under the provisions of K.S.A. 12-521, and amendments thereto.
- (h) "Area proposed to be annexed" means the area approved for annexation by the board of county commissioners under provisions of K.S.A. 12-521, and amendments thereto.
- (g) (i) "Watercourse" means a natural or manmade course where water may flow on a regular or intermittent basis; a watercourse shall not include a natural or manmade lake, pond or other impoundment of five or more acres of surface area.
- Sec. 5. K.S.A. 12-521 is hereby amended to read as follows: 12-521. (a) Whenever the governing body of any city deems it advisable to annex land which such city is not permitted to annex under K.S.A. 12-520, and amendments thereto, or if the governing body of any city is permitted to annex land under K.S.A. 12-520, and amendments thereto, but deems it advisable not to annex thereunder, the governing body may annex such land as provided by this section. The governing body, in the name of the city, may present a petition to the board of county commissioners of the county in which the land sought to be annexed is located. The petition shall set forth a legal description of the land sought to be annexed and request a public hearing on the advisability of such annexation. The governing body of such city shall make plans for the extension of services to the tract of land proposed to be annexed and shall file a copy thereof with the board of county commissioners at the time of presentation of the petition. Such report shall include:
- (1) A sketch clearly delineating the land proposed to be annexed and the area of the city adjacent thereto to show the following information:
- (A) The present and proposed boundaries of the city affected by such proposed annexation;
 - (B) the present streets, water mains, sewers and other city utility

7-7

17

18

19 20

21

25

27

28

30

31

32

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

lines, and the proposed extension thereto;

- (C) the general land use pattern in the areas to be annexed
- (2) A statement setting forth a plan of sufficient detail to provide a reasonable person with a full and complete understanding of the intentions of the city for extending to the area to be annexed each major municipal service provided to persons and property located within the city and area proposed to be annexed at the time of annexation and the estimated cost of providing such services. The plan shall state the estimated cost impact of providing such services to the residents of the city and the residents of the area proposed to be annexed. The plan shall state the method by which the city plans to finance the extension of such services to such area. The plan shall include a timetable for the extension of major municipal services to the area proposed to be annexed. The plan shall state the means by which the services currently provided by a township or special district in the area to be annexed shall be maintained by the city at a level which is equal to or better than the level of services provided prior to annexation. The plan shall state those services which shall be provided immediately upon annexation and those services which may be provided upon petition of the landowners to create a benefit district.
- (b) No portion of any unplatted tract of land devoted to agricultural use of 21 acres or more shall be annexed by any city under the authority of this section, and amendments thereto, without the written consent of the owner thereof.
- (b) (c) The date fixed for the public hearing shall be not less than 60 nor more than 70 days following the date of the presentation of the petition requesting such hearing. Notice of the time and place of the hearing, together with a legal description of the land sought to be annexed and the names of the owners thereof, shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the city not less than one week and not more than two weeks preceding the date fixed for such hearing.

A copy of the notice providing for the public hearing shall be mailed by certified mail to each owner of the land proposed to be annexed not more than 10 days following the date of the presentation of the petition requesting such hearing.

A sketch clearly delineating the area in such detail as may be necessary to advise the reader of the particular land proposed to be annexed shall be published with such notice and a copy thereof mailed to the owner of the property with such notice.

The board for good cause shown may continue the hearing beyond the time specified in the notice without further publication.

(e) (d) On the day set for hearing, the board of county commissioners shall hear testimony as to the advisability of such annexation, and a rep-

6

resentative of the city shall present the city's proposal for annexation, including the plan of the city for the extension of services to the area proposed to be annexed.

The action of the board of county commissioners shall be quasi-judicial in nature. The board of county commissioners shall consider the impact of approving or disapproving the annexation on the entire community involved, including the city and the land proposed to be annexed, in order to insure the orderly growth and development of the community. The board shall make specific written findings of fact and conclusions determining whether such annexation or the annexation of a lesser amount of 10 such area causes manifest injury to the owners of any land proposed to 11 12 be annexed, or to the owners of land in areas near or adjacent to the land 13 proposed to be annexed or to the city if the annexation is disapproved. 14 The findings and conclusions shall be based upon the preponderance of evidence presented to the board. In determining whether manifest injury would result from the annexation, the board's considerations shall include, but not be limited to, the extent to which the following criteria may affect 17 the city, the area to be annexed, the residents of the city and the area to be annexed, other governmental units providing services to the area to be annexed, the utilities providing services to the area to be annexed, and 21 any other public or private person, firm or corporation which may be affected thereby: 23

- (1) Extent to which any of the area is land devoted to agricultural use;
 - (2) area of platted land relative to unplatted land;

24

25

26

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

41

42 43

- (3) topography, natural boundaries, storm and sanitary sewers, drainage basins, transportation links or any other physical characteristics which may be an indication of the existence or absence of common interest of the city and the area proposed to be annexed;
- (4) extent and age of residential development in the area to be annexed and adjacent land within the city's boundaries;
- (5) present population in the area to be annexed and the projected population growth during the next five years in the area proposed to be annexed:
- (6) the extent of business, commercial and industrial development in the area;
- (7) the present cost, methods and adequacy of governmental services and regulatory controls in the area;
- (8) the proposed cost, extent and the necessity of governmental services to be provided by the city proposing annexation and the plan and schedule to extend such services;
 - (9) tax impact upon property in the city and the area;
 - (10) extent to which the residents of the area are directly or indirectly



dependent upon the city for governmental services and for social, economic, employment, cultural and recreational opportunities and resources;

- (11) effect of the proposed annexation on the city and other adjacent areas, including, but not limited to, other cities, sewer and water districts, improvement districts, townships or industrial districts and, subject to the provisions of K.S.A. 12-521a, fire districts;
- (12) existing petitions for incorporation of the area as a new city or for the creation of a special district;
- (13) likelihood of significant growth in the area and in adjacent areas during the next five years; and
- (14) effect of annexation upon the utilities providing services to the area and the ability of those utilities to provide those services shown in the detailed plan.
- (d) (e) The board of county commissioners shall render a judgment within seven days after the hearing has been adjourned sine die. If a majority of the board of county commissioners concludes that the annexation or any part thereof should be allowed, the board shall so find and grant the annexation by order; and thereupon the city may annex the land by ordinance. Orders of the board of county commissioners denying the petition or a part thereof for annexation shall require a majority vote of the members of the board. When an order denying a petition or part thereof is issued, it shall be by resolution, which shall be sent by certified mail to the city proposing the annexation. All orders of the board of county commissioners granting or denying petitions for annexation shall be spread at length upon the journal of proceedings of the board. The failure of such board to spread an order granting annexation upon the journal shall not invalidate such order.
- (f) [(1)] Within 10 days following the rendering of the judgment of the board of county commissioners as provided in subsection (e), the city clerk shall certify to the county election officer a legal description and a map of the area outside the corporate limits of the city proposed to be annexed and the street addresses of all real estate located therein. If there are qualified voters residing in the area proposed to be annexed, then the county election officer shall conduct a mail ballot election under the provisions of K.S.A. 25-431, et seq., and amendments thereto, in the area proposed to be annexed within 60 days of such certification. If a majority of the qualified electors residing in the area proposed to be annexed and voting thereon approve the annexation, the city may annex the land by passage of an ordinance. If a majority of the qualified electors residing in the area proposed to be annexed and voting thereon reject the annexation, the lands shall not be annexed and the city may not propose the annexation of any such lands in the proposed area for at least four years from the

date of the election.

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

21

22

25

27

28

30

31

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

[(2) This provision shall apply to annexations in Johnson Sedgwick and Shawnee counties in Kansas.]

(e) (g) Any owner of land annexed pursuant to this section or the city aggrieved by the decision of the board of county commissioners may appeal the decision of the board to the district court of the same county in the manner and method set forth in K.S.A. 19-223, and amendments thereto. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed as granting the owner of land in areas near or adjacent to land annexed pursuant to this section the right to appeal the decision of the board of county commissioners. Any city so appealing shall not be required to execute the bond prescribed therein.

Sec. 13. K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 25-432 is hereby amended to read as follows: 25-432. An election shall not be conducted under this act unless:

- (a) Conducted on a date, mutually agreed upon by the governing body of the political or taxing subdivision and the county election officer, not later than 120 days following the date the request is submitted by the political or taxing subdivision; and
- (b) the secretary of state approves a written plan for conduct of the election, which shall include a written timetable for the conduct of the election, submitted by the county election officer; and
 - (c) the election is nonpartisan; and
- (d) the election is not one at which any candidate is elected, retained or recalled; and
- (e) the election is not held on the same date as another election in which the qualified electors of that subdivision of government are eligible to cast ballots; and
- (f) the election is a question submitted election at which all of the qualified electors of one of the following subdivisions of government are the only electors eligible to vote:
 - (1) Counties;
- 32 (2) cities; 33 (3) school
 - (3) school districts, except in an election held pursuant to K.S.A. 72-7302 et seq., and amendments thereto;
 - (4) townships;
 - (5) benefit districts organized under K.S.A. 31-301, and amendments thereto;
 - (6) cemetery districts organized under K.S.A. 15-1013 or 17-1330, and amendments thereto:
 - (7) combined sewer districts organized under K.S.A. 19-27,169, and amendments thereto;
 - (8) community college districts organized under K.S.A. 71-1101 et seq., and amendments thereto;

counties having a population of at least 100,000

2-12

- 1 (9) fire districts organized under K.S.A. 19-3601 or 80-1512, and 2 amendments thereto;
- 3 (10) hospital districts;
- (11) improvement districts organized under K.S.A. 19-2753, and amendments thereto;
- 6 (12) Johnson county park and recreation district organized under 7 K.S.A. 19-2859, and amendments thereto;
- 8 (13) sewage disposal districts organized under K.S.A. 19-27,140, and 9 amendments thereto;
- 10 (14) water districts organized under K.S.A. 19-3501 et seq., and 11 amendments thereto; or
- 12 (15) transportation development districts created pursuant to K.S.A.
- 13 2008 Supp. 12-17,140 et seq., and amendments thereto-; or
- 14 (16) any tract of land annexed pursuant to section 5, and amendments 15 thereto.
- \$\frac{16}{17}\$ Sec. 7. K.S.A. 12-519, 12-520b, 12-521, 12-531 and 12-532 and 17 K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 25-432 are hereby repealed.
 - 18 Sec. **18** This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its
 - Sec. 3. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

, 12-532 and 12-693



KANSAS BAR ASSOCIATION

1200 S.W. Harrison St.
P.O. Box 1037
Topeka, Kansas 66601-1037
Phone: (785) 234-5696
Fax: (785) 234-3813
E-mail: info@ksbar.org
Website: www.ksbar.org

March 19, 2009

The Honorable Sharon Schwartz Chair, House Local Government Committee Statehouse, 161-W 300 SW 10th Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612

Re: HB 2253 - Enacting the Homeowner's Association Act

Dear Madam Chair Schwartz:

During the past two legislative sessions, we have had the opportunity to work with Representative Terrie Huntington in regard to several homeowner association bills that she has introduced for consideration by the Kansas Legislature. As you are aware through hearings held recently in your committee, the homeowner association bill presents complex issues that are not easily remedied in the legislative proposals that have come forth to date.

While the Kansas Bar Association does not take issue with most of the debate between the homeowner associations and the association members, we have expressed concerns with provisions that would require for the reimbursement of attorney fees for a successful plaintiff (i.e., the homeowner), but not allow for recovery of attorney fees for a successful defendant (i.e., the homeowner's association).

The Kansas Bar Association has a longstanding position against "loser pay" provisions, as we believe the potential impact of having to pay for attorney fees by a losing party discourages citizens accessing the court system for redress of their complaints.

We have suggested allowing the court discretion to award attorney fees would be a reasonable accommodation of this matter, but we also believe it should be a remedy available for both prevailing plaintiffs and defendants, not just plaintiffs. Otherwise, we would respectfully suggest the references to attorney fees be removed from the bill altogether.

The issue of attorney fees is but a small part of the Homeowner's Association Act and previous legislative proposals in this area. From our observation of the hearing process, we know the issue to be complex with no easy answers. Past attempts at resolving the differences between the parties have involved committee hearings, subcommittees and interim study with no agreed-upon solution produced or forthcoming. Accordingly, we believe the subject and specifically HB 2253 would be an appropriate topic for study by the Judicial Council, who can pull together various legal experts to evaluate this legislation and make recommendations to the Legislature.

On behalf of the Kansas Bar Association, I thank you for your consideration of our thoughts on this legislation and the Homeowner's Association Act.

Sincerely,

Joseph N. Molina

Director, Government and Legal Affairs

CC:

The Honorable Terrie Huntington Mr. Randy M. Hearrell, Judicial Council Whitney Damron, KBA Contract Lobbyist

> Date: 3-/9-09Attachment # 4

30

33

34

35

HOUSE BILL No. 2253

By Committee on Local Government

2-4

AN ACT concerning homeowners' associations and associations of apartment owners; relating to certain duties, required procedures, attorney 11 fees, dispute resolution and duties of the attorney general. 12 of the paradise of heavile a fixed at the fit of the

13 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

14 Section 1. (a) For the purposes of this act:

- (1) "Act" means the homeowners' association act.
- (2) "Dispute" means a disagreement regarding the rights or obligations of the homeowners' association or the home owners, apartment owners or residents.
- (3) "Homeowners' association" means a for-profit homeowners' association, a non-profit homeowners' association as defined in K.S.A. 60-3611, and amendments thereto, and an association of apartment owners as defined in K.S.A. 58-3102, and amendments thereto.
- (4) "Mediation" shall have the meaning ascribed to it in K.S.A. 5-502(f), and amendments thereto.
- 25 (5) "Resident" means a real property owner or lessee whose property 26 is subject to the jurisdiction of a non-profit homeowners' association as 27 defined in K.S.A. 60-3611, and amendments thereto. The term shall not include persons renting or leasing a home, apartment or condominium unit subject to the authority of a for-profit homeowners' association or an association of apartment owners.
- 31 (b) Sections 1 through 6, and amendments thereto, shall be known and may be cited as the homeowners' association act.
 - Sec. 2. The governing board of a homeowners' association, hereinafter referred to as the board of directors, is subject to the following:
 - (a) The board of directors may amend the by-laws of the association only upon approval of a majority of homeowners, apartment owners or residents voting in person, by proxy or by absentee ballot at a duly-noticed and duly-constituted homeowners, apartment owners or residents meeting.
- 40 ... (b) All elections for the membership on the board of directors shall be by secret ballot and conducted in a manner to assure the integrity of the election process.
- 43 (c) All meetings of the board of directors shall be open to all hom-

17

19

21

22

23

26

28

30

31

33

34

35

36

37

43

5.2

eowners, apartment owners or residents of a homeowners' association. The board of directors shall not meet in closed executive session unless it is in consultation with its attorneys about matters properly a part of the attorney-client relationship or if it involves personnel matters or personal matters between the board of directors and the homeowner, apartment owner or resident of a confidential nature.

- (d) The board of directors, at least 30 days before adopting any proposed assessments, special charges or fees of general application, shall give in writing to the homeowners, apartment owners or residents, full disclosure concerning any proposed assessments, special charges or fees of general application. All homeowners, apartment owners or residents shall be given the opportunity to comment on such proposals. Assessments, charges and fees shall be equitable and proportionate to the respective interests of the homeowners, apartment owners or residents.
- (e) The board of directors, during reasonable business hours, shall provide a homeowner, apartment owner or resident access, at no cost, to the homeowner's association records, including, but not limited to, minutes of meetings, budget and financial records, all bills from utility companies, suppliers, contractors, bill payments, tax filings, audits, reimbursements to board members and homeowners, apartment owners or residents, attorney bills and any other statements where checks are being disbursed for payment.
- (f) The board of directors shall provide a homeowner, apartment owner or resident with copies of association records, including minutes of meetings, budget and financial records no later than 10 business days following the receipt of a written request by a homeowner, apartment owner or resident of the homeowner's association. The cost to the homeowner, apartment owner or resident requesting such copies should not exceed the reasonable and prevailing commercial duplication costs for copying.
- (g) The board of directors shall provide a prospective homeowner, apartment owner or resident, at no cost, a copy of the homeowners' association by-laws.
- Sec. 3. Within 60 days of the effective date of this act, a homeowners' association shall adopt procedures to implement the following:
- (a) The selection of one board member and two nonboard members who are homeowners, apartment owners or residents of the homeowners' association to receive and tally the ballots cast for the election of members of the board of directors, to verify the number of votes received against the number of persons voting and proxies voted and to report the results to the board of directors and for publication of the results to the homeowners, apartment owners or residents of such homeowners' association;
 - (b) provide homeowners, apartment owners or residents information

(h) The board of directors shall cause an annual audit of the homeowners' association's receipts and expenditures to be made by a certified public accountant. Such audit shall be based the expenditures and receipts occurring during a calendar year or the homeowners' association tax year if different from a calendar year and shall be made at the expense of the homeowners' association. A copy of such audit shall be made available to any member of the homeowners' association upon receipt of a written request from such member. The homeowners' association shall also file a copy of such audit with the attorney general within 30 days after receipt thereof.

Upon written request, the

22

23

25

27

30

33

34

36

38

40

43

concerning their rights under this act; and

(c) provide a homeowner, apartment owner or resident with a list of all the homeowners, apartment owners or residents in the association along with their current mailing addresses, no later than 10 business days following the receipt of a written request by a homeowner, apartment owner or resident.

Sec. 4. In a civil action by a homeowner, apartment owner or resident against a homeowners' association, should the plaintiff homeowner, apartment owner or resident substantially prevail or the homeowners' association be found to be substantially unjust in its actions, the court shall award such homeowner, apartment owner or resident actual costs

and expenses, including reasonable attorney fees.

Sec. 3. (a) Upon the written request of any homeowner, apartment owner or resident, a homeowners' association shall participate in mediation of a dispute. A homeowners' association shall make a written request for mediation with a homeowner, apartment owner or resident when a dispute arises. The homeowner's, apartment owner's or resident's participation in mediation shall be optional.

(b) If the parties agree to mediation, a mediator shall be appointed by mutual agreement of the homeowners' association and the homeowner, apartment owner or resident within 60 days of the written request.

(c) Prospective mediators shall be required to disclose to the parties the mediator's education, training, relevant experience and professional and community affiliations, the names of any participants in mediation conducted by the mediator who are willing to act as references and any possible conflicts of interest.

(d) If the parties cannot agree upon the selection of a mediator, a mediator shall be designated by the attorney general.

- (e) Mediation shall not exceed two hours in duration unless the parties agree to a longer period. Costs of the mediation shall be paid 3/3 by the homeowners' association and 1/3 by the homeowner, apartment owner or resident.
- (f) Parties at their own expense may be assisted by legal counsel at the mediation.
- (g) The terms of any settlement agreement shall be open to disclosure to any homeowner, apartment owner or resident.
- (h) The attorney general shall maintain a list of qualified mediators for purposes of this act.
- (i) The provisions of this section shall not apply to any homeowners' association with an annual budget less than \$100,000 unless the homeowners' association opts in to the provisions of this section.

Sec. [6]. (a) The attorney general shall develop written educational

Sec. 4. Each resident shall have the right to attend any regular or special meeting of the board of directors of the homeowners' association or any regular of special meeting of the homeowners' association. At any such meeting, the resident shall be entitled to speak on any issue discussed at such meeting regardless of whether or not such resident's dues or assessments are delinquent at the time of such meeting.

may

materials and a website with an interactive question-and-answer feature 21 for the purpose of providing guidance to homeowners' associations and their homeowners, apartment owners or residents regarding best practices of corporate governance including the following:

- (1). Election procedures including secret ballots, absentee ballots, proxies and election monitoring procedures; Alberta F. L. Vice. A.
- 7 ... (2) appropriateness of executive sessions during board meetings;
- 8 (3) necessity for providing advance notice to homeowners, apartment 9 owners or residents prior to board consideration of certain matters;
- (4) prompt disclosure of board minutes to homeowners, apartment of the land owners or residents; and the same personal and the same for the same fo
- (5) necessity for providing access to homeowners, apartment owners 13 or residents to association records and appropriate copying costs;
- 14 (6) appropriate procedures for the approval of amendments to bylaws; I was to have a set on the all the Paras as
- 16 (7) conflict of interest rules covering directors, officers, employees and committee members in connection with homeowners' association business and homeowner's, apartment owner's or resident's concerns;
- 19 appropriate rules regarding the possible shifting of legal costs to 20 and among homeowners, apartment owners or residents, directors personally and homeowners' associations;
 - (9) appropriate utilization of mediation procedures; and
- (10) other matters deemed to be important in the overall governance 24 and operation of a homeowners' association.
- 25. (b): Homeowners' associations shall notify their homeowners, apart-26 ment owners or residents of the availability of this information and the website no later than the next annual meeting following the effective date 128 of this act.
- Sec. 11 This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its 30 publication in the Kansas register.

rine lag (1885), at one or as for his blance, mandered as a section or followed by the property of the following of the property o

the stage of the solid common assumable solid

and what we that he are direct in the star star off.

The section is a distribution of the section of more than the factor of a desired a first a second of the

e at Mathematic Annier eine finde der Carlo anderen German der Germannen der ع و و و کرد در این به این این این در ۱۳۵۶ در این در این در ۱۳۵۶ در این در این در ۱۳۵۶ در این در ۱۳۸۶ در این در

reason Market and analysis of the following

Larra wallong Admir na Leigh Labe Coverige a construction