SB 26 Opponent Testimony - Written Only
Senate Committee on Assessment and Taxation
Sales Tax Exemptions for Bowling Centers and Various Nonprofit
Organizations
Tanner Tempel -Deputy State Director, Americans for Prosperity Kansas
January 30, 2025



Chair Tyson and Members of the Committee,

We appreciate this opportunity to submit **written opponent testimony for SB 26**, which provides sales tax exemptions for bowling centers and various nonprofit organizations. We hold the following position on SB 26:

- 1. SB 26 promotes the wasteful tendency of the government to pick winners and losers.
- 2. SB 26 diverts state tax revenue that could have been used to lower tax rates for all & erodes the tax base.

SB 26 promotes the wasteful tendency of the government to pick winners and losers.

If lawmakers pass a law, it should apply fairly to everyone unless there is a compelling reason for exceptions. SB 26 fails that test. It gives special tax breaks to a narrow group of Kansas taxpayers, such as bowling centers and various public and nonprofit organizations—leaving everyone else to foot the bill.

This hyper-targeted deduction is a bad tax policy. Tax breaks should be broad-based, benefiting the broader economy, not handpicked industries. SB 26 prioritizes a small, specific sector at the expense of fairness and fiscal responsibility. SB 26 is not a good tax policy.

SB 26 diverts state tax revenue that could have been used to lower tax rates for all & erodes the tax base.

The sales tax base represents all transactions subject to taxation. SB 26 shrinks the tax base by exempting expenses from nonprofit organizations and bowling centers. This creates a ripple effect: tax rates may need to rise for everyone else to maintain the same revenue.

If the goal is to reduce state tax revenue, there is a more thoughtful approach. Lowering the overall sales tax rate by the same fiscal impact as SB 26 would avoid narrowing the tax base, prevent government favoritism, and deliver tax relief to all Kansans—not just a select few.

For these reasons, we urge the committee to reject SB 26 in its current form.