KANSAS LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

545N-Statehouse, 300 SW 10th Ave. Topeka, Kansas 66612-1504 (785) 296-3181 ◆ FAX (785) 296-3824

ksiegres@kird.state.ks.us

http://www.kslegislature.org/kird

February 7, 2007

To: Senate Committee on Commerce

From: Kathie Sparks, Principal Analyst

Re: SB 193, Requirement for Annual State Debt Report

SB 193 would require the Kansas Development Finance Authority (KDFA) to annually prepare a debt affordability report. The report would include the following:

- A listing of state debt outstanding, other debt secured by state revenues, and other contingent debt;
- An estimate of revenues available for the next ten fiscal years to pay debt service including general revenues plus any revenues specifically pledged to pay debt service;
- An estimate of additional debt issuance for the next ten fiscal years for the state's existing borrowing programs;
- A schedule of the annual debt service requirements, including principal and interest allocation, on the outstanding state debt and an estimate of the annual debt service requirements on the debt included if the additional state taxsupported debt service exceeds 7 percent;
- An overview of the state's general obligation credit rating;
- Identification and calculation of pertinent debt ratios, including debt service to revenues available to pay debt service, debt to personal income, and debt per capita for the state's net tax supported debt;
- The estimated debt capacity available over the next ten fiscal years without the benchmark debt ratio of debt service to revenue exceeding 6 percent; and
- A comparison of the state's debt service to revenues available to pay debt service, debt to personal income, and debt per capita for the state's net tax supported debt against the same ratios for the ten most populous states.

KDFA would be required to update the report once the revenue estimates are made available for the legislative session each year. In addition, any entity issuing debt secured by state revenues would be required to provide any information necessary to prepare the report and any failure to comply with the report would not affect the validity of any debt or the authorization of such debt.

11

The bill states that the Legislature declares that it is the policy of this state to exercise prudence in undertaking the authorization and issuance of debt. In order to implement this policy, the Legislature desires to authorize the issuance of additional state tax-supported debt only when such authorization would not cause the ratio of debt service to revenue available to pay debt service on tax-supported debt to exceed 6 percent. If the 6 percent target debt ratio would be exceeded, the authorization would be required to have an accompanied legislative statement of determination that such authorization and issuance is in the best interest of the state and should be implemented.

Finally, the bill would require that the Legislature not authorize the issuance of additional state tax-supported debt exceeding 7 percent unless the Legislature determines that such additional debt is necessary to address a critical state emergency.

KLS/kal



Figure 16: Tax-Supported Debt as a Percent of Personal Income Years, 1992 to 2004

Source: Moody's Investors Service; * difference between reported U.S. average in Figure 18 and computed mean may be due to rounding off of numbers. Triple-A states vary by year.

Figure 16 shows annual net tax-supported debt as a percent of personal income for Kansas and three comparison groupings: the U.S. average; the average of the four surrounding states; and, the average of states with the top credit rating. Since 2001, Kansas is the highest of all three groupings, reaching a peak of 3.3 percent in 2004, well above the U.S. average for all states of 3.05 percent, regional average of 0.65 percent, as well as the Triple-A average of 2.51 for the same year. The table also shows two surges of increase corresponding to the implementation timeline of the first major highway program in 1989 and the second Comprehensive Transportation Plan adopted in 1999. While Kansas consistently placed above the regional average since 1992, the state did not exceed the national average until 2001.

Compared to Kansas, the surrounding states of Oklahoma, Missouri, Colorado, and Nebraska all have a lower ranking of net tax-supported debt per capita as a percent of personal income. Based on these figures, the debt burden per individual citizen is higher in Kansas than in the surrounding states. One contributing factor is the State's population, which is among the lowest 20 states in the country. Population impacts a state's infrastructure needs. When consisting of a relatively small percentage of the state's land area, the population bears the heavier debt burden associated with higher infrastructure demands such as miles of roads and bridges. Moreover, the quality of services and infrastructure may differ substantially.

Kansas' higher debt burden is a significant factor in assessing the State's longterm financial health. The State has limited debt capacity in the future since Kansas citizens already pay a larger percentage of their personal incomes for state debt in comparison to other regional states. Carried to an extreme, if debt burden is a

	2003		2004	
Rank	State	Percent	Rank State	Percent
1	Hawaii	10.4%	1 Hawaii	10.4%
2	Massachusetts	8.4%	2 Massachusetts	8.4%
3	Connecticut	8.1%	3 Connecticut	8.4%
4	New York	5.8%	4 New York	6.7%
5	New Jersey	5.5%	5 New Jersey	5.9%
6	Mississippi	5.4%	6 Illinois	5.8%
7	Delaware	4.9%	7 Delaware	5.6%
8	Rhode Island	4.8%	8 Mississippi	5.2%
9	Washington	4.6%	9 Washington	4.9%
10	Kentucky	4.3%	10 Oregon	4.5%
11	West Virginia	4.0%	11 Wisconsin	4.5% 4.4%
12	New Mexico	3.5%	12 Rhode Island	4.4%
13	Florida	3.3%	13 Kentucky	4.1%
14	Wisconsin	3.2%	14 New Mexico	3.6%
15	Illinois	3.1%	15 West Virginia	3.5%
16		3.0%	16 Utah	3.5%
17	Vermont	2.9%	17 Florida	3.3%
18		2.8%	18 Kansas 19 California	3.2%
- 19	5	2.8%	19 California 20 Alaska	3.0%
20		2.7%	20 Alaska 21 Maryland	3.0%
21		2.6%	21 Maryland 22 Georgia	2.9%
22		2.6%	23 Ohio	2.7%
23		2.5% 2.3%	23 Onio 24 Louisiana	2.6%
24		2.2%	25 Vermont	2.5%
	Pennsylvania	2.1%	26 South Carolina	2.4%
26		2.1%	27 Arizona	2.3%
27		1.8%	28 Pennsylvania	2.2%
28		1.8%	29 Michigan	2.2%
29	•	1.7%	30 Minnesota	2.0%
) Maine	1.7%	31 North Carolina	2.0%
31		1.6%	32 Nevada	2.0%
	2 Oregon 3 North Carolina	1.5%	33 Alabama	2.0%
	New Hampshire	1.4%	34 Arkansas	1.8%
	5 Arkansas	1.4%	35 Maine	1.8%
36		1.4%	36 Virginia	1.7%
37		1.3%	37 Missouri	1.6%
38		1.3%	38 New Hampshire	1.5%
39		1.2%	39 Indiana	1.3%
-	0 Indiana	1.1%	40 Montana	1.3%
4		0.9%	41 Oklahoma	1.2%
4		0.9%	42 South Dakota	0.9%
4		0.8%	43 Colorado	0.9%
4		0.8%	44 North Dakota	0.9%
-	5 Tennessee	0.8%	45 Wyoming	0.8%
	6 South Dakota	0.7%	46 Tennessee	0.8%
	7 Iowa	0.6%	47 Texas	0.8%
4	8 Idaho .	0.3%	48 Iowa	.0.5%
4	9 Alaska	0.3%	49 Idaho	0.5%
5	0 Nebraska	0.1%	50 Nebraska	0.1%
	MEAN:	2.7%	MEAN:	3.1%
	MEDIAN:	2.2%	MEDIAN:	2.4%

Source: Moody's Special Comment (April 2001, May 2002, July 2003). Note: Personal Income figures were released by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. 2002 percentages are based on 2000 personal income figures, 2001 percentages are based on 1999 personal income; * Issuer rating; ** NGO (No GO rating equivalent requested).

	2001			2002
Rank	State	Percent		ate Percen
	Hawaii	11.0%	1 Hawaii	10.4% etts 8.6%
2 1	Massachusetts	8.5%	2 Massachus	
	Connecticut	8.0%	3 Connecticut	
	New York	6.2%	4 New York	5.9%
	New Jersey	5.5%	5 New Jersey	5.6%
	Delaware	5.5%	6 Delaware	5.3%
	Rhode Island	5.3%	7 Rhode Islar	nd 5.2%
	Mississippi	4.6%	8 Mississippi	4.7%
	Washington	4.4%	9 Washingtor	1 4.4%
	Kentucky	4.4%	10 Kentucky	4.3%
11	West Virginia	4.2%	11 New Mexico	
12	New Mexico	4.0%	12 West Virgin	ia 4.0%
	Florida	3.3%	13 Florida	3.4%
	Vermont	3.3%	14 Vermont	3.0%
	Wisconsin	3.2%	15 Kansas	3.0%
	Kansas	3.1%	16 Utah	3.0%
	Utah	2.8%	17 Wisconsin	3.0%
		2.7%	18 Georgia	2.9%
	Illinois	2.6%	19 Illinois	2.8%
	. .	2.6%	20 Ohio	2.6%
		2.6%	21 Maryland	2.6%
21	Ohio	2.5%	22 South Card	olina 2.5%
	Louisiana	2.5%	23 California	2.5%
23	California	2.2%	24 Louisiana	2.4%
	Alabama	2.2%	25 Pennsylva	nia 2.3%
25	-	2.0%	26 Alabama	2.2%
26	Maine	1.9%	27 Arizona	1.9%
27	Virginia	1.8%	28 Maine	1.99
28	Minnesota	1.8%	29 Virginia	1.89
29	Nevada	1.8%	30 Minnesota	
30	South Carolina	1.7%	31 Nevada	1.79
31	Montana	1.6%	32 Montana	1.69
	Arizona	1.6%	33 Oregon	1.5%
33	Michigan		34 New Ham	
.34	Oregon	1.6%	35 Michigan	1.5%
35	New Hampshire	1.5%	36 Wyoming	1.49
36	Oklahoma	1.4%	37 North Card	
37	North Carolina	1.4%	38 Missouri	1.3
38	Tennessee	1.2%	39 Oklahoma	4.00
39		1.2%	40 Arkansas	1.29
40	South Dakota	1.2%		1.19
41	Missouri	1.1%	41 Indiana	0.00
42	Indiana	1.1%	42 South Dal	
43	Wyoming	1.0%	43 Tennesse	-
44	Texas	1.0%	44 North Dak	0.99
45	North Dakota	. 0.9%	45 Texas	0.79
	Alaska	0.4%	46 Colorado	0.69
47	Colorado	0.4%	47 Iowa	0.49
	lowa	0.4%	48 Alaska	0.4
	Idaho	0.3%	49 Idaho	
	Nebraska	0.1%	50 Nebraska	0.1
	MEAN:	3.0%	MEAN:	2.75
	MEDIAN:	2.1%	MEDIAN:	2.35

Figure 15: Net Tax-Supported Debt as a Percent of Personal Income, 2001 to 2004

1

.

	2003			2004					
Rank		State	Amount	Rating	Rank		State	Amount	Rating
INAIIN	1	Connecticut	\$3,440	Aa3		1	Connecticut	\$3,558	Aa3
	2	Massachusetts	\$3,298	Aa2		2	Massachusetts	\$3,333	Aa2
	3	Hawaii	\$3,111	Aa3]	3	Hawaii	\$3,101	Aa3
	4	New Jersey	\$2,110	Aa2		4	New York	\$2,420	A2
	5	New York	\$2,095	A2		5	New Jersey	\$2,332	Aa2
	6	Delaware	\$1,599	Aaa		6	Illinois	\$1,943	Aa3
	7	Rhode Island	\$1,508	Aa3		7	Delaware	\$1,800	Aaa
	8	Washington	\$1,507	Aa2	1	8	Washington	\$1,580	Aa1
	о 9	Mississippi	\$1,207	Aa3		9	Rhode Island	\$1,307	Aa3
		Kentucky	\$1,095	Aa2**		10	Wisconsin	\$1,325	Aa3
	10	Illinois	\$1,040	Aa3		11	Oregon	\$1,281	Aa3
	11	Florida	\$985	Aa2		12	Mississippi	\$1,169	Aa3
	12		\$977	Aaa		13	Kentucky	\$1,119	Aa2**
	13	Maryland	\$958	Aa3	ļ	14	Maryland	\$1,077	Aaa
	14	Wisconsin	\$950 \$950	Aa3	1	15	California	\$1,060	Baa1
	15	West Virginia	\$861 \$861	Aa1		16	Florida	\$1,023	Aa2
	16	Vermont	\$860	Aa1**		17	Kansas	\$963	Aa1**
	17	Kansas		Aa1		18	New Mexico	\$962	Aa1
	18	New Mexico	\$844	A2	1	19	Alaska	\$962	Aa2
	19	California	\$810 5802	Aaa		20	West Virginia	\$859	Aa3
	20	Georgia	\$802 5750	Aa1		21	Utah	\$846	Aaa
	21	Ohio	\$750 \$602	Aa2		22	Georgia	\$827	Aaa
	22	Pennsylvania	\$693	Aaa		23	Ohio	\$806	Aa1
	23	Utah	\$682	Add A2	1.1	24	Vermont	\$724	Aa1
	24	Louisiana	\$650	A2 Aa1	1	25	Pennsylvania	\$711	Aa2
	25	Minnesota	\$625		ļ	26	Minnesota	\$691	Aa1
	26	South Carolina	\$587	Aaa		27	Michigan	\$670	Aa1
	27	Virginia	\$546	Aaa		28	Louisiana	\$661	A1
	28	Michigan	\$542	Aaa		20 29	South Carolina	\$599	Aaa
	29	Alabama	\$540	Aa3		29 30	Arizona	\$591	NGO
	30	Arizona	\$539	NGO			Nevada	\$590	Aa2
	31	New Hampshire	\$485	Aa2		31	North Carolina	\$556	Aa1
	32	Maine	\$471	Aa2		32		\$546	Aaa
	33	Oregon	\$454	Aa3		33	Virginia	\$505	Aa3
	34	North Carolina	\$429	Aa1		34	Alabama		Aa2
	35	Nevada	\$413 ·	Aa2		35	New Hampshire	\$492	Aa2
	36	Missouri	\$368	Aaa		36	Maine	\$461	Aaa
	37	Montana	\$329	Aa3		37			Aa2
	38	Arkansas	\$328	Aa2	1	38		\$420 \$361	Aa1*
	39	Oklahoma	\$302	Aa3	Ì	39			Aa3
	40	Indiana	\$300	Aa1**	1	40		\$315	Aa3 Aa3
	41	Colorado	\$295	NGO	1	41		\$311	NGC
	42	Wyoming	\$256	NGO		42		\$307	NGC
	43	Texas	\$246	Aa1		43		\$254	
	44	North Dakota	\$223	Aa3**		44		\$250	NGC
	45		\$222	Aa2		45		\$235	Aa3
	46		\$190	NGO		46		\$220	Aa1
	47	lowa	\$156	Aa1*'	'	47		\$220	Aa2
	48		\$94	Aa2		48		\$139	Aa1
	49		\$83	Aa3**	r	49		\$83	Aa3
	50		\$38	NGO		50		\$43	NG
		MEAN:	\$838				MEAN:	\$944	
		MEDIAN:	\$606				MEDIAN:	\$701	

Source: Moody's Special Comment (June 23, 2004); their calculations. Note: NGO (No General Obligation rating equivalent requested); ** Issuer Rating; *computed based on figures: population figures taken from the U.S. Census Bureau.

2001			2002				
Rank	State	Amount	Rating	Rank	State	Amount	Rating
1	Connecticut	\$3,037	Aa2	1	Massachusetts	\$3,267	Aa2
2	Hawaii	\$2,987	Aa3	2	Connecticut	\$3,240	Aa2
3		\$2,957	Aa2	3	Hawaii	\$2,936	Aa3
4	New York	\$2,020	A2	4	New Jersey	\$2,066	Aa2
5	New Jersey	\$1,935	Aa1	5	New York	\$2,045	A2
6	Delaware	\$1,616	Aaa	6	Delaware	\$1,650	Aaa
7	Rhode Island	\$1,497	Aa3	7	Rhode island	\$1,552	Aa3
8	Washington	\$1,316	Aa1	8	Washington	\$1,383	Aa1
9		\$999	Aa2**	9	Kentucky	\$1,046	Aa2**
10	Mississippi	\$918	Aa3	10	Mississippi	\$996	Aa3
11	••	\$883	Aa2	11	Florida	\$959	Aa2
12		\$878	Aa3	12	Illinois	\$908	Aa2
13	-	\$859	Aa3	13	Maryland	\$879	Aaa
14		\$843	Aa1	14	New Mexico	\$879	Aa1
15		\$828	Aa1	15	West Virginia	\$867	Aa3
16		\$819	Aaa	16	Wisconsin	\$834	Aa3
17	•	\$815	Aa2	17	Kansas	\$824	Aa1**
18		\$802	NGO	18	Vermont	\$813	Aa1
19		\$733	Aa2	19	Georgia	\$804	Aaa
20		\$698	Aa1	20	California	\$795	A1
21		\$679	Aaa	21	Ohio	\$749	Aa1
22	•	\$637	Aaa	22	Utah	\$708	Aaa
23		\$603	Aa2	23	Pennsylvania	\$671	Aa2
24	-	\$565	A2	24	South Carolina	\$615	Aaa
25		\$546	Aaa	25	Minnesota	\$576	Aaa
26		\$537	Aaa	26	Louisiana	\$570	A2
27	•	\$506	Aa3	27	Virginia	\$566	Aaa
28		\$502	Aa2	28	Alabama	\$526	Aa3
29		\$487	Aa2	29	Nevada	\$524	Aa2
30		\$463	Aa2	30	New Hampshire	\$503	Aa2
31	•	\$449	Aaa	31	Arizona	\$495	NGO
32		\$417	Aa2	32	Maine	\$486	Aa2
33	-	\$398	Aaa	33	Michigan	\$438	Aaa
34		\$382	NGO	34	Oregon	\$437	Aa2
35		\$361	Aa3	35	Wyoming	\$388	NGO
36		\$340	Aaa	36	North Carolina	\$375	Aaa
37		\$320	Aa3	37	Montana	\$358	Aa3
38		\$308	Aa1	38	Missouri	\$347	Aaa
39		\$291	NGO	39	Oklahoma	\$297	Aa3
38 40		\$288	Aaa	40	Indiana	\$296	Aa1**
41		\$283	Aa1**	41	Arkansas	\$268	Aa2
		\$260 \$260	Aa2	42	Colorado	\$245	NGO
42		\$250 \$251	Aa1	43	South Dakota	\$244	NGO
43		\$250	NGO	44	Texas	\$238	Aa1
44				1		\$231	Aa2
45		\$207 . \$120	Aa3**	45	Tennessee North Dakota	\$216	Aa3*'
46		\$129 \$127	NGO	40	lowa	\$166	Aa1**
. 47		\$127 F80	Aa2	1			
48		\$89 579	NGO	48	Alaska	\$112 \$86	Aa2
49		\$78 525	NGO	49	ldaho Nabrasira	\$86 \$34	Aa3**
50		\$25	NGO	50	Nebraska	\$34 \$840	NGO
	MEAN:	\$820			MEAN:	\$810	
	MEDIAN:	\$541		1	MEDIAN:	\$573	

Figure 13: Net Tax-Supported Debt per Capita, Years 2001 to 2004

Indebtedness of the S	State of Kansas*
FY 1997 - FY	f 2007

Fiscal Year	Bonds	Loans Outstanding Pooled Money investment Board
FY 1997	1,152,418,451	22,990,547
FY 1998	1,160,485,487	20,702,751
FY 1999	1,320,116,565	15,958,280
FY 2000	1,427,911,190	11,113,248
FY 2001	1,781,202,105	8,375,230
FY 2002	2,506,059,479	6,775,233
FY 2003	2,334,940,984	5,700,380
FY 2004	2,519,100,301	3,314,541
FY 2005	3,713,838,876	4,861,506
FY 2006	3,830,183,786 **	727,995
FY 2007	3,951,759,149	2,875,000
Change FY 1997 to FY 2007	\$2,799,340,698	
Percent Change	242.9%	

* Principal balance as of the end of the fiscal year.

** In addition, there is authorized but unissued debt of \$272,856,607.

Source: Comparison Report Kansas Division of the Budget.