

**AGENCY PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS**

**Kansas Corporation Commission Rate Cases: Kansas Corporation Commission Rate Cases**

**Subprogram History**

CURB was funded by the Kansas legislature in 1991. The program/role of CURB as an advocate for residential and small commercial utility ratepayers has remained with the agency since that time. Since the enactment in 1991, there have been no legislative amendments to CURB's authority.

**Consequences of Not Funding This Subprogram**

The effects of the pandemic on clients and DCF operations would be more severe.

**Statutory Basis**

American Rescue Plan Act P.L. No. 117-328 (2021), Section 9901(602-603).

Mandatory/Discretionary	MOE/Match Requirement	Program Priority	Subprogram Priority
Discretionary	No	N/A	N/A

**Legislative Work: Legislative Work**

**Subprogram History**

CURB was funded by the Kansas legislature in 1991. The program/role of CURB as an advocate for residential and small commercial utility ratepayers has remained with the agency since that time. Since the enactment in 1991, there have been no legislative amendments to CURB's authority.

**Consequences of Not Funding This Subprogram**

CURB's sole program responsibility and statutory authority centers on representation of residential and small commercial ratepayers before the KCC and the Kansas Legislature. These metrics indicate the key aspects of CURB's work; there are no separate and distinct programs that CURB offers its consumer citizens. The consequences of not funding CURB's program would be a void of representation of residential and small commercial ratepayers in important utility matters. CURB's representation of its constituents has obtained millions of dollars of utility rate savings as well as enjoined business practices sponsored by utilities that are adverse to consumer interests. For example, in Docket 18-WSEE-328-RTS, CURB opposed a minimum bill tariff and grid access charge for solar and other customers and prevailed before the KCC. This result benefited residential customers and may have been lost had CURB not intervened and sponsored testimony in the 2021 proceeding. CURB also attempts to add value to the legislative process through testimony before House and Senate utility committees. CURB also plays an integral role in advising consumers about their rights in utility complaint cases, both KCC-jurisdictional and otherwise. These benefits would be impaired if this program were not funded.

**Statutory Basis**

KSA 66-1223(b).

Mandatory/Discretionary	MOE/Match Requirement	Program Priority	Subprogram Priority
Mandatory	No	3	3

**Other KCC Cases: Other KCC Cases**

**Subprogram History**

CURB was funded by the Kansas legislature in 1991. The program/role of CURB as an advocate for residential and small commercial utility ratepayers has remained with the agency since that time. Since the enactment in 1991, there have been no legislative amendments to CURB's authority.

**Consequences of Not Funding This Subprogram**

CURB's sole program responsibility and statutory authority centers on representation of residential and small commercial ratepayers before the KCC and the Kansas Legislature. These metrics indicate the key aspects of CURB's work; there are no separate and distinct programs that CURB offers its consumer citizens. The consequences of not funding CURB's program would be a void of representation of residential and small commercial ratepayers in important utility matters. CURB's representation of its constituents has obtained millions of dollars of utility rate savings as well as enjoined business practices sponsored by utilities that are adverse to consumer interests. For example, in Docket 18-WSEE-328-RTS, CURB opposed a minimum bill tariff and grid access charge for solar and other customers and prevailed before the KCC. This result benefited residential customers and may have been lost had CURB not intervened and sponsored testimony in the 2021 proceeding. CURB also attempts to add value to the legislative process through testimony before House and Senate utility committees. CURB also plays an integral role in advising consumers about their rights in utility complaint cases, both KCC-jurisdictional and otherwise. These benefits would be impaired if this program were not funded.

**Statutory Basis**

KSA 66-1223(b).

Mandatory/Discretionary	MOE/Match Requirement	Program Priority	Subprogram Priority
Mandatory	No	2	2

**Outreach Work: Outreach Work**

**Subprogram History**

CURB was funded by the Kansas legislature in 1991. The program/role of CURB as an advocate for residential and small commercial utility ratepayers has remained with the agency since that time. Since the enactment in 1991, there have been no legislative amendments to CURB's authority.

**Consequences of Not Funding This Subprogram**

CURB's sole program responsibility and statutory authority centers on representation of residential and small commercial ratepayers before the KCC and the Kansas Legislature. These metrics indicate the key aspects of CURB's work; there are no separate and distinct programs that CURB offers its consumer citizens. The consequences of not funding CURB's program would be a void of representation of residential and small commercial ratepayers in important utility matters. CURB's representation of its constituents has obtained millions of dollars of utility rate savings as well as enjoined business practices sponsored by utilities that are adverse to consumer interests. For example, in Docket 18-WSEE-328-RTS, CURB opposed a minimum bill tariff and grid access charge for solar and other customers and prevailed before the KCC. This result benefited residential customers and may have been lost had CURB not intervened and sponsored testimony in the 2021 proceeding. CURB also attempts to add value to the legislative process through testimony before House and Senate utility committees. CURB also plays an integral role in advising consumers about their rights in utility complaint cases, both KCC-jurisdictional and otherwise. These benefits would be impaired if this program were not funded.

**Statutory Basis**

KSA 66-1223(b).

Mandatory/Discretionary	MOE/Match Requirement	Program Priority	Subprogram Priority
-------------------------	-----------------------	------------------	---------------------

Mandatory	No	4	4
-----------	----	---	---

**Subprograms Without Narrative Data**

**AGENCY PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

			2022 Actuals	2023 Actuals	2024 Actuals	2025 Actuals	2026 Estimate	2027 Estimate
<b>Kansas Corporation Commission Rate Cases: Kansas Corporation Commission Rate Cases</b>								
Goal	Type	Measure						
Represents CURB constituents in KCC rate cases.	Outcome	Percent of rate reduction proposed by CURB on annual basis in utility rate cases	1.16%	78.00%	70.00%	73.40%	40.00%	50.00%
		Percentage of total filed rate cases in which CURB intervened on an annual basis	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
		Rate Savings less Rate Expenses (In Millions)	\$24.10	\$4.50	\$159.27	\$97.63	\$30.00	\$40.00
	Output	Total number of filed rate cases in which CURB intervened annually	3	3	2	4	3	3
<b>Legislative Work: Legislative Work</b>								
Goal	Type	Measure						
Represents CURB constituents in KCC rate cases.	Outcome	Annual savings achieved through CURB analysis and input in Kansas legislation versus the cost of outsourcing representation	\$38,000.00	\$83,695.00	\$88,263.00	\$83,038.00	\$40,000.00	\$45,000.00
		Percent of total legislative bills which were modified due to comments/proposals made by CURB on an annual basis	13.00%	12.00%	24.00%	33.00%	33.00%	40.00%
	Output	Total number of filed rate cases in which CURB intervened annually	3	3	3	4	3	3

			2022 Actuals	2023 Actuals	2024 Actuals	2025 Actuals	2026 Estimate	2027 Estimate
Represents CURB constituents in KCC rate cases.	Output	Total number of utility-related legislative bills analyzed and/or presented testimony before Kansas legislature on an annual basis	15	16	17	13	15	14

**Other KCC Cases: Other KCC Cases**

Goal	Type	Measure						
Represents CURB constituents in KCC rate cases.	Outcome	Annual savings achieved from CURB analysis and involvement in utility practices/programs cases versus the cost of outsourcing representation	\$1,100,000.00	\$692,986.00	\$607,272.00	\$837,246.00	\$650,000.00	\$650,000.00
		Percentage of total filed rate cases in which CURB intervened on an annual basis	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
		Percentage of total filed utility practices/programs cases which CURB analyzed and/or intervened in on an annual basis	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Output	Number of utility practice/program cases in which CURB intervened	29	34	49	38	35	38

**Outreach Work: Outreach Work**

Goal	Type	Measure						
Represents CURB constituents in KCC rate cases.	Outcome	Annual savings achieved by CURB outreach and representative/advisory work with ratepayers and other stakeholders versus the cost of outsourcing representation	\$126,000.00	\$112,157.00	\$87,795.00	\$124,590.00	\$80,000.00	\$85,000.00
		Percentage of the annual potential complainants who contacted CURB and to whom CURB provided advice and/or assistance	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

			<b>2022 Actuals</b>	<b>2023 Actuals</b>	<b>2024 Actuals</b>	<b>2025 Actuals</b>	<b>2026 Estimate</b>	<b>2027 Estimate</b>
Represents CURB constituents in KCC rate cases.	Output	Number of CURB constituents who contacted CURB with a question or complaint with whom CURB interacted on an annual basis	33	42	50	71	50	55

**Footnotes**