MINUTES

STATE EMPLOYEE PAY PLAN OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

January 13, 2010 Room 159-S—Statehouse

Members Present

Representative Pat George, Chairperson
Senator Vicki Schmidt, Vice-chairperson
Senator Laura Kelly
Representative Charles Roth
Carol Foreman, Deputy Secretary, Department of Administration
Jane Carter, Kansas Organization of State Employees
Jim Garner, Secretary, Department of Labor

Member Absent

Representative Tom Hawk

Staff Present

Alan Conroy, Kansas Legislative Research Department J. G. Scott, Kansas Legislative Research Department Cody Gorges, Kansas Legislative Research Department Jim Wilson, Office of the Revisor of Statutes Shirley Jepson, Committee Assistant

Conferees

Ken Otte, Division of Personnel Services, Department of Administration Kraig Knowlton, Division of Personnel Services, Department of Administration

Other Attendees

See attached list.

The meeting was called to order at 3:05 p.m. by Chairperson Pat George, who explained that the Committee was established in legislation to oversee the five-year implementation of the new State Employee Pay Plan and provide a yearly report to the Legislature.

Report on the Survey of Group Two Employees

Ken Otte, Division of Personnel Services, Department of Administration, presented a report on the Survey of Group 2 Employers (<u>Attachment 1</u>). Mr. Otte explained that the survey was sent to approximately 800 city and county organizations in the eight surrounding states, including Nebraska, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Colorado, and Wyoming. They realized an approximate return rate of 20 percent on the survey. If fewer than four responses were received for a specific classification, the survey was sent to additional employers. In incidents where there were questions concerning the comparison of the level of work or type of work performed, phone calls were made to verify that similar job duties were being compared. Some classifications require certain certification; however, the survey did not take educational requirements into consideration. Mr. Otte explained that in some cases, they were unable to find any matches for a job classification; however, will continue to strive to obtain comparable data. In those cases where no outside data was available, state data will be used. The goal of the survey is to establish a market rate for each classification.

Jane Carter moved to accept the recommendations as presented in the survey. The motion was seconded by Senator Schmidt. <u>Motion carried on a voice vote</u>.

Update on Status of Implementation of New State Employee Pay Plan

Kraig Knowlton, Division of Personnel Services, Department of Administration, presented an update on the status of implementation efforts for Groups 1 and 2 of the new State Employee Pay Plan as well as the new Performance Management Process (PMP) for the state (Attachment 2). Mr. Knowlton stated that the three-year program is progressing on schedule at this time. The focus of efforts during FY 2010 has been on Group 2 with a comprehensive review of every position allocated to a classification assigned to Group 2. The other major effort was the required annual salary survey for one-third of the state's workforce. Agencies were informed of the recommendations of the Classification Review Teams for the 8,650 positions that were reviewed within the nearly 150 classifications assigned to Group 1 in June 2009. The agencies were provided an opportunity to appeal any of the recommended allocations that were proposed as well as make comments and suggestions with respect to the proposed new job classifications. Staff from the Division of Personnel Services (DPS) are currently in the process of reviewing the responses from agencies with resolution of all issues planned no later than March 2010.

The new Performance Management Process (PMP) was implemented in October 2009. Staff has conducted several train-the-trainer sessions for agency trainers and human resource staffs. All classified employees were to have had their performance planning meetings under the new process sometime between October 1 and December 31, 2009. It is anticipated that by January 2011, the entire workforce will have had a full year's experience with the new PMP and all classified employees will have had a performance evaluation.

The Committee expressed concern of whether the evaluation program is being implemented as planned by the legislation. The Committee noted that it is important to have data that shows that the evaluation process is working; all trainers are providing the appropriate training; and a program

is built into the system to provide oversight of supervisors. The Committee expressed concern that the evaluation form is too long, and whether it could be consolidated. Mr. Knowlton noted that these concerns will be reviewed

Budget Concerns

Mr. Knowlton stated that the pay plan calls for the market adjustment for state employees in Group 2 as well as moving a large number of state employees to the new pay plan in FY 2011. This move would present a substantial impact to the state budget because of the large number of employees. It is anticipated that funding the move to the new pay plan would require approximately \$5-\$6 million of which approximately \$3 million is from the State General Fund (SGF). This would be in addition to the \$8.5 million needed to bring Group 2 to market pay.

The Governor's FY 2011 budget advocates funding the market adjustment for Group 2 in the amount of \$8.5 million. The Governor's FY 2011 budget does not provide funding for the pay adjustment for Group 1.

The Committee discussed the possibility of recommending the funding of bringing Group 2 to market pay and delay the implementation of the pay plan until the state is in a better financial position to fund the pay plan adjustment. Some members of the Committee had concerns that delaying the implementation of the pay plan would result in a negative to state employees. The Committee noted it is important to maintain the integrity of the program, and yet address the reality of the current state finances.

Mr. Knowlton stated it is important to continue to move state employees' salaries to market; however, indicated that a delay or pause in implementing the pay increase because of the state's financial condition would not be detrimental to the program. Mr. Knowlton noted that the market adjustments are the real key to moving the program forward.

Committee Action

Senator Kelly moved to adopt the recommendation of the appropriation of \$8.5 million to implement market adjustments for Group 2 of the state employees and delay moving employees in Group 1 to the steps in the new pay plan for one year. The motion was seconded by Representative Roth. Motion carried on a voice vote with one "no vote."

Jane Carter stated that she could not support the motion because it appeared the action did not support the integrity of the program and was unfair to the employees of the State of Kansas.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m. The next meeting of the Committee will be "on call of the Chair."

Prepared by Shirley Jepson Edited by Cody Gorges

Approved by Committee on:

January 29, 2010
(Date)

50704~(6/1/10{1:30PM})

Employers Surveyed in Group II

A & E Analytical Lab Inc. A Needle Pulling Thread

Affinis Corp. AG & ECO

AMAI

Animal Care Center of Topeka Anita C. Murray-Clary DDS Arrowhead Contracting Inc.

BC Engineers

Beautiful Beginnings Boutique LLC

Below Ground Surface Inc. Blue Valley School District

Brack & Assoc. Consulting Engrs

Bruce McMillan Architects Bucher, Willis, & Ratliff Corp.

CAG Cimarron Dairy Capitol Federal

Cargill Inc.

Cassidy Orthodontics Central Kansas Foundation **CEO Structural Engineers** Cereal Food Processors

Cessna

City of Atchison City of Colby City of Dodge City City of Goodland City of Junction City

City of Larned City of Lawrence City of Leavenworth City of Leawood City of Lenexa City of Olathe

City of Overland Park

City of Salina City of Topeka City of Wichita Cloud County Community College Coffeyville Regional Medical Center

Comcare of Sedgwick County Companion Animal Clinic Comprehensive Counseling

Continental Analytical Services (Lab) Cook, Flatt, & Strobel Engineers

Copy Center of Topeka County of Cowley County of Douglas County of Ellis County of Geary County of Labette County of Miami County of Reno County of Riley

County of Sedgwick

County of Shawnee

County of Wyandotte, Unified Government

Cumbernauld Village Dalrymple Consulting Inc.

Delich, Roth, & Goodwillie, PA Engineers

Dettmer & Associates **DGM** Consultants Discount Repair

Edwards County Hospital

Evan's, Bicely, Hutchinson, & Assoc. PA

Family Life Center Farm Way Coop

Farmer's Coop Association Four County Mental Health

Frito Lay

Geary Community Hospital

Geo Certified LLC George Brandt Inc. George Butler Assoc Inc. Geotechnical Services Inc. Girard Medical Center

Golden Living Center GPW & Associates Hallmark Cards

Havs Vacuum Center

High Plains Mental Health Center

HMN Architects

Horst Terrill, & Karst Architects

Hutchinson Midwest Sewing & Vacuur

ISI Environmental Services

Johnson County Mental Health Center

Kansas Farm Bureau Kansas Masonic Home Kanza Mental Health Kaw Valley Engineering

Keystone Lab Inc. Koch Industries

KPTS

KSAS TV Fox KS

KSNW TV

K-State Printing Services Labette Community College Lawrence Memorial Hospital Level-4 Engineering LLC

Lewis Auto Repair

Manhattan Advertising Agency

Marillac

McCall Pattern Co. McClung Brothers Melis Motor Co. Mercy Hospital Michael Weber DDS Midwest Engineering Monsanto Corn Research

Montgomery Communications Inc. Mt. Carmel Regional Med Center Nevius, Serig, Palmer Architecture

New Chance Inc. **NSPJ** Architects

State Employee Pay Plan Oversight Committee

Employers Surveyed in Group II

Wichita Area Tech College
Wichita State University
Wilson & Co Inc, Engineers
Winfield Rest Haven
Wyandotte Cntr. for Comm. Behavioral Hlth.

Pawnee Mental Health Services

Peterson Lab Services

Potwin Pet Clinic

Prairie View

Pratt County Community College

Pratt Vet Hospital

Promise Regional - Hutchinson

Quick Print

Ranger Feeders LLC

Ransom Memorial Hospital

Regis Hair

Riverview Manor Inc.

Robert Exon DDS

Rural Telephone

Russ Niver DDS

Salina Journal

Schwab-Eaton

SES Inc.

Shari's Styling Salon

Sloan Meier Hancock Engineer Services

Star Printing Inc.

Sumner Regional Medical Center

Supreme Cattle Feeders LLC

Tank Management Services

Terracon

TFI Family Services

Trego County Lemke Memorial Hospital

USD 203 Piper

USD 233 Olathe

USD 250 Pittsburgh Community Schools

USD 353 Wellington

USD 465 Winfield

Varney & Associates

Wamego City Hospital

Washburn University

Western Plains Medical Complex

Group II Market Survey Status: January 2010

						In-Sta	ite Surve	y Results	State Go	State Government Results		
Current Class title	No Emp	ļ	Avg Rate	Compa- ratio	Market Rate	No Emp	No Org	Avg	No Emp	No Org	Avg	
Accountant II	105	\$	40,681	89%	\$45,546	115	44	\$46,699	734	8	\$42,088	
Accountant IV	49	\$	54,237	92%	\$58,925	99	40	\$61,702	347	6 .	\$50,592	
Accounting Specialist	147	\$	30,852	90%	\$34,179	177	50	\$36,007	506	7	\$28,695	
Activity Therapist I	38	\$	37,658	141%	\$26,694	. 5	4	\$22,605	93	6	\$38,961	
Activity Therapy Technician	9	\$	26,051	100%	\$26,155	11	8	\$25,298	178	6	\$28,726	
Advertising Coordinator	2	\$	42,444	99%	\$42,798	7	3	\$39,775	62	4	\$51,866	
Agricultural Inspector II	58	\$	36,677	88%	\$41,447	NM	NM	NM	381	6	\$41,447	
Agricultural Techician (Proposed class)	86	\$	27,012	75%	\$35,871	. 33	4	\$35,871	Basic Vo	cational f	ay Plan	
Applications Developer II	105	\$	50,098	90%	\$55,603	107	12	\$57,162 ·	337	8	\$50,92 6	
Applications Developer Supervisor	33	\$	65,436	90%	\$72,649	38	9	\$70,547	525	8	\$78,954	
Architect II	2	. \$	45,604	71%	\$63,900	18	5	\$65,827	38	7	\$58,120	
Architect III	5	\$	63,727	84%	\$75 <i>,</i> 678	43	9	\$79,496	32	6	\$64,222	
Architectural Project Designer	3	\$	44,658	91%	\$48,813	20	5	\$47,551	· 16	4	\$52,599	
Assistive Technology Specialist	3	\$	29,363	97%	\$30,127	NM	NM	NM	7	2	\$30,127	
Barber Shop Inspector	1	\$	32,760	91%	\$36,184	NM	NM	NM	50	3	\$36,184	
eauty Shop Inspector	4	\$	30,217	86%	\$35,073	NM	NM	NM	56	4	\$35,073	

nadcast Engineer	5	\$ 54,251	125%	\$43,282	12	5	\$42,153	21	3	\$46,668
Building Systems Engineer II	1	\$ 60,382	79%	\$76,553	33	9	\$80,693	303	5	\$64,133
Building Systems Engineer III	6	\$ 72,526	95%	\$76,436	NM	NM	NM	341	6	\$76,439
Chemist I	5	\$ 40,107	95%	\$42,234	21	2	\$41,103	102	6	\$45,626
Chemist III	8	\$ 47,887	97%	\$49,455	3	3	\$48,838	55	6	\$51,306
Chief of Engineering/Dir. Water Resources	1	\$ 91,333	98%	\$93,303	NM	NM	NM	11	5	\$93,303
Client Training Supervisor	83	\$ 35,125	92%	\$38,354	2	2	\$35,442	1 61	7	\$47,090
Clothing Specialist	2	\$ 24,180	141%	\$17,195	9	2	\$17,195	Basic Vo	cational	l Pay Plan
Collector	3	\$ 32,663	103%	\$31,606	36	9	\$30,636	504	4	\$34,515
Collector Senior	4	\$ 32,214	95%	\$33,907	NM	NM	NM	229	4	\$33,907
Compliance Officer	1	\$ 29,016	67%	\$43,275	20	5	\$44,174	109	3	\$40,577
Computer Operations Manager	5	\$ 53,111	80%	\$66,246	16	11	\$66,931	143	8	\$64,191
Computer Operations Supervisor	16	\$ 45,062	89%	\$50,464	9	5	\$50,950	24	5	\$49,004
Computer Operator II	45	\$ 36,911	98%	\$37,552	26	10	\$37,117	1.45	8	\$38,855
Corrections Industry Manager	18	\$ 37,018	93%	\$39,949	NM	NM	NM	113	7	\$39,949
Corrections Industry Manager Senior	11	\$ 42,770	79%	\$54,472	NM	NM	NM	321	5	\$54 <i>,</i> 472
Cosmetologist	1	\$ 26,988	95%	\$28,430	1	1	\$20,800	19	5	\$28,430
Data Management Technician (proposed class)	9	\$ 41,940	90%	\$46,537	25	8	\$44,416	31	3	\$52,899
Database Administrator Supervisor	3	\$ 73,708	99%	\$74,119	6	6	\$76,693	94	6	\$66,395
Database Administrator II	6	\$ 53,681	89%	\$60,238	23	· 14	\$61,623	567	6	\$56,083
Patabase Administrator III	15	\$ 63,888	89%	\$ 71, 565	6	6	\$72,700	915	8	\$68,160

•											_1"
Dental Assistant	1	\$	26,998	82%	\$33,087	: 19	6	\$34,190	41	6	\$29,776
Dietitian I	1	\$	41,870	87%	\$48,273	. 22	8	\$48,436	86	8	\$47,784
Dietitian III	3	\$	55,023	109%	\$50,276	13	10	\$50,426	53	6	\$49,825
Disease Intervention Specialist	5	\$	39,470	93%	\$42,458	NM	NM	NM	50	5	\$42,458
Driver License Examiner Senior	64	\$	30,575	93%	\$32,731	NM	NM	NM	622	8	\$33,295
Driver License Examiner Coordinator	6	\$	39,003	88%	\$44,424	NM	NM	NM	315	5	\$44,424
Economic Development Representative I	2	\$	37,534	80%	\$46,756	2	1	\$33,280	71	5	\$46,756
Economic Development Representative III	24	\$	51,961	94%	\$54,990	NM	MM	NM	761	4	\$54,990
Education Program Consultant	41	\$	51,078	77%	\$66,179	15	4	\$69,168	202	4	\$57,210
Educational/Informational Representative II	11	\$	34,282	82%	\$41,724	. 13	5	\$41,800	69	5	\$41,495
Engineering Associate I	12	\$	41,702	90%	\$46,226	NM	NM	NM	216	7.	\$46,226
Engineering Associate II	27	\$	49,136	89%	\$55,465	76	22	\$56,234	91	4	\$53,158
Engineering Project Designer	5	\$	41,912	74%	\$56,389	21	9	\$58,800	2	2	\$49,154
Engineering Technician	280	\$	30,602	73%	\$42,076	109	29	\$45,278	329	6	\$32,468
Engineering Technician Senior	156	\$	37,759	77%	\$48,723	60	15	\$49,476	711	7	\$46,464
Environmental Scientist II	135	\$	48,391	95%	\$51,031	23	7	\$53,410	397	5	\$43,893
Environmental Scientist IV	42	\$	57,858	88%	\$65,947	12	7	\$66,880	148	5	\$63,148
Environmental Technician II	4	\$	33,842	88%	\$38,444	21	11	\$39,314	241	5	\$35,832
Environmental Technician IV	16	\$	43,555	94%	\$46,218	5	3	\$45,366	35	3	\$48,775
Equipment Planning Technician II	25	\$	49,502	104%	\$47,444	NM.	NM	NM .	340	8	\$47,444
Extention Nutrition Assistant I	17	\$	20,788	64%	\$32,313	NM	NM	NM	28	1	\$32,313
	Dietitian II Dietitian III Disease Intervention Specialist Driver License Examiner Senior Driver License Examiner Coordinator Economic Development Representative I Economic Development Representative III Education Program Consultant Educational/Informational Representative II Engineering Associate I Engineering Associate II Engineering Project Designer Engineering Technician Engineering Technician Senior Environmental Scientist II Environmental Scientist IV Environmental Technician II Environmental Technician IV Equipment Planning Technician II	Dietitian III 1 Dietitian IIII 3 Disease Intervention Specialist 5 Driver License Examiner Senior 64 Driver License Examiner Coordinator 6 Economic Development Representative I 2 Economic Development Representative III 24 Education Program Consultant 41 Educational/Informational Representative II 11 Engineering Associate I 12 Engineering Associate II 27 Engineering Project Designer 5 Engineering Technician 280 Engineering Technician Senior 156 Environmental Scientist II 135 Environmental Scientist IV 42 Environmental Technician II 4 Environmental Technician IV 16 Equipment Planning Technician II 25	Dietitian I 1 \$ Dietitian III 3 \$ Disease Intervention Specialist 5 \$ Driver License Examiner Senior 64 \$ Driver License Examiner Coordinator 6 \$ Economic Development Representative I 2 \$ Economic Development Representative III 24 \$ Education Program Consultant 41 \$ Educational/Informational Representative II 11 \$ Engineering Associate I 12 \$ Engineering Associate II 27 \$ Engineering Project Designer 5 \$ Engineering Technician 280 \$ Engineering Technician 156 \$ Environmental Scientist IV 42 \$ Environmental Scientist IV 42 \$ Environmental Technician II 4 \$ Environmental Technician IV 16 \$	Dietitian I 1 \$ 41,870 Dietitian III 3 \$ 55,023 Disease Intervention Specialist 5 \$ 39,470 Driver License Examiner Senior 64 \$ 30,575 Driver License Examiner Coordinator 6 \$ 39,003 Economic Development Representative I 2 \$ 37,534 Economic Development Representative III 24 \$ 51,961 Education Program Consultant 41 \$ 51,078 Educational/Informational Representative II 11 \$ 34,282 Engineering Associate I 12 \$ 41,702 Engineering Associate II 27 \$ 49,136 Engineering Project Designer 5 \$ 41,912 Engineering Technician 280 \$ 30,602 Engineering Technician 280 \$ 30,602 Engineering Technician 156 \$ 37,759 Environmental Scientist II 135 \$ 48,391 Environmental Scientist IV 42 \$ 57,858 Environmental Technician II 4 \$ 33,842 Environmental Technician II 4 \$ 33,842 Environmental Technician II 4 \$ 33,855 Equipment Planning Technician II 25 \$ 49,502	Dietitian I 1 \$ 41,870 87% Dietitian III 3 \$ 55,023 109% Disease Intervention Specialist 5 \$ 39,470 93% Driver License Examiner Senior 64 \$ 30,575 93% Driver License Examiner Coordinator 6 \$ 39,003 88% Economic Development Representative I 2 \$ 37,534 80% Economic Development Representative III 24 \$ 51,961 94% Education Program Consultant 41 \$ 51,078 77% Educational/Informational Representative II 11 \$ 34,282 82% Engineering Associate I 12 \$ 41,702 90% Engineering Associate II 27 \$ 49,136 89% Engineering Project Designer 5 \$ 41,912 74% Engineering Technician 280 \$ 30,602 73% Engineering Technician Senior 156 \$ 37,759 77% Environmental Scientist IV 42 \$ 57,858 88% Environmental Technician II 4 \$ 33,842 88% Equipment Planning Technician II	Dietitian I	Dietitian I Dietitian III Dietitian III Disease Intervention Specialist Disease Intervention S	Dietitian I	Dietitian I	Dietitian I	Dietitian

										~
Financial Economist	4	\$ 70,044	110%	\$63,724	NM	NM	NM	816	7	\$63,724
ancial Examiner Administrator	2	\$ 66,716	82%	\$81,103	NM	NM	NM	11	3	\$81,103
Financial Examiner Senior	12	\$ 46,772	79%	\$58,895	NM	NM	NM	115	6	\$58,895
Food, Drug and Lodging Surveyor I	24	\$ 35,874	85%	\$42,138	8	1	\$44,129	57	3	\$36,163
Food, Drug and Lodging Surveyor III	3	\$ 42,494	101%	\$42,193	NM	NM	NM	14	2	\$42,193
Forensic Scientist II	9	\$ 46,488	80%	\$58,312	13	3	\$58,679	202	4	\$57,209
Forensic Scientist IV	1	\$ 57,429	81%	\$70,871	8	3	\$72,550	19	7	\$65,834
Funeral Home Inspector	1	\$ 39,853	103%	\$38,819	NM	NM	NM	48	2	\$38,819
Geology Associate	9	\$ 42,434	102%	\$41,516	4	3	\$41,893	31	4	\$40,386
Health Facility Surveyor I	71	\$ 46,068	88%	\$52,092	NM	NM	· NM	166	4	\$52,092
Health Facility Surveyor III	9	\$ 54,059	88%	\$61,249	MM	NM	NM	706	6	\$61,249
Health or Environment Program Analyst	19	\$ 48,314	98%	\$49,310	17	3	\$49,521	103	5	\$48,676
Human Service Specialist	866	\$ 35,194	103%	\$34,313	NM	NM	NM	5339	7	\$34,313
Human Service Consultant	117	\$ 39,211	95%	\$41,442	NM	NM	NM	577	7	\$41,442
Human Service Supervisor	111	\$ 47,498	105%	\$45,204	NM	NM	NM	1056	7	\$45,204
Industrial Hygienist	1	\$ 40,872	84%	\$48,907	NM	NM	NM	123	8	\$48,907
Industrial Safety Coordinator	1	\$ 46,093	86%	\$53,797	NM	NM	NM	39	3	\$53,797
Information Systems Manager I	9	\$ 55,714	71%	\$78,840	59	37	\$83,127	805	5	\$65,980
IT Architecture Analyst I	1	\$ 56,118	94%	\$59,993	9	4	\$59,067	461	4	\$62,771

60,423

57,041

18

8

IT Project Manager

ecurity Analyst II

80%

92%

\$75,328

\$61,958

7

9

6

\$74,475

\$56,542

8

5

\$75,612

\$63,763

·141

640

	Laboratory Education Technician	8	\$	33,717	116%	\$29,046			£20.000			1
		Ü	Ψ	33,717	110/0	323,U 4 0	2	1	\$28,990	23	1	\$29,213
	Laboratory Improvement Specialist	6	\$	49,126	NA	NA	· NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	Laboratory Technician II	19	\$	31,811	8,8%	\$36,332	158	31	\$37,847	79	7	\$31,786
	Laborer Conciliator II	1	\$	43,950	94%	\$46,593	NM	NM	NM	1147	6	\$46,593
	Laborer Supervisor	6	\$	24,606	61%	\$40,575	55	10	\$40,575	Basic Vo	ocationa	l Pay Plan
	Land Surveyor I	13	\$	52,752	95%	\$55,512	38	13	\$55,182	56	8	\$56,502
	Landscape Architect I	0		NA	NA	\$57,161	6	4	\$59,929	144	2	ć 40.057
	Landscape Architect II	. 2	\$	53,518	83%	\$64,366	NM	NM	ب وعورودد NM	144 7	3 4	\$48,857
				•		,,			14141	,	4	\$64,366
	Landscape Technician	3	\$	36,310	88%	\$41,446	10	7	\$35,988	7	4	\$57,820
	Licensed Pharmacy Inspector	. 2	\$	69,784	164%	\$42,500	NM	NM	NM	1	1	\$42,500
	Long-Term Care Ombudsman	9	\$	38,200	83%	\$46,108	NM	NM	NM .	83	5	\$46,108
	Management Systems Analyst I	72	\$	43,230	82%	\$52,531	11	3	\$53,431	246	5	\$49,829
	Manufacturing Manager	2	\$	56,056	61%	\$92,061	NM	NM	NM	1	1	\$92,061
	Marketing Manager	1	\$	49,650	92%	\$53,800	5	5	\$55,846	3	3	\$47,660
	Mechanic Helper	2	\$	23,826	NA	NA	NM	NM	NM	Basic Voc	cational	Pay Plan
	Microbiologist I	4	\$	42,442	94%	\$45,326	NIR A	N19.4	N1A 4		_	.
	Microbiologist III	10	\$	50,966	95%	\$53,380	NM NM	NM NM	NM NM	85 31	6	\$45,326
			•	00,000	2270	, 100,000 ·	INIVI	IVIVI	IVIVI	21	5	\$53,380
	Network Control Supervisor	2	\$	54,683	85%	\$63,331	11	9	\$66,404	93	5	\$54,110
	Network Control Technician II	16	\$	47,037	92%	\$51,045	24	14	\$52,663	178	6	\$46,190
11	Network Service Supervisor	3	\$	53,87 9	86%	\$62,727	9	7	\$63,493	110	6	\$60,429
4.	etwork Service Technician II	25	\$	41,868	96%	\$43,788	49	16	\$42,685	108	5	\$47,096

-

tritionist	5 2	\$ \$	50,203 55,401	109% 106%	\$46,038 \$52,286	22 NM	7 NM	\$46,946 NM	68 168	5 5	\$43,314 \$52,286
ritionist Senior	2	Ą	33,401						D : 1/-		Dour Dlan
Office Aide (proposed class)	103	\$	22,309	81%	\$27,440	829	56	\$27,440	Basic Vo	cational	Pay Plan
Petroleum Industry Regulatory Technician I	0		NA	NA	\$37,350	NM	NM	NM	30	3	\$37,350
Petroleum Industry Regulatory Technician II	26	\$	42,557	103%	\$41,160	NM	NM	NM	51	. 5	\$41,160
Petroleum Industry Regulatory Technician III	8	\$	52,827	130%	\$40,620	NM	NM	NM	20	1	\$40,620
Pharmacy Compliance Inspector	2	\$	37,981	81%	\$46,750	NM	NM	NM	1	1	\$46,750
Policy & Program Analyst	8	\$	51,951	99%	\$52,577	NM	NM	NM	149	5	\$52,577
Planner II	2	\$	42,806	76%	\$56,010	29	15	\$59,694	1344	7	\$44,958
Print Shop Manager	2	\$	42,442	76%	\$55,856	3	3	\$58,477	8	5	\$47,994
Print Shop Supervisor	8	\$	41,504	104%	\$39,784	6	3	\$40,347	18	5	\$38,094
Printer Senior	5	\$	34,057	106%	\$31,979	12	5	\$33,006	32	3	\$28,899
Printing Service Coordinator	6	\$	32,729	101%	\$32,556	7	3	\$31,780	16	3	\$34,883
Procurement Officer II	18	\$	42,961	98%	\$44,060	68	26	\$44,892	150	6	\$41,563
Procurement Officer IV	10	\$	61,662	100%	\$61,652	61	17	\$63,260	92	6	\$56,829
Professional Civil Engineer I	66	\$	62,555	94%	\$66,865	64	21	\$69,061	536	8	\$60,277
Professional Civil Engineer IV	0	•	NA	NA	\$91,123	73	23	\$89,879	35	3	\$94,854
Professionial Environmental Engineer I	15	\$	60,084	84%	\$71,233	8	6	\$71,734	157	5	\$69,731
Professionial Environmental Engineer III	7	\$	80,508	114%	\$70,902	1	1	\$70,678	331	8	\$71,574
Professional Geologist II	10	\$	49,600	96%	\$51,435	. 8	4	\$50,630	166	6 .	\$53,850
Professional Geologist IV	12	;	57,859	84%	\$68,740	. 5	4	\$69,622	110	5	\$66,094
Program Specialist I	115	\$	31,678	84%	\$37,680	NM	NM	NM	820	8	\$36,055
ogram Specialist II	44	\$	35,200	89%	\$39,715	NM	NM	NM	658	8	\$39,715
gram Specialist III	2	\$	38,917	87%	\$44,708	NM	MM	NM .	169	6	\$44,708

								_		9
Program Consultant I Program Consultant II	203 205	•	98% 105%	\$39,983 \$43,140	NM NM	NM NM	NM NM	129 1432	4 8	\$39,983 \$43,140
Property Appraiser I Property Appraiser III	19	\$ •	113%	\$34,201	51	12	\$33,272	25	3	\$36,986
	8	\$ 57,377	146%	\$39,338	29	8	\$35,671	17	3	\$50,339
Public Health Educator	1	\$ 56,118	124%	\$45,081	26	5	\$45,483	217	7	\$43,876
Public Information Officer II	2	\$ 47,871	79%	\$60,533	16	14	\$63,904	1152	8	\$50,418
Publications Editor	4	\$ 45,692	89%	\$51,442	1	1	\$41,600	61	4	\$51,442
Publications Writer I	4	\$ 34,492	91%	\$37,975	NM	NM	NM	37	5	\$37,975
Qualified Developmental Disabilities Professional	22	\$ 43,388	104%	\$41,701	1	1	\$35,360	21	2	- \$60,725
Radiation Control Inspector	0	NA	NA	\$52,224	NM	NM	МИ	113	2	\$52,224
Rehabilitation Instructor	4	\$ 35,745	91%	\$39,249	1	1	\$35,560	178	5	\$39,249
Real Estate Specialist	2	\$ 34,424	98%	\$34,973	NM	NM	NM .	2	1	\$34,973
Rehabilitation Support Worker II	3	\$ 29,529	114%	\$25,910	120	1	\$23,920	1529	3	\$31,878
Research Analyst III	15	\$ 46,609	104%	\$44,919	NM	NM	NM	111	8	\$44,919
Research Technologist	16	\$ 41,167	93%	\$44,381	NM	NM	NM	1	1	\$44,381
Revenue Customer Service Representative SR & Spec	119	\$ 32,107	103%	\$31,316	334	9	\$28,861	368	8	\$38,680
Right of Way Property Appraiser I	11	\$ 41,422	92%	\$44,830	4	1	\$29,504	192	6	\$44,830
Right of Way Property Appraiser Supervisor	3	\$ 50,530	96%	\$52,741	3	2	\$51,210	40	5	\$57,333
afety & Health Technician	1	\$ 45,032	105%	\$42,729	8	4	\$41,797	1083	6	\$45,525

ety & Health Specialist	10	\$ 42,118	108%	\$38,834	104	6	\$36,726	62	5	\$45,
ales Representative	3	\$ 34,202	95%	\$35,851	2	2	\$36,187	13	3	\$34,
chool Food Service Consultant	12	\$ 46,237	118%	\$39,112	731	55	\$33,201	148	3	\$56,
enior Administrative Assistant	. 951	\$ 29,826	90%	\$33,159	731	54	\$33,159	Basic Vo	cational	
enior Administrative Specialist	109	\$ 33,390	86%	\$38,716	201	42	\$39,038	1894	6	\$37
enior Laboratory Scientist	3	\$ 59,453	90%	66029	NM	NM	NM	34	5	\$66
pecial Investigator II	62	\$ 44,458	92%	\$48,159	NM	NM	NM	164	4	\$48
itate Auditor I	11	\$ 39,323	80%	\$49,071	19	5	\$52,594	376	6	\$38
tate Auditor III	34	\$ 54,417	82%	\$66,706	3	2	\$71,154	316	5	\$53
urplus Property Agent	4	\$ 34,018	70%	\$48,878	59	19	\$54,711	78	4	\$31
ystems Software Analyst II	26	\$ 51,284	90%	\$56,869	59	19	\$54,711	1022	8	\$63
ystems Software Supervisor	6	\$ 65,600	98%	\$66,743	21	6	\$66,389	219	6	\$67
ax Examiner	0	NA	NA	\$30,854	NM	NM	NM	383	5	\$30
ax Examiner Senior	4	\$ 29,934	87%	\$34,421	NM	NM	NM	837	8	\$34
ax Manager	1	\$ 37,003	88%	\$42,222	NM	NM	NM	137	5	\$42
echnical Support Consultant II	79	\$ 43,550	86%	\$50,621	20	10	\$52,463	137	4	\$45
echnical Suport Supervisor	. 21	\$ 52,172	92%	\$56,946	20	10	\$57,222	107	6	\$5€
echnological Support Technician II	31	\$ 35,523	88%	\$40,214	74	22	\$39,729	132	4	\$41
/eterinarian	4	\$ 76,622	95%	\$80,868	1	1	\$80,000	4	1	\$83
olunteer Services Coordinator	5	\$ 37,569	93%	\$40,486	19	17	\$37,741	26	5	\$48

Update on Implementation Activities

Before the State Employee Pay Plan Oversight Committee January 13, 2010

By Kraig Knowlton, Department of Administration Division of Personnel Services

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today about the progress to date with respect to the implementation efforts for Groups 1 and 2 as well as the new Performance Management Process (PMP) for the State.

Group 2 Efforts

Given the phased implementation schedule, the focus of our efforts so far during FY2010 have been on Group 2. As the first year in the three-year implementation for Group 2, the first of those efforts was a comprehensive review of every position allocated to a classification assigned to Group 2.

Once again, this review was conducted by Classification Review Teams composed of Human Resource (HR) personnel from several agencies and staff from the Division of Personnel Services (DPS), with input from subject matter experts whenever is necessary. As we have discussed before, this is a laborious process which not only involves the review of all positions in Group 2, but also a review of the almost 250 job classifications assigned to Group 2 themselves. These teams have completed the review of 8,295 positions in Group 2 and are currently in the process of drafting their proposals and recommendations.

The other major effort undertaken with respect to Group 2 was the required annual salary survey for one-third of the State's workforce. As Ken discussed earlier, this survey was prepared and conducted last summer for benchmarks in Group 2.

Group 1 Efforts

While the bulk of the work was focused on Group 2, FY2010 is the second year of the three-year implementation period for Group 1 so there was also work that continued to be done for those job classifications as well. Agencies were informed of the recommendations of the Classification Review Teams for the 8,650 positions that were reviewed in the nearly 150 classifications that were assigned to Group 1 in June 2009. The agencies were also provided links to the 51 proposed new classifications that were developed by the Classification Review Teams during the review process at that time as well. This was all followed up by a presentation to the State Human Resource Community at the State HR Conference last fall.

After receiving all of this information, agencies were provided an opportunity to appeal any of the recommended allocations that were proposed as well as to make comments and suggestions with respect to the proposed new job classifications. Staff from DPS are currently in the process of reviewing the responses from agencies and we hope to have all issues resolved no later than March of this year.

Department of Administration Division of Personnel Services January 13, 2010 State Employee Pay Plan
Oversight Committee
Date ____/- /3- 2010
Attachment ____2

New Performance Management Process

The new PMP was implemented on October 1, 2009. Earlier in the year, staff had conducted several train-the-trainer sessions for agency trainers and HR staff. After those sessions, the agencies that had the staff and capabilities to do so then presented the training to managers and supervisors in that agency, and staff from DPS and several members of the State's HR Community provided the training to the managers and supervisors in agencies that were not able to present the training themselves. As a result, by the time the new PMP took effect, we believe that every manager and supervisor throughout the State workforce had an opportunity to attend training on the new process.

Based on the focal point requirements of the new PMP, all classified employees were to have had their performance planning meetings under the new process sometime between October 1st and December 31, 2009. By this time next year, in accordance with the regulations, the entire workforce will have had a full year's experience with the new PMP and all classified employees will have had a performance evaluation under the new process.

We continue to seek feedback and input on the new PMP and are looking for ways to improve and refine the new process, based on the experience gained from actually working with it. We hope to be able to make any adjustments or changes to the new PMP based on this feedback sometime during calendar year 2010 so that we can finalize the process in time for the next focal point in October and will inform the Committee of any changes that are made as a result of this process.