

03/17/2025

HB2052

Opponent

Nick Reinecker

Senate Federal and State Affairs

Chair: Sen. Mike Thompson



Chairman Thompson and Members of the Committee

I am in opposition to this bill concerning firearms, relating to the possession thereof, including cross-references, because according to the Constitution, the language in Section 1(a)2 does not support historic tradition of federal firearm regulation that does not appear to exist prior to 1934. I do, however, support the necessity for peace officers to be armed without infringement, scrutiny or data collection and active identification, as well.

There is no need for strict scrutiny if we utilize the plain, historic, and traditional textual language of the Constitution, so that we could defend ourselves, apart from infringement and it is the Sheriff, not the State, that is responsible for keeping the peace in regulatory needs and enforcement as the duly elected official closest to the people from whom consent was given.

Enumerated powers of the federal government (which was created by the states) are limited and expressed. Any law not in pursuance of the Constitution (whether made by an administrative state or Congress) is null and void. The states are not bound to comply with such “laws” as these “laws” do not fall under the authority of the United States according to the Constitution. This includes any international treaties that are not made in the pursuance of the Supreme Law of the Land, which is the Constitution, including Article VI clause 2. Legislatures are not bound, judges are to reject, and executives are not to enforce any such “laws”, but on the contrary, should faithfully execute their duty to defend this clause in all matters in pursuit of liberty according to the Constitution of the United States. This is important because if laws made by Congress are superior to the Constitution, when not in pursuance to the Constitution, then we are no longer a constitutional Republic, but a Marxist culture in pursuance of the arbitrary and capricious will of what would essentially be a democracy of Congressmen.

I would support this bill if it eliminated all language pertaining to federal firearms regulations and the elimination of cannabis as a controlled substance in the State Controlled Substances Act.

Thank you

Nick Reinecker