

SESSION OF 2010

**SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON  
SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 2413**

As Recommended by House Committee on  
Corrections and Juvenile Justice

**Brief\***

Sub. for HB 2413 would increase the fine assessed on traffic infractions that are on the uniform fine schedule by \$10. The revenue created by the increased fine would be directed to the State Treasury for distribution as follows:

- 11.27 percent to the Crime Victims Compensation Fund;
- 2.30 percent to the Crime Victims Assistance Fund;
- 2.83 percent to the Community Alcoholism and Intoxication Programs Fund;
- 7.89 percent to the Department of Corrections Alcohol and Drug Abuse Treatment Fund;
- 0.16 percent to the Boating Fee Fund;
- 0.11 percent to the Children's Advocacy Center Fund;
- 2.35 percent to the EMS Revolving Fund;
- 2.35 percent to the Trauma Fund;
- 2.35 percent to the Traffic Records Enhancement Fund; and
- The remainder to State General Fund.

**Background**

The Joint Committee on Corrections and Juvenile Justice Oversight (JCCJJO) studied the issue of changing the district court

---

\*Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at <http://www.kslegislature.org>

fine allocation to fund the alcohol and drug treatment programs in prisons. JCCJJO endorsed the idea of increasing the percentage credited to the Kansas Department of Correction's (KDOC) Alcohol and Drug Abuse Treatment Fund and recommended HB 2413, as it was introduced.

The bill, as introduced, would have increased the percentage of court fines, penalties, and forfeitures that are credited to the KDOC's Alcohol and Drug Abuse Treatment Fund. The increase would have been taken from the money credited to the State General Fund. The proponents of this version of the bill who testified at the House Committee were representatives of the Kansas Association of Addiction Professionals and the Kansas Department of Health and Environment. There were no opponents of this version of the bill.

A substitute bill was provided to the Committee members when the bill, as introduced, was worked for final action in the House Committee. There was no testimony on the substitute version of the bill.

The fiscal note on the bill, as introduced, is not applicable since the substitute bill is different.